2009 Behavioral Health Risks

of Blair County Adults

pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Blair County Healthy Community Partnership

Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Health began the Pennsylvania Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) in 1989. The BRFSS survey consists of telephone interviews using randomly generated telephone numbers to determine the households contacted. The survey contains a core set of questions provided by CDC to gather comprehensive, standard information nationwide. The questions asked concern health status, access to health care, health awareness, use of preventive health services, and knowledge and attitude assessment.

In an effort to provide local BRFSS data, the Pennsylvania Department of Health instituted the Pennsylvania BRFSS Local Sampling Program in 2002. Participation in the program was open to Pennsylvania's State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP)-affiliated partnerships located statewide. Six partnership organizations chose to participate in the 2009 program: Blair County Healthy Community Partnership, Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership, Indiana County Community Health Advisory, Lancaster Health Improvement Partnership, Lycoming County Health Improvement Coalition and the Pennsylvania Department of Health Northeast Health District. These partnerships were given the opportunity to select 35-40 questions of their choice in addition to the core questions asked of all who participated in BRFSS.

The survey of adults living in Blair County asked questions about health and health-related behaviors including general health, health care access, exercise, tobacco use, asthma, diabetes, and immunization. This allows for some comparison of the county survey results to state results and it also allows the county to get data specific to its individual needs.

Report Organization

A brief *Survey Highlights* section includes prevalence estimates referring to Health Status, Diabetes and Disability for adults in Blair County that are statistically different compared to the Pennsylvania estimates.

Select prevalence estimates are included in *Table 1: Core Questions, Blair County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009*. They are estimates from questions asked of all 2009 Pennsylvania BRFSS questionnaire respondents. Blair County data are presented alongside Pennsylvania data to assist with comparison (see Table 1 footnote). The topics in Table 1 include Health Status, Health Care Access, Sleep, Exercise, Diabetes, Hypertension Awareness, Cholesterol Awareness, Cardiovascular Disease, Asthma, Disability, Tobacco Use, Caregiver Status, Alcohol Consumption, Immunization, Arthritis Burden, Nutrition, HIV/AIDS, Cancer Survivors and Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction.

The topics in *Table 2: Module Questions, Blair County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009* include prevalence estimates that were requested for Blair County and were also asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. Topics include: Healthy Days, Cardiovascular Health, Heart Attack and Stroke, and General Preparedness.

Table 3: Locally-Added Questions, Blair County Adults, 2009 includes estimates that were specifically requested for Blair County, and were not asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. The topic included in this table is Cardiovascular Health.

Table 4: Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation: Blair County & Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009 includes estimates for objective goals available for Blair County. Objective goals include: Health Care Access, Disability, Weight Control, Diabetes, Physical Activity, Alcohol Consumption, and Immunization.

Survey Highlights - Significant Differences

Health Status:

Nineteen (19) percent of Blair County adults reported they had fair or poor health in 2009. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (15 percent).

Diabetes:

Thirteen (13) percent of Blair County adults reported in 2009 they were ever told they had diabetes. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (9 percent).

Disability:

Twenty-five (25) percent of Blair County adults reported they were limited in activities due to physical, mental, or emotional problems. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (19 percent).

TABLE 1

Core Questions

Blair County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Blair County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Health Status					
Fair or Poor Health	19	17-22	+	15	14-16
Physical Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	42	38-45		38	36-39
Mental Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	40	36-43		35	33-36
Overweight or Obese**	69	66-72		64	62-66
Obese**	32	29-35		28	27-29
Health Care Access					
No Health Care Insurance, Age 18-64	15	12-18		13	12-15
Do Not Have a Personal Health Care Provider	12	10-16		11	10-12
Unable to Get Med. Care Due to Cost in Past Year	13	10-15		11	10-12
Visited a Doctor For a Routine Checkup Within Past 2 Years	86	84-89		84	83-85
Sleep					
Unable to Get Enough Sleep 7+ Days Past Year	43	39-46		40	38-41
Exercise					
No Leisure Time Physical Activity in Past Month	29	26-32		26	24-27
10+ Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in Usual Week	87	85-89		86	85-87
Moderate Physical Activity 5+ Days a Week for 30+ Minutes a Session	56	52-60		50	49-52
10+ Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in Usual Week	50	46-53		50	49-52
Vigorous Physical Activity 3+ Days a Week for 20+ Minutes a Session	31	27-34		28	26-29
Diabetes					
Ever Told They Have Diabetes	13	11-15	+	9	8-10
Hypertension Awareness					
Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure	31	28-34		31	30-33
Taking Medication For High Blood Pressure**	87	82-91		80	78-82
Cholesterol Awareness					
Ever Had Blood Cholesterol Checked	83	79-86		82	81-84
Had Blood Cholesterol Checked in Past 5 Years	78	75-82		79	77-80
Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol**	40	37-44		39	37-40
Cardiovascular Disease					
Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack	8	6-10		6	6-7
Asthma					
Ever Told They Had Asthma	14	12-17		13	12-15
Currently Have Asthma	11	9-14		9	8-10
Tobacco Use					
Current Smokers**	25	22-28		20	19-22
Stopped Smoking For 1+ Days in Past Year	56	49-64		57	53-60
Caregiver Status					
Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member in Past Month	23	20-27		26	24-27
Disability					
Limited in Activities Due to Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems	25	22-28	+	19	18-20
Health Problem Requires Use of Special Equipment	9	7-11		8	7-8

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Core Questions

Blair County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Blair County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Alcohol Consumption					
Binge Drinkers**	17	14-20		17	15-18
Chronic Drinkers**	4	3-6		5	5-6
Immunization					
Had a Flu Shot in Past Year, Age 50+	58	54-62		57	56-59
Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccination, Age 65+	75	70-79		70	68-72
Arthritis Burden					
Ever Told Have Some Form of Arthritis**	34	32-38		31	30-33
Limited in Activities Due to Arthritis or Joint Symptoms	40	36-45		42	39-44
Nutrition					
Eat 5+ Fruits/Vegetables per Day	20	18-23		24	23-25
HIV/AIDS					
Ever Tested for HIV, Age 18-64	36	32-40		35	33-37
Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction					
Rarely or Never Get the Social or Emotional Support They Need	11	9-14		9	8-10
Satisfied or Very Satisfied With Their Life	92	89-94		94	93-95
Cancer Survivors					
Ever Told Had Cancer	11	9-13		10	9-11

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 2

Module Questions

Blair County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Blair County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Healthy Days					
Reported Pain Made it Hard to Do Usual Activities 1+ Days in Past Month	33	30-36		NSR	NSR
Reported They Felt Very Healthy and Full of Energy 21+ in Past Month	87	84-89		NSR	NSR
Reported They Fels Sad, Depressed or Blue 1+ Days in Past Month	51	48-55		NSR	NSR
Reported They Felt Worried, Tense, or Anxious 1+ Days in Past Month	65	62-69		NSR	NSR
Cardiovascular Health					
Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day	29	27-33		NSR	NSR
Have a Health Problem That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe	14	12-17		NSR	NSR
Heart Attack and Stroke				NSR	NSR
Think Pain or Discomfort in Jaw , Neck or Back is a Symptom of a Heart Attack	69	65-73		NSR	NSR
Think Feeling Weak, Lightheaded or Faint is a Symptom of a Heart Attack	75	72-78		NSR	NSR
Think Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack	97	95-98		NSR	NSR
Think Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Heart Attack	42	38-47		NSR	NSR
Think Pain or Discomfort in the Arms or Shoulder are Symptoms of a Heart Attack	94	92-96		NSR	NSR
Think Shortness of Breath is a Symptom of a Heart Attack	93	91-95		NSR	NSR
Think Sudden Confusion or Trouble Speaking are Symptoms of a Stroke	97	95-98		NSR	NSR
Think Sudden Numbness or Weakness of Face, Arm or Leg, Especially on One Side are Symptoms of a Stroke	98	96-99		NSR	NSR
Think Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Stroke	90	88-92		NSR	NSR
Think Sudden Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Stroke	49	45-53		NSR	NSR
Think Sudden Trouble Walking, Dizziness or Loss of Balance are Symptoms of a Stroke	94	92-95		NSR	NSR
Think Severe Headaches With No Know n Cause are Symptoms of a Stroke	77	73-80		NSR	NSR
Social Context					
Rent home	25	22-29		NSR	NSR
Ow n home	71	67-75		NSR	NSR
Never Stressed/Worried About Paying Rent/Mortgage in Past 12 Months	57	53-61		NSR	NSR
Usually/Alw ays Stressed/Worried About Paying Rent/Mortgage in Past 12 Months	10	7-12		NSR	NSR
Never Stressed/Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past 12 Months	63	60-67		NSR	NSR
UsuallyAlw ays Stressed/Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past 12 Months	7	5-9		NSR	NSR

Note: Excludes missing, don't know , and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly low er percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 2 (continued)

Module Questions

Blair County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Blair County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
General Preparedness					
Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency	22	19-25		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Water for Everyone Who Lives There**	57	53-60		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Nonperishable Food for Everyone Who Lives There**	89	86-92		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Prescription Medication for Each Person Who Takes Prescribed Medicines**	95	93-97		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a Working Battery Operated Radio and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out	76	72-79		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a Working Flashlight and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out	95	93-97		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Cell Phones	69	66-72		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Home Telephones	20	17-22		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Radio	49	45-53		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Television	21	18-24		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a Written Disaster Evacuation Plan For How They Will Leave Their Home in the Case of a Large-Scale Emergency	18	15-20		NSR	NSR
Percent of Adults Who Reported They Would Evacuate if Authorities Announced a Mandatory Evacuation Due to a Large-Scale Emergency (Unsure Adults Included in the Denominator)	87	84-89		NSR	NSR
Main Reason They May Not Evacuate if Asked to Do So Would be:					
Lack of Transportation - 3	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Lack of Trust in Public Officials - 10	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Concern About Leaving Property Behind - 24	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Concern About Personal Safety - 2	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Concern About Family Safety - 11	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Concern About Leaving Pets - 12	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Concern About Traffic Jams and Inability to Get Out - 5	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Health Problems (Could Not be Moved) - 2	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Other - 17	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 3

Locally-Added Questions

Blair County Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Blair County	
	%	CI
Cardiovascular Health		
Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day	29	27-33
Have a Health Problem or Condition That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe (Not Stomach Related)	7	5-9
Have a Health Problem or Condition That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe (Stomach Problems)	7	5-10

Note: Excludes missing, don't know , and refused.

