

2006 Behavioral Health Risks



Of Chester County Adults

DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH

*Edward G. Rendell, Governor
Calvin B. Johnson, M.D., M.P.H., Secretary of Health*

**Chester County Healthy
Communities Partnership**

Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Health began the Pennsylvania Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) in 1989. The BRFSS survey consists of telephone interviews using randomly generated telephone numbers to determine the households contacted. The survey contains a core set of questions provided by CDC to gather comprehensive, standard information nationwide. The questions asked concern health status, access to health care, health awareness, use of preventive health services, and knowledge and attitude assessment.

In an effort to provide local BRFSS data, the Pennsylvania Department of Health instituted the Pennsylvania BRFSS Local Sampling Program in 2002. Participation in the program was open to Pennsylvania's State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP)-affiliated partnerships located statewide. Two partnership organizations chose to participate in the 2006 program: Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership and Huntingdon County Healthy Communities Partnership. These partnerships were given the opportunity to select 56 questions of their choice in addition to the core questions asked of all who participated in BRFSS.

The survey of adults living in Chester County asked questions about health and health-related behaviors including general health, health care access, exercise, alcohol consumption, asthma, diabetes, and immunization. This allows for some comparison of the county survey results to state results and it also allows the county to get data specific to its individual needs.

Report Organization

A brief *Survey Highlights* section includes prevalence estimates referring to health status, health care access, diabetes, oral health, disability, tobacco use, seatbelt use, women's health, colorectal cancer screening, emotional support and life satisfaction, and physical activity data for adults in Chester County that are statistically different compared to the Pennsylvania estimates.

Select prevalence estimates are included in *Table 1: Core Questions, Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006*. They are estimates from questions asked of all 2006 Pennsylvania BRFSS questionnaire respondents. Chester County data are presented alongside Pennsylvania data to assist with comparison (see Table 1 footnote). The topics in Table 1 include Health Status, Health Care Access, Exercise, Diabetes, Oral Health, Cardiovascular Disease, Asthma, Disability, Tobacco Use, Veteran's Status, Alcohol Consumption, Immunization, Falls, Seatbelt Use, Drinking and Driving, Women's Health, Prostate Cancer Screening, Colorectal Cancer Screening, HIV/AIDS, and Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction.

The topics in *Table 2: Module Questions, Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006* include prevalence estimates that were requested for Chester County and were also asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. Topics include: Adult Asthma History and Vitamin Use. (refer to Table 2 footnotes for additional information).

Table 3: Locally-Added Questions, Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 includes estimates that were specifically requested for Chester County, but were also asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. The topics included in the table were Fruits and Vegetables, Physical Activity, and Weight Control.


Table 4: Locally-Added Questions, Chester County Adults, 2006 includes estimates that were specifically requested for Chester County, and were not asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. The topics included in the table were Heart Attack and Stroke, Childhood Asthma, Injury Prevention, and Arthritis Burden.

Table 5: Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation: Chester County & Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2006 includes estimates for objective goals available for Chester County. Objective goals include: Health Care Access, Women's Health, Colorectal Cancer Screening, Diabetes, Seatbelt Use, Weight, Oral Health, Physical Activity, and Tobacco Use.


Survey Highlights

Health Status:


Seven (7) percent of Chester County adults reported their general health as fair or poor. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (14 percent).



Fifty-four (54) percent of Chester County adults were overweight or obese. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (62 percent).




Seventeen (17) percent of Chester County adults were obese. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (25 percent).



** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.


Health Care Access:

Seven (7) percent of Chester County adults age 18-64 reported having no health care insurance. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 (12 percent).




Diabetes:

Five (5) percent of Chester County adults reported that they were ever diagnosed with diabetes. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (9 percent).




Oral Health:

Eighty (80) percent of Chester County adults visited a dentist or dental clinic for any reason within the past year. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (71 percent).




** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.


Ninety (90) percent of Chester County adults have had five or fewer permanent teeth removed due to tooth decay or gum disease. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (81 percent).



Three (3) percent of Chester County adults have had all their permanent teeth removed due to tooth decay or gum disease. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (7 percent).




Eighty (80) percent of Chester County adults had their teeth cleaned by a dentist or dental hygienist within the past year. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (72 percent).




** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

Disability:

Fifteen (15) percent of Chester County adults reported being limited due to physical, mental, or emotional problems. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (18 percent).




Four (4) percent of Chester County adults reported having a health problem that requires the use of special equipment. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (7 percent).



Tobacco Use:


Fourteen (14) percent of Chester County adults are current smokers. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (22 percent).



** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.


Seatbelt Use:

Two (2) percent of Chester County adults reported that they seldom or never use seatbelts when driving or riding in a car. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (7 percent).




Women's Health:

Ninety-five (95) percent of Chester County women age 40 and older reported ever having a clinical breast exam. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania women age 40 and older (92 percent).




Eleven (11) percent of Chester County women reported ever having a hysterectomy. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania women (21 percent).



** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.


Colorectal Cancer Screening:

Sixty-four (64) percent of Chester County adults age 50 and older reported ever having a sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older (57 percent).




Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction:

Ninety-seven (97) percent of Chester County adults reported that they are satisfied or very satisfied with their life. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (94 percent).



Physical Activity:

Seventy-five (75) percent of Chester County adults who are employed for wages or are self-employed reported that they mostly sit or stand while at work. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults who are employed for wages or are self-employed (62 percent).



** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1
Core Questions
Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County *			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Health Status					
Fair or Poor Health	7	6-9	-	14	13-15
Physical Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	31	28-35		36	35-38
Mental Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	33	30-37		34	33-35
Overweight or Obese**	54	50-58	-	62	61-63
Obese**	17	14-20	-	25	24-26
Health Care Access					
No Health Care Insurance, Age 18-64	7	5-11	-	12	11-13
Do Not Have a Personal Health Care Provider	9	6-12		10	10-11
Unable to Get Med. Care Due to Cost in Past Year	7	5-10		11	10-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	82	79-85		81	80-82
Exercise					
No Leisure Time Physical Activity	19	16-23		24	23-25
Diabetes					
Ever Told They Have Diabetes	5	4-6	-	9	8-9
Oral Health					
Visited Dentist for Any Reason Within Past Year	80	76-83	+	71	69-72
5 or Fewer Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease	90	88-92	+	81	80-82
All Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease	3	2-4	-	7	6-7
Had Teeth Cleaned at Dentist Within Past Year	80	77-83	+	72	71-73
Cardiovascular Disease					
Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack, Coronary Heart Disease, or a Stroke	10	8-12		12	11-13
Asthma					
Ever Told They Had Asthma	12	10-14		12	12-13
Currently Have Asthma	7	6-9		9	8-9
Disability					
Limited Due to Health Problems	15	12-17	-	18	17-19
Have Health Issues That Require Use of Special Equipment	4	3-5	-	7	7-8
Tobacco Use					
Current Smokers**	14	12-18	-	22	21-23
Veteran's Status					
Served on Active Duty in US Armed Forces	13	11-16		14	13-14

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1 (Continued)
Core Questions
Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County *		Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	%	CI
Alcohol Consumption				
Binge Drinkers**	19	15-23	16	15-17
Chronic Drinkers**	5	4-7	5	4-6
Immunization				
Had a Flu Shot in Past Year, Aged 65+	72	67-78	68	66-70
Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccination, Aged 65+	71	65-77	68	66-70
Ever Received Hepatitis B Vaccination**	28	24-32	31	30-33
Falls				
Have Fallen Past 3 Months (Out of Adults Age 45+)	12	10-15	15	14-16
Inured by One or More Falls** (Out of Adults Age 45+)	30	22-40	32	29-36
Seatbelt Use				
Seldom or Never Use Seat Belts When Driving or Riding in a Car	2	1-3 -	7	6-8
Drinking and Driving				
Have Driven in the Past Month After Perhaps Having Too Much to Drink	5	3-8	5	4-6
Women's Health				
Ever Had a Mammogram (Out of Women Age 40+)	91	88-93	91	90-92
Had Mammogram Past Year (Out of Women Age 40+)	64	59-68	62	60-64
Ever Had a Clinical Breast Exam (Out of Women Age 40+)	95	93-97 +	92	91-93
Had Clinical Breast Exam Past Year (Out of Women Age 40+)	68	64-72	65	63-67
Ever Had a Pap Test (Out of Women Age 18+)	93	85-96	95	94-96
Had Pap Test Past Three Years (Out of Women Age 18+)	82	75-86	80	78-81
Ever Had Hysterectomy (Out of Women Age 18+)	11	9-14 -	21	20-22

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1 (Continued)
Core Questions
Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County *		Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	%	CI
Prostate Cancer Screening				
Ever Had a Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test (Out of Men Age 50+)	83	76-88	76	73-78
Had PSA Test Past Year (Out of Men Age 50+)	61	54-68	54	51-57
Ever Had a Digital Rectum Exam (DRE) (Out of Men Age 50+)	90	84-93	85	83-87
Had DRE Past Year (Out of Men Age 50+)	51	44-58	50	48-53
Ever Told They Had Prostate Cancer (Out of Men Age 50+)	6	4-9	7	5-8
Colorectal Cancer Screening				
Ever Had a Home Blood Stool Test (Out of Adults Age 50+)	44	40-48	42	40-44
Had a Home Blood Stool Test Past 2 Years (Out of Adults Age 50+)	21	17-24	22	20-23
Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Out of Adults Age 50+)	64	60-68 +	57	56-59
Had Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy Past 5 Years (Out of Adults Age 50+)	55	50-59	49	47-50
HIV/AIDS				
Ever Tested for HIV, Age 18-64	28	24-33	28	27-30
Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction				
Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need	6	4-9	8	8-9
Satisfied or Very Satisfied With Their Life	97	96-98 +	94	94-95

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 2
Module Questions
Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County *		Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	%	CI
Adult Asthma History				
Had an Asthma Attack Past Month (Out of Current Asthmatics)	56	44-68	45	38-51
Saw Doctor for Routine Asthma Checkup Past Year (Out of Current Asthmatics)	52	40-64	48	41-55
Folic Acid				
Currently Take Vitamin Pills or Supplements	60	56-65	59	57-61
Take a Multivitamin	52	48-56	50	48-52
Take Vitamin Pill or Supplement that Contains Folic Acid (Out of Women Age 18-44)	57	47-67	55	50-59
Take Vitamin Pill or Supplement that Contains Folic Acid at Least Once Daily (Out of Women Age 18-44)	50	40-60	44	40-49
Believe Experts Recommend That Women Take 400 Micrograms of the B Vitamin Folic Acid <u>to Prevent Birth</u> <u>Defects</u> (Out of Women Age 18-44)	63	52-73	58	53-63

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 3
Locally-Added Questions
Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County *		Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	%	CI
Fruits & Vegetables				
Eat Fruits and Vegetables 5+ Times Daily	27	24-30	25	24-27
Physical Activity				
Mostly Sit or Stand While at Work	75	70-79 +	62	60-65
Meet Physical Activity Recommendations**	53	49-57	49	47-51
Participated in Vigorous Physical Activity at Least 20+ Minutes Per Day, 3+ Days Per Week	31	28-36	28	26-30
Weight Control				
Currently Trying to Lose Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults)	63	59-68	64	62-66
Currently Trying to Maintain Their Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults Who Are Not Trying to Lose Weight)	77	69-84	74	71-77
Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight by Eating Fewer Calories and/or Less Fat (Out of Adults Who Are Overweight and Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight)	81	76-85	84	82-86
Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight by Using Physical Activity or Exercise (Out of Adults Who Are Overweight and Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight)	73	68-77	69	67-71
Reported That a Doctor Advised Them to Lose Weight in the Past 12 Months (Out of Overweight Adults)	22	19-27	23	21-25

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 4
Locally-Added Questions
Chester County Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County	
	%	CI
Heart Attack and Stroke		
Think Pain or Discomfort in the Jaw, Neck, or Back Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack	65	60-69
Think Feeling Weak, Lightheaded, or Faint Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack	81	77-84
Think Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack	98	97-99
Think Sudden Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Heart Attack	43	39-48
Think Pain or Discomfort in the Arms or Shoulder Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack	94	91-96
Think Shortness of Breath is a Symptom of a Heart Attack	95	93-96
Think Sudden Confusion or Trouble Speaking Are Symptoms of a Stroke	98	97-99
Think Sudden Numbness or Weakness of Face, Arm, or Leg, Especially on One Side, Are Symptoms of a Stroke	97	94-99
Think Sudden Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Stroke	88	84-92
Think Sudden Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Stroke	43	38-47
Think Sudden Trouble Walking, Dizziness, or Loss of Balance Are Symptoms of a Stroke	96	93-98
Think Severe Headache With No Known Cause is a Symptom of a Stroke	79	74-82
Who Would First Call 911 if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack or Stroke	88	85-91
Childhood Asthma		
Adults with 1+ Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Was Ever Diagnosed With Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household)	17	14-21
Adults with 1+ Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Currently Has Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household)	13	10-16
Injury Prevention		
Live in a Home Where All the Smoke Detectors Have Been Tested Within the Past Month (Out of Adults Who Have Smoke Detectors in Their Home)	23	20-26
Live in a Home That Has a Working Smoke Detector on All Floors, Including the Basement but Excluding the Attic	88	85-90
Reported the Oldest Child Under Age 16 Living in Their Household Seldom or Never Wears a Bike Helmet When Riding a Bike (Out of Adults With Children LT 16 in their Household Who Ride a Bike)	15	9-22
Reported the Oldest Child Under Age 16 Living in Their Household Seldom or Never Wears a Helmet When Riding a Scooter (Out of Adults With Children LT 16 in their Household Who Ride a Scooter)	17	11-25
Arthritis Burden		
Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint Past 30 Days	47	43-51
Have Joint Symptoms That Began 3+ Months Ago (Out of Adults Who Have Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days)	81	76-84
Have Ever Seen a Doctor for Their Joint Symptoms (Out of Adults With Joint Pain, Aching or Stiffness in the Past Month)	75	69-79
Have Been Told They Have Some Form of Arthritis**	27	24-31
Adults with Arthritis or Joint Symptoms That Limit Their Usual Activities (Out of Adults with Arthritis or Joint Symptoms During the Past Month That First Began More Than Three Months Ago)	26	21-31

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 5
Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation
Chester County and Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2006

Healthy People 2010 Objective ¹	Year 2010 Objective	Chester 2006	Objective Met ² Chester County	Sig. Diff. to PA ³	Pennsylvania 2006	Objective Met ² Pennsylvania
01-01: Percent of adults aged 18-64 with health insurance (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	100%	93±4	No		87±2	No
01-04c: Percent of adults with a specific source of ongoing care (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	96%	91±3	No		89±2	No
03-11a Percent of women aged 18+ who ever received a Pap test (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	97%	92±5	Yes		95±2	Yes
03-11b Percent of women aged 18+ who received a Pap test within past 3 years (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	80±4	No		81±2	No
03-12a Percent of adults aged 50+ who received a fecal occult blood test (FOBT) for colorectal cancer within the past 2 years (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	50%	21±4	No		22±2	No
03-12b Percent of adults aged 50+ who ever received a sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy for colorectal cancer (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	50%	66±4	Yes	+	59±2	Yes
03-13 Percent of women aged 40+ with a mammogram in last 2 years (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	70%	78±4	Yes		75±2	Yes
05-03 Adults diagnosed with diabetes (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 18+)	25	47±13	No	-	77± 8	No
14-29a: Percent of adults 65+ with flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	73±6	No		68±3	No
14-29b: Percent of adults 65+ ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	72±6	No		68±3	No
14-29c: Percent of adults 18-64 with flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	29±5	No		25±2	No
14-29d: Percent of adults 18-64 ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	10±3	No	-	16±2	No
15-19 Percent adults using safety belts	92%	98±1	Yes	+	93±1	Yes
19-01: Percent of adults 20+ with a healthy weight (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	43± 4	No		37± 2	No
19-02: Percent of adults 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	17± 3	Yes	-	24±1	No

¹ Public Health Services. Healthy People 2010: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

² The "Yes" designation refers the 2006 percentage being significantly better compared to the Healthy People 2010 goal percentage.

³ If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly low

TABLE 5 (continued)
Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation
Chester County and Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2006

Healthy People 2010 Objective ¹	Year 2010 Objective	Chester 2006	Objective Met ² Chester County	Sig. Diff. to PA ³	Pennsylvania 2006	Objective Met ² Pennsylvania
19-01: Percent of females 20+ with a healthy weight (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	55± 5	Yes	-	45± 2	No
19-02: Percent of females 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	15± 4	Yes	-	24± 2	No
19-01: Percent of males 20+ with a healthy weight (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	31± 6	No		30± 3	No
19-02: Percent of males 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	20± 5	Yes		25± 2	No
21-04 Percent of adults aged 65-74 who had all their natural teeth extracted	20%	8± 5	Yes	-	19± 3	Yes
21-10 Percent of adults aged 65+ who have visited a dentist in the past year	56%	73± 6	Yes		65± 3	Yes
21-10 Percent of adults aged 18+ who have visited a dentist in the past year	56%	80± 4	Yes	+	71± 2	Yes
22-01: Percent of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	20%	19± 4	Yes		22± 1	No
22-02: Percent of adults who engage in moderate or vigorous physical activity (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	50%	53± 4	Yes		49± 2	Yes
27-01a: Percent of adults who smoke cigarettes (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	12%	15± 3	Yes	-	22± 2	No
27-05: Percent of adults who attempted to quit smoking (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	75%	56± 10	No		57± 4	No

¹ Public Health Services. Healthy People 2010: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

² The "Yes" designation refers the 2006 percentage being significantly better compared to the Healthy People 2010 goal percentage.

³ If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly low

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,265 Chester County adults completed interviews for the Chester County BRFSS survey in 2006. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Chester County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Chester County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Chester County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Chester County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Chester County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned fruits and vegetables, physical activity, heart attack and stroke, childhood asthma, adult asthma history, injury prevention, arthritis burden, weight control, and folic acid.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Chester County in 2005. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Chester County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of “Don’t know/Not sure” and “Refused” were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Chester County’s population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don’t contribute to their further understanding.

Report Page 2 Notes

- Adults are classified as **overweight or obese** if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 25 or above.
- Adults are classified as **obese** if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 30 or above.

Report Page 5 Notes

- **Current smokers** are adults who reported currently smoking every day or some days.

Report Page 8 Notes

- Adults are classified as **overweight or obese** if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 25 or above.
- Adults are classified as **obese** if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 30 or above.
- **Current smokers** are adults who reported currently smoking every day or some days.

Report Page 9 Notes

- **Binge drinkers** are men who reported having five or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month or women who reported having four or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month.
- **Chronic drinkers** are adults who reported having an average of two or more alcoholic drinks per day the past month.
- Adults who responded, “Don’t know/Not sure” were included in the denominator for the analysis on the percent of adults who ever received the **hepatitis B vaccine**.
- A **fall injury** was defined as a fall that caused the individual to limit their regular activities for at least a day or to go see a doctor.

Report Page 12 Notes

- Adults who **participate in the recommended amount of physical activity** meet the following criteria: participate in moderate physical activity for at least 30 minutes a day, five or more days a week, and/or participate in vigorous physical activity for at least 20 minutes a day, three or more days a week.

Report Page 13 Notes

- Adults **ever told they have arthritis** include those told they have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia.

**Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership
c/o Margaret Rivello
601 Westtown Road, Suite 295
P.O. Box 2747
West Chester, PA 19380-0990**

Sponsored by

Chester County Health Department

In cooperation with the
Pennsylvania Department of Health
Bureau of Health Statistics and Research
555 Walnut Street 6th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1914

www.health.state.pa.us/stats/

**2006 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program**

**Core Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:
Chester County and Pennsylvania Comparisons**

Table of Contents

Core Questionnaire Highlights.....	Page 1
Demographic Differences.....	Page 10
Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2006.....	Page 22
Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006	Page 23
Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006.....	Page 24
Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities One or More Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Ment. and/or Phys. Health as Not Good	Page 25
Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults With No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2006	Page 26
Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults With No Personal Health Care Provider, 2006	Page 28
Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults That Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2006.....	Page 29
Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults That Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup Within the Past Two Years, 2006.....	Page 31
Core 4: Exercise, Percent of Adults With No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2006	Page 32
Core 5: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2006.....	Page 34
Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic for Any Reason within the Past Year, 2006.....	Page 36
Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had 5 or Fewer Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006.....	Page 38
Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006.....	Page 39
Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Teeth Cleaned by a Dentist or Dental Hygienist within the Past Year, 2006.....	Page 41
Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack, Heart Disease, or a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006.	Page 42
Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Were Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006.....	Page 43
Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Been Told They Had Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older),2006.....	Page 44
Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006...	Page 45
Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2006.....	Page 46
Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2006.....	Page 47
Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Are Limited in Any Way Because of Physical, Mental, or Emotional Problems, 2006.....	Page 48
Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2006	Page 49
Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults That Have Smoked at Least 100 Cigarettes in Their Lifetime, 2006	Page 51

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults That Currently Smoke**, 2006.....	Page 52
Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults That Are Former Smokers, 2006.....	Page 53
Core 12: Veterans Status, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2006.....	Page 54
Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2006.....	Page 55
Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2006.....	Page 56
Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Chronic Drinking in the Past Month**, 2006.....	Page 57
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 58
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2006.....	Page 59
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Vaccine in the Past Year That Was Sprayed in the Nose, 2006.....	Page 60
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2006.....	Page 61
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine**, 2006.....	Page 62
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Don't Know if They Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006.....	Page 63
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults Who Are at High Risk for Contracting Hepatitis B**, 2006.....	Page 64
Core 15: Falls, Percent of Adults Who Have Had at Least One Fall within the Past Three Months (Out of Adults Age 45 and Older), 2006.....	Page 65
Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Always Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006.....	Page 67
Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Seldom or Never Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006.....	Page 68
Core 17: Drinking and Driving, Percent of Adults Who Have Driven Over the Past Month When They've Had Perhaps Too Much to Drink (Out of Adults Who Had At Least One Drink of Any Alcoholic Beverage During the Past Month), 2006.....	Page 69
Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Mammogram (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006.....	Page 71
Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram in the Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006.....	Page 72
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Clinical Breast Exam (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006.....	Page 74
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Clinical Breast Exam within the Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006.....	Page 75
Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram and Clinical Breast Exam within the Past Two Years (Out of Women Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 76
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Pap Test, 2006.....	Page 78
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Pap Test Within the Past Three Years, 2006.....	Page 79
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Hysterectomy, 2006.....	Page 80
Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Had a Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 82

Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Had a Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test within the Past Year (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 83
Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Had a Digital Rectal Exam (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 85
Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Had a Digital Rectal Exam within the Past Year (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 86
Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Prostate Cancer (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 87
Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Home Blood Stool Test (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 89
Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Home Blood Stool Test within the Past Two Years, 2006.....	Page 90
Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006	Page 92
Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy within the Past Five Years (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006	Page 93
Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2006.....	Page 95
Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults That Had Their Last HIV Test at a Private Doctor or HMO (Out of Adults Age 18-64 That Have Ever Been Tested for HIV), 2006.....	Page 96
Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2006.....	Page 98
Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied With Their Life, 2006.....	Page 100
Percent of Adults That Are Obese **, 2006.....	Page 101
Percent of Adults That Are Overweight or Obese**, 2006.....	Page 102
Technical Notes.....	Page 103

Core Questionnaire Highlights

In Core Questionnaire Highlights, all significant differences found between Chester County and Pennsylvania for the core BRFSS questions are summarized.

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2006 - (page 22)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Pennsylvania adults (14 percent, CI: 13-15).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Pennsylvania men (13 percent, CI: 12-15).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Pennsylvania women (15 percent, CI: 14-16).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (9 percent, CI: 7-10).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (17 percent, CI: 15-18).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-29) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 (31 percent, CI: 29-34).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (13 percent, CI: 13-14).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006 - (page 23)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (37 percent, CI: 35-39).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2006 - (page 26)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 (12 percent, CI: 11-13).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (9 percent, CI: 8-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-64 with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 with a college degree (6 percent, CI: 5-7).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-64 of race other than white, non-Hispanic had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 of race other than white, non-Hispanic (20 percent, CI: 16-24).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2006 - (page 28)

- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (11 percent, CI: 9-12).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults That Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2006 - (page 29)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (10 percent, CI: 9-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (7 percent, CI: 5-8).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (5 percent, CI: 4-6).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults That Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12

Months, 2006 - (page 29) - *continued*

- ✓ Chester County adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic (18 percent, CI: 15-22).

Core 4: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2006 - (page 32)

- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Pennsylvania women (26 percent, CI: 25-27).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (22 percent, CI: 21-23).

Core 5: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes, 2006 - (page 34)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults (9 percent, CI: 8-9).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Pennsylvania men (10 percent, CI: 9-11).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Pennsylvania women (7 percent, CI: 7-8).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (11 percent, CI: 10-12).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (19 percent, CI: 17-21).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-13) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 (15 percent, CI: 13-16).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (8 percent, CI: 8-9).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic for Any Reason within the Past Year,

2006 - (page 36)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly higher percentage (80 percent, CI: 76-83) compared to Pennsylvania adults (71 percent, CI: 69-72).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly higher percentage (80 percent, CI: 75-85) compared to Pennsylvania men (69 percent, CI: 67-71).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly higher percentage (79 percent, CI: 74-83) compared to Pennsylvania women (72 percent, CI: 71-74).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (84 percent, CI: 80-88) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (73 percent, CI: 72-75).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly higher percentage (73 percent, CI: 68-79) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (65 percent, CI: 62-67).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (81 percent, CI: 77-84) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (72 percent, CI: 71-73).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had 5 or Fewer Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum

Disease, 2006 - (page 38)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly higher percentage (90 percent, CI: 88-92) compared to Pennsylvania adults (81 percent, CI: 80-82).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly higher percentage (92 percent, CI: 89-94) compared to Pennsylvania men (83 percent, CI: 81-84).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly higher percentage (89 percent, CI: 86-91) compared to Pennsylvania women (79 percent, CI: 78-80).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (98 percent, CI: 95-99) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (94 percent, CI: 93-95).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (89 percent, CI: 86-92) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (78 percent, CI: 76-79).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly higher percentage (64 percent, CI: 58-70) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (50 percent, CI: 48-52).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (82 percent, CI: 77-87) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (74 percent, CI: 72-76).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (91 percent, CI: 89-92) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (81 percent, CI: 80-82).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease,

2006 - (page 39)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults (7 percent, CI: 6-7).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Pennsylvania men (6 percent, CI: 5-6).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania women (8 percent, CI: 7-8).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (23 percent, CI: 21-25).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 2-3) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (7 percent, CI: 6-8).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Teeth Cleaned by a Dentist or Dental Hygienist within the Past Year,

2006 - (page 41)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly higher percentage (80 percent, CI: 77-83) compared to Pennsylvania adults (72 percent, CI: 71-73).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly higher percentage (78 percent, CI: 73-83) compared to Pennsylvania men (69 percent, CI: 67-71).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly higher percentage (82 percent, CI: 78-85) compared to Pennsylvania women (75 percent, CI: 74-76).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (84 percent, CI: 80-88) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (74 percent, CI: 73-76).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack, Heart Disease, or a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 - (page 42)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-54 (7 percent, CI: 5-8).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 - (page 44)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-54 (4 percent, CI: 3-5).

Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2006 - (page 46)

- ✓ Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (14 percent, CI: 12-17).

Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2006 - (page 47)

- ✓ Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (10 percent, CI: 8-12).

Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Are Limited in Any Way Because of Physical, Mental, or Emotional Problems, 2006 - (page 48)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults (18 percent, CI: 17-19).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (22 percent, CI: 21-24).

Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2006 - (page 49)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults (7 percent, CI: 7-8).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania women (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (7 percent, CI: 6-8).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Report Smoking at Least 100 Cigarettes in Their Lifetime, 2006 - (page 51)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 36-44) compared to Pennsylvania adults (47 percent, CI: 46-49).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 37-48) compared to Pennsylvania men (51 percent, CI: 49-53).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 36-44) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (48 percent, CI: 46-49).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2006 - (page 52)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Pennsylvania adults (22 percent, CI: 21-23).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-19) compared to Pennsylvania men (22 percent, CI: 21-24).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-19) compared to Pennsylvania women (22 percent, CI: 20-23).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (26 percent, CI: 24-28).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (22 percent, CI: 21-24).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (9 percent, CI: 8-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (17 percent, CI: 16-19).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (21 percent, CI: 20-23).

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Chronic Drinking in the Past Month, 2006 - (page 57)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly higher percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (3 percent, CI: 3-4).

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Don't Know if They Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006 - (page 63)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly higher percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults (13 percent, CI: 13-14).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly higher percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-25) compared to Pennsylvania women (12 percent, CI: 11-13).
- ✓ Chester County adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic had a significantly higher percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic (14 percent, CI: 13-15).

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Always Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006 - (page 67)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly higher percentage (84 percent, CI: 80-87) compared to Pennsylvania adults (72 percent, CI: 71-73).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly higher percentage (78 percent, CI: 73-83) compared to Pennsylvania men (66 percent, CI: 64-68).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly higher percentage (89 percent, CI: 84-92) compared to Pennsylvania women (77 percent, CI: 76-79).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (84 percent, CI: 79-89) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (70 percent, CI: 68-73).

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Always Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006 - (page 67) -continued

- ✓ Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly higher percentage (84 percent, CI: 75-90) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (72 percent, CI: 69-74).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly higher percentage (90 percent, CI: 87-92) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (81 percent, CI: 79-82).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly higher percentage (85 percent, CI: 80-88) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (76 percent, CI: 74-78).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (84 percent, CI: 80-87) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (73 percent, CI: 71-74).

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Seldom or Never Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006 - (page 68)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults (7 percent, CI: 6-8).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania men (9 percent, CI: 8-11).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-1) compared to Pennsylvania women (5 percent, CI: 4-5).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-29 (8 percent, CI: 6-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (8 percent, CI: 6-9).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (6 percent, CI: 6-8).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (9 percent, CI: 8-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 (9 percent, CI: 8-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (5 percent, CI: 4-6).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-2) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (6 percent, CI: 6-7).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Clinical Breast Exam (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006 - (page 74)

- ✓ Chester County women age 40 and older had a significantly higher percentage (95 percent, CI: 93-97) compared to Pennsylvania women age 40 and older (92 percent, CI: 91-93).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic women age 40 and older had a significantly higher percentage (96 percent, CI: 94-98) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic women age 40 and older (92 percent, CI: 91-93).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Pap Test, 2006 - (page 78)

- ✓ Chester County women age 40 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (99 percent, CI: 96-100) compared to Pennsylvania women age 40 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 (92 percent, CI: 90-94).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Pap Test Within the Past Three Years, 2006 - (page 79)

- ✓ Chester County women age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (90 percent, CI: 86-93) compared to Pennsylvania women age 45-64 (83 percent, CI: 81-85).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Hysterectomy, 2006 - (page 80)

- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Pennsylvania women (21 percent, CI: 20-22).
- ✓ Chester County women age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Pennsylvania women age 45-64 (27 percent, CI: 25-29).
- ✓ Chester County women age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 25-39) compared to Pennsylvania women age 65 and older (43 percent, CI: 40-45).
- ✓ Chester County women with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Pennsylvania women with a college degree (13 percent, CI: 12-15).
- ✓ Chester County women with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Pennsylvania women with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (14 percent, CI: 12-16).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic women age had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic women (21 percent, CI: 20-22).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 - (page 92)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (64 percent, CI: 60-68) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older (57 percent, CI: 56-59).
- ✓ Chester County men age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (66 percent, CI: 60-72) compared to Pennsylvania men age 50 and older (56 percent, CI: 54-59).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 65-74 had a significantly higher percentage (78 percent, CI: 70-84) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65-74 (65 percent, CI: 62-67).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (64 percent, CI: 60-68) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults age 50 and older (57 percent, CI: 56-59).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy within the Past Five Years (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 - (page 93)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 65-74 had a significantly higher percentage (72 percent, CI: 64-79) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65-74 (54 percent, CI: 51-57).

Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2006 - (page 98)

- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania women (7 percent, CI: 6-8).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (5 percent, CI: 4-6).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (7 percent, CI: 6-8).

Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2006 - (page 100)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly higher percentage (97 percent, CI: 96-98) compared to Pennsylvania adults (94 percent, CI: 94-95).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (99 percent, CI: 95-100) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (94 percent, CI: 92-95).

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese, 2006 - (page 101)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 50-58) compared to Pennsylvania adults (62 percent, CI: 61-63).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 36-46) compared to Pennsylvania women (54 percent, CI: 53-56).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 49-58) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (62 percent, CI: 60-63).

Percent of Adults Who Are Obese, 2006 - (page 102)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults (25 percent, CI: 24-26).
- ✓ Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Pennsylvania women (24 percent, CI: 22-25).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (26 percent, CI: 24-29).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (30 percent, CI: 28-32).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (19 percent, CI: 18-21).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (23 percent, CI: 21-25).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (24 percent, CI: 23-26).

Demographic Differences

In Demographic Differences, all significant differences found within each demographic group (gender, age, education, household income, race/ethnicity) for the core BRFSS questions are summarized.