TABLE 4

Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation
Blair County and Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009

Healthy People 2010 Objective[1]	Year 2010 Objective	Blair 2009	Objective Met[2] Blair County	Sig. Diff. to PA[3]	Pennsylvania 2009	Objective Met[2] Pennsylvania
01-01: Percent of adults aged 18-64 with health insurance (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	100%	85± 3	No		92± 4	No
01-04c: Percent of adults with a specific source of ongoing care (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	96%	86± 3	No		88± 1	No
05-03: Adults diagnosed with diabetes (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 age 18+)	25	113±19	No		81± 7	No
06-06: Percent of adults with disabilities* who are satisfied with their life (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	97%	71± 9	No		82± 4	No
12-09: Percent of adults aged 20+ who were ever told their blood pressure was high (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	16%	35± 3	No		35± 2	No
14-29a: Percent of adults aged 65+ with a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	73± 5	No		68± 5	No
14-29b: Percent of adults aged 65+ who were ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 18+)	90%	74± 4	No		70± 5	No
14-29c: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	30± 4	No		30± 4	No
14-29d: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who ever had vaccination agains pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	14± 3	No		18± 4	No
19-01: Percent of adults aged 20+ with healthy weights (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	31± 4	No		34± 2	No
19-02: Percent of adults aged 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	32± 4	No		29± 2	No
22-01: Percent of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	20%	28± 3	No		25± 1	No
26-11c: Percent of adults who engaged in binge drinking in past month** (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	6%	17± 3	No		17± 1	No

* Limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental or emotional problems

** 5+ alcoholic beverages at the same time or within couple hours

[1] Public Health Services. Healthy People 2010: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

[2] The "Yes" designation refers the 2009 percentage being significantly better compared to the Healthy People 2010 goal percentage.

[3] If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania, If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly low

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,236 Blair County adults completed interviews for the Blair County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Blair County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Blair County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Blair County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Blair County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Blair County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned pre-diabetes, general preparedness, and childhood asthma prevalence.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Blair County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Blair County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Blair County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Report Page 3 Notes

- Adults are classified as overweight or obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 25 or above.
- Adults are classified as obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 30 or above.
- Current smokers are adults who reported currently smoking every day or some days.
- The analysis of adults who are taking medication for blood pressure was out of adults who have high blood pressure.
- The analysis of adults who were told they had high blood cholesterol was out of adults who ever had their blood cholesterol checked.

Report Page 4 Notes

- Binge drinkers are men who reported having five or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month or women who reported having four or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month.
- Chronic drinkers are adults who reported having an average of two or more alcoholic drinks per day the past month.
- The analysis of adults who have arthritis or joint symptoms that limit activity was out of arthritic adults who reported having joint symptoms in the past thirty days.

Report Page 6 Notes

- 3-day supply of water is 1 gallon of water per person per day.
- Nonperishable food does not require refrigeration or cooking.

Blair County Healthy CommunitY Partnership
c/o Cathy Crum
423 Allegheny Street, Suite 443
Hollidaysburg, PA 16648-2022

In cooperation with the
Pennsylvania Department of Health
Bureau of Health Statistics and Research
555 Walnut Street 6th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1914

www.health.state.pa.us/stats/

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Core Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Blair County and Pennsylvania Comparisons

Table of Contents

Page 1	Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009
Page 5	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 7	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 10	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009
Page 13	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults 18-64), 2009
Page 15	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009
Page 17	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009
Page 19	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009
Page 21	Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 23	Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009
Page 25	Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes, 2009
Page 29	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 31	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009
Page 34	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009
Page 36	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009
Page 38	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009
Page 41	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack, (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 43	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 46	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 48	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Asthma, 2009
Page 50	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma, 2009
Page 52	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009
Page 55	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009
Page 57	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009
Page 59	Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009
Page 61	Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009
Page 63	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009
Page 67	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009
Page 70	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009
Page 72	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Table of Contents – *Continued*

Page 74	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009
Page 76	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009
Page 78	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009
Page 81	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 83	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009
Page 85	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 87	Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009
Page 89	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 91	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 94	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 97	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 99	Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009
Page 101	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009
Page 103	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009
Page 105	Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009
Page 108	Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese, 2009
Page 110	Percent of Adults Who Are Obese, 2009
Page 112	Technical Notes

Detailed Core Questionnaire Tables

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	19	17-22	+	15	14-16
Male	18	14-22		13	12-15
Female	20	17-23		16	15-18
18-29	NSR	NSR		8	6-11
30-44	11	8-16		9	8-11
45-64	24	20-28	+	17	16-19
65+	31	26-35		25	23-26
< High School	35	25-47		32	27-37
High School	22	19-26		21	19-23
Some College	16	11-23		12	10-15
College Degree	8	6-12		6	5-7
<$25,000	35	29-41		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	13	10-18		16	14-18
$50,000+	8	6-11		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	18	16-21	+	14	13-15
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Employed	8	6-11		8	7-9
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	5	2-13		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	20	14-29		15	12-18
Emp. Status: Retired	31	27-36	+	24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	64	52-74		61	55-68
Married	15	12-18		12	11-13
Divorced/Separated	28	22-34		26	23-30
Widowed	36	30-44		27	24-30
Never Married	14	9-20		14	11-17
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	9-18		8	7-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	20-26		19	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Diagnosed Diabetic	42	35-50		45	41-49
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	16	13-18		12	11-13
Asthmatic (Current)	30	21-40		28	24-32
Not Asthmatic	18	15-21	+	13	12-14
Obese (BMI >= 30)	24	19-29		24	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	16	12-21		12	11-14
Not Overweight Nor Obese	18	14-23	+	10	8-11
Limited Due Health Problems	48	41-56		46	43-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	8-11		7	7-8
Current Smoker	27	21-35		21	19-24
Former Smoker	21	17-26		18	17-20
Never Smoked	14	11-17		11	10-12
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		10	7-15
Drink But Not Chronic	12	9-16		8	7-10
Non-Drinker	26	23-30		22	20-24
No Health Care Coverage	14	9-21		17	13-20
Have Health Care Coverage	20	17-22	+	15	14-16
No Personal Health Care Provider	7	4-14		11	8-14
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-24	+	15	14-16
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	27	19-37		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	18	15-21	+	13	12-14
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	11	7-18		10	9-12
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	21	19-24	+	17	15-18
Urban	NSR	NSR		15	14-16
Rural	NSR	NSR		16	13-18

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Blair County adults had a significantly higher percentage (19 percent, CI: 17-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults (15 percent, CI: 14-16).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-28) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (17 percent, CI: 16-19).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Blair County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 16-21) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (14 percent, CI: 13-15).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly higher percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-36) compared to Pennsylvania retired adults (24 percent, CI: 22-26).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (13 percent, CI: 12-14).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (10 percent, CI: 8-11).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults with health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (15 percent, CI: 14-16).
  - Blair County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly higher percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (15 percent, CI: 14-16).
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (13 percent, CI: 12-14).
  - Blair County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly higher percentage (21 percent, CI: 19-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (17 percent, CI: 15-18).

Differences Within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (24 percent, CI: 20-28).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (31 percent, CI: 26-35).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-23) compared to Blair County adults with less than a high school education (35 percent, CI: 25-47).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Blair County adults less than a high school education (35 percent, CI: 25-47).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (22 percent, CI: 19-26).

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Differences Within Blair County: (continued)

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-18) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (35 percent, CI: 29-41).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (35 percent, CI: 29-41).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (20 percent, CI: 14-29).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County retired adults (31 percent, CI: 27-36).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (64 percent, CI: 52-74).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (20 percent, CI: 14-29).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Blair County retired adults (31 percent, CI: 27-36).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (64 percent, CI: 52-74).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (64 percent, CI: 52-74).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-36) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (64 percent, CI: 52-74).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (28 percent, CI: 22-34).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Blair County widowed adults (36 percent, CI: 30-44).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 9-20) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (28 percent, CI: 22-34).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 9-20) compared to Blair County widowed adults (36 percent, CI: 30-44).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (22 percent, CI: 20-26).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-18) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (42 percent, CI: 35-50).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 8-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (48 percent, CI: 41-56).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (27 percent, CI: 21-35).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-16) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (26 percent, CI: 23-30).

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Differences Within Blair County: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (21 percent, CI: 18-24).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-18) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (21 percent, CI: 19-24).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	42	38-45		38	37-40
Male	37	31-43		35	33-37
Female	46	41-50		42	40-44
18-29	41	28-55		43	38-49
30-44	34	28-40		37	34-40
45-64	44	40-49	+	37	34-39
65+	46	42-51		40	38-42
< High School	37	26-50		47	42-53
High School	45	40-50		40	38-43
Some College	40	32-48		40	37-44
College Degree	37	30-44		33	30-35
<$25,000	55	49-61		49	46-52
$25,000 to $49,999	40	34-48		40	37-43
$50,000+	32	26-38		31	29-34
White, non-Hispanic	41	38-45		38	36-39
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		42	37-48
Emp. Status: Employed	37	32-43		35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		27	22-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		40	34-46
Emp. Status: Homemaker	35	25-46		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	48	43-53	+	39	36-41
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	82	70-90		77	70-83
Married	37	33-41		34	33-36
Divorced/Separated	51	44-58		45	41-49
Widowed	51	43-58		45	41-48
Never Married	38	28-50		45	40-49
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	36	30-43		36	34-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	44	40-49		40	38-42
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	82	77-87		78	75-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	32	28-36		32	30-33
Diagnosed Diabetic	55	47-62		56	52-60
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	40	36-44		37	35-38
Asthmatic (Current)	60	48-71		55	49-60
Not Asthmatic	39	36-43		37	35-38
Obese (BMI >= 30)	47	41-53		45	42-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	39	33-45		37	34-39
Not Overweight Nor Obese	40	34-46		36	33-39
Limited Due Health Problems	69	61-76		70	67-73
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	33	29-37		31	29-33
Current Smoker	50	42-58		45	42-49
Former Smoker	42	36-49		38	36-41
Never Smoked	37	32-42		36	34-38
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	29-43
Drink But Not Chronic	41	35-46		36	34-39
Non-Drinker	44	40-49		41	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	33	24-43		39	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage	43	39-46		38	37-40
No Personal Health Care Provider	29	19-42		36	30-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	43	40-47		39	37-40
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	59	47-70		58	52-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	39	36-43		36	35-38
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	34	27-43		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	44	40-48		38	37-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		39	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	35-42

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (44 percent, CI: 40-49) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (37 percent, CI: 34-39).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly higher percentage (48 percent, CI: 43-53) compared to Pennsylvania retired adults (39 percent, CI: 36-41).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 28-40) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (46 percent, CI: 42-51).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 34-48) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (55 percent, CI: 49-61).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 26-38) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (55 percent, CI: 49-61).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 32-43) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (82 percent, CI: 70-90).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 25-46) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (82 percent, CI: 70-90).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 43-53) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (82 percent, CI: 70-90).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 33-41) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (51 percent, CI: 44-58).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 33-41) compared to Blair County widowed adults (51 percent, CI: 43-58).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-36) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (82 percent, CI: 77-87).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 36-44) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (55 percent, CI: 47-62).
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 36-43) compared to Blair County adults who currently have asthma (60 percent, CI: 48-71).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-37) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (69 percent, CI: 61-76).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 36-43) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (59 percent, CI: 47-70).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	40	36-43		35	34-37
Male	33	28-39		29	27-32
Female	45	41-50		41	39-42
18-29	59	45-72		50	45-56
30-44	42	36-49		39	36-42
45-64	37	32-41		32	30-34
65+	26	22-31		21	19-23
< High School	NSR	NSR		40	34-46
High School	41	36-47		35	32-37
Some College	38	30-46		40	36-43
College Degree	36	29-43		31	29-33
<$25,000	51	44-57		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	35	29-42		35	32-38
$50,000+	31	25-38		31	28-33
White, non-Hispanic	39	35-43		35	33-36
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		38	33-43
Emp. Status: Employed	39	33-44		35	32-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		28	23-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		49	43-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	37	26-51		35	31-39
Emp. Status: Retired	26	22-31		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	75	64-83		65	58-70
Married	34	30-38		30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	44	37-51		44	40-48
Widowed	33	26-41		28	25-31
Never Married	46	36-58		47	42-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	49	43-56		40	37-43
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	34	30-38		32	31-34
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	55	48-62		52	48-55
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	36	32-40		32	31-34
Diagnosed Diabetic	39	32-47		36	32-41
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	40	36-44		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	51	39-63		49	44-54
Not Asthmatic	38	34-42		34	32-35
Obese (BMI >= 30)	47	40-53		40	37-43
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	32	26-39		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	41	35-47		35	33-38
Limited Due Health Problems	58	51-65		53	50-57
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	33	29-37		31	29-33
Current Smoker	57	49-64		50	46-53
Former Smoker	32	25-39		30	28-33
Never Smoked	34	30-39		32	30-34
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	31-45
Drink But Not Chronic	37	32-43		35	33-38
Non-Drinker	40	36-45		35	33-37
No Health Care Coverage	45	34-56		43	37-48
Have Health Care Coverage	39	35-43		34	33-36
No Personal Health Care Provider	50	37-63		38	33-44
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	38	35-42		35	33-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	58	46-69		59	54-64
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	37	33-41		32	31-34
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	45	37-53		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	38	34-42		34	32-35
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-37
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-39) compared to Blair County women (45 percent, CI: 41-50).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 32-41) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (59 percent, CI: 45-72).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (59 percent, CI: 45-72).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (42 percent, CI: 36-49).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (37 percent, CI: 32-41).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 29-42) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (51 percent, CI: 44-57).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 25-38) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (51 percent, CI: 44-57).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 33-44) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (75 percent, CI: 64-83).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 26-51) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (75 percent, CI: 64-83).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Blair County employed adults (39 percent, CI: 33-44).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (75 percent, CI: 64-83).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (44 percent, CI: 35-54).
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (49 percent, CI: 39-59).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-30) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (44 percent, CI: 35-54).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-30) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (49 percent, CI: 39-59).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 30-38) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (49 percent, CI: 43-56).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-40) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (55 percent, CI: 48-62).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 30-38) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (49 percent, CI: 43-56).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 26-39) compared to Blair County obese adults (47 percent, CI: 40-53).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-37) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (58 percent, CI: 51-65).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 25-39) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (57 percent, CI: 49-64).
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 30-39) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (57 percent, CI: 49-64).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 33-41) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (58 percent, CI: 46-69).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	24	21-27		21	20-22
Male	21	17-26		18	16-20
Female	26	22-30		24	22-26
18-29	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
30-44	21	16-27		23	20-26
45-64	28	24-32	+	20	18-22
65+	17	14-21		16	15-18
< High School	26	16-39		26	21-31
High School	25	21-30		21	19-23
Some College	24	18-32		23	20-26
College Degree	19	14-26		19	17-21
<$25,000	35	29-41		29	26-32
$25,000 to $49,999	20	15-26		21	19-24
$50,000+	18	13-24		18	16-20
White, non-Hispanic	23	20-27		21	19-22
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Employed	20	16-25		18	17-20
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	18	10-31		13	9-18
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	17	11-26		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Retired	20	16-24		16	15-18
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	72	61-81		63	56-69
Married	17	14-20		18	17-20
Divorced/Separated	33	27-40		31	27-34
Widowed	22	16-29		19	17-22
Never Married	30	21-41		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	19-31		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	20-27		21	19-22
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	48	41-55		51	47-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	18	15-22		16	15-17
Diagnosed Diabetic	28	21-35		30	27-34
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	23	20-27		20	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	39	29-51		35	30-40
Not Asthmatic	22	19-25		20	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	28	23-35		25	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	19	14-25		20	18-23
Not Overweight Nor Obese	25	20-31		19	17-22
Limited Due Health Problems	51	44-59		50	47-53
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	15	12-18		14	13-16
Current Smoker	34	27-41		29	26-33
Former Smoker	26	20-33		21	19-23
Never Smoked	17	14-22		18	16-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	21	17-26		20	18-22
Non-Drinker	25	21-29		23	21-25
No Health Care Coverage	21	14-31		23	19-28
Have Health Care Coverage	24	21-28		21	19-22
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		19	15-24
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	23	20-26		21	20-23
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	48	37-60		41	36-47
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	20	17-23		19	17-20
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	21	15-28		22	19-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	21-28		21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		21	20-23
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-32) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (20 percent, CI: 18-22).