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2006 – (page 22)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 (4 percent, CI: 2-7) and 45-64 (8 percent, CI: 6-11) had a significantly lower percentage compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (20 percent, CI: 15-25).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (4 percent, CI: 3-6).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-29) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (4 percent, CI: 3-6).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006 – (page 23)

- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (49 percent, CI: 37-61) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (28 percent, CI: 24-32).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006 – (page 24)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 20-30) compared to Chester County women (41 percent, CI: 36-47).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (38 percent, CI: 32-44) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (21 percent, CI: 16-27).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (49 percent, CI: 37-62) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (30 percent, CI: 26-35).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2006 – (page 26)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-64 with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 7-22) compared to Chester County adults age 18-64 with a college degree (2 percent, CI: 1-4).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2006 – (page 28)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-19) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (4 percent, CI: 2-6) and adults age 65 and older (1 percent, CI: 1-4).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults That Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2006 – (page 29)

- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (11 percent, CI: 6-17) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (3 percent, CI: 2-5).
- ✓ Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly higher percentage (11 percent, CI: 6-22) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (3 percent, CI: 2-5).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (17 percent, CI: 9-29) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (2 percent, CI: 1-3).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2006 – (page 31)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 66-87) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 67-79) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (85 percent, CI: 82-89).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 67-79) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 82-89) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (93 percent, CI: 90-95).

Core 4: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2006 – (page 32)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (29 percent, CI: 24-34).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (29 percent, CI: 24-34).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 26-45) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (11 percent, CI: 9-14).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (44 percent, CI: 32-57) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (21 percent, CI: 15-29).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (44 percent, CI: 32-57) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (14 percent, CI: 11-18).

Core 5: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes, 2006 – (page 34)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (11 percent, CI: 8-15).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic for Any Reason within the Past Year, 2006 – (page 36)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (84 percent, CI: 80-88) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (73 percent, CI: 68-79).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 62-77) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (86 percent, CI: 82-89).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 35-58) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (71 percent, CI: 61-79).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 35-58) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (86 percent, CI: 82-88).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 61-79) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (86 percent, CI: 82-88).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had 5 or Fewer Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006 – (page 38)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (98 percent, CI: 95-99) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (89 percent, CI: 86-92).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (98 percent, CI: 95-99) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (64 percent, CI: 58-70).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (89 percent, CI: 86-92) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (64 percent, CI: 58-70).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 77-87) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (95 percent, CI: 93-97).
- ✓ Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 84-92) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (95 percent, CI: 93-97).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 64-81) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (87 percent, CI: 82-91).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 64-81) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (93 percent, CI: 91-95).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006 – (page 39)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-1) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (3 percent, CI: 2-6).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-1) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (10 percent, CI: 7-14).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (10 percent, CI: 7-14).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (0 percent, CI: 0-1).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-17) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (1 percent, CI: 0-2).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Teeth Cleaned by a Dentist or Dental Hygienist within the Past Year, 2006 – (page 41)

- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 62-78) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (85 percent, CI: 81-88).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 37-62) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (73 percent, CI: 63-81).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 37-62) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (83 percent, CI: 79-87).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack, Heart Disease, or a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (page 42)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County adults age 55-64 (14 percent, CI: 10-20).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 21-33).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County adults age 55-64 (14 percent, CI: 10-20).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 21-33).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 21-33).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 35 and older with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-19) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older with a college degree (6 percent, CI: 4-9).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-30) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (7 percent, CI: 5-9).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (page 43)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Chester County adults age 55-64 (6 percent, CI: 3-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (12 percent, CI: 8-18).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (12 percent, CI: 8-18).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (page 44)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Chester County adults age 55-64 (7 percent, CI: 4-12).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (17 percent, CI: 12-22).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County adults age 55-64 (7 percent, CI: 4-12).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (17 percent, CI: 12-22).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (page 45)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (8 percent, CI: 5-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (8 percent, CI: 5-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-12) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (2 percent, CI: 1-3).

Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2006 – (page 46)

- ✓ Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (14 percent, CI: 11-17).

Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Are Limited in Any Way Because of Physical, Mental, or Emotional Problems, 2006 – (page 48)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-13) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (25 percent, CI: 20-31).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (31 percent, CI: 21-42) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (10 percent, CI: 8-13).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (24 percent, CI: 16-34) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (10 percent, CI: 8-13).

Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2006 – (page 49)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (3 percent, CI: 2-4).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Report Smoking at Least 100 Cigarettes in Their Lifetime, 2006 – (page 51)

- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (51 percent, CI: 42-60) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (34 percent, CI: 30-38).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2006 – (page 52)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (5 percent, CI: 3-8).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (5 percent, CI: 3-8).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (27 percent, CI: 19-38) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (8 percent, CI: 6-11).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-34) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (11 percent, CI: 8-14).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2006 – (page 53)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (21 percent, CI: 16-26).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (33 percent, CI: 29-38).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (45 percent, CI: 39-51).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-26) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (33 percent, CI: 29-38).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-26) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (45 percent, CI: 39-51).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-38) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (45 percent, CI: 39-51).

Core 12: Veterans Status, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2006 – (page 54)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly higher percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-32) compared to Chester County women (1 percent, CI: 0-2).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (16 percent, CI: 13-20).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (32 percent, CI: 26-38).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (32 percent, CI: 26-38).

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions during the Past Month, 2006 – (page 55)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly higher percentage (26 percent, CI: 20-33) compared to Chester County women (12 percent, CI: 8-17).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-25) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (4 percent, CI: 2-7).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (4 percent, CI: 2-7).

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (page 58)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 33-44) compared to Chester County adults age 65+ (72 percent, CI: 67-78).

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006 – (page 62)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (32 percent, CI: 26-38) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (11 percent, CI: 8-16).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-28) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (11 percent, CI: 8-16).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-23) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (32 percent, CI: 27-37).

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Don't Know if They Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006 – (page 63)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-28) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (13 percent, CI: 10-17).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-28) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (12 percent, CI: 8-16).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (18 percent, CI: 15-23).

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Always Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006 – (page 67)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 73-83) compared to Chester County women (89 percent, CI: 84-92).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 58-78) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (90 percent, CI: 87-92).

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Seldom or Never Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006 – (page 68)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly higher percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County women (1 percent, CI: 0-1).

Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Mammogram (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006 – (page 71)

- ✓ Chester County women age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 76-88) compared to Chester County women age 50-64 (97 percent, CI: 92-99).
- ✓ Chester County women age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 76-88) compared to Chester County women age 65-74 (95 percent, CI: 89-98).

Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram in the Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006 – (page 72)

- ✓ Chester County women age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 47-62) compared to Chester County women age 50-64 (72 percent, CI: 65-78).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Clinical Breast Exam within the Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006 – (page 75)

- ✓ Chester County women age 50-64 had a significantly higher percentage (76 percent, CI: 70-82) compared to Chester County women age 75 and older (54 percent, CI: 42-65).
- ✓ Chester County women age 40 and older with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 47-65) compared to Chester County women age 40 and older with a college degree (76 percent, CI: 70-81).
- ✓ Chester County women age 40 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 41-65) compared to Chester County women age 40 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (73 percent, CI: 67-78).

Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram and Clinical Breast Exam within the Past Two Years (Out of Women Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (page 76)

- ✓ Chester County women age 50-64 had a significantly higher percentage (83 percent, CI: 77-88) compared to Chester County women age 75 and older (53 percent, CI: 42-64).
- ✓ Chester County women age 50 and older with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 53-72) compared to Chester County women age 50 and older with a college degree (86 percent, CI: 80-90).
- ✓ Chester County women age 50 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 43-68) compared to Chester County women age 50 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (86 percent, CI: 80-90).

Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Pap Test Within the Past Three Years, 2006 – (page 79)

- ✓ Chester County women age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (93 percent, CI: 88-96) compared to Chester County women age 65 and older (70 percent, CI: 63-77).
- ✓ Chester County women age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (90 percent, CI: 86-93) compared to Chester County women age 65 and older (70 percent, CI: 63-77).
- ✓ Chester County women with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 57-79) compared to Chester County women with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (92 percent, CI: 84-96).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Hysterectomy, 2006 – (page 80)

- ✓ Chester County women age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Chester County women age 45-64 (13 percent, CI: 10-17).
- ✓ Chester County women age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Chester County women age 65 and older (32 percent, CI: 25-39).
- ✓ Chester County women age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Chester County women age 65 and older (32 percent, CI: 25-39).
- ✓ Chester County women with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-25) compared to Chester County women with a college degree (8 percent, CI: 6-11).
- ✓ Chester County women with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (20 percent, CI: 13-30) compared to Chester County women with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (9 percent, CI: 6-12).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (page 92)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 51-63) compared to Chester County adults age 65-74 (78 percent, CI: 70-84).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 51-63) compared to Chester County adults age 75 and older (74 percent, CI: 65-81).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy within the Past Five Years (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (page 93)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 42-54) compared to Chester County adults age 65-74 (72 percent, CI: 64-79).

Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2006 – (page 95)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (42 percent, CI: 36-49) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (16 percent, CI: 13-20).


Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese, 2006 – (page 102)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly higher percentage (67 percent, CI: 60-73) compared to Chester County women (41 percent, CI: 36-46).


Detailed Core Questionnaire Tables

Would you say that in general your health is...

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	7	6-9	-	14	13-15
Male	5	4-8	-	13	12-15
Female	9	7-11	-	15	14-16
18-29	0	NCI	NA	6	4-8
30-44	4	2-7	-	9	7-10
45-64	8	6-11	-	17	15-18
65+	20	15-25		26	24-28
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	34	30-38
High School	13	9-18		17	16-19
Some College	8	5-12		12	10-14
College Degree	4	3-6		6	6-8
<\$25,000	20	14-29	-	31	29-34
\$25,000 to \$49,999	10	6-15		14	12-15
\$50,000+	4	3-6		5	5-6
White, non-Hispanic	7	6-9	-	13	13-14
Other (Including Hispanic)	10	5-18		19	16-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was
Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	31	28-35		36	35-38
Male	27	22-32		34	32-36
Female	36	31-41		39	37-40
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	37	33-41
30-44	32	27-39		35	33-37
45-64	28	24-33	-	37	35-39
65+	35	30-42		37	35-40
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	48	43-53
High School	32	25-40		37	35-39
Some College	30	21-40		36	33-39
College Degree	31	27-36		33	31-35
<\$25,000	49	37-61		49	46-52
\$25,000 to \$49,999	37	28-47		36	33-38
\$50,000+	28	24-32		31	29-33
White, non-Hispanic	31	28-36		36	34-37
Other (Including Hispanic)	34	23-47		40	36-45

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	33	30-37		34	33-35
Male	25	20-30		28	26-30
Female	41	36-47		40	38-41
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	44	40-48
30-44	38	32-44		38	36-41
45-64	30	25-34		33	31-35
65+	21	16-27		19	18-21
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	36	32-41
High School	33	25-42		32	30-34
Some College	38	28-49		37	34-40
College Degree	31	27-36		33	31-35
<\$25,000	49	37-62		40	37-43
\$25,000 to \$49,999	32	24-42		35	33-37
\$50,000+	30	26-35		31	29-33
White, non-Hispanic	34	30-38		33	32-35
Other (Including Hispanic)	30	20-42		36	33-41

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	18	15-22		20	19-21
Male	14	10-18		17	15-18
Female	22	17-27		22	21-24
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	21	18-25
30-44	18	13-23		20	18-22
45-64	18	15-22		20	19-22
65+	16	12-21		17	16-19
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	26	22-30
High School	15	11-20		20	18-21
Some College	20	12-31		20	17-22
College Degree	18	14-23		18	17-20
<\$25,000	27	17-40		31	28-33
\$25,000 to \$49,999	22	14-33		18	16-20
\$50,000+	16	13-20		16	14-17
White, non-Hispanic	18	15-22		19	18-20
Other (Including Hispanic)	15	9-24		23	20-27

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 18-64	7	5-11	-	12	11-13
Male, Age 18-64	8	5-15		13	11-15
Female, Age 18-64	6	4-10		11	10-12
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	19	15-22
30-44	5	3-11		11	9-12
45-64	6	4-8	-	9	8-11
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	25	20-31
High School, Age 18-64	13	7-22		16	14-19
Some College, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	10	8-13
College Degree, Age 18-64	2	1-4	-	6	5-7
<\$25,000, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	29	26-33
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	13	11-15
\$50,000+, Age 18-64	2	1-3		4	3-5
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	8	5-12		11	10-12
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	3	1-8	-	20	16-24

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Do you have one person you think of as your personal doctor or health care provider?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care
Provider, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	9	6-12		10	10-11
Male	10	7-16		15	13-17
Female	7	4-10		7	6-8
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	21	18-25
30-44	13	9-19		13	11-15
45-64	4	2-6		6	5-7
65+	1	1-4		4	3-5
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	14	11-18
High School	4	2-7	-	11	9-12
Some College	12	6-23		11	9-13
College Degree	9	6-12		9	7-10
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	14	12-16
\$25,000 to \$49,999	12	6-24		11	9-13
\$50,000+	6	4-9		8	7-10
White, non-Hispanic	8	6-12		9	9-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	10	4-19		16	13-21

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults That Needed to See a Doctor But
Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	7	5-10		11	10-11
Male	6	3-11		9	8-11
Female	8	6-11		12	11-13
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	15	12-18
30-44	8	5-14		13	11-15
45-64	4	3-7	-	10	9-11
65+	4	2-7		5	4-6
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	15	12-19
High School	11	6-17		13	11-14
Some College	11	6-22		11	9-13
College Degree	3	2-5	-	7	5-8
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	22	20-24
\$25,000 to \$49,999	17	9-29		11	9-13
\$50,000+	2	1-3	-	5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	7	5-10		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	8	4-14	-	18	15-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	82	79-85		81	80-82
Male	79	74-83		78	76-80
Female	85	80-88		85	83-86
18-29	78	66-87		78	74-81
30-44	74	67-79		74	72-76
45-64	85	82-89		83	82-85
65+	93	90-95		92	91-93
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	84	80-87
High School	83	75-88		81	79-83
Some College	80	71-87		80	78-83
College Degree	82	78-85		81	80-83
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	81	78-83
\$25,000 to \$49,999	78	69-85		80	78-82
\$50,000+	83	79-86		82	80-83
White, non-Hispanic	81	77-84		81	80-82
Other (Including Hispanic)	92	82-97		85	81-88

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 4: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	19	16-23		24	23-25
Male	20	14-26		21	19-23
Female	19	16-23	-	26	25-27
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	15	13-19
30-44	14	10-20		20	19-23
45-64	19	15-23		24	22-26
65+	29	24-34		36	34-38
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	39	34-43
High School	35	26-45		30	28-32
Some College	18	11-29		21	19-24
College Degree	11	9-14		13	12-15
<\$25,000	44	32-57		37	34-39
\$25,000 to \$49,999	21	15-29		24	22-26
\$50,000+	14	11-18		15	13-16
White, non-Hispanic	16	13-20	-	22	21-23
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	33	29-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Have you ever been told by a doctor that you have diabetes?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 5: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	5	4-6	-	9	8-9
Male	5	3-7	-	10	9-11
Female	5	4-7	-	7	7-8
18-29	0	NCI	NA	1	1-2
30-44	3	1-6		4	3-5
45-64	7	5-10	-	11	10-12
65+	11	8-15	-	19	17-21
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	16	13-20
High School	6	4-10		10	9-12
Some College	6	4-10		8	6-9
College Degree	4	2-5		5	4-6
<\$25,000	8	5-13	-	15	13-16
\$25,000 to \$49,999	6	4-10		9	8-10
\$50,000+	5	3-7		5	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	4	3-6	-	8	8-9
Other (Including Hispanic)	8	5-15		11	9-13

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Numerator does not include females who responded that they only had diabetes during pregnancy.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you last visited a dentist or dental clinic for any reason?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic
for Any Reason within the Past Year, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	80	76-83	+	71	69-72
Male	80	75-85	+	69	67-71
Female	79	74-83	+	72	71-74
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	70	66-74
30-44	76	70-81		72	70-74
45-64	84	80-88	+	73	72-75
65+	73	68-79	+	65	62-67
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	50	45-55
High School	70	62-77		64	62-66
Some College	79	69-87		73	70-76
College Degree	86	82-89		82	80-84
<\$25,000	46	35-58		52	49-55
\$25,000 to \$49,999	71	61-79		68	66-70
\$50,000+	86	82-88		81	79-83
White, non-Hispanic	81	77-84	+	72	71-73
Other (Including Hispanic)	73	62-82		61	56-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How many of your permanent teeth have been removed because of tooth decay or gum disease?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had 5 or Fewer Permanent Teeth
Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	90	88-92	+	81	80-82
Male	92	89-94	+	83	81-84
Female	89	86-91	+	79	78-80
18-29	100	NCI	NA	99	97-100
30-44	98	95-99	+	94	93-95
45-64	89	86-92	+	78	76-79
65+	64	58-70	+	50	48-52
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	57	52-61
High School	82	77-87	+	74	72-76
Some College	89	84-92		85	83-86
College Degree	95	93-97		93	92-94
<\$25,000	74	64-81		62	60-65
\$25,000 to \$49,999	87	82-91		81	79-82
\$50,000+	93	91-95		92	91-93
White, non-Hispanic	91	89-92	+	81	80-82
Other (Including Hispanic)	88	81-93		82	79-85

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth
Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	3	2-4	-	7	6-7
Male	2	1-3	-	6	5-6
Female	3	2-5	-	8	7-8
18-29	0	NCI	NA	0	0-0
30-44	0	0-1		1	1-1
45-64	3	2-6		6	5-7
65+	10	7-14	-	23	21-25
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	23	19-26
High School	6	4-10		9	8-10
Some College	2	1-4		4	3-5
College Degree	0	0-1		2	1-2
<\$25,000	11	7-17		16	14-18
\$25,000 to \$49,999	4	2-7		6	5-7
\$50,000+	1	0-2		2	1-2
White, non-Hispanic	2	2-3	-	7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	5	3-11		5	4-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your teeth cleaned by a dentist or dental hygienist?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Teeth Cleaned by a Dentist or
Dental Hygienist within the Past Year, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	80	77-83	+	72	71-73
Male	78	73-83	+	69	67-71
Female	82	78-85	+	75	74-76
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	69	65-73
30-44	76	69-81		70	68-72
45-64	84	80-88	+	74	73-76
65+	77	71-82		76	74-78
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	58	52-63
High School	71	62-78		66	64-68
Some College	79	69-87		72	69-75
College Degree	85	81-88		82	80-84
<\$25,000	49	37-62		55	52-58
\$25,000 to \$49,999	73	63-81		69	67-71
\$50,000+	83	79-87		81	79-83
White, non-Hispanic	80	77-84	+	74	72-75
Other (Including Hispanic)	78	67-86		63	59-67

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack, Heart Disease, or a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 35+	10	8-12		12	11-13
Male, Age 35+	11	8-15		14	13-16
Female, Age 35+	8	6-11		10	9-11
35-44	1	1-4		2	1-3
45-54	2	1-4	-	7	5-8
55-64	14	10-20		13	11-15
65+	27	21-33		25	23-27
< High School, Age 35+	NSR	NSR	NA	26	22-30
High School, Age 35+	14	10-19		13	12-14
Some College, Age 35+	14	9-20		12	10-13
College Degree, Age 35+	6	4-9		7	6-8
<\$25,000, Age 35+	21	14-30		23	21-25
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	12	8-19		12	10-14
\$50,000+, Age 35+	7	5-9		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	10	8-12		12	11-13
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	8	4-15		12	10-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 35+	4	3-6		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	6	4-8		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	3	2-5		5	4-5
35-44	1	0-2		1	1-1
45-54	1	0-3		3	2-4
55-64	6	3-11		8	6-9
65+	12	8-18		13	12-15
< High School, Age 35+	NSR	NSR	NA	15	12-18
High School, Age 35+	6	3-10		7	6-8
Some College, Age 35+	7	4-12		6	5-8
College Degree, Age 35+	3	1-5		3	2-4
<\$25,000, Age 35+	10	5-18		13	11-15
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	7	3-13		6	5-8
\$50,000+, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	2-4
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	4	3-6		6	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	3	1-8		6	5-9

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 35+	5	4-7		7	6-8
Male, Age 35+	7	5-10		9	8-10
Female, Age 35+	4	3-6		5	5-6
35-44	1	0-2		1	1-2
45-54	1	0-3	-	4	3-5
55-64	7	4-12		7	6-9
65+	17	12-22		15	14-17
< High School, Age 35+	NSR	NSR	NA	13	10-16
High School, Age 35+	8	5-13		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	9	5-14		8	6-9
College Degree, Age 35+	3	2-5		4	3-5
<\$25,000, Age 35+	11	6-20		13	11-15
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	8	4-13		8	6-9
\$50,000+, Age 35+	4	3-6		4	3-4
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	5	4-7		7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	7	3-13		6	4-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	3-4
Male, Age 35+	2	1-4		4	3-4
Female, Age 35+	4	3-6		3	3-4
35-44	1	0-3		1	0-1
45-54	1	0-2		2	1-2
55-64	5	2-9		4	3-6
65+	8	5-11		7	6-8
< High School, Age 35+	NSR	NSR	NA	9	7-12
High School, Age 35+	4	2-7		4	3-4
Some College, Age 35+	4	2-7		3	2-4
College Degree, Age 35+	2	1-4		2	1-3
<\$25,000, Age 35+	7	4-12		7	6-9
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	4	1-10		3	2-4
\$50,000+, Age 35+	2	1-3		1	1-2
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	3-4
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	1	0-4		4	3-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma,
2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	12	10-14		12	12-13
Male	10	7-14		10	8-11
Female	13	10-16		15	14-16
18-29	6	2-15		18	15-21
30-44	14	10-18		12	10-13
45-64	14	11-18		12	11-13
65+	9	6-13		9	8-11
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	15	13-19
High School	13	8-20		11	10-13
Some College	6	4-9	-	14	12-17
College Degree	14	11-17		11	10-13
<\$25,000	14	8-22		17	15-19
\$25,000 to \$49,999	13	8-21		11	9-13
\$50,000+	12	10-15		11	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-13		12	11-13
Other (Including Hispanic)	16	9-26		17	15-20

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	7	6-9		9	8-9
Male	6	4-9		6	5-7
Female	9	7-11		11	10-12
18-29	4	1-13		12	10-15
30-44	8	5-11		8	7-10
45-64	10	7-13		8	7-9
65+	5	3-9		7	6-8
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	12	10-16
High School	11	6-17		8	7-9
Some College	4	2-6	-	10	8-12
College Degree	8	6-10		8	7-9
<\$25,000	9	5-16		12	11-14
\$25,000 to \$49,999	11	6-19		8	7-9
\$50,000+	7	5-9		7	6-8
White, non-Hispanic	7	5-9		8	7-9
Other (Including Hispanic)	9	5-17		14	11-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Are Limited in Any Way Because of
Physical, Mental, or Emotional Problems, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	15	12-17	-	18	17-19
Male	13	9-18		17	15-18
Female	16	13-20		20	19-21
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	9	7-11
30-44	9	6-13		14	12-16
45-64	16	13-20	-	22	21-24
65+	25	20-31		28	26-30
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	30	26-35
High School	18	13-25		20	18-21
Some College	17	11-25		18	16-20
College Degree	11	9-14		14	13-16
<\$25,000	31	21-42		33	30-35
\$25,000 to \$49,999	24	16-34		16	15-18
\$50,000+	10	8-13		12	11-14
White, non-Hispanic	15	12-18		18	17-19
Other (Including Hispanic)	11	7-18		19	16-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	4	3-5	-	7	7-8
Male	4	2-6		7	6-8
Female	5	3-6	-	8	7-9
18-29	0	0-3		1	1-3
30-44	2	1-5		4	3-5
45-64	3	2-5	-	8	7-9
65+	16	12-21		17	16-19
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	14	11-17
High School	4	2-7	-	8	7-9
Some College	5	3-8		7	6-9
College Degree	4	2-5		5	4-5
<\$25,000	10	7-16		16	14-17
\$25,000 to \$49,999	7	4-11		6	5-7
\$50,000+	3	2-4		3	2-3
White, non-Hispanic	4	3-5	-	7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	4	2-8		8	6-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Four-level smoker status: Everyday smoker, Someday smoker, Former smoker, Non-smoker?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Report Smoking at Least 100
Cigarettes in Their Lifetime, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	40	36-44	-	47	46-49
Male	42	37-48	-	51	49-53
Female	37	33-42		44	42-45
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	40	35-44
30-44	38	32-44		45	43-48
45-64	46	41-51		52	50-54
65+	50	44-56		49	47-51
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	61	56-66
High School	51	42-60		53	51-55
Some College	41	32-51		47	45-50
College Degree	34	30-38		36	34-38
<\$25,000	53	40-64		55	53-58
\$25,000 to \$49,999	50	40-60		51	48-53
\$50,000+	37	33-42		43	40-45
White, non-Hispanic	40	36-44	-	48	46-49
Other (Including Hispanic)	36	25-49		44	40-48

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	14	12-18	-	22	21-23
Male	15	11-19	-	22	21-24
Female	14	10-19	-	22	20-23
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	29	25-33
30-44	17	13-23	-	26	24-28
45-64	13	10-17	-	22	21-24
65+	5	3-8	-	9	8-11
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	36	31-41
High School	27	19-38		27	25-29
Some College	16	10-23		23	20-26
College Degree	8	6-11		11	10-13
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	29	26-31
\$25,000 to \$49,999	25	18-34		25	23-27
\$50,000+	11	8-14	-	17	16-19
White, non-Hispanic	14	11-17	-	21	20-23
Other (Including Hispanic)	20	12-31		27	23-31

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Numerator includes adults that currently smoke some days or every day.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	25	23-28		25	24-26
Male	28	23-33		29	27-31
Female	23	20-27		22	21-23
18-29	3	1-9		11	8-13
30-44	21	16-26		19	17-21
45-64	33	29-38		30	28-31
65+	45	39-51		40	38-42
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	25	22-29
High School	24	18-31		26	24-27
Some College	26	19-33		25	22-27
College Degree	26	22-30		25	23-27
<\$25,000	23	16-31		27	25-29
\$25,000 to \$49,999	25	19-33		26	24-28
\$50,000+	27	23-31		25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	26	23-30		26	25-28
Other (Including Hispanic)	16	10-26		17	15-20

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 12: Veterans Status, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Served on Active Duty
in the United States Armed Forces, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	13	11-16		14	13-14
Male	27	22-32		27	25-29
Female	1	0-2		1	1-2
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	2	1-4
30-44	6	4-11		9	7-10
45-64	16	13-20		15	13-16
65+	32	26-38		29	27-31
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	11	9-13
High School	18	12-26		14	13-16
Some College	15	10-22		15	14-17
College Degree	10	8-13		12	11-13
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	15	13-17
\$25,000 to \$49,999	19	13-27		14	13-16
\$50,000+	12	10-16		13	12-15
White, non-Hispanic	13	11-16		14	13-15
Other (Including Hispanic)	13	7-22		11	9-14

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	19	15-23		16	15-17
Male	26	20-33		22	20-24
Female	12	8-17		11	10-12
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	29	25-33
30-44	20	15-25		21	19-23
45-64	13	10-17		13	11-14
65+	4	2-7		4	3-5
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	13	10-17
High School	21	13-33		16	14-18
Some College	26	16-38		16	14-19
College Degree	15	12-19		17	15-19
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	12	10-14
\$25,000 to \$49,999	13	7-23		17	15-19
\$50,000+	20	16-25		19	17-21
White, non-Hispanic	18	15-23		17	16-18
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	11	9-15

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Where binge drinking is defined as having five or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for men, or four or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	5	4-7		5	4-6
Male	3	2-6		6	5-8
Female	7	4-10		4	3-5
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	10	7-13
30-44	5	3-9		5	4-6
45-64	4	3-6		4	4-5
65+	4	2-7		2	2-3
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	7	4-10
High School	4	2-7		5	4-6
Some College	8	4-16		6	4-8
College Degree	5	3-7		4	3-6
<\$25,000	5	2-11		4	3-5
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3	1-8		6	5-8
\$50,000+	6	4-8		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	5	4-8		5	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	2	1-8		4	3-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Where heavy drinking is defined as having more than two drinks per day for men or more than one drink per day for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Chronic Drinking in the Past Month, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	5	4-7		5	4-6
Male	7	5-9		9	7-10
Female	3	2-7		2	2-3
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	9	6-12
30-44	5	3-8		4	3-5
45-64	4	3-6		5	4-6
65+	8	5-12	+	3	3-4
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	7	4-11
High School	4	2-8		5	4-6
Some College	7	3-16		6	4-8
College Degree	4	3-6		4	4-6
<\$25,000	4	2-10		4	3-6
\$25,000 to \$49,999	4	2-9		7	5-8
\$50,000+	5	4-7		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	5	4-7		5	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	3	1-9		5	3-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Where chronic drinking is defined as having an average of two or more drinks per day during the past month.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	51	47-56		52	51-54
Male, Age 50+	46	40-53		50	48-53
Female, Age 50+	56	51-61		54	52-56
50-64	39	33-44		39	37-41
65+	72	67-78		68	66-70
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	55	50-60
High School, Age 50+	48	40-56		50	48-52
Some College, Age 50+	53	44-62		53	49-56
College Degree, Age 50+	53	47-59		54	51-57
<\$25,000, Age 50+	55	44-65		53	50-56
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	47	38-57		53	50-56
\$50,000+, Age 50+	49	43-55		47	44-50
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	51	47-56		53	51-55
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	45	39-50

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 65+	72	67-78		68	66-70
Male, Age 65+	71	61-80		72	68-75
Female, Age 65+	73	67-79		66	64-69
< High School, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	64	58-69
High School, Age 65+	66	55-75		65	62-68
Some College, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	72	67-76
College Degree, Age 65+	78	68-85		77	73-81
<\$25,000, Age 65+	68	55-79		65	61-68
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 65+	65	52-76		68	64-72
\$50,000+, Age 65+	76	65-84		78	72-82
White, non-Hispanic, Age 65+	75	69-80		70	67-72
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	57	50-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults Who Have Had a Flu Vaccine in the Past Year That Was Sprayed in the Nose, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	1	0-2		1	0-1
Male	1	0-2		0	0-1
Female	1	0-3		1	0-1
18-29	0	NCI	NA	1	1-3
30-44	1	0-5		0	0-1
45-64	0	0-2		0	0-1
65+	1	0-3		1	0-1
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	1	1-4
High School	0	NCI	NA	1	0-2
Some College	1	0-6		0	0-1
College Degree	1	0-2		0	0-1
<\$25,000	1	0-7		1	1-2
\$25,000 to \$49,999	0	0-2		1	0-2
\$50,000+	1	0-3		0	0-1
White, non-Hispanic	1	0-2		0	0-1
Other (Including Hispanic)	0	NCI	NA	2	1-4

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 65+	71	65-77		68	66-70
Male, Age 65+	69	58-78		66	62-69
Female, Age 65+	73	66-79		70	67-72
< High School, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	65	59-70
High School, Age 65+	70	60-79		66	63-69
Some College, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	76	72-80
College Degree, Age 65+	74	63-82		68	64-73
<\$25,000, Age 65+	72	59-82		69	66-72
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 65+	63	50-74		68	64-72
\$50,000+, Age 65+	74	62-83		67	61-73
White, non-Hispanic, Age 65+	72	66-77		69	67-71
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	58	50-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	28	24-32		31	30-33
Male	27	22-34		30	28-32
Female	29	24-34		33	31-34
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	61	57-65
30-44	32	26-38		35	32-37
45-64	24	20-28		25	23-27
65+	11	8-16		10	9-12
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	23	19-29
High School	16	11-23		24	22-26
Some College	33	23-44		38	35-41
College Degree	32	27-37		37	35-39
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	27	24-30
\$25,000 to \$49,999	32	22-44		32	29-34
\$50,000+	28	24-33		35	33-37
White, non-Hispanic	27	23-31		30	29-32
Other (Including Hispanic)	40	28-54		40	36-44

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Adults who responded "Don't Know/Not Sure" were included in the denominator for this analysis.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Don't Know if They Have Ever
Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	19	16-23	+	13	13-14
Male	19	15-25		15	13-17
Female	20	15-25	+	12	11-13
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	15	13-19
30-44	23	18-28		17	15-19
45-64	13	10-17		11	10-12
65+	12	8-16		11	9-12
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	16	13-21
High School	19	11-31		12	11-14
Some College	20	12-31		15	13-17
College Degree	18	15-22		14	12-15
<\$25,000	8	4-14		11	10-13
\$25,000 to \$49,999	13	8-19		13	12-15
\$50,000+	18	15-23		14	12-16
White, non-Hispanic	20	16-24	+	14	13-15
Other (Including Hispanic)	18	11-28		12	10-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults Who Are at High Risk for Contracting Hepatitis B, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	4	3-5		5	4-6
Male	5	3-7		8	6-9
Female	3	2-6		3	2-3
18-29	5	2-13		13	10-17
30-44	6	3-9		5	4-6
45-64	2	1-4		3	3-4
65+	1	0-3		1	0-1
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	6	4-10
High School	4	2-9		5	4-7
Some College	3	1-6		6	5-8
College Degree	4	2-6		4	3-5
<\$25,000	7	3-14		7	5-9
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3	1-8		4	3-6
\$50,000+	4	3-7		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	4	3-6		5	4-5
Other (Including Hispanic)	3	1-7		8	6-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Adults are considered to be at high risk if they responded that any of the following statements was true about themselves: you have hemophilia and have received clotting factor concentrate; you are a man who has had sex with other men, even just one time; you have taken street drugs by needle, even just one time; you traded sex for money or drugs, even just one time.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 15: Falls, Percent of Adults Who Have Had at Least One Fall within the Past Three Months (Out of Adults Age 45 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 45+	12	10-15		15	14-16
Male, Age 45+	10	7-14		15	13-16
Female, Age 45+	14	11-17		16	15-17
45-64	12	9-15		16	14-17
65-74	12	8-19		13	11-15
75+	14	9-21		17	15-20
< High School, Age 45+	NSR	NSR	NA	18	15-22
High School, Age 45+	10	7-16		15	13-16
Some College, Age 45+	18	12-24		17	15-20
College Degree, Age 45+	11	8-15		14	12-16
<\$25,000, Age 45+	13	8-22		20	18-22
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 45+	15	10-21		14	12-16
\$50,000+, Age 45+	10	7-13		14	12-15
White, non-Hispanic, Age 45+	12	10-15		15	14-16
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 45+	13	7-25		17	14-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How often do you use seat belts when you drive or ride in a car? Would you say...