Differences Within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (28 percent, CI: 24-32).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-26) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (35 percent, CI: 29-41).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-24) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (35 percent, CI: 29-41).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (72 percent, CI: 61-81).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 10-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (72 percent, CI: 61-81).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (72 percent, CI: 61-81).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (72 percent, CI: 61-81).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (33 percent, CI: 27-40).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (30 percent, CI: 21-41).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (48 percent, CI: 41-55).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 19-25) compared to Blair County adults who currently have asthma (39 percent, CI: 29-51).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (51 percent, CI: 44-59).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (34 percent, CI: 27-41).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

Differences Within Blair County: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (48 percent, CI: 37-60).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	15	12-18		13	12-15
Male, Age 18-64	14	9-19		17	14-19
Female, Age 18-64	15	12-20		10	9-12
18-29	18	10-30		23	19-28
30-44	14	10-20		13	11-15
45-64	13	10-16		9	8-10
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		26	19-34
High School, Age 18-64	15	12-20		17	15-20
Some College, Age 18-64	12	8-19		16	13-19
College Degree, Age 18-64	8	5-13		5	4-7
<$25,000, Age 18-64	30	22-39		30	26-35
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	13	9-19		18	15-21
$50,000+, Age 18-64	4	2-7		4	3-6
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	14	11-17		12	11-14
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		19	15-24
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	11	8-14		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		27	21-35
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	30-43
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		12	9-17
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	10	5-19		5	3-9
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	10	5-19		8	5-13
Married, Age 18-64	10	7-13		9	7-10
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	19	13-26		16	13-20
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		14	10-21
Never Married, Age 18-64	20	12-31		22	18-26
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	13	10-18		13	11-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	15	12-21		14	12-15
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	12	8-19		18	14-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	15	12-19		13	11-14
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	9	5-18		9	6-13
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	15	12-19		14	12-15
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	6	3-13		13	9-18
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	15	12-19		13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	13	8-20		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	11	7-17		13	10-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	20	14-27		16	13-19
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	13	7-22		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	15	12-19		13	11-15
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	20	15-27		23	19-27
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	12	7-18		9	7-11
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	12	8-17		12	10-14
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		25	18-34
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	13	9-18		12	10-14
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	18	13-23		14	12-16
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	45	32-59		48	42-54
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	9	7-12		8	7-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	35	25-46		46	40-52
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	11	8-14		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	23	17-31		25	22-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	11	8-15		8	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	11-14
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-19) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than $25,000 (30 percent, CI: 22-39).
  - Blair County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than $25,000 (30 percent, CI: 22-39).
  - Blair County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (13 percent, CI: 9-19).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults age 18-64 who reported having one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 who reported having no personal health care provider (45 percent, CI: 32-59).
  - Blair County adults age 18-64 who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (35 percent, CI: 25-46).
  - Blair County adults age 18-64 who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (23 percent, CI: 17-31).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	12	10-16		11	10-12
Male	16	12-22		14	12-16
Female	9	6-13		7	6-9
18-29	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
30-44	16	11-22		13	11-16
45-64	8	6-11		6	5-7
65+	3	1-5		3	3-4
< High School	NSR	NSR		15	11-21
High School	11	8-15		11	9-13
Some College	17	11-27		12	10-15
College Degree	7	4-11		8	7-10
<$25,000	13	9-19		17	14-21
$25,000 to $49,999	14	9-22		10	8-12
$50,000+	7	4-13		8	6-9
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-15		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		18	14-23
Emp. Status: Employed	15	10-20		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		17	12-24
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	3	2-6		3	3-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	7	3-14		6	4-10
Married	7	5-10		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	11	7-17		12	9-15
Widowed	3	1-7		4	3-6
Never Married	23	14-35		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	12-24		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	7-14		9	8-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	5	3-9		8	6-10
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	14	11-18		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	2	1-5		3	2-5
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	14	11-18		11	10-13
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		7	5-11
Not Asthmatic	13	10-16		11	10-12
Obese (BMI >= 30)	12	7-19		8	7-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	12	7-17		9	7-11
Not Overweight Nor Obese	15	10-21		14	12-16
Limited Due Health Problems	7	3-14		7	5-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	14	11-18		12	10-13
Current Smoker	18	12-26		16	13-19
Former Smoker	12	7-19		8	6-9
Never Smoked	10	6-14		10	9-12
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	14	10-20		12	10-14
Non-Drinker	10	7-15		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage	45	35-56		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	8	5-11		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	33	22-45		30	25-35
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	7-13		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	28	21-37		23	21-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	7	5-11		5	5-7
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-12

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (16 percent, CI: 11-22).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (8 percent, CI: 6-11).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County employed adults (15 percent, CI: 10-20).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (23 percent, CI: 14-35).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (23 percent, CI: 14-35).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage (45 percent, CI: 35-56).
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (33 percent, CI: 22-45).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (28 percent, CI: 21-37).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	10-15		11	10-12
Male	10	7-15		10	8-12
Female	14	11-18		12	11-14
18-29	23	13-36		20	16-25
30-44	14	10-19		13	11-16
45-64	12	10-16		9	8-10
65+	3	2-6		3	3-4
< High School	NSR	NSR		14	10-19
High School	13	10-18		12	11-14
Some College	14	10-20		13	11-16
College Degree	11	7-18		7	6-9
<$25,000	22	17-28		23	20-26
$25,000 to $49,999	14	9-21		13	11-16
$50,000+	3	2-6		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-14		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
Emp. Status: Employed	15	11-20		9	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		14	10-21
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		28	23-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	13	7-23		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Retired	7	4-10	+	3	2-3
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	12	7-20		20	15-26
Married	8	6-11		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	23	18-30		16	13-19
Widowed	6	3-11		6	4-8
Never Married	15	9-24		18	15-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	14-26		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	7-12		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	18	13-24		21	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	11	9-15		9	8-11
Diagnosed Diabetic	10	6-15		12	9-16
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	10-16		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		21	17-26
Not Asthmatic	11	9-14		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	12	8-16		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	14	9-21		10	8-12
Not Overweight Nor Obese	10	7-15		12	10-14
Limited Due Health Problems	19	13-27		20	17-23
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	10	8-13		9	8-10
Current Smoker	24	17-32		21	18-24
Former Smoker	11	7-16		8	6-10
Never Smoked	8	6-11		9	7-10
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	11-23
Drink But Not Chronic	12	8-16		10	8-11
Non-Drinker	13	9-16		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	35	26-45		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	10	7-13		7	6-8
No Personal Health Care Provider	33	22-47		31	26-37
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	8-12		9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	18	13-24		20	17-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	11	8-15		7	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		11	9-14

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly higher percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Pennsylvania retired adults (3 percent, CI: 2-3).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (23 percent, CI: 13-36).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (14 percent, CI: 10-19).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (12 percent, CI: 10-16).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (22 percent, CI: 17-28).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (14 percent, CI: 9-21).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Blair County employed adults (15 percent, CI: 11-20).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (23 percent, CI: 18-30).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-11) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (23 percent, CI: 18-30).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (20 percent, CI: 14-26).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (24 percent, CI: 17-32).
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (24 percent, CI: 17-32).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage (35 percent, CI: 26-45).
  - Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-12) compared to Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider (33 percent, CI: 22-47).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	86	84-89		84	83-85
Male	84	80-88		81	79-83
Female	88	84-91		87	85-88
18-29	79	67-88		80	76-84
30-44	78	72-83		77	74-79
45-64	90	86-92		86	84-87
65+	97	95-99		95	93-95
< High School	93	85-97		86	82-90
High School	86	81-89		84	82-86
Some College	85	77-91		84	82-87
College Degree	86	81-91		84	82-86
<$25,000	89	84-93		86	83-88
$25,000 to $49,999	83	76-88		83	80-85
$50,000+	87	82-90		84	82-86
White, non-Hispanic	87	84-90		83	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		88	84-91
Emp. Status: Employed	82	78-86		81	80-83
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		73	67-79
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		77	72-82
Emp. Status: Homemaker	88	78-93		85	81-88
Emp. Status: Retired	97	94-98		94	93-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	92	83-96		91	87-94
Married	87	84-90		84	83-85
Divorced/Separated	90	84-94		84	80-86
Widowed	92	86-96		95	94-96
Never Married	81	71-88		82	78-85
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	77	70-83		79	77-81
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	92	89-93		87	86-89
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	90	81-95		89	87-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	86	82-88		83	82-85
Diagnosed Diabetic	95	89-98		95	93-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	85	82-88		83	82-84
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	80-88
Not Asthmatic	86	83-88		84	83-85
Obese (BMI >= 30)	90	85-93		88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	84	79-89		84	82-86
Not Overweight Nor Obese	86	80-90		82	80-84
Limited Due Health Problems	89	82-94		88	85-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	85	82-88		83	82-85
Current Smoker	82	74-88		78	74-81
Former Smoker	88	83-91		87	85-88
Never Smoked	88	84-91		86	84-87
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	69-81
Drink But Not Chronic	84	80-88		83	81-85
Non-Drinker	88	84-92		87	85-89
No Health Care Coverage	73	63-81		60	54-65
Have Health Care Coverage	88	85-91		87	86-88
No Personal Health Care Provider	66	53-77		57	51-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	89	86-92		87	86-88
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	77	67-84		68	63-73
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	85-90		86	85-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		84	83-86
Rural	NSR	NSR		84	81-87

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Year, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 67-88) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 95-99).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 72-83) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (90 percent, CI: 86-92).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 72-83) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 95-99).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (90 percent, CI: 86-92) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 95-99).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 78-86) compared to Blair County retired adults (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 78-93) compared to Blair County retired adults (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 70-83) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (92 percent, CI: 89-93).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 82-88) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (95 percent, CI: 89-98).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 63-81) compared to Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage (88 percent, CI: 85-91).
  - Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 53-77) compared to Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (89 percent, CI: 86-92).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 67-84) compared to Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (88 percent, CI: 85-90).

Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	43	39-46		41	39-42
Male	39	33-45		39	36-41
Female	47	42-51		43	41-44
18-29	66	52-78		50	45-55
30-44	50	43-56		54	51-57
45-64	38	34-43		38	36-40
65+	23	19-27		20	18-21
< High School	43	30-56		40	35-46
High School	47	42-52		41	38-43
Some College	40	32-49		42	39-46
College Degree	37	30-44		39	37-42
<$25,000	48	42-54		43	39-46
$25,000 to $49,999	41	34-48		42	39-45
$50,000+	39	33-46		40	38-43
White, non-Hispanic	42	39-46		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		47	42-52
Emp. Status: Employed	46	41-52		46	43-48
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		36	30-42
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		42	36-48
Emp. Status: Homemaker	51	40-63		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	27	23-32		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	59	47-70		61	55-67
Married	37	33-41		39	37-41
Divorced/Separated	53	46-59		47	43-51
Widowed	27	21-35		23	21-26
Never Married	48	37-59		47	43-52
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	56	50-63		52	49-55
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	31-40		34	32-36
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	55	48-62		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	40	36-44		38	37-40
Diagnosed Diabetic	38	31-46		39	35-43
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	43	39-47		41	39-43
Asthmatic (Current)	64	53-74		55	50-60
Not Asthmatic	40	36-44		39	38-41
Obese (BMI >= 30)	47	41-54		45	43-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	39	33-46		38	36-41
Not Overweight Nor Obese	43	37-49		40	37-42
Limited Due Health Problems	57	50-64		56	53-59
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	38	34-42		37	35-39
Current Smoker	60	53-67		54	50-57
Former Smoker	39	32-45		37	34-39
Never Smoked	36	31-41		38	36-40
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		39	33-47
Drink But Not Chronic	43	37-49		42	40-45
Non-Drinker	42	37-47		40	37-42
No Health Care Coverage	42	32-53		50	44-56
Have Health Care Coverage	43	39-47		40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	50	37-64		47	41-52
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	42	38-45		40	38-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	70	60-78		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	39	35-43		38	36-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	49	41-58		48	45-51
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	41	37-45		37	36-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-43
Rural	NSR	NSR		39	35-43

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 4: Sleep, Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 34-43) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (66 percent, CI: 52-78).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-27) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (66 percent, CI: 52-78).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-27) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (50 percent, CI: 43-56).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-27) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (38 percent, CI: 34-43).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-32) compared to Blair County employed adults (46 percent, CI: 41-52).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-32) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (51 percent, CI: 40-63).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-32) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (59 percent, CI: 47-70).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 33-41) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (53 percent, CI: 46-59).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 21-35) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (53 percent, CI: 46-59).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 21-35) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (48 percent, CI: 37-59).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-40) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (56 percent, CI: 50-63).
- **General Heath Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 36-44) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (55 percent, CI: 48-62).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 36-44) compared to Blair County adults who currently have asthma (64 percent, CI: 53-74).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 34-42) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (57 percent, CI: 50-64).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-45) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (60 percent, CI: 53-67).
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-41) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (60 percent, CI: 53-67).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 35-43) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (70 percent, CI: 60-78).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	29	26-32		26	24-27
Male	23	18-28		22	20-24
Female	35	31-39		29	28-31
18-29	NSR	NSR		17	14-21
30-44	22	17-27		24	22-27
45-64	29	25-34		27	25-28
65+	39	34-44		34	32-36
< High School	46	33-59		42	37-48
High School	34	29-39		34	32-36
Some College	25	20-32		23	20-26
College Degree	16	12-21		15	13-17
<$25,000	37	32-43		39	36-42
$25,000 to $49,999	29	22-36		31	28-34
$50,000+	18	14-23		16	14-18
White, non-Hispanic	29	26-32		25	23-26
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		31	27-36
Emp. Status: Employed	25	21-31		23	22-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	17	9-29		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		23	19-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	30	19-43		27	23-30
Emp. Status: Retired	36	31-41		30	28-32
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	56	44-67		57	50-63
Married	25	22-29		24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	30	24-37		33	29-37
Widowed	43	36-50		39	36-42
Never Married	25	17-36		24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	30	24-37		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	29	25-32		28	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	46	39-53		50	46-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	25	22-29		21	20-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	39	32-46		42	38-46
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	28	24-31		24	23-25
Asthmatic (Current)	37	26-49		34	29-39
Not Asthmatic	28	25-32		25	24-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	35	29-41		34	32-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	25	20-31		23	21-26
Not Overweight Nor Obese	27	22-33		20	18-22
Limited Due Health Problems	45	38-52		43	40-46
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	23	20-27		22	20-23
Current Smoker	33	26-41		32	29-35
Former Smoker	28	23-33		25	23-27
Never Smoked	27	23-32		24	22-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Drink But Not Chronic	20	16-26		18	17-20
Non-Drinker	35	31-39		33	31-36
No Health Care Coverage	32	23-42		27	22-31
Have Health Care Coverage	29	25-32		26	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	23	14-36		26	22-31
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	30	27-33		26	24-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	36	25-48		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	28	25-31		25	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	18-33		23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	30	27-34		27	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		27	24-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-28) compared to Blair County women (35 percent, CI: 31-39).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-27) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (39 percent, CI: 34-44).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 20-32) compared to Blair County adults with less than a high school education (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Blair County adults less than a high school education (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (34 percent, CI: 29-39).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (37 percent, CI: 32-43).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (56 percent, CI: 44-67).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 9-29) compared to Blair County retired adults (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 9-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (56 percent, CI: 44-67).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 19-43) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (56 percent, CI: 44-67).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-41) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (56 percent, CI: 44-67).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Blair County widowed adults (43 percent, CI: 36-50).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (46 percent, CI: 39-53).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (39 percent, CI: 32-46).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-27) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (45 percent, CI: 38-52).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-26) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (35 percent, CI: 31-39).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	11-15	+	9	8-10
Male	14	11-18	+	9	8-10
Female	12	9-14		9	8-10
18-29	1	0-9		1	0-3
30-44	7	4-11		4	3-6
45-64	16	13-20	+	11	10-12
65+	23	20-28		20	18-21
< High School	22	14-31		17	13-21
High School	13	11-16		12	10-13
Some College	11	8-15		7	6-9
College Degree	10	7-14	+	5	5-6
<$25,000	18	14-22		16	14-18
$25,000 to $49,999	11	8-15		11	9-12
$50,000+	7	5-11		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	13	11-15	+	9	8-9
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		11	9-14
Emp. Status: Employed	7	5-10		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	18	9-31	+	5	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	6	2-13		7	5-11
Emp. Status: Homemaker	9	5-15		7	5-10
Emp. Status: Retired	24	20-28		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	24	16-35		24	19-29
Married	12	10-15		9	8-10
Divorced/Separated	18	14-25		13	11-15
Widowed	23	18-30		19	17-22
Never Married	6	4-11		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	5-10		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	14-19	+	12	11-13
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	28	23-34		28	25-31
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	9	7-11	+	6	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	16	10-24		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	12	11-15	+	9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	24	20-29		18	16-20
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	7-13		8	7-9
Not Overweight Nor Obese	5	3-7		3	2-3
Limited Due Health Problems	20	16-25		17	15-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	10	8-13		7	6-8
Current Smoker	10	7-14		7	6-9
Former Smoker	16	13-21		12	11-14
Never Smoked	12	10-15	+	8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		5	3-8
Drink But Not Chronic	9	7-13	+	5	4-6
Non-Drinker	17	14-20		14	13-15
No Health Care Coverage	7	4-13		5	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage	14	12-16	+	10	9-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-6		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	14	12-17	+	10	9-11
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	10	6-16		10	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	13	11-15	+	9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	4	2-8		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	16	13-18	+	11	11-12
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		8	7-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Blair County adults had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 11-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly higher percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Pennsylvania men (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (11 percent, CI: 10-12).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly higher percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (5 percent, CI: 5-6).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Blair County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 11-15) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (9 percent, CI: 8-9).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 9-31) compared to Pennsylvania self-employed adults (5 percent, CI: 4-8).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly higher percentage (16 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (12 percent, CI: 11-13).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly higher percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (6 percent, CI: 5-6).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly higher percentage (12 percent, CI: 11-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who never smoked had a significantly higher percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly higher percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (5 percent, CI: 4-6).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults with health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-16) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (10 percent, CI: 9-10).
  - Blair County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly higher percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (10 percent, CI: 9-11).
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly higher percentage (13 percent, CI: 11-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
  - Blair County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly higher percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-18) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (11 percent, CI: 11-12).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-9) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (16 percent, CI: 13-20).
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-9) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (23 percent, CI: 20-28).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (16 percent, CI: 13-20).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (23 percent, CI: 20-28).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (18 percent, CI: 14-22).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Blair County retired adults (24 percent, CI: 20-28).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (24 percent, CI: 16-35).
  - Blair County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Blair County retired adults (24 percent, CI: 20-28).
  - Blair County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (24 percent, CI: 16-35).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-15) compared to Blair County retired adults (24 percent, CI: 20-28).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-15) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (24 percent, CI: 16-35).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Blair County widowed adults (23 percent, CI: 18-30).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (18 percent, CI: 14-25).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Blair County widowed adults (23 percent, CI: 18-30).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (16 percent, CI: 14-19).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (28 percent, CI: 23-34).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County obese adults (24 percent, CI: 20-29).
  - Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Blair County obese adults (24 percent, CI: 20-29).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (20 percent, CI: 16-25).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (17 percent, CI: 14-20).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (14 percent, CI: 12-17).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (16 percent, CI: 13-18).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	31	28-34		31	30-33
Male	32	27-37		32	30-35
Female	31	28-35		31	29-32
18-29	NSR	NSR		10	8-14
30-44	18	13-24		19	17-22
45-64	36	32-41		36	34-38
65+	59	54-63		59	57-61
< High School	45	33-58		42	37-48
High School	38	33-43		37	35-39
Some College	26	21-32		30	27-32
College Degree	17	13-22		24	22-26
<$25,000	43	37-49		42	39-45
$25,000 to $49,999	28	23-33		34	31-36
$50,000+	19	15-23		25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	31	28-34		32	31-33
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		28	24-32
Emp. Status: Employed	20	16-24		23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	18	10-31		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		29	24-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	30	21-39		33	29-36
Emp. Status: Retired	57	52-62		58	55-60
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	54	42-65		48	42-55
Married	29	26-33		33	31-34
Divorced/Separated	38	31-44		38	35-42
Widowed	54	46-61		56	52-59
Never Married	19	13-27		19	16-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	18	13-23		18	16-20
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	39	35-42		40	38-41
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	56	49-63		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	25	22-29		27	26-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	68	60-75		67	63-71
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	23-29		28	27-29
Asthmatic (Current)	29	21-39		32	28-37
Not Asthmatic	32	29-35		31	30-33
Obese (BMI >= 30)	47	40-53		46	44-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	30	25-36		33	30-35
Not Overweight Nor Obese	17	14-21		18	16-20
Limited Due Health Problems	46	39-54		47	44-50
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	23-29		28	26-29
Current Smoker	27	21-34		29	25-32
Former Smoker	39	33-45		40	38-43
Never Smoked	30	26-34		28	26-30
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		28	23-34
Drink But Not Chronic	21	18-26		27	25-29
Non-Drinker	39	35-44		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	19	13-26		21	17-25
Have Health Care Coverage	33	30-36		33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		17	14-22
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	33	30-36		33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	34	24-46		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	28-34		32	31-33
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	15	11-20		15	13-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	37	33-40		38	36-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-32
Rural	NSR	NSR		34	30-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-24) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (36 percent, CI: 32-41).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-24) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 54-63).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-41) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 54-63).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-32) compared to Blair County adults with less than a high school education (45 percent, CI: 33-58).
  - Blair County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-32) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (38 percent, CI: 33-43).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Blair County adults less than a high school education (45 percent, CI: 33-58).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (38 percent, CI: 33-43).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 23-33) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (43 percent, CI: 37-49).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (43 percent, CI: 37-49).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Blair County retired adults (57 percent, CI: 52-62).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (54 percent, CI: 42-65).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 10-31) compared to Blair County retired adults (57 percent, CI: 52-62).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 10-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (54 percent, CI: 42-65).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 21-39) compared to Blair County retired adults (57 percent, CI: 52-62).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 21-39) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (54 percent, CI: 42-65).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 26-33) compared to Blair County widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 46-61).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 31-44) compared to Blair County widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 46-61).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (38 percent, CI: 31-44).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Blair County widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 46-61).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (39 percent, CI: 35-42).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (56 percent, CI: 49-63).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (68 percent, CI: 60-75).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 25-36) compared to Blair County obese adults (47 percent, CI: 40-53).
  - Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Blair County obese adults (47 percent, CI: 40-53).
  - Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Blair County overweight adults (30 percent, CI: 25-36).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (46 percent, CI: 39-54).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (39 percent, CI: 35-44).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage (33 percent, CI: 30-36).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (37 percent, CI: 33-40).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	87	82-91		80	78-82
Male	79	69-87		74	70-78
Female	95	91-97	+	86	83-88
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		52	45-60
45-64	91	86-95		86	83-88
65+	98	95-99		95	93-96
< High School	NSR	NSR		87	79-92
High School	85	75-91		84	81-87
Some College	94	86-98	+	71	66-77
College Degree	NSR	NSR		78	73-83
<$25,000	93	87-96	+	81	77-85
$25,000 to $49,999	93	86-97	+	80	76-84
$50,000+	NSR	NSR		77	72-81
White, non-Hispanic	88	82-92		81	78-83
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		77	69-83
Emp. Status: Employed	85	75-91		72	68-76
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		72	59-81
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	49-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		88	82-92
Emp. Status: Retired	98	94-99		94	92-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		82	71-89
Married	93	88-96	+	82	79-85
Divorced/Separated	82	71-89		81	75-86
Widowed	100	NCI		95	92-96
Never Married	NSR	NSR		60	51-68
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		60	54-66
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	93	89-96	+	86	83-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	92	85-96		86	82-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	85	77-91		78	75-81
Diagnosed Diabetic	96	89-98		95	92-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	84	77-89		76	74-79
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	78-89
Not Asthmatic	88	82-92		80	77-82
Obese (BMI >= 30)	91	85-95	+	81	77-84
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	80	67-89		81	78-85
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR		76	70-81
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR		81	76-85
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	87	81-91		80	77-82
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR		62	55-68
Former Smoker	88	78-94		86	83-89
Never Smoked	89	84-93		83	80-85
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		73	62-81
Drink But Not Chronic	87	78-92		75	70-78
Non-Drinker	88	80-93		85	82-87
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		48	39-58
Have Health Care Coverage	88	82-92		83	80-85
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		29	19-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	91	87-94	+	83	81-85
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		59	50-67
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	89	85-93	+	82	80-84
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		52	45-59
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	90	84-94		85	82-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		81	78-83
Rural	NSR	NSR		77	70-82