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Always Use Seatbelts When Driving
or Riding in a Car, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	84	80-87	+	72	71-73
Male	78	73-83	+	66	64-68
Female	89	84-92	+	77	76-79
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	64	60-68
30-44	84	79-89	+	70	68-73
45-64	86	82-89	+	74	73-76
65+	84	79-88		79	77-81
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	65	60-70
High School	69	58-78		67	65-69
Some College	84	75-90	+	72	69-74
College Degree	90	87-92	+	81	79-82
<\$25,000	79	66-87		68	65-70
\$25,000 to \$49,999	80	71-86		69	66-71
\$50,000+	85	80-88	+	76	74-78
White, non-Hispanic	84	80-87	+	73	71-74
Other (Including Hispanic)	83	73-90		70	65-73

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Seldom or Never Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	2	1-3	-	7	6-8
Male	3	2-5	-	9	8-11
Female	1	0-1	-	5	4-5
18-29	1	0-6	-	8	6-11
30-44	2	1-5	-	8	6-9
45-64	2	1-3	-	6	6-8
65+	3	2-7		5	4-6
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	12	9-15
High School	3	1-6	-	9	8-11
Some College	2	1-6		6	5-7
College Degree	1	1-3		3	2-4
<\$25,000	1	0-5	-	9	8-11
\$25,000 to \$49,999	2	1-6	-	8	7-9
\$50,000+	1	1-3	-	5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	1	1-2	-	6	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic)	6	2-15		9	7-12

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 17: Drinking and Driving, Percent of Adults Who Have Driven Over the Past Month When They've Had Perhaps Too Much to Drink (Out of Adults Who Had At Least One Drink of Any Alcoholic Beverage During the Past Month), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	5	3-8		5	4-6
Male	6	3-10		8	6-10
Female	3	1-10		2	2-3
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	9	7-13
30-44	3	2-7		5	4-7
45-64	3	1-6		4	3-5
65+	0	NCI	NA	1	1-2
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	5	4-7
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	5	3-7
College Degree	3	2-6		5	4-6
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	5	3-9
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	7	5-9
\$50,000+	3	2-6		5	4-7
White, non-Hispanic	5	3-8		5	5-7
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	3	1-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last mammogram?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Mammogram (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 40+	91	88-93		91	90-92
40-49, F	83	76-88		83	80-86
50-64, F	97	92-99		95	94-96
65-74, F	95	89-98		93	91-95
75+, F	92	84-96		92	89-94
< High School, F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	89	85-92
High School, F, Age 40+	91	84-95		89	87-91
Some College, F, Age 40+	88	79-93		92	90-94
College Degree, F, Age 40+	92	88-95		93	91-95
<\$25,000, F, Age 40+	84	71-91		86	84-89
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 40+	88	78-93		91	89-93
\$50,000+, F, Age 40+	93	90-96		93	91-94
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 40+	91	88-94		91	90-92
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	87	83-91

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram in the Past Year
(Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 40+	64	59-68		62	60-64
40-49, F	55	47-62		54	51-58
50-64, F	72	65-78		67	64-70
65-74, F	67	57-76		66	63-70
75+, F	61	49-71		61	57-64
< High School, F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	49	44-55
High School, F, Age 40+	56	48-65		61	58-63
Some College, F, Age 40+	62	53-71		62	59-66
College Degree, F, Age 40+	68	62-73		68	64-71
<\$25,000, F, Age 40+	51	40-63		53	50-56
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 40+	55	45-65		63	60-67
\$50,000+, F, Age 40+	65	60-71		66	63-69
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 40+	64	59-68		62	61-64
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	58	52-64

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since your last breast exam?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Clinical Breast Exam (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 40+	95	93-97	+	92	91-93
40-49, F	97	93-99		96	95-97
50-64, F	96	92-98		96	94-97
65-74, F	93	86-96		88	85-90
75+, F	92	84-96		84	81-86
< High School, F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	85	81-89
High School, F, Age 40+	94	89-97		89	87-90
Some College, F, Age 40+	94	88-97		96	95-97
College Degree, F, Age 40+	97	95-99		98	96-98
<\$25,000, F, Age 40+	89	79-95		86	84-88
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 40+	95	88-98		93	91-94
\$50,000+, F, Age 40+	97	95-99		98	97-99
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 40+	96	94-98	+	92	91-93
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	92	89-95

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Clinical Breast Exam within the
Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 40+	68	64-72		65	63-67
40-49, F	67	59-74		66	63-69
50-64, F	76	70-82		69	66-72
65-74, F	60	50-70		63	59-66
75+, F	54	42-65		57	53-61
< High School, F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	49	44-55
High School, F, Age 40+	56	47-65		61	58-63
Some College, F, Age 40+	63	54-72		69	65-72
College Degree, F, Age 40+	76	70-81		74	71-77
<\$25,000, F, Age 40+	53	41-65		52	49-55
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 40+	61	51-70		66	63-70
\$50,000+, F, Age 40+	73	67-78		74	71-76
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 40+	68	64-72		65	63-67
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	66	61-72

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram and Clinical Breast Exam within the Past Two Years (Out of Women Age 50 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 50+	75	70-79		71	70-73
50-64, F	83	77-88		77	74-79
65-74, F	73	63-81		73	69-76
75+, F	53	42-64		60	56-64
< High School, F, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	55	49-61
High School, F, Age 50+	63	53-72		68	66-71
Some College, F, Age 50+	72	61-81		77	74-81
College Degree, F, Age 50+	86	80-90		81	77-84
<\$25,000, F, Age 50+	56	43-68		58	55-62
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 50+	72	60-81		77	73-80
\$50,000+, F, Age 50+	86	80-90		83	80-86
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 50+	76	71-80		71	69-73
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	75	69-80

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last Pap test?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Pap Test, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female	93	85-96		95	94-96
18-29, F	NSR	NSR	NA	85	80-89
30-44, F	99	97-100		98	98-99
45-64, F	100	98-100		99	98-99
65+, F	96	93-98		93	91-94
< High School, F	NSR	NSR	NA	89	84-92
High School, F	NSR	NSR	NA	94	92-96
Some College, F	NSR	NSR	NA	95	92-96
College Degree, F	96	85-99		97	95-98
<\$25,000, F	99	96-100	+	92	90-94
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F	97	94-99		96	94-98
\$50,000+, F	NSR	NSR	NA	97	95-98
White, non-Hispanic, F	92	84-96		95	94-96
Other (Including Hispanic), F	99	92-100		93	90-96

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Pap Test Within the Past Three Years, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female	82	75-86		80	78-81
18-29, F	NSR	NSR	NA	83	78-87
30-44, F	93	88-96		88	86-90
45-64, F	90	86-93	+	83	81-85
65+, F	70	63-77		61	59-64
< High School, F	NSR	NSR	NA	63	58-68
High School, F	75	65-83		76	73-78
Some College, F	NSR	NSR	NA	82	79-85
College Degree, F	90	83-95		88	86-89
<\$25,000, F	69	57-79		69	66-71
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F	81	73-87		81	79-84
\$50,000+, F	92	84-96		88	86-90
White, non-Hispanic, F	81	74-87		80	78-81
Other (Including Hispanic), F	84	73-91		81	77-85

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Hysterectomy, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female	11	9-14	-	21	20-22
18-29, F	NSR	NSR	NA	1	0-6
30-44, F	4	2-8		6	5-8
45-64, F	13	10-17	-	27	25-29
65+, F	32	25-39	-	43	40-45
< High School, F	NSR	NSR	NA	26	22-30
High School, F	18	12-25		26	24-28
Some College, F	12	8-19		20	17-22
College Degree, F	8	6-11	-	13	12-15
<\$25,000, F	20	13-30		28	25-30
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F	16	11-24		22	20-25
\$50,000+, F	9	6-12	-	14	12-16
White, non-Hispanic, F	10	8-13	-	21	20-22
Other (Including Hispanic), F	21	13-33		21	17-25

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) test?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Had a Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	83	76-88		76	73-78
50-64, M	78	69-85		69	65-72
65-74, M	93	83-98		86	82-89
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	85	81-89
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	63	54-71
High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	71	67-74
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	77	72-82
College Degree, M, Age 50+	90	81-95		84	81-87
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	71	65-75
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	74	70-78
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	85	76-90		79	75-82
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	84	78-89		76	73-78
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	77	68-85

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Had a Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test within the Past Year (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	61	54-68		54	51-57
50-64, M	55	46-64		46	43-50
65-74, M	NSR	NSR	NA	65	60-69
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	66	60-71
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	46	37-55
High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	49	45-53
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	53	47-59
College Degree, M, Age 50+	68	58-76		62	58-66
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	51	46-56
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	53	48-57
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	63	54-71		57	53-61
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	61	53-68		54	51-57
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	52	42-61

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last digital rectal exam?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Had a Digital Rectal Exam
(Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	90	84-93		85	83-87
50-64, M	86	78-91		82	79-84
65-74, M	96	85-99		89	86-92
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	90	86-93
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	76	68-83
High School, M, Age 50+	82	69-91		80	77-84
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	87	83-90
College Degree, M, Age 50+	92	83-96		91	89-93
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	79	74-83
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	85	81-88
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	90	82-95		88	84-90
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	92	87-95		86	84-87
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	78	69-84

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Had a Digital Rectal Exam within
the Past Year (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	51	44-58		50	48-53
50-64, M	47	38-56		45	41-48
65-74, M	NSR	NSR	NA	57	52-62
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	60	54-66
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	42	34-51
High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	47	43-51
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	43	38-49
College Degree, M, Age 50+	54	45-63		60	56-64
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	42	37-48
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	49	44-54
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	54	46-63		54	50-58
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	51	44-58		51	48-54
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	44	35-54

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Prostate Cancer (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	6	4-9		7	5-8
50-64, M	1	0-4		2	1-3
65-74, M	8	3-18		10	7-13
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	17	13-22
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	7	4-13
High School, M, Age 50+	8	3-18		7	5-10
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	6	4-9
College Degree, M, Age 50+	4	2-9		6	4-8
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	9	6-12
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	6	4-9
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	4	2-8		5	3-7
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	6	4-10		7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	4	2-9

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last blood stool test using a home kit?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Home Blood Stool Test (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006

	<u>Chester County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	44	40-48		42	40-44
Male, Age 50+	43	36-50		41	39-44
Female, Age 50+	45	40-50		43	41-45
50-64	39	33-44		36	34-38
65-74	53	44-61		49	46-52
75+	54	44-63		49	46-52
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	36	32-41
High School, Age 50+	40	32-48		40	38-43
Some College, Age 50+	47	38-57		41	38-45
College Degree, Age 50+	47	41-53		47	44-50
<\$25,000, Age 50+	47	36-58		40	38-43
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	37	29-47		44	41-47
\$50,000+, Age 50+	43	38-49		40	37-43
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	45	41-49		43	41-44
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	35	30-41

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Home Blood Stool Test
within the Past Two Years, 2006**

	<u>Chester County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	21	17-24		22	20-23
Male, Age 50+	19	14-25		22	20-24
Female, Age 50+	22	18-26		21	20-23
50-64	17	13-22		18	16-20
65-74	27	20-36		28	25-31
75+	25	18-35		24	22-27
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	21	17-25
High School, Age 50+	20	14-27		21	19-23
Some College, Age 50+	24	17-33		21	19-24
College Degree, Age 50+	21	16-26		23	20-25
<\$25,000, Age 50+	28	19-39		24	21-26
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	18	12-26		22	19-24
\$50,000+, Age 50+	19	15-24		19	17-22
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	21	17-25		22	20-23
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	20	16-25

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy
or Colonoscopy (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006**

	<u>Chester County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	64	60-68	+	57	56-59
Male, Age 50+	66	60-72	+	56	54-59
Female, Age 50+	62	57-67		58	56-60
50-64	57	51-63		52	49-54
65-74	78	70-84	+	65	62-67
75+	74	65-81		64	61-67
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	53	48-58
High School, Age 50+	60	52-68		52	49-54
Some College, Age 50+	61	51-69		61	57-64
College Degree, Age 50+	69	63-74		65	62-68
<\$25,000, Age 50+	63	52-73		54	51-57
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	59	50-69		58	55-61
\$50,000+, Age 50+	65	59-71		57	55-60
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	64	60-68	+	57	56-59
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	56	51-62

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Sigmoidoscopy or
Colonoscopy within the Past Five Years (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	55	50-59		49	47-50
Male, Age 50+	57	50-63		49	46-52
Female, Age 50+	53	47-58		49	47-51
50-64	48	42-54		45	43-47
65-74	72	64-79	+	54	51-57
75+	58	49-67		53	50-56
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	45	40-50
High School, Age 50+	54	46-62		44	41-46
Some College, Age 50+	52	42-61		51	47-55
College Degree, Age 50+	57	51-63		57	54-60
<\$25,000, Age 50+	50	39-61		44	41-47
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	50	41-60		51	48-54
\$50,000+, Age 50+	56	50-62		50	47-53
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	54	50-59		49	47-51
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	48	42-53

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Where did you have your last HIV test, at a private doctor or HMO office, at a counseling and testing site, at a hospital, at a clinic, in a jail or prison, at home, or somewhere else?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 18-64	28	24-33		28	27-30
Male, Age 18-64	25	20-31		25	23-28
Female, Age 18-64	31	26-38		31	29-33
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	31	27-35
30-44	42	36-49		40	38-43
45-64	16	13-20		17	16-18
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	30	24-37
High School, Age 18-64	32	21-46		25	23-27
Some College, Age 18-64	20	13-29		32	29-35
College Degree, Age 18-64	30	26-35		29	27-31
<\$25,000, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	37	33-41
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 18-64	33	23-45		28	25-30
\$50,000+, Age 18-64	27	23-32		28	26-30
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	28	23-32		25	24-26
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	47	42-51

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults That Had Their Last HIV Test at a Private Doctor or HMO Office (Out of Adults Age 18-64 Who Have Been Tested for HIV), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 18-64	45	37-54		41	38-44
Male, Age 18-64	36	25-49		35	31-40
Female, Age 18-64	53	41-65		45	41-48
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	41	35-48
30-44	47	36-57		43	39-47
45-64	42	31-54		35	31-40
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	32	22-43
High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	41	36-46
Some College, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	42	37-48
College Degree, Age 18-64	44	35-53		41	37-45
<\$25,000, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	37	31-43
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	42	37-48
\$50,000+, Age 18-64	50	41-60		41	37-46
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	47	38-56		41	38-44
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	40	34-46

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How often do you get the social and emotional support you need?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never
Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	6	4-9		8	8-9
Male	8	4-14		10	9-11
Female	3	2-5	-	7	6-8
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	8	6-11
30-44	4	2-8		7	5-8
45-64	4	2-6	-	8	7-9
65+	9	6-14		13	11-14
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	19	16-23
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	10	9-12
Some College	6	3-11		7	5-9
College Degree	2	2-4	-	5	4-6
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	17	15-19
\$25,000 to \$49,999	6	4-11		8	7-9
\$50,000+	2	1-4		4	3-5
White, non-Hispanic	4	3-6	-	7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	17	14-20

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

In general, how satisfied are you with your life?

2006 Chester County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	97	96-98	+	94	94-95
Male	98	95-99		94	93-95
Female	97	94-98		95	94-95
18-29	96	87-99		93	91-95
30-44	99	95-100	+	94	92-95
45-64	97	94-98		94	93-95
65+	96	94-98		97	97-98
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	90	86-93
High School	97	94-98		94	93-95
Some College	97	93-98		93	92-95
College Degree	97	95-99		97	96-98
<\$25,000	94	88-97		88	86-90
\$25,000 to \$49,999	96	92-99		96	95-97
\$50,000+	98	96-99		97	96-98
White, non-Hispanic	97	96-98		95	95-96
Other (Including Hispanic)	97	86-99		89	86-92

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	54	50-58	-	62	61-63
Male	67	60-73		70	68-72
Female	41	36-46	-	54	53-56
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	47	43-52
30-44	55	48-61		62	60-65
45-64	65	60-69		70	68-72
65+	61	55-67		64	62-66
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	64	59-69
High School	63	52-73		65	63-67
Some College	55	44-66		62	59-65
College Degree	50	46-55		57	55-60
<\$25,000	60	47-71		65	63-68
\$25,000 to \$49,999	59	50-68		66	63-68
\$50,000+	57	52-62		60	58-63
White, non-Hispanic	53	49-58	-	62	60-63
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	65	60-69

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater than 25.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Percent of Adults Who Are Obese, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	17	14-20	-	25	24-26
Male	20	15-24		26	24-28
Female	14	11-18	-	24	22-25
18-29	9	4-21		16	14-20
30-44	14	10-20	-	26	24-29
45-64	23	19-27	-	30	28-32
65+	19	14-24		22	20-24
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	30	26-35
High School	18	14-25		26	25-28
Some College	22	15-32		27	25-30
College Degree	14	11-17	-	19	18-21
<\$25,000	25	16-39		30	27-32
\$25,000 to \$49,999	17	10-28		28	26-31
\$50,000+	17	14-20	-	23	21-25
White, non-Hispanic	17	14-20	-	24	23-26
Other (Including Hispanic)	16	10-25		27	24-31

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater than 30.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Sample Selection

A total of 1,265 Chester County adults completed interviews for the Chester County BRFSS survey in 2006. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Chester County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Chester County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Chester County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Chester County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Chester County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned fruits and vegetables, physical activity, heart attack and stroke, childhood asthma, adult asthma history, injury prevention, arthritis burden, weight control, and folic acid.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Chester County in 2004. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Chester County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of “Don’t know/Not sure” and “Refused” were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Chester County’s population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don’t contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1

Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2

To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Township of Tredyffrin census population of 3,061 for ages 18-29 by the current asthma prevalence of 4% (0.04) for that age group in Chester County. The 2006 synthetic estimate for those ages 18-29 who currently have asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin is 122.

Step 3

To obtain the total number of persons who indicated they currently have asthma, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Township of Tredyffrin Census Population		Currently Have Asthma From 2006 Chester County BRFSS		Estimate of the Township of Tredyffrin Adults Indicating currently have asthma, 2006
18-29	3,061	X	4	=	122
30-44	7,065	X	8	=	565
45-64	7,892	X	10	=	789
65+	4,275	X	5	=	214
					Total 1,690

Step 4

To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in the Township of Tredyffrin who currently have asthma, pull the “Total Estimated Number of Adults” and the “Total Population Age 18+” in the Township of Tredyffrin from “Step 3”.

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
Who Currently Have Asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin = **1,690**

Total Population Age 18+ in the Township of Tredyffrin = **22,293**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who currently have asthma by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage Who Currently Have Asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin
= (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin / Total Population Age 18+ in the Township of Tredyffrin) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage who Currently Have Asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin
= (1,690 / 22,293) X 100
= **7.6 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

**2006 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program**

**Locally-Added Question Response Tables
By Specific Demographic Characteristics:**

Chester County

Table of Contents

Locally-Added Questions Highlights	Page 1
Demographic Differences	Page 3
Module 7: Adult Asthma History, Percent of Adults Who Have Had an Episode of Asthma or an Asthma Attack in the Past Year (Out of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma), 2006	Page 9
Module 7: Adult Asthma History, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited an Emergency Room or Urgent Care Center in the Past Year Because of Their Asthma (Out of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma), 2006.....	Page 10
Module 7: Adult Asthma History, Percent of Adults Who Have Seen a Doctor or Other Nurse for a Routine Checkup for Their Asthma in the Past Year (Out of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma), 2006.....	Page 11
Module 7: Adult Asthma History, Percent of Adults Who Were Unable to Work or Carry Out Their Usual Activities Because of Their Asthma One or More Days in the Past Year (Out of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma), 2006.....	Page 12
Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Vitamin Pills or Supplements, 2006.....	Page 13
Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Adults Who Take a Multivitamin, 2006	Page 14
Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Women Who Take a Vitamin Pill or Supplement That Contains Folic Acid (Out of Women Age 18-44), 2006	Page 15
Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Women Who Take a Vitamin Pill or Supplement That Contains Folic Acid at Least Once Daily (Out of Women Age 18-44), 2006.....	Page 16
Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Women Who Believe Experts Recommend That Women Take 400 Micrograms of the B Vitamin Folic Acid to Prevent Birth Defects (Out of Women Age 18-44), 2006	Page 17
Locally Added 4: Fruits & Vegetables, Percent of Adults That Eat Fruits and Vegetables Five or More Times per Day, 2006	Page 18
Locally Added 3: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Who Mostly Sit or Stand While They Are at Work (Out of Adults Who Are Employed for Wages or Are Self-Employed), 2006.....	Page 19
Locally Added 3: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That Meet Physical Activity Recommendations**, 2006.....	Page 20
Locally Added 3: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That Participated in Vigorous Physical Activity at Least 20 Minutes a Day, Three or More Days a Week, 2006	Page 21
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Pain or Discomfort in the Jaw, Neck, or Back Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006.....	Page 22
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Feeling Weak, Lightheaded, or Faint Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006.....	Page 23
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006.....	Page 24
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2006	Page 25
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Pain or Discomfort in the Arms or Shoulder Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006.....	Page 26
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Shortness of Breath is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2006.....	Page 27

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Confusion or Trouble Speaking Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2006.....	Page 28
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Numbness or Weakness of Face, Arm, or Leg, Especially on One Side, Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2006.....	Page 29
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Stroke, 2006.....	Page 30
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2006.....	Page 31
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Trouble Walking, Dizziness, or Loss of Balance Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2006.....	Page 32
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Would First Call 911 if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack or Stroke, 2006.....	Page 33
Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Would First Call 911 if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack or Stroke, 2006.....	Page 34
Locally Added 4: Childhood Asthma, Percent of Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Was Ever Diagnosed With Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household), 2006.....	Page 35
Locally Added 4: Childhood Asthma, Percent of Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Currently Has Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household), 2006..	Page 36
Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Lives in a Home Where All the Smoke Detectors Have Been Tested Within the Past Month (Out of Adults Who Have Smoke Detectors in Their Home), 2006.....	Page 37
Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Lives in a Home That Has a Working Smoke Detector on All Floors, Including the Basement but Excluding the Attic, 2006.....	Page 38
Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under Age 16 Living in Their Household Seldom or Never Wears a Bike Helmet When Riding a Bike (Out of Adults With Children LT 16 in their Household Who Ride a Bike), 2006.....	Page 39
Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under Age 16 Living in Their Household Seldom or Never Wears a Helmet When Riding a Scooter (Out of Adults With Children LT 16 in their Household Who Ride a Scooter), 2006.....	Page 40
Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days, 2006.....	Page 41
Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Have Joint Symptoms That Began More Than Three Months Ago (Out of Adults Who Have Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the + Past 30 Days), 2006.....	Page 42
Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Have Ever Seen a Doctor for Their Joint Symptoms (Out of Adults With Joint Pain, Aching or Stiffness in the Past Month), 2006.....	Page 43
Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Have Been Told They Have Some Form of Arthritis**, 2006.....	Page 44
Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults with Arthritis or Joint Symptoms That Limit Their Usual Activities (Out of Adults with Arthritis or Joint Symptoms During the Past Month That First Began More Than Three Months Ago), 2006.....	Page 45

Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Lose Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults), 2006	Page 46
Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Maintain Their Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults Who Are Not Trying to Lose Weight), 2006.....	Page 47
Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight by Eating Fewer Calories and/or Less Fat (Out of Adults Who Are Overweight and Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight), 2006	Page 48
Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight by Using Physical Activity or Exercise (Out of Adults Who Are Overweight and Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight), 2006.....	Page 49
Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Reported That a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional Has Advised Them to Lose Weight in the Past 12 Months (Out of Overweight and Obese Adults), 2006.....	Page 50
Technical Notes	Page 51

Locally-Added Questions Highlights

In Locally-Added Questions Highlights, all significant differences found between Chester County and Pennsylvania or other available areas for the locally-added BRFSS questions are summarized.

Locally Added 3: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Who Mostly Sit or Stand While They Are at Work (Out of Adults Who Are Employed for Wages or Are Self-Employed), 2006– (page 19)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly higher percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-79) compared to Pennsylvania adults (62 percent, CI: 60-66).
- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly higher percentage (71 percent, CI: 63-77) compared to Pennsylvania men (56 percent, CI: 52-59).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (75 percent, CI: 68-80) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (62 percent, CI: 59-66).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (78 percent, CI: 73-82) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (61 percent, CI: 61-67).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly higher percentage (80 percent, CI: 76-84) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (68 percent, CI: 64-71).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (74 percent, CI: 68-78) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (62 percent, CI: 60-65).
- ✓ Chester County adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic had a significantly higher percentage (83 percent, CI: 71-91) compared to Pennsylvania adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic (64 percent, CI: 56-70).

Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Have Been Told They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2006** – (page 44)

- ✓ Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Huntingdon County adults (34 percent, CI: 31-38).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults (35 percent, CI: 31-38).

Demographic Differences

In Demographic Differences, all significant differences found within each demographic group (gender, age, education, household income, race/ethnicity) for the locally-added BRFSS questions are summarized.

Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Vitamin Pills or Supplements, 2006 – (page 13)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 43-56) compared to Chester County women (71 percent, CI: 65-76).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 49-62) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (68 percent, CI: 63-72).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 49-62) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (76 percent, CI: 70-81).
- ✓ Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 39-61) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (67 percent, CI: 62-71).

Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Adults Who Take a Multivitamin, 2006 – (page 14)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 36-49) compared to Chester County women (61 percent, CI: 55-67).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 42-55) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (66 percent, CI: 60-72).
- ✓ Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 30-49) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (59 percent, CI: 54-63).

Locally Added 1: Fruits & Vegetables, Percent of Adults That Eat Fruits and Vegetables Five or More Times per Day,

2006 – (page 18)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Chester County women (33 percent, CI: 29-38).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-24) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (34 percent, CI: 29-38).

Locally Added 3: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That Participated in Vigorous Physical Activity at Least 20 Minutes a Day, Three or More Days a Week, 2006 – (page 21)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (37 percent, CI: 31-43) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (19 percent, CI: 14-24).

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Pain or Discomfort in the Jaw, Neck, or Back Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006 – (page 22)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 44-58) compared to Chester County women (77 percent, CI: 72-81).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 54-68) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (81 percent, CI: 75-86).

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Feeling Weak, Lightheaded, or Faint Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006 – (page 23)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (82 percent, CI: 76-87) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (69 percent, CI: 62-75).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (80 percent, CI: 76-84) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (69 percent, CI: 62-75).

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2006 – (page 25)

- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (45 percent, CI: 40-50) compared to Chester County adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic (27 percent, CI: 17-38).

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Shortness of Breath is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2006 – (page 27)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (97 percent, CI: 94-98) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (88 percent, CI: 82-92).

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2006 – (page 31)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-42) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (52 percent, CI: 45-59).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (57 percent, CI: 47-67) compared to Chester County adults with some college education (36 percent, CI: 27-46).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (57 percent, CI: 47-67) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (39 percent, CI: 33-44).
- ✓ Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 35-44) compared to Chester County adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic (66 percent, CI: 52-77).

Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Lives in a Home Where All the Smoke Detectors Have Been Tested Within the Past Month (Out of Adults Who Have Smoke Detectors in Their Home), 2006 – (page 37)

- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (37 percent, CI: 26-51) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (20 percent, CI: 17-24).

Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Lives in a Home That Has a Working Smoke Detector on All Floors, Including the Basement but Excluding the Attic, 2006 – (page 38)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (97 percent, CI: 90-99) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (84 percent, CI: 80-87).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (97 percent, CI: 90-99) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (82 percent, CI: 77-87).

Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days, 2006 – (page 41)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 35-48) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (56 percent, CI: 51-61).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 35-48) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (62 percent, CI: 56-68).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (66 percent, CI: 55-76) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (47 percent, CI: 42-52).

Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Have Joint Symptoms That Began More Than Three Months Ago (Out of Adults Who Have Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days), 2006 – (page 42)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 63-81) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (91 percent, CI: 85-95).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 74-84) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (91 percent, CI: 85-95).

Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Have Ever Seen a Doctor for Their Joint Symptoms (Out of Adults With Joint Pain, Aching or Stiffness in the Past Month), 2006 – (page 43)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 43-69) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (77 percent, CI: 70-82).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 43-69) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (84 percent, CI: 76-90).

Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Have Been Told They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2006** – (page 44)

- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-19) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-19) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 52-64).
- ✓ Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-41) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 52-64).
- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (40 percent, CI: 31-50) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (24 percent, CI: 21-28).
- ✓ Chester County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (41 percent, CI: 30-53) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (24 percent, CI: 20-28).

Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Lose Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults), 2006 – (page 46)

- ✓ Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 48-62) compared to Chester County women (77 percent, CI: 71-82).

Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight by Using Physical Activity or Exercise (Out of Adults Who Are Overweight and Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight), 2006 – (page 49)

- ✓ Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 52-72) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (79 percent, CI: 73-84).