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County women had a significantly higher percentage (95 percent, CI: 91-97) compared to Pennsylvania women (86 percent, CI: 83-88).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with some college education had a significantly higher percentage (94 percent, CI: 86-98) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (71 percent, CI: 66-77).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly higher percentage (93 percent, CI: 87-96) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (81 percent, CI: 77-85).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly higher percentage (93 percent, CI: 86-97) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (80 percent, CI: 76-84).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly higher percentage (93 percent, CI: 88-96) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (82 percent, CI: 79-85).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly higher percentage (93 percent, CI: 89-96) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (86 percent, CI: 83-88).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County obese adults had a significantly higher percentage (91 percent, CI: 85-95) compared to Pennsylvania obese adults (81 percent, CI: 77-84).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly higher percentage (91 percent, CI: 87-94) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (83 percent, CI: 81-85).
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly higher percentage (89 percent, CI: 85-93) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (82 percent, CI: 80-84).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 69-87) compared to Blair County women (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 75-91) compared to Blair County retired adults (98 percent, CI: 94-99).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	83	79-86		82	81-84
Male	80	75-85		81	79-83
Female	85	80-88		84	82-85
18-29	NSR	NSR		48	43-53
30-44	78	72-84		81	79-84
45-64	91	88-93		93	92-94
65+	97	94-98		97	96-98
< High School	NSR	NSR		77	72-82
High School	83	77-87		82	79-84
Some College	84	76-90		80	77-84
College Degree	85	78-91		86	84-88
<$25,000	79	73-85		80	76-83
$25,000 to $49,999	82	74-87		83	80-86
$50,000+	86	81-90		86	84-88
White, non-Hispanic	83	79-86		84	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		76	71-81
Emp. Status: Employed	81	75-85		82	80-84
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		80	74-85
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		73	66-79
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		84	79-87
Emp. Status: Retired	96	93-98		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	83	71-91		87	80-91
Married	89	86-92		89	88-91
Divorced/Separated	85	79-90		87	84-90
Widowed	93	87-97		96	94-97
Never Married	68	57-78		57	53-62
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	74	66-80		75	73-78
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	87	84-90		87	85-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	87	80-91		89	85-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	82	78-85		81	80-83
Diagnosed Diabetic	97	92-99		97	94-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	81	77-84		81	79-83
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	79-88
Not Asthmatic	84	80-87		82	81-84
Obese (BMI >= 30)	89	83-93		88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	81	74-86		84	82-87
Not Overweight Nor Obese	79	72-84		76	72-78
Limited Due Health Problems	83	74-90		87	84-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	83	79-86		81	80-83
Current Smoker	70	62-78		72	68-76
Former Smoker	88	81-92		92	91-94
Never Smoked	87	82-90		82	79-84
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	67-82
Drink But Not Chronic	82	77-87		84	81-86
Non-Drinker	85	80-89		83	80-85
No Health Care Coverage	60	49-71		59	53-65
Have Health Care Coverage	86	82-89		85	84-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	55	41-68		58	52-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	86	83-89		85	84-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	58	45-70		69	63-74
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	86	83-89		84	83-86
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	65	56-72		68	64-71
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	88	84-92		89	87-90
Urban	NSR	NSR		83	81-85
Rural	NSR	NSR		80	76-83

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 72-84) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (91 percent, CI: 88-93).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 72-84) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (91 percent, CI: 88-93) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 75-85) compared to Blair County retired adults (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 71-91) compared to Blair County retired adults (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 57-78) compared to Blair County married adults (89 percent, CI: 86-92).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 57-78) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (85 percent, CI: 79-90).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 57-78) compared to Blair County widowed adults (93 percent, CI: 87-97).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 66-80) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (87 percent, CI: 84-90).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 77-84) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (97 percent, CI: 92-99).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 62-78) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (88 percent, CI: 81-92).
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 62-78) compared to Blair County adults who have never smoked (87 percent, CI: 82-90).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 49-71) compared to Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage (86 percent, CI: 82-89).
  - Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 41-68) compared to Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (86 percent, CI: 83-89).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 45-70) compared to Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (86 percent, CI: 83-89).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 56-72) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (88 percent, CI: 84-92).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	78	75-82		79	77-80
Male	77	71-82		78	75-80
Female	80	75-83		80	77-81
18-29	NSR	NSR		45	40-50
30-44	71	65-77		75	72-77
45-64	86	82-89		90	88-91
65+	96	93-98		96	95-96
< High School	NSR	NSR		74	69-79
High School	78	73-83		78	75-81
Some College	78	70-84		77	73-80
College Degree	82	75-88		81	79-84
<$25,000	77	70-82		77	74-81
$25,000 to $49,999	76	68-82		79	76-82
$50,000+	81	75-85		81	79-84
White, non-Hispanic	79	75-82		80	78-81
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		74	68-79
Emp. Status: Employed	75	70-80		78	76-80
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		74	68-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		68	61-74
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		77	72-81
Emp. Status: Retired	95	92-97		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	81	68-89		86	79-91
Married	84	80-87		85	84-86
Divorced/Separated	82	75-87		84	80-86
Widowed	93	87-97		94	92-96
Never Married	65	53-75		54	49-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	66	59-73		70	67-72
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	81-88		84	82-86
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	85	78-90		86	83-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	77	73-81		77	76-79
Diagnosed Diabetic	97	92-99		96	93-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	76	72-79		77	75-78
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		81	75-85
Not Asthmatic	79	75-82		79	77-80
Obese (BMI >= 30)	87	81-92		85	83-87
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	76	69-82		81	78-83
Not Overweight Nor Obese	72	65-77		71	68-74
Limited Due Health Problems	81	72-87		85	81-88
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	78	74-81		77	75-79
Current Smoker	64	55-72		68	64-72
Former Smoker	83	77-88		89	87-91
Never Smoked	84	79-87		78	75-80
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		71	64-78
Drink But Not Chronic	78	72-82		79	77-82
Non-Drinker	81	76-85		79	77-81
No Health Care Coverage	53	42-64		51	46-57
Have Health Care Coverage	82	79-86		82	80-83
No Personal Health Care Provider	45	32-59		50	44-56
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	83	79-86		82	81-84
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	51	39-62		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	82	79-85		81	79-82
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	51	43-59		58	55-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	87	83-90		87	86-89
Urban	NSR	NSR		79	77-81
Rural	NSR	NSR		76	72-80

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 65-77) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (86 percent, CI: 82-89).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 65-77) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 82-89) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-80) compared to Blair County retired adults (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 68-89) compared to Blair County retired adults (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 53-75) compared to Blair County married adults (84 percent, CI: 80-87).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 53-75) compared to Blair County widowed adults (93 percent, CI: 87-97).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 59-73) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (85 percent, CI: 81-88).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 72-79) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (97 percent, CI: 92-99).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 65-77) compared to Blair County obese adults (87 percent, CI: 81-92).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 55-72) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (83 percent, CI: 77-88).
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 55-72) compared to Blair County adults who have never smoked (84 percent, CI: 79-87).
- **Health Care Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 42-64) compared to Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage (82 percent, CI: 79-86).
  - Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 32-59) compared to Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (83 percent, CI: 79-86).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 39-62) compared to Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (82 percent, CI: 79-85).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 43-59) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (87 percent, CI: 83-90).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	40	37-44		39	37-40
Male	41	35-46		40	38-42
Female	40	36-44		38	36-40
18-29	NSR	NSR		14	9-20
30-44	23	17-30		27	24-30
45-64	50	45-55		44	41-46
65+	56	51-61		55	53-57
< High School	NSR	NSR		48	42-55
High School	45	40-50		45	42-47
Some College	37	30-45		36	33-39
College Degree	30	24-37		33	31-36
<$25,000	49	43-56		45	42-48
$25,000 to $49,999	43	36-49		44	41-47
$50,000+	30	25-36		34	32-36
White, non-Hispanic	41	37-44		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		33	28-38
Emp. Status: Employed	32	28-37		33	31-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		35	29-41
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		31	25-37
Emp. Status: Homemaker	38	28-50		37	32-41
Emp. Status: Retired	58	53-63		55	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	57	44-70		54	48-61
Married	40	35-44		40	38-42
Divorced/Separated	51	44-59		41	37-45
Widowed	56	48-63		51	48-55
Never Married	24	16-33		27	23-31
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	18-29		28	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	48	43-52		45	43-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	56	48-63		57	53-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	36	32-40		35	34-37
Diagnosed Diabetic	59	51-66		64	60-68
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	37	33-41		36	34-37
Asthmatic (Current)	48	37-59		40	35-45
Not Asthmatic	39	36-43		39	37-40
Obese (BMI >= 30)	49	42-56		46	43-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	40	34-46		43	40-45
Not Overweight Nor Obese	33	28-39		28	26-31
Limited Due Health Problems	53	46-61		50	47-54
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	36	32-40		36	34-38
Current Smoker	38	30-45		37	33-40
Former Smoker	48	42-55		47	44-50
Never Smoked	37	32-41		35	33-37
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	32-46
Drink But Not Chronic	33	28-38		35	33-37
Non-Drinker	47	42-51		43	41-45
No Health Care Coverage	32	22-44		26	21-32
Have Health Care Coverage	41	37-45		40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	42	38-45		40	39-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	37	27-48		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	41	37-44		39	37-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	19-33		26	24-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	43	40-47		43	41-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		38	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		42	38-45

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 17-30) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (50 percent, CI: 45-55).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 17-30) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (56 percent, CI: 51-61).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 24-37) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (45 percent, CI: 40-50).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 25-36) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (49 percent, CI: 43-56).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-37) compared to Blair County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-37) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (57 percent, CI: 44-70).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 28-50) compared to Blair County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 35-44) compared to Blair County widowed adults (56 percent, CI: 48-63).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 16-33) compared to Blair County married adults (40 percent, CI: 35-44).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 16-33) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (51 percent, CI: 44-59).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 16-33) compared to Blair County widowed adults (56 percent, CI: 48-63).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (48 percent, CI: 43-52).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-40) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (56 percent, CI: 48-63).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 33-41) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (59 percent, CI: 51-66).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-39) compared to Blair County obese adults (49 percent, CI: 42-56).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-40) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (53 percent, CI: 46-61).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 32-41) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (48 percent, CI: 42-55).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-38) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (47 percent, CI: 42-51).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-33) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (43 percent, CI: 40-47).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	8	6-10		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	10	8-14		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	6	4-8		4	4-5
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	3	1-8		0	0-1
55-64	6	4-9		5	4-6
65+	14	11-18		13	12-15
< High School, Age 35+	13	7-21		17	13-21
High School, Age 35+	8	6-11		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	9	6-13		5	4-7
College Degree, Age 35+	4	2-8		3	2-4
<$25,000, Age 35+	13	10-17		12	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	7	4-11		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	3	2-6		2	2-3
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	8	6-10		6	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	5-9
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	4	2-7		2	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	6	3-14		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	15	11-19		13	11-15
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	10	5-20		15	11-20
Married, Age 35+	6	4-8		6	5-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	11	7-17		7	5-9
Widowed, Age 35+	15	10-21		11	9-14
Never Married, Age 35+	5	2-11		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	3	1-6		1	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	10	8-12		8	8-9
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	17	13-23		19	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	5	4-7		3	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	18	13-25		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	6	4-7		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	8	4-15		6	4-8
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	8	6-10		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	11	7-15		8	7-9
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	7	5-10		6	5-7
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	6	4-9		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	13	10-18		13	11-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	6	4-8		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	11	7-16		7	5-8
Former Smoker, Age 35+	11	8-15		9	8-11
Never Smoked, Age 35+	5	3-7		4	3-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	3-9
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	4	2-7		4	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	11	9-14		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	7	3-14		6	4-9
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	8	6-10		6	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	3	1-11		3	1-5
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	8	7-10		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	15	9-24		9	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	7	6-9		6	5-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	6	3-11		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	8	7-10		7	7-8
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	5-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		8	6-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
  - Blair County adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 (13 percent, CI: 10-17).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Blair County retired adults age 35 and older (15 percent, CI: 11-19).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Blair County widowed adults age 35 and older (15 percent, CI: 10-21).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (10 percent, CI: 8-12).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (17 percent, CI: 13-23).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (18 percent, CI: 13-25).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (13 percent, CI: 10-18).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported being former smokers (11 percent, CI: 8-15).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older who do not drink (11 percent, CI: 9-14).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	9	7-10		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	10	8-13		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	7	6-10		5	4-6
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	2	1-6		0	0-1
55-64	7	5-9		5	4-6
65+	17	13-21		14	12-16
< High School, Age 35+	18	11-27		13	10-17
High School, Age 35+	7	5-10		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	11	8-16	+	6	5-7
College Degree, Age 35+	6	3-9		4	3-5
<$25,000, Age 35+	13	10-18		11	9-13
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	8	5-11		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	4	3-7		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	9	7-11		7	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	4	2-6		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	10	5-20		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	15	12-19		14	12-16
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	18	11-28		12	9-16
Married, Age 35+	7	5-9		6	6-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	11	7-16		5	4-7
Widowed, Age 35+	16	12-23		13	11-16
Never Married, Age 35+	4	2-10		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	4	2-8		2	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	10	8-12		9	8-10
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	18	14-23		20	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	6	4-8		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	18	13-24		18	15-21
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	7	5-9		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	10	6-18		8	6-11
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	8	7-10		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	9	7-13		8	7-10
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	9	7-12		6	5-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	8	6-12		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	15	12-20		13	12-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	6	5-8		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	9	6-14		5	4-6
Former Smoker, Age 35+	13	9-17		10	9-12
Never Smoked, Age 35+	6	4-8		5	4-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	5	4-8		5	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	11	9-14		8	7-9
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	6	2-12		4	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	9	7-11		7	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	3	1-11		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	9	7-11		7	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	11	6-20		8	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	8	7-10		6	6-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	5	3-10		2	1-3
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	9	8-12		8	7-9
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	6-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		7	5-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older with some college education had a significantly higher percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 35 and older with some college education (6 percent, CI: 5-7).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (17 percent, CI: 13-21).
  - Blair County adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (17 percent, CI: 13-21).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older with less than a high school education (18 percent, CI: 11-27).
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older less than a high school education (18 percent, CI: 11-27).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 (13 percent, CI: 10-18).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County retired adults age 35 and older (15 percent, CI: 12-19).
  - Blair County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (18 percent, CI: 11-28).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Blair County widowed adults age 35 and older (16 percent, CI: 12-23).
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-10) compared to Blair County widowed adults age 35 and older (16 percent, CI: 12-23).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (18 percent, CI: 14-23).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (18 percent, CI: 13-24).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (15 percent, CI: 12-20).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported being former smokers (13 percent, CI: 9-17).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults age 35 and older who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Blair County adults age 35 and older who do not drink (11 percent, CI: 9-14).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Male, Age 35+	4	3-7		3	2-4
Female, Age 35+	4	3-6		4	3-4
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	1	0-5		1	0-1
55-64	4	3-7		2	2-3
65+	6	4-9		7	6-9
< High School, Age 35+	11	6-19		6	4-9
High School, Age 35+	3	2-6		5	4-5
Some College, Age 35+	5	3-9		2	2-4
College Degree, Age 35+	2	0-5		2	1-2
<$25,000, Age 35+	6	4-9		7	5-8
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	4	2-7		3	2-4
$50,000+, Age 35+	1	0-4		1	1-2
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR		4	3-7
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	2	1-4		1	1-1
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		1	0-3
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	3	1-10		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	6	4-8		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	14	7-24		9	6-13
Married, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	2-3
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	6	3-11		5	3-6
Widowed, Age 35+	8	5-13		7	5-9
Never Married, Age 35+	1	0-8		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	1	0-5		1	0-1
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	5	4-7		4	4-5
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	9	6-13		10	8-12
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	3	2-4		2	2-2
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	7	4-12		9	7-11
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	2-3
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	5	2-12		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	5	3-8		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	4	2-6		3	3-4
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	4	2-7		3	2-4
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	8	6-12		8	7-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	2	1-4		2	2-2
Current Smoker, Age 35+	4	2-9		3	2-5
Former Smoker, Age 35+	7	5-11		4	3-4
Never Smoked, Age 35+	2	1-3		3	3-4
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	1-7
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	3	1-5		2	2-3
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	5	4-8		4	4-5
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	6	2-13		2	1-4
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	4	1-13		1	0-1
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	4	3-6		3	3-4
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	8	4-14		4	2-6
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	2	1-7		1	1-2
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	4	3-6		4	3-5
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	3-4
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		4	3-5