Detailed Locally-Added Questionnaire Tables

Module 7: Adult Asthma History, Percent of Adults Who Have Had an Episode of Asthma or an Asthma Attack in the Past Year (Out of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	56	44-68		45	38-51
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	39	28-52
Female	59	46-71		48	40-56
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	48	36-61
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	52	42-61
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	32	21-45
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	43	32-54
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	49	36-62
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	49	38-61
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	37	27-49
White, non-Hispanic	53	40-66		43	35-50
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 7: Adult Asthma History, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited an Emergency Room or Urgent Care Center in the Past Year Because of Their Asthma (Out of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Total	NSR	NSR	NA	13	9-18
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	10	4-19
Female	15	7-27		15	10-21
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	16	9-28
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	12	7-19
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	23	14-34
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	11	5-22
College Degree	6	2-15		3	1-8
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	21	13-33
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
\$50,000+	6	2-15		4	1-15
White, non-Hispanic	NSR	NSR	NA	8	5-13
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 7: Adult Asthma History, Percent of Adults Who Have Seen a Doctor or Other Nurse for a Routine Checkup for Their Asthma in the Past Year (Out of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Total	52	40-64		48	41-55
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	40	29-53
Female	62	49-74		53	45-61
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	46	33-58
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	64	54-72
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	48	35-62
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	45	35-56
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	49	36-62
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	53	41-65
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	49	37-60
White, non-Hispanic	54	40-67		47	39-54
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 7: Adult Asthma History, Percent of Adults Who Were Unable to Work or Carry Out Their Usual Activities Because of Their Asthma One or More Days in the Past Year (Out of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Total	NSR	NSR	NA	23	18-29
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	17	10-28
Female	16	9-29		27	21-35
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	22	13-33
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	29	21-39
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	31	21-42
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	21	12-33
College Degree	12	6-23		17	10-28
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	35	25-48
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
\$50,000+	13	6-25		10	5-17
White, non-Hispanic	NSR	NSR	NA	21	15-27
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Vitamin Pills or
Supplements, 2006**

	<u>Chester County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	60	56-65		59	57-61
Male	49	43-56		52	48-55
Female	71	65-76		65	62-67
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	45	39-52
30-44	56	49-62		52	48-56
45-64	68	63-72		63	60-65
65+	76	70-81		74	71-77
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	44	37-52
High School	60	50-69		57	53-60
Some College	50	39-61		58	54-63
College Degree	67	62-71		65	62-68
<\$25,000	54	41-67		56	52-61
\$25,000 to \$49,999	56	46-66		59	55-62
\$50,000+	60	55-65		60	57-64
White, non-Hispanic	61	56-65		60	57-62
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	51	44-57

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Adults Who Take a Multivitamin, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	52	48-56		50	48-52
Male	42	36-49		44	41-48
Female	61	55-67		54	52-57
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	39	32-45
30-44	49	42-55		46	43-50
45-64	58	53-63		53	50-56
65+	66	60-72		59	56-63
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	35	29-42
High School	54	44-63		47	44-50
Some College	39	30-49		49	45-54
College Degree	59	54-63		57	53-60
<\$25,000	46	34-59		44	40-48
\$25,000 to \$49,999	49	40-59		50	46-54
\$50,000+	52	47-57		53	49-56
White, non-Hispanic	52	48-57		51	48-53
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	43	36-49

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Women Who Take a Vitamin Pill or Supplement That Contains Folic Acid (Out of Women Age 18-44), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Female, Age 18-44	57	47-67		55	50-59
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
Female, Age 18-44	57	47-67		55	50-59
18-29, Female	NSR	NSR	NA	51	43-59
30-44, Female	61	53-68		57	52-62
< High School, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	48	40-57
Some College, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	53	44-62
College Degree, Female, Age 18-44	67	57-75		64	58-70
<\$25,000, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	45	34-55
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	58	49-67
\$50,000+, Female, Age 18-44	60	49-70		59	53-66
White, non-Hispanic, Female, Age 18-44	59	48-69		55	50-60
Other (Including Hispanic), Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	53	41-64

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Women Who Take a Vitamin Pill or Supplement That Contains Folic Acid at Least Once Daily (Out of Women Age 18-44), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Female, Age 18-44	50	40-60		44	40-49
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
Female, Age 18-44	50	40-60		44	40-49
18-29, Female	NSR	NSR	NA	41	34-49
30-44, Female	49	41-57		47	42-52
< High School, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	42	34-50
Some College, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	42	34-51
College Degree, Female, Age 18-44	58	48-68		50	44-57
<\$25,000, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	37	27-47
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	46	38-55
\$50,000+, Female, Age 18-44	49	38-59		48	42-54
White, non-Hispanic, Female, Age 18-44	52	41-62		44	39-49
Other (Including Hispanic), Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	46	34-57

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 9: Folic Acid, Percent of Women Who Believe Experts Recommend That Women Take 400 Micrograms of the B Vitamin Folic Acid to Prevent Birth Defects (Out of Women Age 18-44), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Female, Age 18-44	63	52-73		58	53-63
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
Female, Age 18-44	63	52-73		58	53-63
18-29, Female	NSR	NSR	NA	44	35-54
30-44, Female	72	63-79		67	61-72
< High School, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	42	32-52
Some College, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	55	45-65
College Degree, Female, Age 18-44	75	64-83		76	69-82
<\$25,000, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	30	21-42
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	55	44-65
\$50,000+, Female, Age 18-44	73	59-83		71	63-77
White, non-Hispanic, Female, Age 18-44	64	52-74		60	55-66
Other (Including Hispanic), Female, Age 18-44	NSR	NSR	NA	46	33-59

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 1: Fruits & Vegetables, Percent of Adults That Eat Fruits and Vegetables Five or More Times per Day, 2006

Demographics	Chester County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Total	27	24-30		25	24-27
Male	20	16-25		21	19-24
Female	33	29-38		29	27-31
18-29	18	10-30		24	19-30
30-44	27	22-33		21	19-24
45-64	29	25-33		25	23-27
65+	33	27-40		33	30-36
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	24	18-32
High School	17	12-24		21	19-23
Some College	22	16-31		25	21-29
College Degree	34	29-38		31	29-34
<\$25,000	18	11-26		27	24-30
\$25,000 to \$49,999	27	20-36		24	21-26
\$50,000+	29	25-33		25	22-28
White, non-Hispanic	26	23-29		24	23-26
Other (including Hispanic)	35	24-49		31	26-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 2: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Who Mostly Sit or Stand While They Are at Work (Out of Adults Who Are Employed for Wages or Are Self-Employed), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	75	70-79	+	62	60-65
Male	71	63-77	+	56	52-59
Female	80	73-85		70	68-73
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	56	49-63
30-44	75	68-80	+	63	59-66
45-64	78	73-82	+	64	61-67
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	74	66-81
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	37	26-49
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	51	47-55
Some College	70	57-81		58	52-63
College Degree	83	79-87		78	75-81
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	55	47-62
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	54	50-59
\$50,000+	80	76-84	+	68	64-71
White, non-Hispanic	74	68-78	+	62	60-65
Other (including Hispanic)	83	71-91	+	64	56-70

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 2: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That Meet Physical Activity Recommendations, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	53	49-57		49	47-51
Male	52	46-58		52	49-55
Female	54	48-59		46	44-48
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	58	52-64
30-44	57	50-63		52	49-56
45-64	46	41-51		47	45-50
65+	45	38-52		37	34-40
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	42	35-50
High School	51	41-61		46	43-49
Some College	51	40-62		49	45-53
College Degree	53	48-58		54	51-57
<\$25,000	43	30-56		43	39-47
\$25,000 to \$49,999	55	45-64		47	43-50
\$50,000+	53	48-58		55	52-58
White, non-Hispanic	54	49-58		50	48-52
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	44	38-50

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Participating in moderate physical activity at least 30 minutes a day 5+ days a week, and/or participating in vigorous physician activity at least 20 minutes a day 3+ days a week.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 2: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That Participated in Vigorous Physical Activity at Least 20 Minutes a Day, Three or More Days a Week, 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	31	28-36		28	26-30
Male	34	28-40		33	30-36
Female	29	24-35		23	22-25
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	42	37-49
30-44	37	31-43		32	29-35
45-64	26	22-31		25	22-27
65+	19	14-24		15	13-17
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	22	16-31
High School	24	16-35		24	22-27
Some College	29	19-40		27	24-32
College Degree	35	30-40		34	31-37
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	22	18-25
\$25,000 to \$49,999	27	18-39		24	21-27
\$50,000+	33	29-38		36	33-40
White, non-Hispanic	32	28-36		28	27-30
Other (including Hispanic)	27	17-41		27	21-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Pain or Discomfort in the Jaw, Neck, or Back Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	65	60-69	
Male	51	44-58	
Female	77	72-81	
18-29	NSR	NSR	
30-44	61	54-68	No Comparison Available
45-64	71	66-75	
65+	81	75-86	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	64	52-75	
Some College	62	49-72	
College Degree	69	64-74	
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	63	51-74	
\$50,000+	66	61-71	
White, non-Hispanic	66	62-71	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Feeling Weak, Lightheaded, or Faint Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	81	77-84	
Male	78	73-83	
Female	83	78-86	
18-29	NSR	NSR	
30-44	82	76-87	No Comparison Available
45-64	80	76-84	
65+	69	62-75	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	77	70-84	
Some College	79	69-87	
College Degree	82	78-86	
<\$25,000	82	73-88	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	74	65-82	
\$50,000+	83	79-86	
White, non-Hispanic	82	78-85	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Chest Pain
or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006**

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	98	97-99	
Male	98	96-99	
Female	99	98-99	
18-29	100	NCI	
30-44	99	97-100	No Comparison Available
45-64	98	96-99	
65+	96	92-98	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	96	92-98	
Some College	99	97-100	
College Degree	99	97-99	
<\$25,000	96	91-98	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	99	96-100	
\$50,000+	98	97-99	
White, non-Hispanic	98	97-99	
Other (including Hispanic)	98	94-99	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden
Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2006**

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	43	39-48	
Male	48	41-56	
Female	39	33-45	
18-29	NSR	NSR	
30-44	39	32-47	No Comparison Available
45-64	40	35-46	
65+	43	36-51	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	51	42-60	
Some College	47	35-59	
College Degree	38	32-43	
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	42	31-54	
\$50,000+	42	36-47	
White, non-Hispanic	45	40-50	
Other (including Hispanic)	27	17-38	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Pain or Discomfort in the Arms or Shoulder Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	94	91-96	
Male	91	85-95	
Female	97	93-98	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	97	93-98	
45-64	97	94-98	
65+	93	89-95	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	NSR	NSR	
Some College	97	94-99	
College Degree	96	94-98	
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	94	88-97	
\$50,000+	97	95-98	
White, non-Hispanic	95	93-97	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Shortness of Breath is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	95	93-96	
Male	94	91-96	
Female	95	93-97	
18-29	95	86-99	
30-44	97	94-98	No Comparison Available
45-64	95	92-97	
65+	88	82-92	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	96	93-97	
Some College	96	92-98	
College Degree	95	92-96	
<\$25,000	93	87-96	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	93	88-96	
\$50,000+	95	93-97	
White, non-Hispanic	95	93-96	
Other (Including Hispanic)	93	87-96	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden
Confusion or Trouble Speaking Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2006**

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	98	97-99	
Male	98	96-99	
Female	98	96-99	
18-29	99	94-100	No Comparison Available
30-44	98	96-99	
45-64	98	96-99	
65+	96	93-98	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	97	94-99	
Some College	99	97-100	
College Degree	98	96-99	
<\$25,000	97	94-99	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	99	97-100	
\$50,000+	97	96-98	
White, non-Hispanic	98	97-99	
Other (Including Hispanic)	94	87-97	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Numbness or Weakness of Face, Arm, or Leg, Especially on One Side, Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	97	94-99	
Male	95	88-98	
Female	99	98-99	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	99	97-99	
45-64	99	97-99	
65+	97	94-98	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	NSR	NSR	
Some College	99	98-100	
College Degree	98	96-99	
<\$25,000	97	92-99	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	97	93-99	
\$50,000+	99	97-99	
White, non-Hispanic	98	97-99	
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden
Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Stroke, 2006**

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	88	84-92	
Male	87	78-92	
Female	90	87-93	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	88	83-92	
45-64	92	88-94	
65+	93	89-96	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	NSR	NSR	
Some College	NSR	NSR	
College Degree	93	90-96	
<\$25,000	88	78-94	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	90	82-95	
\$50,000+	89	84-93	
White, non-Hispanic	91	87-93	
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden
Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2006**

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	43	38-47	
Male	43	36-50	
Female	43	38-49	
18-29	NSR	NSR	
30-44	39	33-47	No Comparison Available
45-64	36	31-42	
65+	52	45-59	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	57	47-67	
Some College	36	27-46	
College Degree	39	33-44	
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	51	40-63	
\$50,000+	36	31-42	
White, non-Hispanic	40	35-44	
Other (including Hispanic)	66	52-77	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Think Sudden Trouble Walking, Dizziness, or Loss of Balance Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	96	93-98	
Male	94	87-97	
Female	97	96-98	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	97	94-98	
45-64	97	95-98	
65+	94	90-96	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	NSR	NSR	
Some College	98	96-99	
College Degree	97	95-98	
<\$25,000	96	90-98	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	94	89-97	
\$50,000+	97	96-98	
White, non-Hispanic	97	96-98	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Would First Call
911 if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack or Stroke, 2006**

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	79	74-82	
Male	74	66-80	
Female	83	79-87	
18-29	NSR	NSR	
30-44	77	70-82	No Comparison Available
45-64	81	77-85	
65+	80	73-85	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	70	57-80	
Some College	83	75-88	
College Degree	81	76-84	
<\$25,000	78	65-86	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	79	70-86	
\$50,000+	80	75-84	
White, non-Hispanic	81	77-84	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 3: Heart Attack and Stroke, Percent of Adults Who Would First Call
911 if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack or Stroke, 2006**

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	88	85-91	
Male	88	82-92	
Female	89	83-93	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	91	86-94	
45-64	89	86-92	
65+	92	88-95	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	NSR	NSR	
Some College	88	77-94	
College Degree	89	85-92	
<\$25,000	90	83-94	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	89	80-95	
\$50,000+	90	86-93	
White, non-Hispanic	88	84-92	
Other (Including Hispanic)	89	79-95	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 4: Childhood Asthma, Percent of Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Was Ever Diagnosed With Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Huntingdon County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	17	14-21		17	12-22
Male	13	9-19		20	12-30
Female	22	17-28		13	9-19
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	18	13-24		16	12-23
45-64	23	17-31		18	10-31
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	17	11-25
Some College	12	6-21	NA	NSR	NSR
College Degree	18	14-24		10	4-20
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	8	4-16
\$25,000 to \$49,999	15	7-28		25	16-36
\$50,000+	18	14-23		16	8-28
White, non-Hispanic	16	13-20		15	11-20
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 4: Childhood Asthma, Percent of Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Currently Has Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Huntingdon County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	13	10-16		11	8-15
Male	9	6-15		13	8-21
Female	16	12-22		9	6-13
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	6	2-17
30-44	15	11-20		14	9-20
45-64	15	10-23		14	7-27
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	13	8-20
Some College	8	4-16		13	7-23
College Degree	13	10-18		7	3-16
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	6	3-12
\$25,000 to \$49,999	13	6-25		17	10-27
\$50,000+	13	10-18		9	5-16
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-15		11	8-16
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Lives in a Home Where All the Smoke Detectors Have Been Tested Within the Past Month (Out of Adults Who Have Smoke Detectors in Their Home), 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	23	20-26	
Male	23	18-29	
Female	23	19-26	
18-29	NSR	NSR	
30-44	23	18-28	No Comparison Available
45-64	22	19-27	
65+	26	21-32	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	29	22-37	
Some College	24	17-32	
College Degree	19	16-23	
<\$25,000	37	26-51	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	22	16-30	
\$50,000+	20	17-24	
White, non-Hispanic	22	19-25	
Other (Including Hispanic)	33	22-46	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Lives in a Home That
Has a Working Smoke Detector on All Floors, Including the Basement but
Excluding the Attic, 2006**

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	88	85-90	
Male	89	86-92	
Female	86	82-89	
18-29	97	90-99	
30-44	90	84-94	
45-64	84	80-87	No Comparison Available
65+	82	77-87	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	88	83-92	
Some College	87	79-92	
College Degree	88	85-91	
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	86	80-90	
\$50,000+	90	87-92	
White, non-Hispanic	87	85-90	
Other (Including Hispanic)	95	89-98	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under Age 16 Living in Their Household Seldom or Never Wears a Bike Helmet When Riding a Bike (Out of Adults With Children LT 16 in their Household Who Ride a Bike), 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	15	9-22	
Male	13	8-22	
Female	NSR	NSR	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	11	7-18	
45-64	19	11-31	
65+	NSR	NSR	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	NSR	NSR	
Some College	NSR	NSR	
College Degree	8	5-14	
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	
\$50,000+	15	9-25	
White, non-Hispanic	15	10-24	
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 6: Injury Prevention, Percent of Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under Age 16 Living in Their Household Seldom or Never Wears a Helmet When Riding a Scooter (Out of Adults With Children LT 16 in their Household Who Ride a Scooter), 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	17	11-25	
Male	20	11-33	
Female	15	8-24	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	13	7-22	
45-64	NSR	NSR	
65+	NSR	NSR	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	NSR	NSR	
Some College	NSR	NSR	
College Degree	12	7-23	
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	
\$50,000+	15	9-24	
White, non-Hispanic	18	12-26	
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Had Symptoms of Pain,
Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Huntingdon County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	47	43-51		51	47-55
Male	45	39-52		50	44-56
Female	48	43-53		51	47-56
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	41	28-54
30-44	41	35-48		50	43-57
45-64	56	51-61		56	51-61
65+	62	56-68		53	47-59
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	52	39-65
High School	53	43-63		50	45-56
Some College	42	33-52		54	45-63
College Degree	46	41-50		46	39-54
<\$25,000	66	55-76		49	41-57
\$25,000 to \$49,999	49	39-58		59	52-65
\$50,000+	47	42-52		47	40-55
White, non-Hispanic	48	44-52		51	48-55
Other (Including Hispanic)	34	23-47	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Have Joint Symptoms That Began More Than Three Months Ago (Out of Adults Who Have Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Huntingdon County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	81	76-84		79	73-84
Male	81	75-86		77	68-84
Female	80	74-85		81	74-87
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	73	63-81		75	66-83
45-64	80	74-84		88	82-92
65+	91	85-95		89	82-93
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	85	77-91		80	72-85
Some College	89	80-94	NA	NSR	NSR
College Degree	76	70-81	NA	NSR	NSR
<\$25,000	91	81-95	NA	NSR	NSR
\$25,000 to \$49,999	82	73-89		81	73-87
\$50,000+	78	72-83		77	66-86
White, non-Hispanic	81	77-85		79	73-84
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Have Ever Seen a Doctor for
Their Joint Symptoms (Out of Adults With Joint Pain, Aching or Stiffness in the
Past Month), 2006**

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*
Total	75	69-79	
Male	75	67-82	
Female	74	67-80	
18-29	NSR	NSR	
30-44	56	43-69	No Comparison Available
45-64	77	70-82	
65+	84	76-90	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	77	66-84	
Some College	NSR	NSR	
College Degree	73	66-79	
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	
\$50,000+	75	68-80	
White, non-Hispanic	75	69-79	
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Have Been Told They
Have Some Form of Arthritis**, 2006**

Demographics	Chester County			Huntingdon County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	27	24-31	-	34	31-38
Male	24	20-29		31	26-36
Female	31	26-35		39	35-43
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	17	10-30
30-44	14	10-19		23	18-29
45-64	36	31-41		40	35-45
65+	58	52-64		59	53-65
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	38	27-50
High School	40	31-50		36	31-41
Some College	24	18-32		33	25-41
College Degree	24	21-28		30	23-38
<\$25,000	41	30-53		37	31-44
\$25,000 to \$49,999	35	27-45		35	29-41
\$50,000+	24	20-28		28	21-35
White, non-Hispanic	27	24-31	-	35	31-38
Other (including Hispanic)	26	16-39	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Includes adults told they have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 7: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults with Arthritis or Joint Symptoms That Limit Their Usual Activities (Out of Adults with Arthritis or Joint Symptoms During the Past Month That First Began More Than Three Months Ago), 2006

Chester County

Demographics	%	CI	*	
Total	26	21-31		
Male	24	18-33		
Female	27	22-34		
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	No Comparison Available
30-44	20	13-31		
45-64	26	20-33		
65+	34	27-43		
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	
High School	25	17-35		
Some College	25	17-35		
College Degree	24	19-31		
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	28	18-40		
\$50,000+	22	17-29		
White, non-Hispanic	26	21-31		
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Lose Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	63	59-68		64	62-66
Male	55	48-62		55	51-58
Female	77	71-82		75	73-78
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	70	62-76
30-44	65	56-73		61	57-65
45-64	65	59-71		69	66-72
65+	61	52-69		55	51-59
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	62	55-69
High School	56	45-66		60	57-64
Some College	64	52-74		67	62-71
College Degree	68	61-74		67	63-71
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	60	56-65
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	64	60-68
\$50,000+	65	59-71		65	62-69
White, non-Hispanic	64	59-69		65	63-67
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	61	54-67

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Maintain Their Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults Who Are Not Trying to Lose Weight), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	77	69-84		74	71-77
Male	76	65-84		72	68-76
Female	82	70-89		79	74-83
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	64	50-75
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	70	62-77
45-64	80	70-88		77	71-81
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	80	75-84
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	64	52-74
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	72	67-77
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	73	65-80
College Degree	77	66-86		83	77-88
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	78	71-83
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	72	65-77
\$50,000+	77	68-85		75	69-81
White, non-Hispanic	76	67-83		76	72-79
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	65	54-74

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight by Eating Fewer Calories and/or Less Fat (Out of Adults Who Are Overweight and Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	81	76-85		84	82-86
Male	77	70-82		81	78-83
Female	87	82-91		88	86-89
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	84	77-89
30-44	76	66-83		82	78-85
45-64	87	82-91		87	84-89
65+	79	71-86		83	80-86
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	80	73-86
High School	79	68-87		83	80-85
Some College	79	67-88		85	82-88
College Degree	82	76-87		85	82-88
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	83	79-86
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	83	80-86
\$50,000+	81	76-86		86	83-88
White, non-Hispanic	81	77-85		84	83-86
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	83	78-87

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight by Using Physical Activity or Exercise (Out of Adults Who Are Overweight and Trying to Lose Weight or Maintain Their Weight), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	73	68-77		69	67-71
Male	76	70-81		72	69-75
Female	69	61-75		66	64-69
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	89	83-93
30-44	75	64-83		75	71-79
45-64	72	66-78		67	64-70
65+	61	52-69		53	49-57
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	54	46-61
High School	63	52-72		65	62-68
Some College	72	60-82		71	67-75
College Degree	79	73-84		78	74-81
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	59	55-64
\$25,000 to \$49,999	65	51-77		67	63-71
\$50,000+	78	73-82		76	73-79
White, non-Hispanic	73	68-77		70	68-72
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	66	59-72

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 8: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Reported That a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional Has Advised Them to Lose Weight in the Past 12 Months (Out of Overweight and Obese Adults), 2006

Demographics	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	22	19-27		23	21-25
Male	21	16-27		21	19-24
Female	25	20-31		25	23-28
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	14	9-21
30-44	19	13-26		23	20-27
45-64	27	22-33		30	27-33
65+	19	14-27		16	14-19
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	25	19-31
High School	18	12-25		20	18-23
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	25	21-29
College Degree	26	21-32		25	22-28
<\$25,000	15	9-26		22	18-25
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	23	20-27
\$50,000+	22	18-27		24	21-27
White, non-Hispanic	23	19-27		22	20-24
Other (including Hispanic)	20	12-33		27	22-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Sample Selection

A total of 1,265 Chester County adults completed interviews for the Chester County BRFSS survey in 2006. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Chester County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Chester County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Chester County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Chester County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Chester County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned fruits and vegetables, physical activity, heart attack and stroke, childhood asthma, adult asthma history, injury prevention, arthritis burden, weight control, and folic acid.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Chester County in 2004. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Chester County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of “Don’t know/Not sure” and “Refused” were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Chester County’s population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don’t contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1

Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2

To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Township of Tredyffrin census population of 3,061 for ages 18-29 by the current asthma prevalence of 4% (0.04) for that age group in Chester County. The 2006 synthetic estimate for those ages 18-29 who currently have asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin is 122.

Step 3

To obtain the total number of persons who indicated they currently have asthma, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Township of Tredyffrin Census Population		Currently Have Asthma From 2006 Chester County BRFS		Estimate of the Township of Tredyffrin Adults Indicating currently have asthma, 2006
18-29	3,061	X	4	=	122
30-44	7,065	X	8	=	565
45-64	7,892	X	10	=	789
65+	4,275	X	5	=	214
					Total 1,690

Step 4

To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in the Township of Tredyffrin who currently have asthma, pull the “Total Estimated Number of Adults” and the “Total Population Age 18+” in the Township of Tredyffrin from “Step 3”.

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
Who Currently Have Asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin = **1,690**

Total Population Age 18+ in the Township of Tredyffrin = **22,293**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who currently have asthma by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage Who Currently Have Asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin
= (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin / Total Population Age 18+ in the Township of Tredyffrin) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage who Currently Have Asthma in the Township of Tredyffrin
= (1,690 / 22,293) X 100
= **7.6 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

2006 Behavioral Health Risks



Of Huntingdon County Adults

DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH

*Edward G. Rendell, Governor
Calvin B. Johnson, M.D., M.P.H., Secretary of Health*

**Huntingdon County Healthy
Communities Partnership**

Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Health began the Pennsylvania Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) in 1989. The BRFSS survey consists of telephone interviews using randomly generated telephone numbers to determine the households contacted. The survey contains a core set of questions provided by CDC to gather comprehensive, standard information nationwide. The questions asked concern health status, access to health care, health awareness, use of preventive health services, and knowledge and attitude assessment.

In an effort to provide local BRFSS data, the Pennsylvania Department of Health instituted the Pennsylvania BRFSS Local Sampling Program in 2002. Participation in the program was open to Pennsylvania's State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP)-affiliated partnerships located statewide. Two partnership organizations chose to participate in the 2006 program: Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership and Huntingdon County Healthy Communities Partnership. These partnerships were given the opportunity to select 56 questions of their choice in addition to the core questions asked of all who participated in BRFSS.

The survey of adults living in Huntingdon County asked questions about health and health-related behaviors including general health, health care access, exercise, alcohol consumption, asthma, diabetes, and immunization. This allows for some comparison of the county survey results to state results and it also allows the county to get data specific to its individual needs.

Report Organization

A brief *Survey Highlights* section includes prevalence estimates referring to health care access, oral health, seatbelt use, women's health, colorectal cancer screening, secondhand smoke policy, fruits and vegetables, and weight control data for adults in Huntingdon County that are statistically different compared to the Pennsylvania estimates.

Select prevalence estimates are included in *Table 1: Core Questions, Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006*. They are estimates from questions asked of all 2006 Pennsylvania BRFSS questionnaire respondents. Huntingdon County data are presented alongside Pennsylvania data to assist with comparison (see Table 1 footnote). The topics in Table 1 include Health Status, Health Care Access, Exercise, Diabetes, Oral Health, Cardiovascular Disease, Asthma, Disability, Tobacco Use, Veteran's Status, Alcohol Consumption, Immunization, Falls, Seatbelt Use, Drinking and Driving, Women's Health, Prostate Cancer Screening, Colorectal Cancer Screening, HIV/AIDS, and Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction.

The topics in *Table 2: Module Questions, Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006* include prevalence estimates that were requested for Huntingdon County and were also asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. Topics include: Diabetes and Secondhand Smoke Policy. (refer to Table 2 footnotes for additional information).

Table 3: Locally-Added Questions, Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 includes estimates that were specifically requested for Huntingdon County, but were also asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. The topics included in the table were Fruits and Vegetables, Weight Control, and Physical Activity.


Table 4: Locally-Added Questions, Huntingdon County Adults, 2006 includes estimates that were specifically requested for Huntingdon County, and were not asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. The topics included in the table were Hypertension Awareness, Cholesterol Awareness, Arthritis, Cardiovascular Disease, Other Tobacco Products, and Child Safety.

Table 5: Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation: Huntingdon County & Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2006 includes estimates for objective goals available for Huntingdon County. Objective goals include: Health Care Access, Women's Health, Colorectal Cancer Screening, Diabetes, Seatbelt Use, Weight, Oral Health, Physical Activity, and Tobacco Use.

Survey Highlights


Health Care Access:

Seventeen (17) percent of Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 reported that they have no health care coverage, including health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, or government plans such as Medicare. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 (12 percent).




Oral Health:

Sixty-one (61) percent of Huntingdon County adults reported that they last visited a dentist or a dental clinic for any reason within the past year. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (71 percent).




Sixty-three (63) percent of Huntingdon County adults reported that they last had their teeth cleaned by a dentist or dental hygienist within the past year. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (72 percent).



** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.


Seatbelt Use:

Ten (10) percent of Huntingdon County adults reported that they seldom or never use seatbelts when they drive or ride in a car. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (7 percent).




Women's Health:

Twenty-nine (29) percent of Huntingdon County women age 18 and older reported that they have had a hysterectomy. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania women age 18 and older (21 percent).




Colorectal Cancer Screening:

Fifty-three (53) percent of Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older reported that they ever had a blood stool test using a home kit. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older (42 percent).




Thirty-six (36) percent of Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older reported that they last had a home blood stool test during the past two years. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older (22 percent).




Secondhand Smoke Policy:

Seventy-four (74) percent of Huntingdon County adults who are employed for wages or are self-employed reported that they are indoors most of the time while working at their job. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults who are employed for wages or are self-employed (82 percent).




Fruits and Vegetables:

Fifteen (15) percent of Huntingdon County adults reported that they eat fruits and vegetables five or more times daily. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (25 percent).




Weight Control:

Forty-eight (48) percent of Huntingdon County overweight or obese adults reported that they are now trying to lose weight. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania overweight or obese adults (64 percent).



Sixty-three (63) percent of Huntingdon County overweight or obese adults who are not trying to lose weight reported that they are currently trying to maintain their weight. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania overweight or obese adults who are not trying to lose weight (74 percent).



Seventeen (17) percent of Huntingdon County overweight or obese adults reported that they have had a doctor, nurse, or other health professional advise them to lose weight in the past year. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania overweight or obese adults (23 percent).




TABLE 1
Core Questions
Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Huntingdon County *		Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	%	CI
Health Status				
Fair or Poor Health	16	13-18	14	13-15
Physical Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	35	32-39	36	35-38
Mental Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	34	30-38	34	33-35
Overweight or Obese**	65	61-69	62	61-63
Obese**	28	25-32	25	24-26
Health Care Access				
No Health Care Insurance, Age 18-64	17	13-21 +	12	11-13
Do Not Have a Personal Health Care Provider	12	10-15	10	10-11
Unable to Get Med. Care Due to Cost in Past Year	10	7-12	11	10-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	78	75-82	81	80-82
Exercise				
No Leisure Time Physical Activity	28	25-31	24	23-25
Diabetes				
Ever Told They Have Diabetes	8	7-10	9	8-9
Oral Health				
Visited Dentist for Any Reason Within Past Year	61	58-65 -	71	69-72
0 to 5 Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease	79	77-82	81	80-82
All Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease	8	7-10	7	6-7
Had Teeth Cleaned at Dentist Within Past Year	63	59-67 -	72	71-73
Cardiovascular Disease				
Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack, Coronary Heart Disease, or a Stroke	13	11-16	12	11-13
Asthma				
Ever Told They Had Asthma	14	12-18	12	12-13
Currently Have Asthma	9	7-11	9	8-9
Disability				
Limited Due to Health Problems	19	17-22	18	17-19
Have Health Issues That Require Use of Special Equipment	6	4-7	7	7-8
Tobacco Use				
Current Smokers**	25	21-29	22	21-23
Veteran's Status				
Served on Active Duty in US Armed Forces	15	13-18	14	13-14

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1 (Continued)
Core Questions
Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Huntingdon County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Alcohol Consumption					
Binge Drinkers**	15	12-19		16	15-17
Chronic Drinkers**	3	2-5		5	4-6
Immunization					
Had a Flu Shot in Past Year, Aged 65+	67	61-72		68	66-70
Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccination, Aged 65+	67	61-73		68	66-70
Ever Received Hepatitis B Vaccination**	27	23-31		31	30-33
Falls					
Have Fallen Past 3 Months (Out of Adults Age 45+)	16	13-19		15	14-16
Inured by One or More Falls** (Out of Adults Age 45+)	32	24-41		32	29-36
Seatbelt Use					
Seldom or Never Use Seat Belts When Driving or Riding in a Car	10	8-13	+	7	6-8
Drinking and Driving					
Have Driven in the Past Month After Perhaps Having Too Much to Drink	5	3-10		5	4-6
Women's Health					
Ever Had a Mammogram (Out of Women Age 40+)	90	86-92		91	90-92
Had Mammogram Past Year (Out of Women Age 40+)	62	57-66		62	60-64
Ever Had a Clinical Breast Exam (Out of Women Age 40+)	91	88-94		92	91-93
Had Clinical Breast Exam Past Year (Out of Women Age 40+)	65	61-70		65	63-67
Ever Had a Pap Test (Out of Women Age 18+)	97	94-98		95	94-96
Had Pap Test Past Three Years (Out of Women Age 18+)	79	75-82		80	78-81
Ever Had Hysterectomy (Out of Women Age 18+)	29	25-33	+	21	20-22

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Core Questions

Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Huntingdon County *		Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	%	CI
Prostate Cancer Screening				
Ever Had a Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test (Out of Men Age 50+)	79	73-84	76	73-78
Had PSA Test Past Year (Out of Men Age 50+)	57	51-64	54	51-57
Ever Had a Digital Rectum Exam (DRE) (Out of Men Age 50+)	82	77-87	85	83-87
Had DRE Past Year (Out of Men Age 50+)	52	46-59	50	48-53
Ever Told They Had Prostate Cancer (Out of Men Age 50+)	4	2-8	7	5-8
Colorectal Cancer Screening				
Ever Had a Home Blood Stool Test (Out of Adults Age 50+)	53	49-57 +	42	40-44
Had a Home Blood Stool Test Past 2 Years (Out of Adults Age 50+)	36	32-40 +	22	20-23
Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Out of Adults Age 50+)	55	50-59	57	56-59
Had Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy Past 5 Years (Out of Adults Age 50+)	49	45-53	49	47-50
HIV/AIDS				
Ever Tested for HIV, Age 18-64	25	21-29	28	27-30
Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction				
Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need	10	8-13	8	8-9
Satisfied or Very Satisfied With Their Life	92	89-95	94	94-95

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 2
Module Questions
Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Huntingdon County *		Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	%	CI
Diabetes				
Adult Diabetics Who Are Now Taking Insulin	23	16-32	26	22-30
Adult Diabetics Who Are Now Taking Diabetes Pills	80	69-88	72	67-76
Adult Diabetics Who Check Their Blood For Glucose or Sugar at Least Once Daily	63	53-72	63	58-68
Adult Diabetics Who Check Their Feet for Sores or Irritations at Least Once Daily	65	54-74	71	66-75
Adult Diabetics Who Ever Had Any Sores or Irritations on Their Feet That Took More Than Four Weeks to Heal	7	4-13	12	9-16
Adult Diabetics Who Saw a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional For Their Diabetes Four or More Times Within the Past Year	44	34-54	46	41-51
Adult Diabetics Who Had a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional Check Their Hemoglobin A1C Four or More Times in the Past Year	22	14-31	32	27-37
Adult Diabetics Who Had Their Feet Checked by a Health Professional One or More Times in the Past Year	67	55-76	75	70-79
Adult Diabetics Who Had an Eye Exam in the Past Year in Which the Pupils Were Dilated	59	48-69	72	66-76
Adult Diabetics Who Were Ever Told by a Doctor That Diabetes Has Affected Their Eyes or That They Had Retinopathy	13	8-22	19	16-23
Adult Diabetics Who Have Ever Taken a Course or Class in How to Manage Their Diabetes Themselves	41	32-51	51	46-56
Secondhand Smoke Policy				
Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed Anywhere Inside Their Home	70	66-73	72	70-73
Adults Who Are Indoors Most of the Time While Working at Their Job (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed for Wages or Are Self-Employed)	74	69-78 -	82	80-84
Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Allowed in Some or All Public Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time)	18	13-23	17	15-19
Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Allowed in Some or All Work Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time)	15	11-20	12	11-15

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 3
Locally-Added Questions
Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania		
	%	CI	*	%	CI	
Fruits & Vegetables						
Eat Fruits and Vegetables 5+ Times Daily	15	13-18	-	25	24-27	
Weight Control						
Currently Trying to Lose Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults)	48	44-53	-	64	62-66	
Currently Trying to Maintain Their Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults Who Are Not Trying to Lose Weight)	63	57-69	-	74	71-77	
Reported That a Doctor Advised Them to Lose Weight in the Past 12 Months (Out of Overweight Adults)	17	15-20	-	23	21-25	
Physical Activity						
Meet Physical Activity Recommendations**	49	45-53		49	47-51	
Participated in Vigorous Physical Activity at Least 20+ Minutes Per Day, 3+ Days Per Week	27	23-31		28	26-30	

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 4
Locally-Added Questions
Huntingdon County Adults, 2006 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Huntingdon County	
	%	CI
Hypertension Awareness		
Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had High Blood Pressure**	30	27-33
Adults Currently Taking Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have High Blood Pressure)	82	77-86
Cholesterol Awareness		
Adults That Have Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked	74	69-78
Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years	68	64-72
Adults That Were Ever Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol (Out of Adults That Have Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked)	39	35-42
Arthritis		
Adults That Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days	51	47-55
Adults Have Joint Symptoms That Began More Than Three Months Ago (Out of Adults Who Have Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days)	79	73-84
Adults That Have Been Told They Have Some Form of Arthritis**	34	31-38
Cardiovascular Disease		
Adults Who Are Eating Fewer High Fat or High Cholesterol Foods to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older)	66	63-69
Adults Who Are Eating More Fruits and Vegetables to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older)	65	62-69
Adults Who Are More Physically Active to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older)	57	54-61
Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to Eat Fewer High Fat or High Cholesterol Foods	17	14-19
Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to Eat More Fruits and Vegetables	19	17-22
Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to be More Physically Active	17	15-20
Other Tobacco Products		
Men Who Ever Used or Tried Any Smokeless Tobacco Products Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff	56	50-62
Men Who Currently Use Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Every Day or Some Days	22	18-28
Men Who Have Ever Smoked a Cigar, Even One or Two Puffs	77	72-81
Men Who Currently Smoke Cigars Every Day or Some Days	11	8-17
Men Who Have Ever Smoked Tobacco in a Pipe, Even One or Two Puffs	41	36-47
Men Who Currently Smoke a Pipe Every Day or Some Days	1	0-2
Child Safety		
Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under 16 Living in Their Household Seldom or Never Wears a Bicycle Helmet When Riding a Bicycle (Out of Adults With Children Under 16 in their Household Who Ride a Bike)	33	27-40
Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under Age 4 Living in Their Household Wears a Car Safety Seat When Riding in a Motor Vehicle (Out of Adults With Children Under Age 4)	97	93-99
Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Age 4-7 Living in Their Household Always Uses a Safety Seat Belt System & a Child Booster Seat When Riding in a Motor Vehicle (Out of Adults w/ Children Age 4-7 Not Exempt From Using a Safety Seat Belt)	99	95-100
Childhood Asthma		
Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Was Ever Diagnosed With Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household)	17	12-22
Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Currently Has Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household)	11	8-15

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 5
Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation
Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2006

Healthy People 2010 Objective ¹	Year 2010 Objective	Huntingdon 2006	Objective Met ² Huntingdon County	Sig. Diff. to PA ³	Pennsylvania 2006	Objective Met ² Pennsylvania
01-01: Percent of adults aged 18-64 with health insurance (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	100%	83±5	No		87±2	No
01-04c: Percent of adults with a specific source of ongoing care (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	96%	87±3	No		89±2	No
03-11a Percent of women aged 18+ who ever received a Pap test (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	97%	97±3	Yes		95±2	Yes
03-11b Percent of women aged 18+ who received a Pap test within past 3 years (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	81±4	No		81±2	No
03-12a Percent of adults aged 50+ who received a fecal occult blood test (FOBT) for colorectal cancer within the past 2 years (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	50%	36±4	No	+	22±2	No
03-12b Percent of adults aged 50+ who ever received a sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy for colorectal cancer (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	50%	55±4	Yes		59±2	Yes
03-13 Percent of women aged 40+ with a mammogram in last 2 years (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	70%	75±5	Yes		75±2	Yes
05-03 Adults diagnosed with diabetes (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 18+)	25	74±17	No		77± 8	No
14-29a: Percent of adults 65+ with flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	67±6	No		68±3	No
14-29b: Percent of adults 65+ ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	68±6	No		68±3	No
14-29c: Percent of adults 18-64 with flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	20±3	No		25±2	No
14-29d: Percent of adults 18-64 ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	12±4	No		16±2	No
15-19 Percent adults using safety belts	92%	90± 3	Yes		93±1	Yes
19-01: Percent of adults 20+ with a healthy weight (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	32± 4	No		37± 2	No
19-02: Percent of adults 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	29± 3	No	+	24± 1	No

¹ Public Health Services. Healthy People 2010: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

² The "Yes" designation refers the 2006 percentage being significantly better compared to the Healthy People 2010 goal percentage.

³ If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly low

TABLE 5 (continued)
Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation
Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2006

Healthy People 2010 Objective ¹	Year 2010 Objective	Huntingdon 2006	Objective Met ² Huntingdon County	Sig. Diff. to PA ³	Pennsylvania 2006	Objective Met ² Pennsylvania
19-01: Percent of females 20+ with a healthy weight (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	35± 5	No	-	45± 2	No
19-02: Percent of females 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	31± 5	No		24± 2	No
19-01: Percent of males 20+ with a healthy weight (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	29± 6	No		30± 3	No
19-02: Percent of males 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	27± 5	No		25± 2	No
21-04 Percent of adults aged 65-74 who had all their natural teeth extracted	20%	24± 7	Yes		19± 3	Yes
21-10 Percent of adults aged 65+ who have visited a dentist in the past year	56%	55± 7	Yes		65± 3	Yes
21-10 Percent of adults aged 18+ who have visited a dentist in the past year	56%	61± 4	Yes	-	71± 2	Yes
22-01: Percent of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	20%	28± 3	No	+	22± 1	No
22-02: Percent of adults who engage in moderate or vigorous physical activity (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	50%	49± 4	Yes		49± 2	Yes
27-01a: Percent of adults who smoke cigarettes (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	12%	25± 4	No		22± 2	No
27-05: Percent of adults who attempted to quit smoking (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	75%	59± 8	No		57± 4	No

¹ Public Health Services. Healthy People 2010: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

² The "Yes" designation refers the 2006 percentage being significantly better compared to the Healthy People 2010 goal percentage.

³ If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania, If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly low

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,203 Huntingdon County adults completed interviews for the Huntingdon County BRFSS survey in 2006. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Huntingdon County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Huntingdon County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Huntingdon County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Huntingdon County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Huntingdon County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned diabetes, hypertension awareness, cholesterol awareness, fruits and vegetables, weight control, physical activity, arthritis, cardiovascular disease, smoking policy, other tobacco products, child safety, and childhood asthma.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Huntingdon County in 2005. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Huntingdon County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of “Don’t know/Not sure” and “Refused” were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Huntingdon County’s population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don’t contribute to their further understanding.

Report Page 4 Notes

- The analysis on adults who are **indoors most of the time while at their job** was out of adults who are employed for wages or are self employed.

Report Page 5 Notes

- The analysis on adults who are **trying to maintain their weight** was out of overweight and obese adults who are not currently trying to lose weight.
- The analysis on adults who reported that **a doctor advised them to lose weight in the past year** was out of overweight and obese adults.

Report Page 6 Notes

- Adults are classified as **overweight or obese** if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 25 or above.
- Adults are classified as **obese** if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 30 or above.
- **Current smokers** are adults who reported currently smoking every day or some days.

Report Page 7 Notes

- **Binge drinkers** are men who reported having five or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month or women who reported having four or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month.
- **Chronic drinkers** are adults who reported having an average of two or more alcoholic drinks per day the past month.
- Adults who responded, “Don’t know/Not sure” were included in the denominator for the analysis on the percent of adults who ever received the **hepatitis B vaccine**.
- A **fall injury** was defined as a fall that caused the individual to limit their regular activities for at least a day or to go see a doctor.

Report Page 10 Notes

- Adults who **participate in the recommended amount of physical activity** meet the following criteria: participate in moderate physical activity for at least 30 minutes a day, five or more days a week, and/or participate in vigorous physical activity for at least 20 minutes a day, three or more days a week.

Report Page 11 Notes

- For the analysis on adults who were **ever told they had high blood pressure**, females who were only told they had high blood pressure during pregnancy were not included in the numerator.
- Adults **ever told they have arthritis** include those told they have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia.

**Huntingdon County Healthy Communities Partnership
Christine R. Gildea, facilitator
J.C. Blair Memorial Hospital
1225 Warm Springs Avenue
Huntingdon, PA 16652
Phone: (814) 643-8548
Fax: (814) 643-8348
E-mail: cgildea@jcblair.org**

Sponsored by

Huntingdon County Wellness Improvement Network and System

In cooperation with the
Pennsylvania Department of Health
Bureau of Health Statistics and Research
555 Walnut Street 6th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1914

www.health.state.pa.us/stats/

**2006 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program**

**Core Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:
Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania Comparisons**

Table of Contents

Core Questionnaire Highlights	Page 1
Demographic Differences	Page 8
Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2006	Page 21
Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006.....	Page 22
Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006.....	Page 23
Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2006.....	Page 24
Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2006.....	Page 25
Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2006.....	Page 27
Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults That Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2006	Page 28
Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2006	Page 30
Core 4: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2006.....	Page 31
Core 5: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2006.....	Page 33
Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic for Any Reason within the Past Year, 2006.....	Page 35
Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had 5 or Fewer Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006.....	Page 37
Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006.....	Page 38
Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Teeth Cleaned by a Dentist or Dental Hygienist within the Past Year, 2006.....	Page 40
Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack, Heart Disease, or a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006	Page 41
Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006.....	Page 42
Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006.....	Page 43
Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006.....	Page 44
Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2006	Page 45
Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2006.....	Page 46
Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Are Limited in Any Way Because of Physical, Mental, or Emotional Problems, 2006.....	Page 47
Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2006	Page 48
Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Report Smoking at Least 100 Cigarettes in Their Lifetime, 2006.....	Page 50

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke**, 2006.....	Page 51
Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2006	Page 52
Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults That Quit Smoking at Least One Day in Past Year (Out of Adult Smokers That Currently Smoke Everyday), 2006.....	Page 53
Core 12: Veterans Status, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2006	Page 54
Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2006.....	Page 55
Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2006	Page 56
Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Chronic Drinking in the Past Month**, 2006	Page 57
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 58
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2006.....	Page 59
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults Who Have Had a Flu Vaccine in the Past Year That Was Sprayed in the Nose, 2006.....	Page 60
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2006.....	Page 61
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine**, 2006	Page 62
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Don't Know if They Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006.....	Page 63
Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults Who Are at High Risk for Contracting Hepatitis B**, 2006.....	Page 64
Core 15: Falls, Percent of Adults Who Have Had at Least One Fall within the Past Three Months (Out of Adults Age 45 and Older), 2006	Page 65
Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Always Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006	Page 67
Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Seldom or Never Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006	Page 68
Core 17: Drinking and Driving, Percent of Adults Who Have Driven Over the Past Month When They've Had Perhaps Too Much to Drink (Out of Adults Who Had At Least One Drink of Any Alcoholic Beverage During the Past Month), 2006	Page 69
Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Mammogram (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006.....	Page 71
Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram in the Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006	Page 72
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Clinical Breast Exam (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006	Page 74
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Clinical Breast Exam within the Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006	Page 75
Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram and Clinical Breast Exam within the Past Two Years (Out of Women Age 50 and Older), 2006	Page 76
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Pap Test, 2006	Page 78
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Pap Test Within the Past Three Years, 2006	Page 79
Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Hysterectomy, 2006.....	Page 80

Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Had a Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006	Page 82
Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Had a Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test within the Past Year (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 83
Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Had a Digital Rectal Exam (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006	Page 85
Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Had a Digital Rectal Exam within the Past Year (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006	Page 86
Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Prostate Cancer (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006	Page 87
Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Home Blood Stool Test (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006	Page 89
Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Home Blood Stool Test within the Past Two Years, 2006.....	Page 90
Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 92
Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy within the Past Five Years (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006.....	Page 93
Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2006.....	Page 95
Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults That Had Their Last HIV Test at a Private Doctor or HMO Office (Out of Adults Age 18-64 Who Have Been Tested for HIV), 2006	Page 96
Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2006	Page 98
Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2006.....	Page 100
Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese.....	Page 101
Percent of Adults Who Are Obese.....	Page 102
Technical Notes	Page 103

Core Questionnaire Highlights

In Core Questionnaire Highlights, all significant differences found between Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania for the core BRFSS questions are summarized.

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2006 – (Page 25)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 had a significantly higher percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 (12 percent, CI: 11-13).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly higher percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (4 percent, CI: 3-5).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults age 18-64 had a significantly higher percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults age 18-64 (11 percent, CI: 10-12).

Core 4: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2006 – (Page 31)

- ✓ Huntingdon County women had a significantly higher percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-37) compared to Pennsylvania women (26 percent, CI: 25-27).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (28 percent, CI: 25-32) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (22 percent, CI: 21-23).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic for Any Reason within the Past Year, 2006 – (Page 35)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 58-65) compared to Pennsylvania adults (71 percent, CI: 69-72).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 54-65) compared to Pennsylvania men (69 percent, CI: 67-71).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 59-68) compared to Pennsylvania women (72 percent, CI: 71-74).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 56-69) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (72 percent, CI: 70-74).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 62-71) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (73 percent, CI: 72-75).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 48-61) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (65 percent, CI: 62-67).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 51-62) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (64 percent, CI: 62-66).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 50-63) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (68 percent, CI: 66-70).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 57-65) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (72 percent, CI: 71-73).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006 – (Page 38)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (6 percent, CI: 5-7).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease,

2006 – (Page 38) - *continued*

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (4 percent, CI: 3-5).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Teeth Cleaned by a Dentist or Dental Hygienist within the Past Year, 2006

– (Page 40)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 59-67) compared to Pennsylvania adults (72 percent, CI: 71-73).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 53-65) compared to Pennsylvania men (69 percent, CI: 67-71).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 61-72) compared to Pennsylvania women (75 percent, CI: 74-76).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 38-63) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-29 (69 percent, CI: 65-73).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 53-66) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (70 percent, CI: 68-72).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 50-64) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (69 percent, CI: 67-71).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 58-67) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (74 percent, CI: 72-75).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Report Smoking at Least 100 Cigarettes in Their Lifetime, 2006 – (Page 50)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (61 percent, CI: 48-72) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-29 (40 percent, CI: 35-44).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2006** – (Page 51)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (50 percent, CI: 37-62) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-29 (29 percent, CI: 25-33).

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers, 2006** – (Page 56)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (6 percent, CI: 4-8).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (5 percent, CI: 4-6).

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Chronic Drinking in the Past Month, 2006** – (Page 57)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (6 percent, CI: 4-8).

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006** – (Page 62)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 20-31) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (35 percent, CI: 32-37).

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults Who Are at High Risk for Contracting Hepatitis B, 2006** – (Page 64)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (5 percent, CI: 4-7).

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Always Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006 – (Page 67)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 58-65) compared to Pennsylvania adults (72 percent, CI: 71-73).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 48-59) compared to Pennsylvania men (66 percent, CI: 64-68).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 66-75) compared to Pennsylvania women (77 percent, CI: 76-79).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 46-59) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (70 percent, CI: 68-73).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 61-71) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (74 percent, CI: 73-76).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 33-58) compared to Pennsylvania adults with less than a high school education (65 percent, CI: 60-70).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 49-62) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (69 percent, CI: 66-71).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 58-66) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (73 percent, CI: 71-74).

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Seldom or Never Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006 – (Page 68)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly higher percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Pennsylvania adults (7 percent, CI: 6-8).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Pennsylvania men (9 percent, CI: 8-11).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with some college education had a significantly higher percentage (15 percent, CI: 9-25) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (6 percent, CI: 5-7).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (6 percent, CI: 6-7).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Hysterectomy, 2006 – (Page 80)

- ✓ Huntingdon County women had a significantly higher percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-33) compared to Pennsylvania women (21 percent, CI: 20-22).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women age 65 and older had a significantly higher percentage (58 percent, CI: 51-65) compared to Pennsylvania women age 65 and older (43 percent, CI: 40-45).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-39) compared to Pennsylvania women with a high school education (26 percent, CI: 24-28).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic women had a significantly higher percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-33) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic women (21 percent, CI: 20-22).

Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Prostate Cancer (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (Page 87)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men age 50 and older with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Pennsylvania men age 50 and older with a high school education (7 percent, CI: 5-10).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Home Blood Stool Test (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (Page 89)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (53 percent, CI: 49-57) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older (42 percent, CI: 40-44).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (57 percent, CI: 52-62) compared to Pennsylvania women age 50 and older (43 percent, CI: 41-45).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50-64 had a significantly higher percentage (44 percent, CI: 38-49) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50-64 (36 percent, CI: 34-38).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 65-74 had a significantly higher percentage (61 percent, CI: 52-68) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65-74 (49 percent, CI: 46-52).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 75 and older had a significantly higher percentage (70 percent, CI: 61-77) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 75 and older (49 percent, CI: 46-52).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (53 percent, CI: 47-59) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with a high school education (40 percent, CI: 38-43).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with a college degree had a significantly higher percentage (60 percent, CI: 50-68) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with a college degree (47 percent, CI: 44-50).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (52 percent, CI: 45-60) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 (40 percent, CI: 38-43).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (56 percent, CI: 48-63) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (44 percent, CI: 41-47).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly higher percentage (52 percent, CI: 43-61) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (40 percent, CI: 37-43).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Home Blood Stool Test (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (Page 89) – *continued*

- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (53 percent, CI: 48-57) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults age 50 and older (43 percent, CI: 41-44).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Home Blood Stool Test within the Past Two Years, 2006 – (Page 90)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-40) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older (22 percent, CI: 20-23).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (37 percent, CI: 31-43) compared to Pennsylvania men age 50 and older (22 percent, CI: 20-24).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 30-40) compared to Pennsylvania women age 50 and older (21 percent, CI: 20-23).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50-64 had a significantly higher percentage (29 percent, CI: 24-34) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50-64 (18 percent, CI: 16-20).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 65-74 had a significantly higher percentage (41 percent, CI: 34-50) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65-74 (28 percent, CI: 25-31).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 75 and older had a significantly higher percentage (48 percent, CI: 39-57) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 75 and older (24 percent, CI: 22-27).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with less than a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (37 percent, CI: 26-50) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with less than a high school education (21 percent, CI: 17-25).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-39) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with a high school education (21 percent, CI: 19-23).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with some college education had a significantly higher percentage (38 percent, CI: 29-49) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with some college education (21 percent, CI: 19-24).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with a college degree had a significantly higher percentage (38 percent, CI: 30-48) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with a college degree (23 percent, CI: 20-25).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (38 percent, CI: 31-45) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 (24 percent, CI: 21-26).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-47) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (22 percent, CI: 19-24).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly higher percentage (33 percent, CI: 25-42) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (19 percent, CI: 17-22).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults age 50 and older had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-39) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults age 50 and older (22 percent, CI: 20-23).

Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2006 – (Page 95)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 25-38) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (40 percent, CI: 38-43).

Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults That Had Their Last HIV Test at a Private Doctor or HMO Office (Out of Adults Age 18-64 Who Have Been Tested for HIV), 2006 – (Page 96)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 7-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than \$25,000 (37 percent, CI: 31-43).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 20-38) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults age 18-64 (41 percent, CI: 38-44).

Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2006 – (Page 98)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (5 percent, CI: 4-6).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (7 percent, CI: 6-8).

Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2006 – (Page 100)

- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (92 percent, CI: 88-95) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (95 percent, CI: 95-96).

Percent of Adults That Are Overweight or Obese, 2006** – (Page 101)

- ✓ Huntingdon County women had a significantly higher percentage (61 percent, CI: 56-66) compared to Pennsylvania women (54 percent, CI: 53-56).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (77 percent, CI: 71-82) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (62 percent, CI: 60-65).

Percent of Adults That Are Obese, 2006** – (Page 102)

- ✓ Huntingdon County women had a significantly higher percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-35) compared to Pennsylvania women (24 percent, CI: 22-25).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (29 percent, CI: 26-32) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (24 percent, CI: 23-26).

Demographic Differences

In Demographic Differences, all significant differences found within each demographic group (gender, age, education, household income, race/ethnicity) for the core BRFSS questions are summarized.

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2006 – (Page 21)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 had significantly lower percentages (age 18-29: 11 percent, CI: 5-21; age 30-44: 9 percent, CI: 6-13; age 45-64: 17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 22-33).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-13) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (17 percent, CI: 14-21).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or less had significantly higher percentages (less than high school: 22 percent, CI: 14-33; high school: 18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (5 percent, CI: 3-9).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (33 percent, CI: 26-40) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 or more (\$25,000 to \$49,999: 11 percent, CI: 8-16; \$50,000 or more: 3 percent, CI: 1-5).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (3 percent, CI: 1-5).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006 – (Page 22)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (49 percent, CI: 41-57) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (28 percent, CI: 21-36).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006 – (Page 23)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 had significantly higher percentages (age 18-29: 47 percent, CI: 35-60; age 30-44: 39 percent, CI: 33-46; age 45-64: 31 percent, CI: 27-36) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (45 percent, CI: 37-53) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (25 percent, CI: 19-32).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2006 – (Page 24)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (34 percent, CI: 27-41) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (16 percent, CI: 11-22).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2006 – (Page 25)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (31 percent, CI: 20-45) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 30-64 (age 30-44: 14 percent, CI: 9-19; age 45-64: 11 percent, CI: 8-14).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (30 percent, CI: 20-41) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (9 percent, CI: 5-17).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2006 – (Page 27)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Huntingdon County women (6 percent, CI: 4-8).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2006 – (Page 27) - *continued*

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (26 percent, CI: 17-37) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 8 percent, CI: 5-11; age 65 and older: 4 percent, CI: 2-7).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (4 percent, CI: 2-7).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults That Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2006 – (Page 28)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-19) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (3 percent, CI: 2-7).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or less had significantly higher percentages (less than high school: 17 percent, CI: 9-28; high school: 11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (3 percent, CI: 2-7).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (4 percent, CI: 2-8).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2006 – (Page 30)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-44 had significantly lower percentages (age 18-29: 63 percent, CI: 50-74; age 30-44: 73 percent, CI: 66-78) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 83 percent, CI: 79-86; age 65 and older: 96 percent, CI: 92-98).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 79-86) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 92-98).

Core 4: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2006 – (Page 31)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-64 had significantly lower percentages (age 30-44: 26 percent, CI: 20-32; age 45-64: 24 percent, CI: 20-28) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (42 percent, CI: 36-48).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or less had significantly higher percentages (less than high school: 47 percent, CI: 34-59; high school: 34 percent, CI: 29-39) compared to Huntingdon County adults with at least some college education (some college: 16 percent, CI: 12-22; college degree: 14 percent, CI: 10-20).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$50,000 had significantly higher percentages (less than \$25,000: 41 percent, CI: 34-49; \$25,000 to \$49,999: 29 percent, CI: 23-35) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (12 percent, CI: 8-16).

Core 5: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes, 2006** – (Page 33)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-10) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 12 percent, CI: 9-16; age 65 and older: 16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-16) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (5 percent, CI: 3-8).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic for Any Reason within the Past Year, 2006 –

(Page 36)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (67 percent, CI: 62-71) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (55 percent, CI: 48-61).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 29-54) compared to Huntingdon County adults with at least some college education (some college: 66 percent, CI: 57-74; college degree: 80 percent, CI: 74-86).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 51-62) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (80 percent, CI: 74-86).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$50,000 had significantly lower percentages (less than \$25,000: 43 percent, CI: 36-51; \$25,000 to \$49,999: 57 percent, CI: 50-63) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (82 percent, CI: 76-87).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had 5 or Fewer Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease,

2006 – (Page 37)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-44 had significantly higher percentages (age 18-29: 95 percent, CI: 85-98; age 30-44: 91 percent, CI: 85-94) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 77 percent, CI: 72-81; age 65 and older: 49 percent, CI: 43-55).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (77 percent, CI: 72-81) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (49 percent, CI: 43-55).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or less had significantly lower percentages (less than high school: 59 percent, CI: 47-71; high school: 74 percent, CI: 69-78) compared to Huntingdon County adults with at least some college education (some college: 90 percent, CI: 85-93; college degree: 94 percent, CI: 90-97).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 61-73) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (81 percent, CI: 75-85).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$50,000 had significantly lower percentages (less than \$25,000: 67 percent, CI: 61-73; \$25,000 to \$49,999: 81 percent, CI: 75-85) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (93 percent, CI: 89-96).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease,

2006 – (Page 38)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 10 percent, CI: 7-13; age 65 and older: 24 percent, CI: 19-29).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (24 percent, CI: 19-29).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or less had significantly higher percentages (less than high school: 21 percent, CI: 14-31; high school: 11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Huntingdon County adults with at least some college education (some college: 2 percent, CI: 1-3; college degree: 1 percent, CI: 0-4).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006 – (Page 38) - *continued*

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 or more (\$25,000 to \$49,999: 6 percent, CI: 4-9; \$50,000 or more: 0 percent, CI: 0-2).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (0 percent, CI: 0-2).

Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Teeth Cleaned by a Dentist or Dental Hygienist within the Past Year, 2006 – (Page 40)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 38-63) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (71 percent, CI: 66-75).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or some college education had significantly lower percentages (high school: 58 percent, CI: 53-64; some college: 65 percent, CI: 56-73) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (80 percent, CI: 74-86).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$50,000 had significantly lower percentages (less than \$25,000: 46 percent, CI: 38-55; \$25,000 to \$49,999: 57 percent, CI: 50-64) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (81 percent, CI: 75-86).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack, Heart Disease, or a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (Page 41)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-54: 10 percent, CI: 7-16; age 55-64: 12 percent, CI: 8-18; age 65 and older: 27 percent, CI: 22-33).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had significantly lower percentages (age 45-54: 10 percent, CI: 7-16; age 55-64: 12 percent, CI: 8-18) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 22-33).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with less than a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (28 percent, CI: 19-39) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with at least a high school education (high school: 13 percent, CI: 10-16; some college: 11 percent, CI: 7-17; college degree: 9 percent, CI: 5-15).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-32) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of \$25,000 or more (\$25,000 to \$49,999: 8 percent, CI: 6-12; \$50,000 or more: 6 percent, CI: 4-10).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (Page 42)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men age 35 and older had a significantly higher percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Huntingdon County women age 35 and older (4 percent, CI: 2-5).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 55 and older (age 55-64: 8 percent, CI: 5-13; age 65 and older: 14 percent, CI: 10-19).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (Page 42) - *continued*

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (14 percent, CI: 10-19).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with less than a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (19 percent, CI: 12-29) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with a high school education or a college degree (high school: 7 percent, CI: 5-9; college degree: 3 percent, CI: 1-7).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of \$25,000 or more (\$25,000 to \$49,999: 4 percent, CI: 2-7; \$50,000 or more: 2 percent, CI: 1-5).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (Page 43)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-54: 6 percent, CI: 4-11; age 55-64: 9 percent, CI: 6-14; age 65 and older: 16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-22) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of \$25,000 or more (\$25,000 to \$49,999: 4 percent, CI: 2-7; \$50,000 or more: 6 percent, CI: 3-10).

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (Page 44)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (9 percent, CI: 6-13).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (0 percent, CI: 0-3).

Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2006 – (Page 45)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (25 percent, CI: 15-38) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 11 percent, CI: 8-14; age 65 and older: 10 percent, CI: 7-14).

Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Are Limited in Any Way Because of Physical, Mental, or Emotional Problems, 2006 – (Page 47)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (30 percent, CI: 20-42) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (12 percent, CI: 8-17).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (34 percent, CI: 28-42) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 or more (\$25,000 to \$49,999: 16 percent, CI: 12-21; \$50,000 or more: 8 percent, CI: 6-12).

Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2006 – (Page 48)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 had significantly lower percentages (age 18-29: 1 percent, CI: 0-7; age 30-44: 3 percent, CI: 1-5; age 45-64: 5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 or more (\$25,000 to \$49,999: 3 percent, CI: 2-6; \$50,000 or more: 1 percent, CI: 1-4).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Report Smoking at Least 100 Cigarettes in Their Lifetime, 2006 – (Page 50)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with some college education or less had significantly higher percentages (less than high school: 61 percent, CI: 47-73; high school: 49 percent, CI: 44-54; some college: 54 percent, CI: 45-62) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (34 percent, CI: 27-42).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2006** – (Page 51)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (50 percent, CI: 37-62) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 30 and older (age 30-44: 24 percent, CI: 19-30; age 45-64: 19 percent, CI: 16-23; age 65 and older: 7 percent, CI: 4-11).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-64 had significantly higher percentages (age 30-44: 24 percent, CI: 19-30; age 45-64: 19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (7 percent, CI: 4-11).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (41 percent, CI: 29-54) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (12 percent, CI: 7-21).

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2006 – (Page 52)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 6-20) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 28 percent, CI: 24-32; age 65 and older: 39 percent, CI: 33-45).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-64 had significantly lower percentages (age 30-44: 19 percent, CI: 15-25; age 45-64: 28 percent, CI: 24-32) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (39 percent, CI: 33-45).

Core 12: Veterans Status, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2006 – (Page 54)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Huntingdon County women (0 percent, CI: 0-1).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-13) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 19 percent, CI: 15-23; age 65 and older: 23 percent, CI: 18-29).

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions during the Past Month, 2006** – (Page 55)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-29) compared to Huntingdon County women (7 percent, CI: 5-11).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-44 had significantly higher percentages (age 18-29: 31 percent, CI: 20-45; age 30-44: 20 percent, CI: 15-27) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 9 percent, CI: 6-12; age 65 and older: 2 percent, CI: 1-4).

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions during the Past Month, 2006** – (Page 55) - *continued*

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (2 percent, CI: 1-4).

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Chronic Drinking in the Past Month, 2006** – (Page 57)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Huntingdon County women (1 percent, CI: 1-2).

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (Page 58)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 28-39) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (67 percent, CI: 61-72).

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006** – (Page 62)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (54 percent, CI: 42-66) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 30 and older (age 30-44: 25 percent, CI: 20-31; age 45-64: 22 percent, CI: 18-26; age 65 and older: 8 percent, CI: 5-12).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-64 had significantly higher percentages (age 30-44: 25 percent, CI: 20-31; age 45-64: 22 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (8 percent, CI: 5-12).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Huntingdon County adults with some college education or a college degree (some college: 45 percent, CI: 36-54; college degree: 34 percent, CI: 26-43).

Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults Who Are at High Risk for Contracting Hepatitis B, 2006** – (Page 64)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (15 percent, CI: 8-27) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 30 and older (age 30-44: 4 percent, CI: 2-7; age 45-64: 3 percent, CI: 1-5; age 65 and older: 0 percent, CI: 0-2).

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Always Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006 – (Page 67)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 48-59) compared to Huntingdon County women (71 percent, CI: 66-75).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 40-65) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (77 percent, CI: 71-82).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 46-59) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 66 percent, CI: 61-71; age 65 and older: 77 percent, CI: 71-82).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or less had a significantly lower percentage (less than high school: 45 percent, CI: 33-58; high school: 60 percent, CI: 55-65) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (76 percent, CI: 69-82).

Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Seldom or Never Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006 – (Page 68)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Huntingdon County women (5 percent, CI: 3-7).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (23 percent, CI: 13-36) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or a college degree (high school: 9 percent, CI: 6-12; college degree: 2 percent, CI: 1-5).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or some college education had a significantly higher percentage (high school: 9 percent, CI: 6-12; some college: 15 percent, CI: 9-25) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (2 percent, CI: 1-5).

Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Mammogram (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006 – (Page 71)

- ✓ Huntingdon County women age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 71-87) compared to Huntingdon County women age 50-74 (age 50-64: 94 percent, CI: 90-96; age 65-74: 97 percent, CI: 90-99).

Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram in the Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006

– (Page 72)

- ✓ Huntingdon County women age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 40-58) compared to Huntingdon County women age 65-74 (74 percent, CI: 64-82).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Clinical Breast Exam (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006 –

(Page 74)

- ✓ Huntingdon County women age 40-64 had significantly higher percentages (age 40-49: 98 percent, CI: 94-99; age 50-64: 94 percent, CI: 89-96) compared to Huntingdon County women age 75 and older (79 percent, CI: 69-87).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Pap Test Within the Past Three Years, 2006 – (Page 79)

- ✓ Huntingdon County women age 30-64 had significantly higher percentages (age 30-44: 85 percent, CI: 78-90; age 45-64: 78 percent, CI: 73-83) compared to Huntingdon County women age 65 and older (62 percent, CI: 54-69).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 69-82) compared to Huntingdon County women with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (89 percent, CI: 83-93).

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a Hysterectomy, 2006 – (Page 80)

- ✓ Huntingdon County women age 18-44 had significantly lower percentages (age 18-29: 4 percent, CI: 1-13; age 30-44: 11 percent, CI: 7-17) compared to Huntingdon County women age 45 and older (age 45-64: 33 percent, CI: 28-39; age 65 and older: 58 percent, CI: 51-65).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-39) compared to Huntingdon County women age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 51-65).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-39) compared to Huntingdon County women with a college degree (17 percent, CI: 11-25).

Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Had a Digital Rectal Exam (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (Page 85)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men age 50 and older with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 64-82) compared to Huntingdon County men age 50 and older with a college degree (96 percent, CI: 87-99).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Home Blood Stool Test (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (Page 89)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 38-49) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (age 65-74: 61 percent, CI: 52-68; age 75 and older: 70 percent, CI: 61-77).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Home Blood Stool Test within the Past Two Years, 2006 – (Page 90)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 24-34) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 75 and older (48 percent, CI: 39-57).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (Page 92)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 42-53) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (age 65-74: 65 percent, CI: 57-72; age 75 and older: 63 percent, CI: 54-71).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 44-56) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with a college degree (67 percent, CI: 58-75).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 39-53) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (64 percent, CI: 55-72).

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy within the Past Five Years (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006 – (Page 93)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 36-47) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65-74 (61 percent, CI: 53-69).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 39-50) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with a college degree (63 percent, CI: 54-72).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 35-49) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (59 percent, CI: 50-68).

Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2006 – (Page 95)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-44 had significantly higher percentages (age 18-29: 31 percent, CI: 20-43; age 30-44: 31 percent, CI: 25-38) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (15 percent, CI: 12-19).

Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2006 – (Page 98)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or some college education had a significantly higher percentage (high school: 13 percent, CI: 9-17; some college: 6 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (1 percent, CI: 0-2).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (19 percent, CI: 14-27) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 or more (\$25,000 to \$49,999: 5 percent, CI: 3-8; \$50,000 or more: 4 percent, CI: 2-10).

Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2006 – (Page 100)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (94 percent, CI: 90-96) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (99 percent, CI: 97-100).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 71-89) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 or more (\$25,000 to \$49,999: 97 percent, CI: 95-98; \$50,000 or more: 97 percent, CI: 90-99).

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese, 2006** – (Page 101)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 29-52) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 30 and older (age 30-44: 77 percent, CI: 71-82; age 45-64: 74 percent, CI: 69-78; age 65 and older: 61 percent, CI: 55-67).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-64 had significantly higher percentages (age 30-44: 77 percent, CI: 71-82; age 45-64: 74 percent, CI: 69-78) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (61 percent, CI: 55-67).


Percent of Adults Who Are Obese, 2006** – (Page 102)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 and 65 and older had significantly lower percentages (age 18-29: 17 percent, CI: 10-27; age 65 and older: 24 percent, CI: 20-30) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (36 percent, CI: 31-41).


Detailed Core Questionnaire Tables

Would you say that in general your health is...

2006 Huntington County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	16	13-18		14	13-15
Male	14	11-18		13	12-15
Female	17	14-21		15	14-16
18-29	11	5-21		6	4-8
30-44	9	6-13		9	7-10
45-64	17	14-21		17	15-18
65+	27	22-33		26	24-28
< High School	22	14-33		34	30-38
High School	18	15-22		17	16-19
Some College	14	9-20		12	10-14
College Degree	5	3-9		6	6-8
<\$25,000	33	26-40		31	29-34
\$25,000 to \$49,999	11	8-16		14	12-15
\$50,000+	3	1-5		5	5-6
White, non-Hispanic	16	13-18		13	13-14
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	19	16-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was
Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	35	32-39		36	35-38
Male	32	27-38		34	32-36
Female	39	34-44		39	37-40
18-29	40	28-53		37	33-41
30-44	29	24-36		35	33-37
45-64	34	29-38		37	35-39
65+	42	36-48		37	35-40
< High School	39	27-52		48	43-53
High School	33	29-38		37	35-39
Some College	38	29-48		36	33-39
College Degree	35	27-43		33	31-35
<\$25,000	49	41-57		49	46-52
\$25,000 to \$49,999	34	29-41		36	33-38
\$50,000+	28	21-36		31	29-33
White, non-Hispanic	35	31-39		36	34-37
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	40	36-45

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	34	30-38		34	33-35
Male	32	26-38		28	26-30
Female	36	32-41		40	38-41
18-29	47	35-60		44	40-48
30-44	39	33-46		38	36-41
45-64	31	27-36		33	31-35
65+	16	12-21		19	18-21
< High School	47	34-60		36	32-41
High School	31	27-37		32	30-34
Some College	37	28-46		37	34-40
College Degree	31	24-39		33	31-35
<\$25,000	45	37-53		40	37-43
\$25,000 to \$49,999	36	30-42		35	33-37
\$50,000+	25	19-32		31	29-33
White, non-Hispanic	34	30-38		33	32-35
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	36	33-41

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or
Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days
(Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+
Days in the Past 30 Days), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	23	20-26		20	19-21
Male	22	18-28		17	15-18
Female	24	20-28		22	21-24
18-29	29	19-42		21	18-25
30-44	23	18-28		20	18-22
45-64	22	18-27		20	19-22
65+	18	14-23		17	16-19
< High School	31	20-45		26	22-30
High School	23	19-28		20	18-21
Some College	24	17-32		20	17-22
College Degree	17	12-23		18	17-20
<\$25,000	34	27-41		31	28-33
\$25,000 to \$49,999	24	18-30		18	16-20
\$50,000+	16	11-22		16	14-17
White, non-Hispanic	23	20-26		19	18-20
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	23	20-27

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with
No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2006**

	<u>Huntingdon County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 18-64	17	13-21	+	12	11-13
Male, Age 18-64	18	13-24		13	11-15
Female, Age 18-64	16	11-22		11	10-12
18-29	31	20-45		19	15-22
30-44	14	9-19		11	9-12
45-64	11	8-14		9	8-11
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	25	20-31
High School, Age 18-64	20	15-27		16	14-19
Some College, Age 18-64	11	6-19		10	8-13
College Degree, Age 18-64	8	3-19		6	5-7
<\$25,000, Age 18-64	30	20-41		29	26-33
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 18-64	16	11-23		13	11-15
\$50,000+, Age 18-64	9	5-17	+	4	3-5
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	17	13-22	+	11	10-12
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	20	16-24

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Do you have one person you think of as your personal doctor or health care provider?

2006 Huntingdon County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with
No Personal Health Care Provider, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	12	10-15		10	10-11
Male	18	13-23		15	13-17
Female	6	4-8		7	6-8
18-29	26	17-37		21	18-25
30-44	13	9-18		13	11-15
45-64	8	5-11		6	5-7
65+	4	2-7		4	3-5
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	14	11-18
High School	11	8-15		11	9-12
Some College	9	5-17		11	9-13
College Degree	10	7-15		9	7-10
<\$25,000	13	8-20		14	12-16
\$25,000 to \$49,999	14	9-20		11	9-13
\$50,000+	9	5-14		8	7-10
White, non-Hispanic	12	10-15		9	9-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	16	13-21

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults That Needed to See a Doctor
But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	10	7-12		11	10-11
Male	9	6-13		9	8-11
Female	10	8-14		12	11-13
18-29	11	6-21		15	12-18
30-44	14	10-19		13	11-15
45-64	8	6-11		10	9-11
65+	3	2-7		5	4-6
< High School	17	9-28		15	12-19
High School	11	8-16		13	11-14
Some College	7	4-12		11	9-13
College Degree	3	2-7		7	5-8
<\$25,000	16	11-22		22	20-24
\$25,000 to \$49,999	11	8-16		11	9-13
\$50,000+	4	2-8		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	10	7-12		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	18	15-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup?

2006 Huntington County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a
Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2006**

	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	78	75-82		81	80-82
Male	75	69-80		78	76-80
Female	82	77-86		85	83-86
18-29	63	50-74		78	74-81
30-44	73	66-78		74	72-76
45-64	83	79-86		83	82-85
65+	96	92-98		92	91-93
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	84	80-87
High School	80	74-84		81	79-83
Some College	74	65-81		80	78-83
College Degree	79	70-86		81	80-83
<\$25,000	80	71-87		81	78-83
\$25,000 to \$49,999	75	68-81		80	78-82
\$50,000+	77	70-82		82	80-83
White, non-Hispanic	78	74-81		81	80-82
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	85	81-88

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 4: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time
Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	28	25-31		24	23-25
Male	24	20-29		21	19-23
Female	32	28-37	+	26	25-27
18-29	24	15-36		15	13-19
30-44	26	20-32		20	19-23
45-64	24	20-28		24	22-26
65+	42	36-48		36	34-38
< High School	47	34-59		39	34-43
High School	34	29-39		30	28-32
Some College	16	12-22		21	19-24
College Degree	14	10-20		13	12-15
<\$25,000	41	34-49		37	34-39
\$25,000 to \$49,999	29	23-35		24	22-26
\$50,000+	12	8-16		15	13-16
White, non-Hispanic	28	25-32	+	22	21-23
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	33	29-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Have you ever been told by a doctor that you have diabetes?

2006 Huntington County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 5: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been
Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	8	7-10		9	8-9
Male	8	5-10		10	9-11
Female	8	7-11		7	7-8
18-29	1	0-10		1	1-2
30-44	3	1-6		4	3-5
45-64	12	9-16		11	10-12
65+	16	12-21		19	17-21
< High School	14	8-23		16	13-20
High School	9	7-11		10	9-12
Some College	6	4-11		8	6-9
College Degree	5	3-9		5	4-6
<\$25,000	12	9-16		15	13-16
\$25,000 to \$49,999	7	5-11		9	8-10
\$50,000+	5	3-8		5	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	8	6-10		8	8-9
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	11	9-13

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Numerator does not include females who responded that they only had diabetes during pregnancy.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you last visited a dentist or dental clinic for any reason?

2006 Huntington County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Visited a
Dentist or Dental Clinic for Any Reason within the Past Year, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	61	58-65	-	71	69-72
Male	59	54-65	-	69	67-71
Female	64	59-68	-	72	71-74
18-29	57	45-69		70	66-74
30-44	62	56-69	-	72	70-74
45-64	67	62-71	-	73	72-75
65+	55	48-61	-	65	62-67
< High School	41	29-54		50	45-55
High School	57	51-62	-	64	62-66
Some College	66	57-74		73	70-76
College Degree	80	74-86		82	80-84
<\$25,000	43	36-51		52	49-55
\$25,000 to \$49,999	57	50-63	-	68	66-70
\$50,000+	82	76-87		81	79-83
White, non-Hispanic	61	57-65	-	72	71-73
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	61	56-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How many of your permanent teeth have been removed because of tooth decay or gum disease?

2006 Huntingdon County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had 5 or Fewer Permanent Teeth
Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	79	77-82		81	80-82
Male	81	76-84		83	81-84
Female	78	74-81		79	78-80
18-29	95	85-98		99	97-100
30-44	91	85-94		94	93-95
45-64	77	72-81		78	76-79
65+	49	43-55		50	48-52
< High School	59	47-71		57	52-61
High School	74	69-78		74	72-76
Some College	90	85-93		85	83-86
College Degree	94	90-97		93	92-94
<\$25,000	67	61-73		62	60-65
\$25,000 to \$49,999	81	75-85		81	79-82
\$50,000+	93	89-96		92	91-93
White, non-Hispanic	80	77-82		81	80-82
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	82	79-85

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Have Had All Their Permanent Teeth
Removed Due to Tooth Decay or Gum Disease, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	8	7-10		7	6-7
Male	7	5-9		6	5-6
Female	10	8-13		8	7-8
18-29	0	NCI	NA	0	0-0
30-44	2	1-6		1	1-1
45-64	10	7-13	+	6	5-7
65+	24	19-29		23	21-25
< High School	21	14-31		23	19-26
High School	11	9-14		9	8-10
Some College	2	1-3	-	4	3-5
College Degree	1	0-4		2	1-2
<\$25,000	17	13-22		16	14-18
\$25,000 to \$49,999	6	4-9		6	5-7
\$50,000+	0	0-2		2	1-2
White, non-Hispanic	8	7-10		7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	5	4-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your teeth cleaned by a dentist or dental hygienist?

2006 Huntington County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 6: Oral Health, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Teeth Cleaned by a Dentist or
Dental Hygienist within the Past Year, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	63	59-67	-	72	71-73
Male	60	53-65	-	69	67-71
Female	67	61-72	-	75	74-76
18-29	50	38-63	-	69	65-73
30-44	60	53-66	-	70	68-72
45-64	71	66-75		74	73-76
65+	70	63-76		76	74-78
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	58	52-63
High School	58	53-64		66	64-68
Some College	65	56-73		72	69-75
College Degree	80	74-86		82	80-84
<\$25,000	46	38-55		55	52-58
\$25,000 to \$49,999	57	50-64	-	69	67-71
\$50,000+	81	75-86		81	79-83
White, non-Hispanic	63	58-67	-	74	72-75
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	63	59-67

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack, Heart Disease, or a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 35+	13	11-16		12	11-13
Male, Age 35+	16	13-20		14	13-16
Female, Age 35+	10	8-13		10	9-11
35-44	2	1-5		2	1-3
45-54	10	7-16		7	5-8
55-64	12	8-18		13	11-15
65+	27	22-33		25	23-27
< High School, Age 35+	28	19-39		26	22-30
High School, Age 35+	13	10-16		13	12-14
Some College, Age 35+	11	7-17		12	10-13
College Degree, Age 35+	9	5-15		7	6-8
<\$25,000, Age 35+	26	21-32		23	21-25
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	8	6-12		12	10-14
\$50,000+, Age 35+	6	4-10		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	14	11-16		12	11-13
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR	NA	12	10-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told
They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 35+	7	5-9		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	10	7-13		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	4	2-5		5	4-5
35-44	0	0-3		1	1-1
45-54	5	2-9		3	2-4
55-64	8	5-13		8	6-9
65+	14	10-19		13	12-15
< High School, Age 35+	19	12-29		15	12-18
High School, Age 35+	7	5-9		7	6-8
Some College, Age 35+	6	3-12		6	5-8
College Degree, Age 35+	3	1-7		3	2-4
<\$25,000, Age 35+	13	9-18		13	11-15
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	4	2-7		6	5-8
\$50,000+, Age 35+	2	1-5		3	2-4
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	7	5-9		6	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR	NA	6	5-9

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told
They Had Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 35+	8	6-10		7	6-8
Male, Age 35+	10	8-14		9	8-10
Female, Age 35+	6	4-8		5	5-6
35-44	1	0-3		1	1-2
45-54	6	4-11		4	3-5
55-64	9	6-14		7	6-9
65+	16	12-21		15	14-17
< High School, Age 35+	15	9-25		13	10-16
High School, Age 35+	8	6-11		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	6	4-11		8	6-9
College Degree, Age 35+	7	4-13		4	3-5
<\$25,000, Age 35+	16	12-22		13	11-15
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	4	2-7		8	6-9
\$50,000+, Age 35+	6	3-10		4	3-4
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	8	7-10		7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR	NA	6	4-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told
They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Male, Age 35+	4	2-7		4	3-4
Female, Age 35+	4	2-6		3	3-4
35-44	1	0-4		1	0-1
45-54	3	1-6		2	1-2
55-64	3	1-6		4	3-6
65+	9	6-13		7	6-8
< High School, Age 35+	11	6-20		9	7-12
High School, Age 35+	4	3-6		4	3-4
Some College, Age 35+	3	1-7		3	2-4
College Degree, Age 35+	2	0-7		2	1-3
<\$25,000, Age 35+	8	5-12		7	6-9
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	3	1-6		3	2-4
\$50,000+, Age 35+	0	0-3		1	1-2
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	4	3-6		3	3-4
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR	NA	4	3-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have
Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	14	12-18		12	12-13
Male	14	10-19		10	8-11
Female	15	12-20		15	14-16
18-29	25	15-38		18	15-21
30-44	14	9-19		12	10-13
45-64	11	8-14		12	11-13
65+	10	7-14		9	8-11
< High School	15	9-23		15	13-19
High School	14	10-19		11	10-13
Some College	17	11-27		14	12-17
College Degree	11	7-16		11	10-13
<\$25,000	19	13-27		17	15-19
\$25,000 to \$49,999	12	8-17		11	9-13
\$50,000+	16	10-24		11	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	14	11-17		12	11-13
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	17	15-20

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 8: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	9	7-11		9	8-9
Male	8	5-13		6	5-7
Female	9	7-12		11	10-12
18-29	13	7-23		12	10-15
30-44	7	4-12		8	7-10
45-64	8	6-11		8	7-9
65+	7	4-10		7	6-8
< High School	11	6-19		12	10-16
High School	8	5-11		8	7-9
Some College	11	6-18		10	8-12
College Degree	6	3-11		8	7-9
<\$25,000	11	8-15		12	11-14
\$25,000 to \$49,999	8	5-12		8	7-9
\$50,000+	9	5-15		7	6-8
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-11		8	7-9
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	14	11-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Are Limited in Any Way
Because of Physical, Mental, or Emotional Problems, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	19	17-22		18	17-19
Male	18	14-22		17	15-18
Female	21	17-24		20	19-21
18-29	11	5-21		9	7-11
30-44	16	12-21		14	12-16
45-64	22	19-27		22	21-24
65+	26	21-32		28	26-30
< High School	30	20-42		30	26-35
High School	20	17-24		20	18-21
Some College	17	12-23		18	16-20
College Degree	12	8-17		14	13-16
<\$25,000	34	28-42		33	30-35
\$25,000 to \$49,999	16	12-21		16	15-18
\$50,000+	8	6-12		12	11-14
White, non-Hispanic	19	17-22		18	17-19
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	19	16-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 9: Disability, Percent of Adults That Have a Health Problem That
Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	6	4-7		7	7-8
Male	5	3-7		7	6-8
Female	6	5-8		8	7-9
18-29	1	0-7		1	1-3
30-44	3	1-5		4	3-5
45-64	5	3-7		8	7-9
65+	16	12-21		17	16-19
< High School	9	5-16		14	11-17
High School	5	4-8		8	7-9
Some College	5	3-8		7	6-9
College Degree	4	2-7		5	4-5
<\$25,000	11	8-15		16	14-17
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3	2-6		6	5-7
\$50,000+	1	1-4		3	2-3
White, non-Hispanic	6	4-7		7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	8	6-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Four-level smoker status: Everyday smoker, Someday smoker, Former smoker, Non-smoker?

2006 Huntingdon County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Report
Smoking at Least 100 Cigarettes in Their Lifetime, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	49	45-52		47	46-49
Male	53	47-58		51	49-53
Female	44	39-49		44	42-45
18-29	61	48-72	+	40	35-44
30-44	44	37-50		45	43-48
45-64	47	42-52		52	50-54
65+	46	40-52		49	47-51
< High School	61	47-73		61	56-66
High School	49	44-54		53	51-55
Some College	54	45-62		47	45-50
College Degree	34	27-42		36	34-38
<\$25,000	56	49-63		55	53-58
\$25,000 to \$49,999	51	44-57		51	48-53
\$50,000+	46	38-53		43	40-45
White, non-Hispanic	48	44-51		48	46-49
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	44	40-48

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	25	21-29		22	21-23
Male	26	21-32		22	21-24
Female	24	19-29		22	20-23
18-29	50	37-62	+	29	25-33
30-44	24	19-30		26	24-28
45-64	19	16-23		22	21-24
65+	7	4-11		9	8-11
< High School	41	29-54		36	31-41
High School	25	20-30		27	25-29
Some College	27	18-37		23	20-26
College Degree	12	7-21		11	10-13
<\$25,000	35	27-44		29	26-31
\$25,000 to \$49,999	25	19-31		25	23-27
\$50,000+	19	13-28		17	16-19
White, non-Hispanic	24	20-28		21	20-23
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	27	23-31

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Numerator includes adults that currently smoke some days or every day.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	24	21-27		25	24-26
Male	27	22-32		29	27-31
Female	20	17-24		22	21-23
18-29	11	6-20		11	8-13
30-44	19	15-25		19	17-21
45-64	28	24-32		30	28-31
65+	39	33-45		40	38-42
< High School	20	13-29		25	22-29
High School	24	20-28		26	24-27
Some College	27	20-35		25	22-27
College Degree	22	17-29		25	23-27
<\$25,000	21	16-26		27	25-29
\$25,000 to \$49,999	26	21-32		26	24-28
\$50,000+	26	21-33		25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	24	21-27		26	25-28
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	17	15-20

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 10: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults That Quit Smoking at Least One Day in
Past Year (Out of Adult Smokers That Currently Smoke Everyday), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	58	48-68		51	48-54
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	54	48-59
Female	NSR	NSR	NA	48	44-52
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	59	50-67
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	53	47-58
45-64	46	34-59		47	43-52
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	37	30-45
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	53	45-61
High School	NSR	NSR	NA	47	42-51
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	56	48-63
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	56	47-64
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	54	48-60
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	49	43-55
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	52	46-58
White, non-Hispanic	57	46-67		49	45-52
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	62	52-71

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 12: Veterans Status, Percent of Adults That Have
Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	15	13-18		14	13-14
Male	28	24-33		27	25-29
Female	0	0-1		1	1-2
18-29	3	1-13		2	1-4
30-44	14	10-21		9	7-10
45-64	19	15-23		15	13-16
65+	23	18-29		29	27-31
< High School	14	8-22		11	9-13
High School	15	12-18		14	13-16
Some College	19	13-27		15	14-17
College Degree	13	9-18		12	11-13
<\$25,000	18	13-24		15	13-17
\$25,000 to \$49,999	14	10-19		14	13-16
\$50,000+	16	12-22		13	12-15
White, non-Hispanic	15	13-18		14	13-15
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	11	9-14

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	15	12-19		16	15-17
Male	22	17-29		22	20-24
Female	7	5-11		11	10-12
18-29	31	20-45		29	25-33
30-44	20	15-27		21	19-23
45-64	9	6-12		13	11-14
65+	2	1-4		4	3-5
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	13	10-17
High School	15	11-20		16	14-18
Some College	18	10-30		16	14-19
College Degree	12	7-18		17	15-19
<\$25,000	13	7-24		12	10-14
\$25,000 to \$49,999	19	14-26		17	15-19
\$50,000+	17	11-25		19	17-21
White, non-Hispanic	15	12-19		17	16-18
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	11	9-15

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Where binge drinking is defined as having five or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for men, or four or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who
Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	3	2-5		5	4-6
Male	4	2-6		6	5-8
Female	3	1-6		4	3-5
18-29	6	2-17		10	7-13
30-44	2	1-5		5	4-6
45-64	3	1-5		4	4-5
65+	3	1-6		2	2-3
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	7	4-10
High School	3	2-7		5	4-6
Some College	1	1-3	-	6	4-8
College Degree	2	1-6		4	3-6
<\$25,000	4	2-11		4	3-5
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3	2-7		6	5-8
\$50,000+	1	0-3	-	5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	3	2-5		5	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	4	3-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Where heavy drinking is defined as having more than two drinks per day for men or more than one drink per day for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 13: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults That
Participated in Chronic Drinking in the Past Month**, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	3	2-5		5	4-6
Male	5	3-7		9	7-10
Female	1	1-2		2	2-3
18-29	4	1-11		9	6-12
30-44	3	1-6		4	3-5
45-64	3	2-5		5	4-6
65+	3	1-6		3	3-4
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	7	4-11
High School	3	2-4		5	4-6
Some College	1	0-3	-	6	4-8
College Degree	4	2-8		4	4-6
<\$25,000	2	1-4		4	3-6
\$25,000 to \$49,999	4	2-8		7	5-8
\$50,000+	2	1-4		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	3	2-5		5	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	5	3-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Where chronic drinking is defined as having an average of two or more drinks per day during the past month.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have
Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	48	44-52		52	51-54
Male, Age 50+	47	40-53		50	48-53
Female, Age 50+	49	44-55		54	52-56
50-64	34	28-39		39	37-41
65+	67	61-72		68	66-70
< High School, Age 50+	51	38-63		55	50-60
High School, Age 50+	47	41-52		50	48-52
Some College, Age 50+	46	36-56		53	49-56
College Degree, Age 50+	53	44-62		54	51-57
<\$25,000, Age 50+	49	41-56		53	50-56
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	52	44-59		53	50-56
\$50,000+, Age 50+	38	30-47		47	44-50
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	48	44-52		53	51-55
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	45	39-50

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have
Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 65+	67	61-72		68	66-70
Male, Age 65+	68	58-76		72	68-75
Female, Age 65+	66	58-73		66	64-69
< High School, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	64	58-69
High School, Age 65+	66	58-73		65	62-68
Some College, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	72	67-76
College Degree, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	77	73-81
<\$25,000, Age 65+	59	50-67		65	61-68
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 65+	74	60-84		68	64-72
\$50,000+, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	78	72-82
White, non-Hispanic, Age 65+	67	61-72		70	67-72
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	57	50-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults Who Have
Had a Flu Vaccine in the Past Year That Was Sprayed in the Nose, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	1	0-1		1	0-1
Male	1	0-2		0	0-1
Female	1	0-2		1	0-1
18-29	1	0-4		1	1-3
30-44	0	0-3		0	0-1
45-64	0	0-2		0	0-1
65+	1	0-4		1	0-1
< High School	2	1-9		1	1-4
High School	0	0-1		1	0-2
Some College	0	0-3		0	0-1
College Degree	1	0-5		0	0-1
<\$25,000	1	0-4		1	1-2
\$25,000 to \$49,999	0	0-2		1	0-2
\$50,000+	1	0-3		0	0-1
White, non-Hispanic	1	0-1		0	0-1
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	2	1-4

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have
Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 65+	67	61-73		68	66-70
Male, Age 65+	62	51-71		66	62-69
Female, Age 65+	71	64-77		70	67-72
< High School, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	65	59-70
High School, Age 65+	70	62-77		66	63-69
Some College, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	76	72-80
College Degree, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	68	64-73
<\$25,000, Age 65+	63	54-72		69	66-72
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 65+	70	56-81		68	64-72
\$50,000+, Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	67	61-73
White, non-Hispanic, Age 65+	67	61-73		69	67-71
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 65+	NSR	NSR	NA	58	50-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That Have
Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine**, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	27	23-31		31	30-33
Male	23	18-28		30	28-32
Female	32	27-37		33	31-34
18-29	54	42-66		61	57-65
30-44	25	20-31	-	35	32-37
45-64	22	18-26		25	23-27
65+	8	5-12		10	9-12
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	23	19-29
High School	18	14-23		24	22-26
Some College	45	36-54		38	35-41
College Degree	34	26-43		37	35-39
<\$25,000	23	17-31		27	24-30
\$25,000 to \$49,999	28	22-35		32	29-34
\$50,000+	34	26-42		35	33-37
White, non-Hispanic	26	23-30		30	29-32
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	40	36-44

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Adults who responded "Don't Know/Not Sure" were included in the denominator for this analysis.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults That
Don't Know if They Have Ever Received the Hepatitis B Vaccine, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	14	11-18		13	13-14
Male	17	13-23		15	13-17
Female	11	8-15		12	11-13
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	15	13-19
30-44	17	12-23		17	15-19
45-64	10	7-13		11	10-12
65+	12	8-16		11	9-12
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	16	13-21
High School	15	11-20		12	11-14
Some College	11	5-23		15	13-17
College Degree	13	9-19		14	12-15
<\$25,000	19	12-29		11	10-13
\$25,000 to \$49,999	13	9-19		13	12-15
\$50,000+	13	9-18		14	12-16
White, non-Hispanic	15	12-18		14	13-15
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	12	10-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 14: Immunization, Percent of Adults Who Are at
High Risk for Contracting Hepatitis B**, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	5	3-8		5	4-6
Male	7	4-12		8	6-9
Female	3	1-6		3	2-3
18-29	15	8-27		13	10-17
30-44	4	2-7		5	4-6
45-64	3	1-5		3	3-4
65+	0	0-2		1	0-1
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	6	4-10
High School	2	1-4	-	5	4-7
Some College	9	4-20		6	5-8
College Degree	2	1-5		4	3-5
<\$25,000	7	4-13		7	5-9
\$25,000 to \$49,999	6	3-11		4	3-6
\$50,000+	4	1-12		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	4	3-7		5	4-5
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	8	6-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Adults are considered to be at high risk if they responded that any of the following statements was true about themselves: you have hemophilia and have received clotting factor concentrate; you are a man who has had sex with other men, even just one time; you have taken street drugs by needle, even just one time; you traded sex for money or drugs, even just one time.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 15: Falls, Percent of Adults Who Have Had at Least One Fall within the
Past Three Months (Out of Adults Age 45 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 45+	16	13-19		15	14-16
Male, Age 45+	16	12-21		15	13-16
Female, Age 45+	16	13-20		16	15-17
45-64	16	13-20		16	14-17
65-74	12	8-18		13	11-15
75+	21	14-29		17	15-20
< High School, Age 45+	17	10-28		18	15-22
High School, Age 45+	15	12-19		15	13-16
Some College, Age 45+	16	11-24		17	15-20
College Degree, Age 45+	17	12-25		14	12-16
<\$25,000, Age 45+	21	16-27		20	18-22
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 45+	15	11-21		14	12-16
\$50,000+, Age 45+	11	7-17		14	12-15
White, non-Hispanic, Age 45+	16	13-19		15	14-16
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 45+	NSR	NSR	NA	17	14-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How often do you use seat belts when you drive or ride in a car? Would you say...

2006 Huntingdon County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Always Use Seatbelts
When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	62	58-65	-	72	71-73
Male	54	48-59	-	66	64-68
Female	71	66-75	-	77	76-79
18-29	53	40-65		64	60-68
30-44	53	46-59	-	70	68-73
45-64	66	61-71	-	74	73-76
65+	77	71-82		79	77-81
< High School	45	33-58	-	65	60-70
High School	60	55-65		67	65-69
Some College	60	51-69		72	69-74
College Degree	76	69-82		81	79-82
<\$25,000	58	50-66		68	65-70
\$25,000 to \$49,999	56	49-62	-	69	66-71
\$50,000+	68	60-74		76	74-78
White, non-Hispanic	62	58-66	-	73	71-74
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	70	65-73

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 16: Seatbelt Use, Percent of Adults Who Seldom or Never
Use Seatbelts When Driving or Riding in a Car, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	10	8-13	+	7	6-8
Male	15	12-20	+	9	8-11
Female	5	3-7		5	4-5
18-29	14	8-26		8	6-11
30-44	13	9-18		8	6-9
45-64	8	6-11		6	6-8
65+	6	3-10		5	4-6
< High School	23	13-36		12	9-15
High School	9	6-12		9	8-11
Some College	15	9-25	+	6	5-7
College Degree	2	1-5		3	2-4
<\$25,000	10	7-16		9	8-11
\$25,000 to \$49,999	15	10-21	+	8	7-9
\$50,000+	7	4-14		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-12	+	6	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	9	7-12

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 17: Drinking and Driving, Percent of Adults Who Have Driven
Over the Past Month When They've Had Perhaps Too Much to Drink
(Out of Adults Who Had At Least One Drink of Any
Alcoholic Beverage During the Past Month), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	5	3-10		5	4-6
Male	7	3-15		8	6-10
Female	2	1-9		2	2-3
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	9	7-13
30-44	7	3-15		5	4-7
45-64	2	1-4		4	3-5
65+	0	NCI	NA	1	1-2
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	3	1-8		5	4-7
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	5	3-7
College Degree	2	0-11		5	4-6
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	5	3-9
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3	1-12		7	5-9
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	5	4-7
White, non-Hispanic	4	2-7		5	5-7
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	3	1-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last mammogram?

2006 Huntingdon County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a
Mammogram (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 40+	90	86-92		91	90-92
40-49, F	80	71-87		83	80-86
50-64, F	94	90-96		95	94-96
65-74, F	97	90-99		93	91-95
75+, F	87	78-93		92	89-94
< High School, F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	89	85-92
High School, F, Age 40+	91	87-94		89	87-91
Some College, F, Age 40+	90	81-94		92	90-94
College Degree, F, Age 40+	95	87-98		93	91-95
<\$25,000, F, Age 40+	83	76-89		86	84-89
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 40+	92	85-96		91	89-93
\$50,000+, F, Age 40+	95	89-98		93	91-94
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 40+	90	86-92		91	90-92
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	87	83-91

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a
Mammogram in the Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 40+	62	57-66		62	60-64
40-49, F	49	40-58		54	51-58
50-64, F	65	58-72		67	64-70
65-74, F	74	64-82		66	63-70
75+, F	63	51-73		61	57-64
< High School, F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	49	44-55
High School, F, Age 40+	63	57-69		61	58-63
Some College, F, Age 40+	61	50-71		62	59-66
College Degree, F, Age 40+	70	59-79		68	64-71
<\$25,000, F, Age 40+	57	49-65		53	50-56
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 40+	64	55-72		63	60-67
\$50,000+, F, Age 40+	69	59-77		66	63-69
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 40+	62	57-66		62	61-64
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	58	52-64

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since your last breast exam?

2006 Huntingdon County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a
Clinical Breast Exam (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 40+	91	88-94		92	91-93
40-49, F	98	94-99		96	95-97
50-64, F	94	89-96		96	94-97
65-74, F	89	80-94		88	85-90
75+, F	79	69-87		84	81-86
< High School, F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	85	81-89
High School, F, Age 40+	91	86-94		89	87-90
Some College, F, Age 40+	96	91-99		96	95-97
College Degree, F, Age 40+	95	88-98		98	96-98
<\$25,000, F, Age 40+	88	83-93		86	84-88
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 40+	96	91-99		93	91-94
\$50,000+, F, Age 40+	97	91-99		98	97-99
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 40+	91	88-94		92	91-93
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	92	89-95

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Clinical Breast Exam within the Past Year (Out of Women Age 40 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 40+	65	61-70		65	63-67
40-49, F	68	59-76		66	63-69
50-64, F	67	60-73		69	66-72
65-74, F	69	59-78		63	59-66
75+, F	54	43-65		57	53-61
< High School, F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	49	44-55
High School, F, Age 40+	66	60-71		61	58-63
Some College, F, Age 40+	71	60-80		69	65-72
College Degree, F, Age 40+	73	62-82		74	71-77
<\$25,000, F, Age 40+	62	54-69		52	49-55
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 40+	65	57-73		66	63-70
\$50,000+, F, Age 40+	76	66-84		74	71-76
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 40+	65	61-70		65	63-67
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 40+	NSR	NSR	NA	66	61-72

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 18: Women's Health, Percent of Women Who Had a Mammogram and Clinical Breast Exam within the Past Two Years (Out of Women Age 50 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female, Age 50+	71	65-75		71	70-73
50-64, F	74	67-80		77	74-79
65-74, F	77	67-84		73	69-76
75+, F	58	46-68		60	56-64
< High School, F, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	55	49-61
High School, F, Age 50+	69	62-75		68	66-71
Some College, F, Age 50+	73	60-84		77	74-81
College Degree, F, Age 50+	80	68-88		81	77-84
<\$25,000, F, Age 50+	68	59-75		58	55-62
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F, Age 50+	74	64-82		77	73-80
\$50,000+, F, Age 50+	83	71-90		83	80-86
White, non-Hispanic, F, Age 50+	70	65-75		71	69-73
Other (Including Hispanic), F, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	75	69-80

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last Pap test?

2006 Huntingdon County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Ever Had a Pap Test, 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female	97	94-98		95	94-96
18-29, F	NSR	NSR	NA	85	80-89
30-44, F	99	96-100		98	98-99
45-64, F	99	96-99		99	98-99
65+, F	94	89-97		93	91-94
< High School, F	NSR	NSR	NA	89	84-92
High School, F	98	94-99		94	92-96
Some College, F	NSR	NSR	NA	95	92-96
College Degree, F	99	96-100		97	95-98
<\$25,000, F	95	90-98		92	90-94
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F	100	97-100		96	94-98
\$50,000+, F	99	97-100		97	95-98
White, non-Hispanic, F	97	94-98		95	94-96
Other (Including Hispanic), F	NSR	NSR	NA	93	90-96

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who Have Had a
Pap Test Within the Past Three Years, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female	79	75-82		80	78-81
18-29, F	NSR	NSR	NA	83	78-87
30-44, F	85	78-90		88	86-90
45-64, F	78	73-83		83	81-85
65+, F	62	54-69		61	59-64
< High School, F	79	65-88		63	58-68
High School, F	78	73-82		76	73-78
Some College, F	76	65-84		82	79-85
College Degree, F	86	78-92		88	86-89
<\$25,000, F	76	69-82		69	66-71
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F	80	73-85		81	79-84
\$50,000+, F	89	83-93		88	86-90
White, non-Hispanic, F	79	75-82		80	78-81
Other (Including Hispanic), F	NSR	NSR	NA	81	77-85

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 18: Womens Health, Percent of Women Who
Have Had a Hysterectomy, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Female	29	25-33	+	21	20-22
18-29, F	4	1-13		1	0-6
30-44, F	11	7-17		6	5-8
45-64, F	33	28-39		27	25-29
65+, F	58	51-65	+	43	40-45
< High School, F	31	20-45		26	22-30
High School, F	33	28-39	+	26	24-28
Some College, F	27	20-36		20	17-22
College Degree, F	17	11-25		13	12-15
<\$25,000, F	28	22-35		28	25-30
\$25,000 to \$49,999, F	27	21-34		22	20-25
\$50,000+, F	18	12-27		14	12-16
White, non-Hispanic, F	29	25-33	+	21	20-22
Other (Including Hispanic), F	NSR	NSR	NA	21	17-25

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) test?

2006 Huntington County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Had a
Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	79	73-84		76	73-78
50-64, M	72	64-79		69	65-72
65-74, M	84	71-92		86	82-89
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	85	81-89
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	63	54-71
High School, M, Age 50+	69	59-77		71	67-74
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	77	72-82
College Degree, M, Age 50+	84	72-92		84	81-87
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	70	58-80		71	65-75
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	80	69-88		74	70-78
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	82	70-90		79	75-82
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	79	74-84		76	73-78
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	77	68-85

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Had a Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Test within the Past Year (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	57	51-64		54	51-57
50-64, M	52	44-61		46	43-50
65-74, M	61	47-74		65	60-69
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	66	60-71
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	46	37-55
High School, M, Age 50+	46	36-56		49	45-53
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	53	47-59
College Degree, M, Age 50+	62	49-74		62	58-66
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	52	40-64		51	46-56
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	53	41-65		53	48-57
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	67	54-78		57	53-61
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	58	52-65		54	51-57
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	52	42-61

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last digital rectal exam?

2006 Huntington County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Had a
Digital Rectal Exam (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	82	77-87		85	83-87
50-64, M	80	73-86		82	79-84
65-74, M	83	71-91		89	86-92
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	90	86-93
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	76	68-83
High School, M, Age 50+	74	64-82		80	77-84
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	87	83-90
College Degree, M, Age 50+	96	87-99		91	89-93
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	79	68-87		79	74-83
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	76	64-85		85	81-88
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	89	79-95		88	84-90
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	82	76-86		86	84-87
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	78	69-84

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Had a
Digital Rectal Exam within the Past Year (Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	52	46-59		50	48-53
50-64, M	47	38-55		45	41-48
65-74, M	57	44-70		57	52-62
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	60	54-66
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	42	34-51
High School, M, Age 50+	43	34-53		47	43-51
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	43	38-49
College Degree, M, Age 50+	56	42-69		60	56-64
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	47	36-59		42	37-48
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	50	38-61		49	44-54
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	57	45-69		54	50-58
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	51	45-58		51	48-54
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	44	35-54

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 19: Prostate Cancer Screening, Percent of Men Who Ever Been Told by a Doctor,
Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Prostate Cancer
(Out of Men Age 50 and Older), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Male, Age 50+	4	2-8		7	5-8
50-64, M	1	0-4		2	1-3
65-74, M	4	1-12		10	7-13
75+, M	NSR	NSR	NA	17	13-22
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	7	4-13
High School, M, Age 50+	1	0-4	-	7	5-10
Some College, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	6	4-9
College Degree, M, Age 50+	10	4-21		6	4-8
<\$25,000, M, Age 50+	6	3-15		9	6-12
\$25,000 to \$49,999, M, Age 50+	4	1-9		6	4-9
\$50,000+, M, Age 50+	4	1-13		5	3-7
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	4	2-8		7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	4	2-9

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last blood stool test using a home kit?

2006 Huntington County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a
Home Blood Stool Test (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006**

	<u>Huntingdon County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	53	49-57	+	42	40-44
Male, Age 50+	49	42-55		41	39-44
Female, Age 50+	57	52-62	+	43	41-45
50-64	44	38-49	+	36	34-38
65-74	61	52-68	+	49	46-52
75+	70	61-77	+	49	46-52
< High School, Age 50+	45	33-58		36	32-41
High School, Age 50+	53	47-59	+	40	38-43
Some College, Age 50+	50	40-60		41	38-45
College Degree, Age 50+	60	50-68	+	47	44-50
<\$25,000, Age 50+	52	45-60	+	40	38-43
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	56	48-63	+	44	41-47
\$50,000+, Age 50+	52	43-61	+	40	37-43
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	53	48-57	+	43	41-44
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	35	30-41

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a
Home Blood Stool Test within the Past Two Years, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	36	32-40	+	22	20-23
Male, Age 50+	37	31-43	+	22	20-24
Female, Age 50+	35	30-40	+	21	20-23
50-64	29	24-34	+	18	16-20
65-74	41	34-50	+	28	25-31
75+	48	39-57	+	24	22-27
< High School, Age 50+	37	26-50	+	21	17-25
High School, Age 50+	33	28-39	+	21	19-23
Some College, Age 50+	38	29-49	+	21	19-24
College Degree, Age 50+	38	30-48	+	23	20-25
<\$25,000, Age 50+	38	31-45	+	24	21-26
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	39	32-47	+	22	19-24
\$50,000+, Age 50+	33	25-42	+	19	17-22
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	35	31-39	+	22	20-23
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	20	16-25

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How long has it been since you had your last sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy?

2006 Huntington County



2006 Pennsylvania



**Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy
or Colonoscopy (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006**

	<u>Huntingdon County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	55	50-59		57	56-59
Male, Age 50+	54	47-60		56	54-59
Female, Age 50+	55	50-60		58	56-60
50-64	47	42-53		52	49-54
65-74	65	57-72		65	62-67
75+	63	54-71		64	61-67
< High School, Age 50+	47	34-59		53	48-58
High School, Age 50+	50	44-56		52	49-54
Some College, Age 50+	57	47-66		61	57-64
College Degree, Age 50+	67	58-75		65	62-68
<\$25,000, Age 50+	46	39-53		54	51-57
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	54	46-61		58	55-61
\$50,000+, Age 50+	64	55-72		57	55-60
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	55	51-59		57	56-59
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	56	51-62

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 20: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Percent of Adults Who Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy within the Past Five Years (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 50+	49	45-53		49	47-50
Male, Age 50+	51	45-58		49	46-52
Female, Age 50+	47	42-52		49	47-51
50-64	42	36-47		45	43-47
65-74	61	53-69		54	51-57
75+	55	45-64		53	50-56
< High School, Age 50+	44	32-57		45	40-50
High School, Age 50+	44	39-50		44	41-46
Some College, Age 50+	49	39-59		51	47-55
College Degree, Age 50+	63	54-72		57	54-60
<\$25,000, Age 50+	41	35-49		44	41-47
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 50+	47	39-55		51	48-54
\$50,000+, Age 50+	59	50-68		50	47-53
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	49	45-53		49	47-51
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR	NA	48	42-53


* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Where did you have your last HIV test, at a private doctor or HMO office, at a counseling and testing site, at a hospital, at a clinic, in a jail or prison, at home, or somewhere else?



**Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV
(Except Blood Donation), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 18-64	25	21-29		28	27-30
Male, Age 18-64	21	16-27		25	23-28
Female, Age 18-64	29	24-36		31	29-33
18-29	31	20-43		31	27-35
30-44	31	25-38	-	40	38-43
45-64	15	12-19		17	16-18
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	30	24-37
High School, Age 18-64	19	14-26		25	23-27
Some College, Age 18-64	26	19-36		32	29-35
College Degree, Age 18-64	34	25-44		29	27-31
<\$25,000, Age 18-64	30	21-41		37	33-41
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 18-64	19	14-26		28	25-30
\$50,000+, Age 18-64	29	22-37		28	26-30
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	24	20-28		25	24-26
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	47	42-51

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Core 21: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults That Had Their Last HIV Test at a Private Doctor or HMO Office (Out of Adults Age 18-64 Who Have Been Tested for HIV), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total, Age 18-64	29	21-39		41	38-44
Male, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	35	31-40
Female, Age 18-64	39	27-52		45	41-48
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	41	35-48
30-44	30	20-43		43	39-47
45-64	33	22-46		35	31-40
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	32	22-43
High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	41	36-46
Some College, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	42	37-48
College Degree, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	41	37-45
<\$25,000, Age 18-64	14	7-27	-	37	31-43
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	42	37-48
\$50,000+, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	41	37-46
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	28	20-38	-	41	38-44
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR	NA	40	34-46

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

How often do you get the social and emotional support you need?

2006 Huntingdon County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2006

	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	10	8-13		8	8-9
Male	11	8-16		10	9-11
Female	8	6-12		7	6-8
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	8	6-11
30-44	7	4-11		7	5-8
45-64	9	6-12		8	7-9
65+	14	10-19		13	11-14
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	19	16-23
High School	13	9-17		10	9-12
Some College	6	3-10		7	5-9
College Degree	1	0-2	-	5	4-6
<\$25,000	19	14-27		17	15-19
\$25,000 to \$49,999	5	3-8		8	7-9
\$50,000+	4	2-10		4	3-5
White, non-Hispanic	10	8-13	+	7	6-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	17	14-20

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.


If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.


If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

In general, how satisfied are you with your life?

2006 Huntingdon County



2006 Pennsylvania



Core 22: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	92	89-95		94	94-95
Male	90	84-94		94	93-95
Female	94	90-96		95	94-95
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	93	91-95
30-44	95	92-97		94	92-95
45-64	96	94-98		94	93-95
65+	97	94-98		97	97-98
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	90	86-93
High School	94	90-96		94	93-95
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	93	92-95
College Degree	99	97-100		97	96-98
<\$25,000	82	71-89		88	86-90
\$25,000 to \$49,999	97	95-98		96	95-97
\$50,000+	97	90-99		97	96-98
White, non-Hispanic	92	88-95	-	95	95-96
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	89	86-92

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	65	61-69		62	61-63
Male	68	62-74		70	68-72
Female	61	56-66	+	54	53-56
18-29	40	29-52		47	43-52
30-44	77	71-82	+	62	60-65
45-64	74	69-78		70	68-72
65+	61	55-67		64	62-66
< High School	54	40-66		64	59-69
High School	69	63-74		65	63-67
Some College	62	52-72		62	59-65
College Degree	65	56-72		57	55-60
<\$25,000	60	51-68		65	63-68
\$25,000 to \$49,999	66	60-73		66	63-68
\$50,000+	65	57-73		60	58-63
White, non-Hispanic	66	62-70		62	60-63
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	65	60-69

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater than 25.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Percent of Adults Who Are Obese, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	28	25-32		25	24-26
Male	26	22-31		26	24-28
Female	31	27-35	+	24	22-25
18-29	17	10-27		16	14-20
30-44	32	26-39		26	24-29
45-64	36	31-41		30	28-32
65+	24	20-30		22	20-24
< High School	24	16-36		30	26-35
High School	30	26-34		26	25-28
Some College	32	25-40		27	25-30
College Degree	22	16-31		19	18-21
<\$25,000	27	21-33		30	27-32
\$25,000 to \$49,999	33	27-39		28	26-31
\$50,000+	24	19-31		23	21-25
White, non-Hispanic	29	26-32	+	24	23-26
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	27	24-31

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater than 30.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Sample Selection

A total of 1,203 Huntingdon County adults completed interviews for the Huntingdon County BRFSS survey in 2006. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Huntingdon County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Huntingdon County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Huntingdon County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Huntingdon County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Huntingdon County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned diabetes, hypertension awareness, cholesterol awareness, fruits and vegetables, weight control, physical activity, arthritis, cardiovascular disease, smoking policy, other tobacco products, child safety, and childhood asthma.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Huntingdon County in 2005. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Huntingdon County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of “Don’t know/Not sure” and “Refused” were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Huntingdon County’s population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don’t contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1

Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2

To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Huntingdon Borough census population of 1,973 for ages 18-29 by the Fair or Poor Health prevalence of 11% (0.11) for that age group in Huntingdon County. The 2006 synthetic estimate for those in fair or poor health ages 18-29 in Huntingdon Borough is 217.

Step 3

To obtain the total number of persons who indicated Fair or Poor health, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Huntingdon Borough Census Population		Fair or Poor Health From 2006 Huntingdon County BRFSS		Estimate of Huntingdon Borough Adults Indicating Fair or Poor Health, 2006
18-29	1,973	X	11	=	217
30-44	1,161	X	9	=	104
45-64	1,300	X	17	=	221
65+	1,201	X	27	=	324
					Total 866

Step 4

To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Huntingdon Borough with Fair or Poor Health, pull the “Total Estimated Number of Adults” and the “Total Population Age 18+” in Huntingdon Borough from “Step 3”.

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
With Fair or Poor Health in Huntingdon Borough = **866**

Total Population Age 18+ in Huntingdon Borough = **5,635**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults with fair or poor health by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage With Fair or Poor Health in Huntingdon Borough
= (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults With Fair or Poor Health in Huntingdon Borough / Total Population Age 18+ in Huntingdon Borough) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage With Fair or Poor Health in Huntingdon Borough
= (866 / 5,635) X 100
= **15 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

**2006 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program**

**Locally-Added Question Response Tables
By Specific Demographic Characteristics:**

Huntingdon County

Table of Contents

Locally-Added Questions Highlights	Page 1
Demographic Differences	Page 5
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Are Now Taking Insulin, 2006	Page 13
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Are Now Taking Diabetes Pills, 2006	Page 14
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Check Their Blood For Glucose or Sugar at Least Once Daily, 2006.....	Page 15
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Check Their Feet for Sores or Irritations at Least Once Daily, 2006.....	Page 16
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Ever Had Any Sores or Irritations on Their Feet That Took More Than Four Weeks to Heal, 2006	Page 17
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Saw a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional For Their Diabetes Four or More Times Within the Past Year, 2006.....	Page 18
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Had a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional Check Their Hemoglobin A1C Four or More Times in the Past Year, 2006	Page 19
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Had Their Feet Checked by a Health Professional One or More Times in the Past Year, 2006	Page 20
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Had an Eye Exam in the Past Year in Which the Pupils Were Dilated, 2006.....	Page 21
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Were Ever Told by a Doctor That Diabetes Has Affected Their Eyes or That They Had Retinopathy, 2006.....	Page 22
Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Have Ever Taken a Course or Class in How to Manage Their Diabetes Themselves, 2006.....	Page 23
Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed Anywhere Inside Their Home, 2006.....	Page 24
Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Are Indoors Most of the Time While Working at Their Job (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed for Wages or Are Self-Employed), 2006.....	Page 25
Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed in Public Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006.....	Page 26
Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Allowed in Some or All Public Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006.....	Page 27
Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed Work Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006	Page 28

Table of Contents - *continued*

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Allowed in Some or All Work Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006.....	Page 29
Locally Added 1: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had High Blood Pressure**, 2006.....	Page 30
Locally Added 1: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Currently Taking Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2006.....	Page 31
Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2006.....	Page 32
Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2006.....	Page 33
Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults That Were Ever Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol (Out of Adults That Have Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked), 2006.....	Page 34
Locally Added 3: Fruits & Vegetables, Percent of Adults That Eat Fruits and Vegetables Five or More Times per Day, 2006.....	Page 35
Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Lose Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults), 2006.....	Page 36
Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Maintain Their Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults Who Are Not Trying to Lose Weight), 2006.....	Page 37
Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Reported That a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional Has Advised Them to Lose Weight in the Past 12 Months (Out of Overweight and Obese Adults), 2006.....	Page 38
Locally Added 5: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That Meet Physical Activity Recommendations**, 2006.....	Page 39
Locally Added 5: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That Participated in Vigorous Physical Activity at Least 20 Minutes a Day, Three or More Days a Week, 2006.....	Page 40
Locally Added 6: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days, 2006.....	Page 41
Locally Added 6: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Have Joint Symptoms That Began More Than Three Months Ago (Out of Adults Who Have Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days), 2006.....	Page 42
Locally Added 6: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Have Been Told They Have Some Form of Arthritis**, 2006.....	Page 43
Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Are Eating Fewer High Fat or High Cholesterol Foods to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006.....	Page 44
Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Are Eating More Fruits and Vegetables to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006.....	Page 45

Table of Contents - *continued*

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Are More Physically Active to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006.....	Page 46
Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to Eat Fewer High Fat or High Cholesterol Foods, 2006.....	Page 47
Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to Eat More Fruits and Vegetables, 2006.....	Page 48
Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to be More Physically Active, 2006.....	Page 49
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Ever Used or Tried Any Smokeless Tobacco Products Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff, 2006.....	Page 50
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Ever Used or Tried Any Smokeless Tobacco Products Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff, 2006.....	Page 51
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Currently Use Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Every Day or Some Days, 2006.....	Page 52
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Currently Use Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Every Day or Some Days, 2006.....	Page 53
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Smoked a Cigar, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006.....	Page 54
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Have Ever Smoked a Cigar, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006.....	Page 55
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke Cigars Every Day or Some Days, 2006.....	Page 56
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Currently Smoke Cigars Every Day or Some Days, 2006.....	Page 57
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Smoked Tobacco in a Pipe, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006.....	Page 58
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Have Ever Smoked Tobacco in a Pipe, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006.....	Page 59
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke a Pipe Every Day or Some Days, 2006.....	Page 60
Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Currently Smoke a Pipe Every Day or Some Days, 2006.....	Page 61
Locally Added 10: Child Safety, Percent of Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under 16 Living in Their Household Seldom or Never Wears a Bicycle Helmet When Riding a Bicycle (Out of Adults With Children Under 16 in their Household Who Ride a Bike), 2006.....	Page 62

Table of Contents - *continued*

Locally Added 10: Child Safety, Percent of Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under Age 4 Living in Their Household Wears a Car Safety Seat When Riding in a Motor Vehicle (Out of Adults With Children Under Age 4), 2006.....Page 63

Loc. Add. 10: Chld Sfty, Percent of Adlts Who Reprtd the Oldst Chld Age 4-7 Livng in Thr Househld Always Uses a Sfty Seat Blt System & a Chld Boost Seat When Riding in a Mtr Vehicle (Out of Adlts w/ Chldrn Age 4-7 Nt Exmpt Frm Using a Sfty Seat Blt), 2006Page 64

Locally Added 11: Childhood Asthma, Percent of Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Was Ever Diagnosed With Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household), 2006Page 65

Locally Added 11: Childhood Asthma, Percent of Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18 Living in Their Household Who Currently Has Asthma (Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household), 2006.....Page 66

Technical NotesPage 67

Locally-Added Questions Highlights

In Locally-Added Questions Highlights, all significant differences found between Huntingdon County and Pennsylvania or other available areas for the locally-added BRFSS questions are summarized.

Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Are Now Taking Diabetes Pills, 2006 – (Page 14)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (92 percent, CI: 84-96) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (71 percent, CI: 64-78).

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Are Indoors Most of the Time While Working at Their Job (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed for Wages or Are Self-Employed), 2006 – (Page 25)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 69-78) compared to Pennsylvania adults (82 percent, CI: 80-84).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 54-68) compared to Pennsylvania men (73 percent, CI: 70-76).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 57-73) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (79 percent, CI: 75-82).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 59-76) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (79 percent, CI: 76-82).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 68-78) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (81 percent, CI: 79-83).

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed in Public Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006 – (Page 26)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a college degree had a significantly higher percentage (95 percent, CI: 89-98) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (85 percent, CI: 82-88).

Locally Added 3: Fruits & Vegetables, Percent of Adults That Eat Fruits and Vegetables Five or More Times per Day, 2006 – (Page 35)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 13-18) compared to Pennsylvania adults (25 percent, CI: 24-27).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Pennsylvania men (21 percent, CI: 19-24).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Pennsylvania women (29 percent, CI: 27-31).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (21 percent, CI: 19-24).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (25 percent, CI: 23-27).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-29) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (33 percent, CI: 30-36).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (21 percent, CI: 19-23).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 (27 percent, CI: 24-30).

Locally Added 3: Fruits & Vegetables, Percent of Adults That Eat Fruits and Vegetables Five or More Times per Day, 2006 –

(Page 35)- *continued*

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-19) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 (24 percent, CI: 21-26).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 13-18) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (24 percent, CI: 23-26).

Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Lose Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults), 2006 – (Page 36)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 44-53) compared to Pennsylvania adults (64 percent, CI: 62-66).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 32-44) compared to Pennsylvania men (55 percent, CI: 51-58).
- ✓ Huntingdon County women had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 57-68) compared to Pennsylvania women (75 percent, CI: 73-78).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 38-54) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (61 percent, CI: 57-65).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 49-61) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (69 percent, CI: 66-72).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 34-49) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (55 percent, CI: 51-59).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 38-49) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (60 percent, CI: 57-64).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 41-61) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (67 percent, CI: 63-71).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 34-51) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (65 percent, CI: 62-69).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 44-53) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (65 percent, CI: 63-67).

Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Maintain Their Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults Who Are Not Trying to Lose Weight), 2006 – (Page 37)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 57-69) compared to Pennsylvania adults (74 percent, CI: 71-77).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 38-60) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (70 percent, CI: 62-77).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 46-69) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (75 percent, CI: 69-81).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 57-69) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (76 percent, CI: 72-79).

Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Reported That a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional Has Advised Them to Lose Weight in the Past 12 Months (Out of Overweight and Obese Adults), 2006 – (Page 38)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 15-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults (23 percent, CI: 21-25).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (23 percent, CI: 20-27).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-19) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (24 percent, CI: 21-27).

Locally Added 6: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Have Been Told They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2006** – (Page 43)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults had a significantly higher percentage (34 percent, CI: 31-38) compared to Chester County adults (27 percent, CI: 24-31).
- ✓ Huntingdon County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-38) compared to Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults (27 percent, CI: 24-31).

Demographic Differences

In Demographic Differences, all significant differences found within each demographic group (gender, age, education, household income, race/ethnicity) for the locally-added BRFSS questions are summarized.

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed Anywhere Inside Their Home, 2006 – (Page 24)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with some college education or less had significantly lower percentages (less than high school: 61 percent, CI: 48-72; high school: 68 percent, CI: 63-73; some college: 68 percent, CI: 68-76) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (83 percent, CI: 78-88).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$50,000 had significantly lower percentages (less than \$25,000: 62 percent, CI: 54-70; \$25,000 to \$49,999: 64 percent, CI: 57-70) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (81 percent, CI: 75-86).

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Are Indoors Most of the Time While Working at Their Job (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed for Wages or Are Self-Employed), 2006 – (Page 25)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 54-68) compared to Huntingdon County women (93 percent, CI: 90-96).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 57-73) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (82 percent, CI: 77-87).

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed in Public Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006 – (Page 26)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or some college education had significantly lower percentages (high school: 73 percent, CI: 64-80; some college: 79 percent, CI: 67-88) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (95 percent, CI: 89-98).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 58-77) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (88 percent, CI: 81-92).

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Allowed in Some or All Public Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006 – (Page 27)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or some college education had significantly higher percentages (high school: 21 percent, CI: 15-29; some college: 19 percent, CI: 11-31) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (3 percent, CI: 1-9).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$25,000 to \$49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (25 percent, CI: 17-35) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (10 percent, CI: 6-16).

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed Work Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006 – (Page 28)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or some college education had significantly lower percentages (high school: 75 percent, CI: 66-83; some college: 78 percent, CI: 66-87) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (95 percent, CI: 89-98).

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Allowed in Some or All Work Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006 – (Page 29)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education or some college education had significantly higher percentages (high school: 21 percent, CI: 14-30; some college: 18 percent, CI: 10-30) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (3 percent, CI: 1-8).

Locally Added 1: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had High Blood Pressure, 2006** – (Page 30)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-44 had significantly lower percentages (age 18-29: 11 percent, CI: 5-22; age 30-44: 16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 38 percent, CI: 33-43; age 65 and older: 58 percent, CI: 52-64).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 33-43) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 52-64).

Locally Added 1: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Currently Taking Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2006 – (Page 31)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 74-87) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (95 percent, CI: 91-98).

Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2006 – (Page 32)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 24-49) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 30 and older (age 30-44: 69 percent, CI: 62-75; age 45-64: 90 percent, CI: 87-92; age 65 and older: 95 percent, CI: 91-97).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 62-75) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 90 percent, CI: 87-92; age 65 and older: 95 percent, CI: 91-97).

Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2006 – (Page 33)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 19-43) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 30 and older (age 30-44: 63 percent, CI: 56-69; age 45-64: 82 percent, CI: 78-85; age 65 and older: 94 percent, CI: 89-96).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 56-69) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 82 percent, CI: 78-85; age 65 and older: 94 percent, CI: 89-96).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 78-85) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (94 percent, CI: 89-96).

Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults That Were Ever Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol (Out of Adults That Have Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked), 2006 – (Page 34)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 22-37) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 44 percent, CI: 39-50; age 65 and older: 55 percent, CI: 49-61).

Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults That Were Ever Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol (Out of Adults That Have Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked), 2006 – (Page 34) - *continued*

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (60 percent, CI: 48-71) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a high school education (38 percent, CI: 33-43), some college education (36 percent, CI: 28-45) or a college degree (.36 percent, CI: 28-44).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (47 percent, CI: 40-54) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (31 percent, CI: 25-38).

Locally Added 3: Fruits & Vegetables, Percent of Adults That Eat Fruits and Vegetables Five or More Times per Day, 2006 – (Page 35)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Huntingdon County women (20 percent, CI: 17-24).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (23 percent, CI: 19-29).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (23 percent, CI: 17-29).

Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Lose Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults), 2006 – (Page 36)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 32-44) compared to Huntingdon County women (62 percent, CI: 57-68).

Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Maintain Their Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults Who Are Not Trying to Lose Weight), 2006 – (Page 37)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 38-60) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (76 percent, CI: 65-84).

Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Reported That a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional Has Advised Them to Lose Weight in the Past 12 Months (Out of Overweight and Obese Adults), 2006 – (Page 38)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (27 percent, CI: 22-33).

Locally Added 5: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That Participated in Vigorous Physical Activity at Least 20 Minutes a Day, Three or More Days a Week, 2006 – (Page 40)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (46 percent, CI: 33-60) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 22 percent, CI: 18-26; age 65 and older: 15 percent, CI: 11-20).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (28 percent, CI: 22-34) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (15 percent, CI: 11-20).

Locally Added 6: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Have Been Told They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2006** – (Page 43)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-44 had significantly lower percentages (age 18-29: 17 percent, CI: 10-30; age 30-44: 23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 40 percent, CI: 35-45; age 65 and older: 59 percent, CI: 53-65).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 35-45) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 53-65).

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Are Eating Fewer High Fat or High Cholesterol Foods to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (Page 44)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 51-63) compared to Huntingdon County women age 35 and older (76 percent, CI: 71-79).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 48-64) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (age 45-54: 72 percent, CI: 66-78; age 55-64: 72 percent, CI: 65-78).

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Are Eating More Fruits and Vegetables to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (Page 45)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 50-61) compared to Huntingdon County women age 35 and older (75 percent, CI: 71-79).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 35-44 had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 46-62) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 55 and older (age 55-64: 70 percent, CI: 63-76; age 65 and older: 72 percent, CI: 66-77).

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Are More Physically Active to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006 – (Page 46)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 45-54 had a significantly higher percentage (65 percent, CI: 59-72) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (52 percent, CI: 46-58).

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to be More Physically Active, 2006 – (Page 49)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Huntingdon County women (22 percent, CI: 19-26).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-44 had significantly lower percentages (age 18-29: 7 percent, CI: 3-14; age 30-44: 14 percent, CI: 10-19) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (26 percent, CI: 22-30).

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to Eat More Fruits and Vegetables, 2006 – (Page 48)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-44 had significantly lower percentages (age 18-29: 9 percent, CI: 4-18; age 30-44: 16 percent, CI: 12-22) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (28 percent, CI: 24-33).

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to Eat More Fruits and Vegetables, 2006 – (Page 48) - *continued*

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of less than \$25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (27 percent, CI: 21-34) compared to Huntingdon County adults with household incomes of \$50,000 or more (13 percent, CI: 10-18).

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to Eat Fewer High Fat or High Cholesterol Foods, 2006 – (Page 47)

- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-15) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 25 percent, CI: 21-30; age 65 and older: 20 percent, CI: 16-26).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (25 percent, CI: 21-30).

Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Ever Used or Tried Any Smokeless Tobacco Products Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff, 2006 – (Page 50)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (56 percent, CI: 50-62) compared to Huntingdon County women (3 percent, CI: 2-5).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 had significantly higher percentages (age 18-29: 42 percent, CI: 29-55; age 30-44: 40 percent, CI: 33-47; age 45-64: 26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (16 percent, CI: 11-21).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (40 percent, CI: 33-47) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (26 percent, CI: 22-31).

Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Ever Used or Tried Any Smokeless Tobacco Products Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff, 2006 – (Page 51)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (66 percent, CI: 56-75) compared to Huntingdon County men age 65 and older (33 percent, CI: 24-43).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (62 percent, CI: 54-69) compared to Huntingdon County men with a college degree (39 percent, CI: 29-50).

Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Currently Use Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Every Day or Some Days, 2006 – (Page 52)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-28) compared to Huntingdon County women (0 percent, CI: 0-0).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (17 percent, CI: 9-30) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (3 percent, CI: 1-6).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-25) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45 and older (age 45-64: 9 percent, CI: 6-12; age 65 and older: 3 percent, CI: 1-6).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Huntingdon County adults with a college degree (4 percent, CI: 2-8).

Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Currently Use Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Every Day or Some Days, 2006 – (Page 53)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (32 percent, CI: 23-42) compared to Huntingdon County men age 65 and older (6 percent, CI: 3-13).
- ✓ Huntingdon County men with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (29 percent, CI: 22-37) compared to Huntingdon County men with a college degree (8 percent, CI: 4-16).

Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Smoked a Cigar, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006 – (Page 54)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (77 percent, CI: 72-81) compared to Huntingdon County women (23 percent, CI: 18-27).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 18-64 had significantly higher percentages (age 18-29: 65 percent, CI: 52-76; age 30-44: 55 percent, CI: 49-62; age 45-64: 48 percent, CI: 43-53) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 65 and older (36 percent, CI: 30-42).

Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke Cigars Every Day or Some Days, 2006 – (Page 56)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Huntingdon County women (2 percent, CI: 1-7).

Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Smoked Tobacco in a Pipe, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006 – (Page 58)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men had a significantly higher percentage (41 percent, CI: 36-47) compared to Huntingdon County women (4 percent, CI: 3-6).
- ✓ Huntingdon County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-24) compared to Huntingdon County adults age 45-64 (32 percent, CI: 28-38).

Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Have Ever Smoked Tobacco in a Pipe, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006 – (Page 59)

- ✓ Huntingdon County men age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 20-39) compared to Huntingdon County men age 45 and older (age 45-64: 56 percent, CI: 48-63; age 65 and older: 56 percent, CI: 45-65).

Detailed Locally-Added Questionnaire Tables

**Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Are
Now Taking Insulin, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	23	16-32		26	22-30
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	22	17-28
Female	20	12-31		30	25-36
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	25	20-32
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	26	21-33
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	20	13-30
High School	22	14-34		29	23-35
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	25	16-36
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	23	15-34
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	29	23-37
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	26	19-35
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	20	13-30
White, non-Hispanic	23	16-32		25	21-30
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	29	19-42

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Are
Now Taking Diabetes Pills, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	80	69-88		72	67-76
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	72	65-79
Female	85	75-91		71	66-77
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	76	69-82
65+	86	73-93		75	69-80
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	81	70-89
High School	92	84-96	+	71	64-78
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	74	62-83
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	63	52-74
<\$25,000	85	74-92		71	63-78
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	72	63-80
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	80	70-87
White, non-Hispanic	80	68-88		73	68-77
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	67	53-79

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who
Check Their Blood For Glucose or Sugar at Least Once Daily, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	63	53-72		63	58-68
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	59	51-67
Female	68	55-78		68	62-73
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	61	53-69
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	65	59-71
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	65	54-75
High School	71	59-81		61	53-69
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	65	55-75
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	65	53-75
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	64	56-71
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	63	54-71
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	59	46-71
White, non-Hispanic	63	53-72		63	58-68
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Check Their Feet for Sores or Irritations at Least Once Daily, 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	65	54-74		71	66-75
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	69	62-75
Female	69	56-79		73	67-78
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	76	69-81
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	64	58-71
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	70	57-80
High School	71	59-81		70	63-76
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	71	61-80
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	72	60-81
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	73	65-79
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	62	53-71
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	77	66-85
White, non-Hispanic	66	55-76		68	63-73
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	80	68-88

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Ever Had Any Sores or Irritations on Their Feet That Took More Than Four Weeks to Heal, 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	7	4-13		12	9-16
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	14	10-20
Female	9	4-20		10	7-15
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	5	1-15		13	9-19
65+	8	3-19		9	6-13
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	15	9-25
High School	7	3-16		11	7-16
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	16	9-27
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	9	5-17
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	16	11-22
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	11	6-19
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	6	3-12
White, non-Hispanic	6	3-12		9	7-13
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	23	13-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Saw a
Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional For Their Diabetes
Four or More Times Within the Past Year, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	44	34-54		46	41-51
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	42	35-50
Female	52	39-64		51	45-57
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	41	34-48
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	56	49-62
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	58	46-69
High School	43	31-56		47	40-55
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	43	33-54
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	39	28-50
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	53	45-61
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	48	39-57
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	35	25-46
White, non-Hispanic	43	33-54		46	41-51
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	46	32-59

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Had a
Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional Check Their
Hemoglobin A1C Four or More Times in the Past Year, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	22	14-31		32	27-37
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	29	22-36
Female	25	15-37		36	30-42
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	27	21-35
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	38	32-45
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	35	24-47
High School	18	10-31		36	29-43
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	25	16-37
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	30	20-41
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	38	30-46
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	30	22-39
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	27	18-38
White, non-Hispanic	21	14-31		31	27-36
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Had Their Feet
Checked by a Health Professional One or More Times in the Past Year, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	67	55-76		75	70-79
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	76	68-82
Female	68	56-79		74	68-79
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	75	69-81
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	80	74-85
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	75	64-83
High School	63	49-75		69	61-76
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	82	73-88
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	81	71-88
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	70	62-77
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	78	70-84
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	75	64-84
White, non-Hispanic	67	56-77		73	67-77
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	83	72-90

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Had an
Eye Exam in the Past Year in Which the Pupils Were Dilated, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	59	48-69		72	66-76
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	72	63-79
Female	66	53-77		71	65-77
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	66	57-73
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	81	75-85
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	65	53-75
High School	60	46-72		68	60-76
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	74	63-82
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	83	70-90
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	75	67-81
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	67	58-75
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	68	54-79
White, non-Hispanic	61	50-71		72	67-77
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Were Ever Told by a Doctor
That Diabetes Has Affected Their Eyes or That They Had Retinopathy, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	13	8-22		19	16-23
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	19	14-25
Female	9	4-19		20	15-25
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	19	14-25
65+	10	4-22		21	16-27
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	29	20-41
High School	12	6-23		23	18-30
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	11	6-20
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	10	6-19
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	24	18-31
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	20	14-28
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	6	3-12
White, non-Hispanic	14	8-23		19	15-23
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	19	11-32

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 4: Diabetes, Percent of Adult Diabetics Who Have Ever Taken a
Course or Class in How to Manage Their Diabetes Themselves, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	41	32-51		51	46-56
Male	NSR	NSR	NA	47	40-55
Female	35	24-48		54	48-60
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
45-64	NSR	NSR	NA	52	44-60
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	49	43-56
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	31	22-42
High School	39	27-52		45	38-53
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	61	50-70
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	67	55-77
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	45	37-52
\$25,000 to \$49,999	NSR	NSR	NA	54	45-63
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	NA	52	40-64
White, non-Hispanic	40	31-51		51	46-56
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	50	37-64

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed Anywhere Inside Their Home, 2006

	<u>Huntingdon County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	70	66-73		72	70-73
Male	68	62-73		72	69-74
Female	72	68-76		72	70-74
18-29	66	52-78		74	69-79
30-44	74	68-80		77	74-80
45-64	67	62-71		68	66-71
65+	73	67-78		69	66-72
< High School	61	48-72		59	53-65
High School	68	63-73		66	64-69
Some College	68	58-76		72	68-75
College Degree	83	78-88		83	80-85
<\$25,000	62	54-70		63	59-66
\$25,000 to \$49,999	64	57-70		69	66-72
\$50,000+	81	75-86		80	78-82
White, non-Hispanic	69	65-73		73	71-74
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	68	63-73

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who
Are Indoors Most of the Time While Working at Their Job (Out of Adults Who
Reported That They Are Employed for Wages or Are Self-Employed), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	74	69-78	-	82	80-84
Male	61	54-68	-	73	70-76
Female	93	90-96		93	91-94
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	86	79-91
30-44	65	57-73	-	79	75-82
45-64	82	77-87		83	80-85
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	87	81-91
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	76	64-85
High School	69	61-75		77	74-80
Some College	81	70-88		79	74-84
College Degree	82	73-88		89	87-91
<\$25,000	82	71-89		85	79-89
\$25,000 to \$49,999	68	59-76	-	79	76-82
\$50,000+	73	65-80		82	79-85
White, non-Hispanic	73	68-78	-	81	79-83
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	87	81-91

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed in Public Areas in Their Place of Work
(Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	79	73-83		75	73-78
Male	72	63-80		71	67-74
Female	85	78-90		80	77-82
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	67	59-73
30-44	79	70-86		77	74-81
45-64	80	74-85		79	76-81
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	72	64-80
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	59	46-72
High School	73	64-80		65	60-69
Some College	79	67-88		75	70-80
College Degree	95	89-98	+	85	82-88
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	61	52-69
\$25,000 to \$49,999	69	58-77		71	67-75
\$50,000+	88	81-92		83	80-85
White, non-Hispanic	78	73-83		76	74-79
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	70	62-77

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Allowed in Some or All Public Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	18	13-23		17	15-19
Male	22	16-31		20	16-23
Female	13	8-19		15	13-17
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	24	18-31
30-44	17	11-25		16	13-20
45-64	15	11-21		15	13-17
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	13	8-20
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	31	21-44
High School	21	15-29		24	20-28
Some College	19	11-31		17	13-22
College Degree	3	1-9		11	8-13
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	27	20-36
\$25,000 to \$49,999	25	17-35		21	17-25
\$50,000+	10	6-16		12	10-14
White, non-Hispanic	18	13-23		16	14-18
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	23	17-31

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Not Allowed Work Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	82	76-86		82	80-84
Male	78	70-85		76	72-80
Female	85	77-90		88	85-90
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	72	64-78
30-44	82	74-88		85	82-88
45-64	84	79-89		85	82-87
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	85	77-90
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	53	40-66
High School	75	66-83		72	68-77
Some College	78	66-87		84	79-87
College Degree	95	89-98		92	89-94
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	67	58-75
\$25,000 to \$49,999	77	68-85		78	74-82
\$50,000+	89	81-93		89	87-91
White, non-Hispanic	82	76-86		84	82-86
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	71	63-78

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Module 10: Secondhand Smoke Policy, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Smoking is Allowed in Some or All Work Areas in Their Place of Work (Out of Adults Who Reported That They Are Employed and Work Indoors Most of the Time), 2006

	<u>Huntingdon County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	15	11-20		12	11-15
Male	17	11-25		17	14-21
Female	13	7-21		8	6-10
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	21	15-29
30-44	15	10-22		10	8-13
45-64	11	7-16		10	8-12
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	8	4-14
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	39	27-53
High School	21	14-30		18	14-23
Some College	18	10-30		12	8-16
College Degree	3	1-8		6	4-8
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	23	16-32
\$25,000 to \$49,999	20	13-30		16	13-20
\$50,000+	7	4-14		7	6-9
White, non-Hispanic	15	10-20		11	9-13
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	22	16-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 1: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were
Ever Told They Had High Blood Pressure**, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	30	27-33	
Male	28	23-33	
Female	32	28-36	
18-29	11	5-22	No Comparison Available
30-44	16	12-21	
45-64	38	33-43	
65+	58	52-64	
< High School	33	23-45	
High School	31	27-36	
Some College	30	23-39	
College Degree	23	18-30	
<\$25,000	34	27-40	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	32	26-37	
\$50,000+	20	15-27	
White, non-Hispanic	29	26-32	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Numerator does not include females who responded that they only had high blood pressure during pregnancy.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 1: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults
Currently Taking Medication for High Blood Pressure
(Out of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	82	77-86	
Male	82	74-88	
Female	81	75-87	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	NSR	NSR	
45-64	81	74-87	
65+	95	91-98	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	79	72-85	
Some College	NSR	NSR	
College Degree	NSR	NSR	
<\$25,000	79	69-87	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	73	62-82	
\$50,000+	87	76-94	
White, non-Hispanic	82	76-86	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults That Have
Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	74	69-78	
Male	71	64-76	
Female	77	72-82	
18-29	36	24-49	No Comparison Available
30-44	69	62-75	
45-64	90	87-92	
65+	95	91-97	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	74	68-79	
Some College	75	64-83	
College Degree	82	72-89	
<\$25,000	65	56-74	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	76	69-82	
\$50,000+	78	70-84	
White, non-Hispanic	73	69-78	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who
Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	68	64-72	
Male	66	59-72	
Female	71	65-76	
18-29	30	19-43	No Comparison Available
30-44	63	56-69	
45-64	82	78-85	
65+	94	89-96	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	68	62-73	
Some College	66	56-76	
College Degree	77	68-85	
<\$25,000	61	51-69	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	70	62-76	
\$50,000+	73	65-79	
White, non-Hispanic	68	63-72	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 2: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults That Were
Ever Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol
(Out of Adults That Have Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked), 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	39	35-42	
Male	39	34-45	
Female	38	34-43	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	29	22-37	
45-64	44	39-50	
65+	55	49-61	
< High School	60	48-71	
High School	38	33-43	
Some College	36	28-45	
College Degree	36	28-44	
<\$25,000	47	40-54	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	36	30-42	
\$50,000+	31	25-38	
White, non-Hispanic	39	35-43	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 3: Fruits & Vegetables, Percent of Adults That Eat Fruits and Vegetables Five or More Times per Day, 2006

	<u>Huntingdon County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	15	13-18	-	25	24-27
Male	11	8-16	-	21	19-24
Female	20	17-24	-	29	27-31
18-29	13	6-23		24	19-30
30-44	12	8-16	-	21	19-24
45-64	16	13-20	-	25	23-27
65+	23	19-29	-	33	30-36
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	24	18-32
High School	11	9-14	-	21	19-23
Some College	20	14-28		25	21-29
College Degree	23	17-29		31	29-34
<\$25,000	16	11-23	-	27	24-30
\$25,000 to \$49,999	14	11-19	-	24	21-26
\$50,000+	17	13-23		25	22-28
White, non-Hispanic	15	13-18	-	24	23-26
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	31	26-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are Currently Trying to Lose Weight (Out of Overweight or Obese Adults), 2006

	<u>Huntingdon County</u>			<u>Pennsylvania</u>	
Demographics	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	48	44-53	-	64	62-66
Male	38	32-44	-	55	51-58
Female	62	57-68	-	75	73-78
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	70	62-76
30-44	45	38-54	-	61	57-65
45-64	55	49-61	-	69	66-72
65+	41	34-49	-	55	51-59
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	62	55-69
High School	43	38-49	-	60	57-64
Some College	56	46-66		67	62-71
College Degree	51	41-61	-	67	63-71
<\$25,000	52	44-60		60	56-65
\$25,000 to \$49,999	56	48-63		64	60-68
\$50,000+	42	34-51	-	65	62-69
White, non-Hispanic	48	44-53	-	65	63-67
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	61	54-67

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Are
Currently Trying to Maintain Their Weight
(Out of Overweight or Obese Adults Who Are Not Trying to Lose Weight), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	63	57-69	-	74	71-77
Male	60	51-68		72	68-76
Female	70	62-78		79	74-83
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	64	50-75
30-44	49	38-60	-	70	62-77
45-64	67	58-75		77	71-81
65+	76	65-84		80	75-84
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	64	52-74
High School	64	56-72		72	67-77
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	73	65-80
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	83	77-88
<\$25,000	69	57-79		78	71-83
\$25,000 to \$49,999	76	65-84		72	65-77
\$50,000+	58	46-69	-	75	69-81
White, non-Hispanic	63	57-69	-	76	72-79
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	65	54-74

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 4: Weight Control, Percent of Adults Who Reported That a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional Has Advised Them to Lose Weight in the Past 12 Months (Out of Overweight and Obese Adults), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	17	15-20	-	23	21-25
Male	15	11-19		21	19-24
Female	21	17-26		25	23-28
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	14	9-21
30-44	10	7-16	-	23	20-27
45-64	27	22-33		30	27-33
65+	19	14-26		16	14-19
< High School	18	10-30		25	19-31
High School	16	12-20		20	18-23
Some College	22	16-31		25	21-29
College Degree	16	11-24		25	22-28
<\$25,000	18	13-24		22	18-25
\$25,000 to \$49,999	20	15-26		23	20-27
\$50,000+	13	9-19	-	24	21-27
White, non-Hispanic	17	15-21		22	20-24
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	27	22-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 5: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That
Meet Physical Activity Recommendations**, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	49	45-53		49	47-51
Male	53	47-59		52	49-55
Female	44	39-49		46	44-48
18-29	56	43-69		58	52-64
30-44	53	46-59		52	49-56
45-64	45	40-50		47	45-50
65+	41	35-48		37	34-40
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	42	35-50
High School	47	42-53		46	43-49
Some College	50	41-60		49	45-53
College Degree	53	45-60		54	51-57
<\$25,000	43	35-52		43	39-47
\$25,000 to \$49,999	52	46-59		47	43-50
\$50,000+	52	44-59		55	52-58
White, non-Hispanic	49	45-53		50	48-52
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	44	38-50

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Participating in moderate physical activity at least 30 minutes a day 5+ days a week, and/or participating in vigorous physical activity at least 30 minutes a day 3+ days a week.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 5: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults That Participated in Vigorous Physical Activity at Least 20 Minutes a Day, Three or More Days a Week, 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	27	23-31		28	26-30
Male	32	26-39		33	30-36
Female	21	17-26		23	22-25
18-29	46	33-60		42	37-49
30-44	28	22-34		32	29-35
45-64	22	18-26		25	22-27
65+	15	11-20		15	13-17
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	22	16-31
High School	24	20-30		24	22-27
Some College	30	21-41		27	24-32
College Degree	29	22-38		34	31-37
<\$25,000	22	14-32		22	18-25
\$25,000 to \$49,999	28	22-34		24	21-27
\$50,000+	32	25-39		36	33-40
White, non-Hispanic	27	24-32		28	27-30
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	27	21-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 6: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That Had Symptoms of Pain,
Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Chester County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	51	47-55		47	43-51
Male	50	44-56		45	39-52
Female	51	47-56		48	43-53
18-29	41	28-54	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	50	43-57		41	35-48
45-64	56	51-61		56	51-61
65+	53	47-59		62	56-68
< High School	52	39-65	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	50	45-56		53	43-63
Some College	54	45-63		42	33-52
College Degree	46	39-54		46	41-50
<\$25,000	49	41-57		66	55-76
\$25,000 to \$49,999	59	52-65		49	39-58
\$50,000+	47	40-55		47	42-52
White, non-Hispanic	51	48-55		48	44-52
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	34	23-47

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 6: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Have Joint Symptoms That Began More Than Three Months Ago (Out of Adults Who Have Had Symptoms of Pain, Aching, or Stiffness in or Around a Joint in the Past 30 Days), 2006

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Chester County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	79	73-84		81	76-84
Male	77	68-84		81	75-86
Female	81	74-87		80	74-85
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	75	66-83		73	63-81
45-64	88	82-92		80	74-84
65+	89	82-93		91	85-95
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	80	72-85		85	77-91
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	89	80-94
College Degree	NSR	NSR	NA	76	70-81
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	NA	91	81-95
\$25,000 to \$49,999	81	73-87		82	73-89
\$50,000+	77	66-86		78	72-83
White, non-Hispanic	79	73-84		81	77-85
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 6: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults That
Have Been Told They Have Some Form of Arthritis**, 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Chester County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	34	31-38	+	27	24-31
Male	31	26-36		24	20-29
Female	39	35-43		31	26-35
18-29	17	10-30	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	23	18-29		14	10-19
45-64	40	35-45		36	31-41
65+	59	53-65		58	52-64
< High School	38	27-50	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	36	31-41		40	31-50
Some College	33	25-41		24	18-32
College Degree	30	23-38		24	21-28
<\$25,000	37	31-44		41	30-53
\$25,000 to \$49,999	35	29-41		35	27-45
\$50,000+	28	21-35		24	20-28
White, non-Hispanic	35	31-38	+	27	24-31
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	26	16-39

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

**Includes adults told they have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Are Eating Fewer High Fat or High Cholesterol Foods to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total, Age 35+	66	63-69	
Male, Age 35+	57	51-63	
Female, Age 35+	76	71-79	
35-44	56	48-64	No Comparison Available
45-54	72	66-78	
55-64	72	65-78	
65+	66	60-72	
< High School, Age 35+	62	50-73	
High School, Age 35+	62	57-67	
Some College, Age 35+	71	63-78	
College Degree, Age 35+	74	66-80	
<\$25,000, Age 35+	63	56-69	
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	64	58-71	
\$50,000+, Age 35+	71	64-78	
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	66	62-69	
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Are Eating More Fruits and Vegetables to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total, Age 35+	65	62-69	
Male, Age 35+	56	50-61	
Female, Age 35+	75	71-79	
35-44	54	46-62	No Comparison Available
45-54	67	60-73	
55-64	70	63-76	
65+	72	66-77	
< High School, Age 35+	66	54-76	
High School, Age 35+	62	57-67	
Some College, Age 35+	67	58-75	
College Degree, Age 35+	72	64-78	
<\$25,000, Age 35+	65	59-72	
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	62	55-68	
\$50,000+, Age 35+	67	59-73	
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	65	61-69	
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Are More Physically Active to Lower Their Risk of Developing Heart Disease or Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2006

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total, Age 35+	57	54-61	
Male, Age 35+	55	50-61	
Female, Age 35+	60	55-64	
35-44	54	46-62	No Comparison Available
45-54	65	59-72	
55-64	60	53-66	
65+	52	46-58	
< High School, Age 35+	59	47-70	
High School, Age 35+	55	50-60	
Some College, Age 35+	61	52-69	
College Degree, Age 35+	60	52-68	
<\$25,000, Age 35+	57	50-63	
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Age 35+	53	46-60	
\$50,000+, Age 35+	65	58-72	
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	57	54-61	
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were
Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to
Eat Fewer High Fat or High Cholesterol Foods, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	17	14-19	
Male	16	13-20	
Female	18	15-21	
18-29	6	2-15	No Comparison Available
30-44	12	8-17	
45-64	25	21-30	
65+	20	16-26	
< High School	18	12-27	
High School	17	14-21	
Some College	14	10-19	
College Degree	19	14-25	
<\$25,000	20	15-26	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	15	11-19	
\$50,000+	15	11-21	
White, non-Hispanic	17	14-19	
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.
If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were
Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to
Eat More Fruits and Vegetables, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	19	17-22	
Male	17	13-21	
Female	22	18-26	
18-29	9	4-18	No Comparison Available
30-44	16	12-22	
45-64	28	24-33	
65+	20	15-25	
< High School	26	17-38	
High School	18	15-22	
Some College	18	13-24	
College Degree	20	15-27	
<\$25,000	27	21-34	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	18	14-23	
\$50,000+	13	10-18	
White, non-Hispanic	19	17-22	
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.
If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 7: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were
Told By a Health Professional During the Past Year to be
More Physically Active, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	17	15-20	
Male	13	10-16	
Female	22	19-26	
18-29	7	3-14	No Comparison Available
30-44	14	10-19	
45-64	26	22-30	
65+	17	13-22	
< High School	17	11-26	
High School	17	14-21	
Some College	15	11-20	
College Degree	19	14-26	
<\$25,000	18	14-24	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	18	14-22	
\$50,000+	11	8-16	
White, non-Hispanic	17	15-19	
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.
If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who
Ever Used or Tried Any Smokeless Tobacco Products
Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	31	27-35	
Male	56	50-62	
Female	3	2-5	
18-29	42	29-55	No Comparison Available
30-44	40	33-47	
45-64	26	22-31	
65+	16	11-21	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	34	29-39	
Some College	35	25-45	
College Degree	23	17-30	
<\$25,000	21	14-30	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	35	29-42	
\$50,000+	36	29-44	
White, non-Hispanic	31	27-35	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who
Ever Used or Tried Any Smokeless Tobacco Products
Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total, Male	56	50-62	
18-29, Male	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44, Male	66	56-75	
45-64, Male	49	41-56	
65+, Male	33	24-43	
< High School, Male	NSR	NSR	
High School, Male	62	54-69	
Some College, Male	NSR	NSR	
College Degree, Male	39	29-50	
<\$25,000, Male	46	32-59	
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Male	61	51-70	
\$50,000+, Male	54	44-64	
White, non-Hispanic, Male	56	50-61	
Other (Including Hispanic), Male	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Currently Use
Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Every Day or Some Days, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	12	9-15	
Male	22	18-28	
Female	0	0-0	
18-29	17	9-30	No Comparison Available
30-44	18	13-25	
45-64	9	6-12	
65+	3	1-6	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	15	11-20	
Some College	10	5-19	
College Degree	4	2-8	
<\$25,000	8	5-13	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	13	9-19	
\$50,000+	15	9-23	
White, non-Hispanic	12	9-15	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Currently Use
Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Every Day or Some Days, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total, Male	22	18-28	
18-29, Male	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44, Male	32	23-42	
45-64, Male	17	12-23	
65+, Male	6	3-13	
< High School, Male	NSR	NSR	
High School, Male	29	22-37	
Some College, Male	NSR	NSR	
College Degree, Male	8	4-16	
<\$25,000, Male	18	11-28	
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Male	24	17-33	
\$50,000+, Male	23	14-34	
White, non-Hispanic, Male	22	17-27	
Other (Including Hispanic), Male	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Have
Ever Smoked a Cigar, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	51	47-55	
Male	77	72-81	
Female	23	18-27	
18-29	65	52-76	No Comparison Available
30-44	55	49-62	
45-64	48	43-53	
65+	36	30-42	
< High School	56	43-68	
High School	49	44-55	
Some College	51	42-60	
College Degree	54	46-61	
<\$25,000	45	37-53	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	52	45-58	
\$50,000+	60	53-67	
White, non-Hispanic	51	47-55	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Have
Ever Smoked a Cigar, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total, Male	77	72-81	
18-29, Male	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44, Male	76	66-84	
45-64, Male	74	66-80	
65+, Male	71	61-80	
< High School, Male	NSR	NSR	
High School, Male	75	68-81	
Some College, Male	75	62-85	
College Degree, Male	76	65-84	
<\$25,000, Male	76	66-84	
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Male	75	66-82	
\$50,000+, Male	76	67-84	
White, non-Hispanic, Male	76	71-81	
Other (Including Hispanic), Male	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who
Currently Smoke Cigars Every Day or Some Days, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	7	5-11	
Male	11	8-17	
Female	2	1-7	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	8	5-14	
45-64	6	3-9	
65+	2	1-5	
< High School	2	1-6	
High School	6	4-11	
Some College	NSR	NSR	
College Degree	7	4-12	
<\$25,000	10	4-22	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	7	4-12	
\$50,000+	8	4-13	
White, non-Hispanic	7	5-11	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who
Currently Smoke Cigars Every Day or Some Days, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total, Male	11	8-17	
18-29, Male	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44, Male	12	7-21	
45-64, Male	10	6-16	
65+, Male	5	2-12	
< High School, Male	NSR	NSR	
High School, Male	9	5-15	
Some College, Male	NSR	NSR	
College Degree, Male	12	7-22	
<\$25,000, Male	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Male	9	5-18	
\$50,000+, Male	12	7-20	
White, non-Hispanic, Male	12	8-17	
Other (Including Hispanic), Male	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who Have
Ever Smoked Tobacco in a Pipe, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	24	21-28	
Male	41	36-47	
Female	4	3-6	
18-29	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44	17	13-24	
45-64	32	28-38	
65+	26	20-32	
< High School	24	14-36	
High School	21	17-26	
Some College	26	17-36	
College Degree	30	23-37	
<\$25,000	20	14-29	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	22	17-28	
\$50,000+	31	25-38	
White, non-Hispanic	24	21-28	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who Have
Ever Smoked Tobacco in a Pipe, Even One or Two Puffs, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total, Male	41	36-47	
18-29, Male	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44, Male	29	20-39	
45-64, Male	56	48-63	
65+, Male	56	45-65	
< High School, Male	NSR	NSR	
High School, Male	36	29-44	
Some College, Male	NSR	NSR	
College Degree, Male	52	41-63	
<\$25,000, Male	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Male	38	29-48	
\$50,000+, Male	45	35-55	
White, non-Hispanic, Male	42	36-48	
Other (Including Hispanic), Male	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Adults Who
Currently Smoke a Pipe Every Day or Some Days, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	0	0-1	
Male	1	0-2	
Female	0	0-0	
18-29	1	0-7	No Comparison Available
30-44	0	NCI	
45-64	0	0-1	
65+	1	0-3	
< High School	1	0-4	
High School	0	0-1	
Some College	1	0-7	
College Degree	0	0-2	
<\$25,000	0	0-2	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	0	0-1	
\$50,000+	0	NCI	
White, non-Hispanic	0	0-1	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 9: Other Tobacco Products, Percent of Men Who
Currently Smoke a Pipe Every Day or Some Days, 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total, Male	1	0-2	
18-29, Male	NSR	NSR	No Comparison Available
30-44, Male	0	NCI	
45-64, Male	0	0-2	
65+, Male	1	0-6	
< High School, Male	NSR	NSR	
High School, Male	0	0-1	
Some College, Male	2	0-12	
College Degree, Male	1	0-4	
<\$25,000, Male	1	0-4	
\$25,000 to \$49,999, Male	1	0-2	
\$50,000+, Male	0	NCI	
White, non-Hispanic, Male	1	0-3	
Other (Including Hispanic), Male	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 10: Child Safety, Percent of Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under 16 Living in Their Household Seldom or Never Wears a Bicycle Helmet When Riding a Bicycle (Out of Adults With Children Under 16 in their Household Who Ride a Bike), 2006

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI
Total	33	27-40
Male	39	28-51
Female	28	21-36
18-29	NSR	NSR
30-44	30	23-38
45-64	NSR	NSR
65+	NSR	NSR
< High School	NSR	NSR
High School	38	29-49
Some College	NSR	NSR
College Degree	NSR	NSR
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR
\$25,000 to \$49,999	27	18-38
\$50,000+	35	24-47
White, non-Hispanic	33	26-41
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR

No Comparison Available

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Locally Added 10: Child Safety, Percent of Adults Who Reported the Oldest Child Under Age 4 Living in Their Household Wears a Car Safety Seat When Riding in a Motor Vehicle (Out of Adults With Children Under Age 4), 2006

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI	
Total	97	93-99	
Male	96	86-99	
Female	99	95-100	
18-29	100	NCI	No Comparison Available
30-44	95	86-98	
45-64	NSR	NSR	
65+	NSR	NSR	
< High School	NSR	NSR	
High School	94	84-98	
Some College	NSR	NSR	
College Degree	NSR	NSR	
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	97	87-99	
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR	
White, non-Hispanic	97	92-99	
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Loc. Add. 10: Chld Sfty, Percent of Adlts Who Reprtd the Oldst Chld Age 4-7 Living
in Thr Househld Always Uses a Sfty Seat Blt System & a Chld Boost Seat When
Riding in a Mtr Vehicle (Out of Adlts w/ Chldrn Age 4-7 Nt Exmpt Frm Using a Sfty
Seat Blt), 2006**

Huntingdon County

Demographics	%	CI
Total	99	95-100
Male	99	91-100
Female	100	97-100
18-29	NSR	NSR
30-44	99	91-100
45-64	NSR	NSR
65+	NSR	NSR
< High School	NSR	NSR
High School	99	90-100
Some College	NSR	NSR
College Degree	NSR	NSR
<\$25,000	NSR	NSR
\$25,000 to \$49,999	99	96-100
\$50,000+	NSR	NSR
White, non-Hispanic	99	95-100
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR

No Comparison Available

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 11: Childhood Asthma,
Percent of Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18
Living in Their Household Who Was Ever Diagnosed With Asthma
(Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Chester County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	17	12-22		17	14-21
Male	20	12-30		13	9-19
Female	13	9-19		22	17-28
18-29	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	16	12-23		18	13-24
45-64	18	10-31		23	17-31
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	17	11-25	NA	NSR	NSR
Some College	NSR	NSR	NA	12	6-21
College Degree	10	4-20		18	14-24
<\$25,000	8	4-16	NA	NSR	NSR
\$25,000 to \$49,999	25	16-36		15	7-28
\$50,000+	16	8-28		18	14-23
White, non-Hispanic	15	11-20		16	13-20
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

**Locally Added 11: Childhood Asthma,
Percent of Adults with One or More Child Under Age 18
Living in Their Household Who Currently Has Asthma
(Out of Adults with Children Under Age 18 Living in Their Household), 2006**

Demographics	Huntingdon County			Chester County	
	%	CI	*	%	CI
Total	11	8-15		13	10-16
Male	13	8-21		9	6-15
Female	9	6-13		16	12-22
18-29	6	2-17	NA	NSR	NSR
30-44	14	9-20		15	11-20
45-64	14	7-27		15	10-23
65+	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
< High School	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR
High School	13	8-20	NA	NSR	NSR
Some College	13	7-23		8	4-16
College Degree	7	3-16		13	10-18
<\$25,000	6	3-12	NA	NSR	NSR
\$25,000 to \$49,999	17	10-27		13	6-25
\$50,000+	9	5-16		13	10-18
White, non-Hispanic	11	8-16		11	9-15
Other (including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	NA	NSR	NSR

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

If "NA" is displayed then the necessary data are "Not Available".

Sample Selection

A total of 1,203 Huntingdon County adults completed interviews for the Huntingdon County BRFSS survey in 2006. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Huntingdon County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Huntingdon County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Huntingdon County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Huntingdon County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Huntingdon County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned diabetes, hypertension awareness, cholesterol awareness, fruits and vegetables, weight control, physical activity, arthritis, cardiovascular disease, smoking policy, other tobacco products, child safety, and childhood asthma.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Huntingdon County in 2005. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Huntingdon County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of “Don’t know/Not sure” and “Refused” were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Huntingdon County’s population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don’t contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1

Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2

To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Huntingdon Borough census population of 1,973 for ages 18-29 by the Fair or Poor Health prevalence of 11% (0.11) for that age group in Huntingdon County. The 2006 synthetic estimate for those in fair or poor health ages 18-29 in Huntingdon Borough is 217.

Step 3

To obtain the total number of persons who indicated Fair or Poor health, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Huntingdon Borough Census Population		Fair or Poor Health From 2006 Huntingdon County BRFSS		Estimate of Huntingdon Borough Adults Indicating Fair or Poor Health, 2006
18-29	1,973	X	11	=	217
30-44	1,161	X	9	=	104
45-64	1,300	X	17	=	221
65+	1,201	X	27	=	324
					Total 866

Step 4

To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Huntingdon Borough with Fair or Poor Health, pull the “Total Estimated Number of Adults” and the “Total Population Age 18+” in Huntingdon Borough from “Step 3”.

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
With Fair or Poor Health in Huntingdon Borough = **866**

Total Population Age 18+ in Huntingdon Borough = **5,635**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults with fair or poor health by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage With Fair or Poor Health in Huntingdon Borough
= (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults With Fair or Poor Health in Huntingdon Borough / Total Population Age 18+ in Huntingdon Borough) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage With Fair or Poor Health in Huntingdon Borough
= (866 / 5,635) X 100
= **15 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.