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Blair County adults with less than a high school education (11 percent, CI: 6-19).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (14 percent, CI: 7-24).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Blair County widowed adults (8 percent, CI: 5-13).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (9 percent, CI: 6-13).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (8 percent, CI: 6-12).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (7 percent, CI: 5-11).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	14	12-17		13	12-15
Male	12	9-16		12	10-13
Female	16	13-20		15	14-17
18-29	20	11-33		20	16-25
30-44	18	14-24		14	12-17
45-64	11	9-15		11	10-13
65+	9	7-13		10	8-11
< High School	12	6-22		15	11-20
High School	12	8-16		13	11-15
Some College	18	13-25		17	14-20
College Degree	16	11-23		12	10-14
<$25,000	16	12-22		16	14-19
$25,000 to $49,999	16	10-23		13	11-15
$50,000+	11	8-16		12	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	14	12-17		13	12-14
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		16	13-20
Emp. Status: Employed	14	10-18		13	12-15
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		10	7-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		21	16-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	9	5-18		12	9-15
Emp. Status: Retired	13	10-17		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	29	19-41		21	16-28
Married	13	10-16		11	10-13
Divorced/Separated	14	10-20		16	13-20
Widowed	11	7-17		10	8-12
Never Married	15	9-24		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	13-24		14	12-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	10-15		13	12-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	18	13-24		22	19-25
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	13	10-16		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	18	12-25		15	12-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	14	11-17		13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30)	15	11-19		15	13-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	15	10-21		13	11-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese	13	9-18		13	11-15
Limited Due Health Problems	21	15-28		22	19-25
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	12	10-15		11	10-13
Current Smoker	17	12-24		18	15-21
Former Smoker	14	10-20		14	12-16
Never Smoked	13	10-16		12	10-13
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		14	9-20
Drink But Not Chronic	15	11-19		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	13	10-16		14	12-16
No Health Care Coverage	8	4-14		15	11-21
Have Health Care Coverage	15	12-18		13	12-14
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	15	12-18		14	12-15
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	25	15-38		23	19-28
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	13	10-15		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	12	7-18		14	12-16
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	15	12-18		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	12-15
Rural	NSR	NSR		14	11-17

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (18 percent, CI: 14-24).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-18) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (29 percent, CI: 19-41).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-18) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (29 percent, CI: 19-41).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (29 percent, CI: 19-41).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	11	9-14		9	8-10
Male	9	6-13		7	5-8
Female	12	9-16		11	10-13
18-29	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
30-44	13	9-18		10	9-12
45-64	10	7-13		8	7-9
65+	7	5-10		7	6-8
< High School	12	6-22		13	10-18
High School	10	7-14		9	8-11
Some College	11	7-17		11	9-13
College Degree	12	8-19		7	6-8
<$25,000	14	10-20		13	11-15
$25,000 to $49,999	11	7-18		9	7-10
$50,000+	8	6-12		7	6-8
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-14		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		11	8-15
Emp. Status: Employed	10	7-15		8	7-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		14	10-19
Emp. Status: Homemaker	4	2-10		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Retired	10	7-14		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	27	18-40		19	13-25
Married	9	7-12		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	11	8-17		12	9-15
Widowed	9	5-16		8	6-10
Never Married	12	7-21		12	9-15
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	9-19		10	8-12
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	8-12		9	8-10
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	17	12-23		17	15-20
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	9	7-13		8	7-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	14	9-20		11	9-14
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	10	8-13		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	12	9-16		11	9-13
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	13	8-19		8	7-10
Not Overweight Nor Obese	9	6-13		8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	18	13-25		17	15-20
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	6-11		7	6-8
Current Smoker	14	9-21		13	10-15
Former Smoker	11	7-16		9	7-10
Never Smoked	9	7-13		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		7	4-13
Drink But Not Chronic	11	7-15		8	7-9
Non-Drinker	10	8-14		11	9-12
No Health Care Coverage	6	3-12		9	6-13
Have Health Care Coverage	12	9-14		9	8-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		6	4-10
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	12	9-14		9	9-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		18	14-22
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	8-12		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	8	4-14		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	12	9-15		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		10	8-13

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-15) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (27 percent, CI: 18-40).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-10) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (27 percent, CI: 18-40).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (27 percent, CI: 18-40).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (18 percent, CI: 13-25).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	26	23-29	+	20	19-22
Male	26	21-32		22	19-24
Female	25	21-30		19	18-21
18-29	39	27-53		30	25-35
30-44	30	24-36		22	20-25
45-64	29	25-33	+	20	18-22
65+	8	5-11		9	8-11
< High School	36	24-50		32	27-37
High School	29	24-34		24	22-27
Some College	26	19-33		22	19-26
College Degree	16	11-22		11	10-13
<$25,000	35	29-41		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	27	21-34		23	20-26
$50,000+	15	11-20		15	13-17
White, non-Hispanic	24	21-28		19	18-21
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Employed	24	19-29		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		17	12-22
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		38	32-45
Emp. Status: Homemaker	25	16-36		14	11-17
Emp. Status: Retired	13	10-17		11	9-12
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	54	43-65		42	35-49
Married	18	15-22		15	14-17
Divorced/Separated	40	33-47		30	26-34
Widowed	15	10-22		12	10-14
Never Married	33	24-44		30	26-35
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	29-43	+	23	21-26
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	18-24		18	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	37	30-45		29	26-32
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	20-27		19	17-20
Diagnosed Diabetic	20	15-27		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	27	23-31	+	21	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	34	23-46		28	23-33
Not Asthmatic	25	22-29	+	19	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	20	15-26		18	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	22	17-29		19	17-21
Neither Overweight nor Obese	35	30-41	+	24	21-27
Limited Due Health Problems	40	32-48		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	21	18-25		18	17-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
Drink But Not Chronic	27	22-32		20	18-22
Non-Drinker	24	20-28		18	16-20
No Health Care Coverage	41	31-52		38	33-43
Have Health Care Coverage	24	21-28	+	18	17-19
No Personal Health Care Provider	38	26-52		30	25-36
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	24	21-28	+	19	18-20
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	49	37-60		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	23	20-26	+	18	17-19
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	31	23-39		26	23-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	21-28	+	18	16-19
Urban	NSR	NSR		20	18-21
Rural	NSR	NSR		23	20-27

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Blair County adults had a significantly higher percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Pennsylvania adults (20 percent, CI: 19-22).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-33) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (20 percent, CI: 18-22).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 29-43) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (23 percent, CI: 21-26).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly higher percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-31) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (21 percent, CI: 19-22).
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly higher percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (19 percent, CI: 18-21).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 30-41) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (24 percent, CI: 21-27).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults with health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-28) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (18 percent, CI: 17-19).
  - Blair County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly higher percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-28) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (19 percent, CI: 18-20).
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly higher percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (18 percent, CI: 17-19).
  - Blair County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly higher percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-28) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (18 percent, CI: 16-19).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (39 percent, CI: 27-53).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (30 percent, CI: 24-36).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (29 percent, CI: 25-33).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Blair County adults less than a high school education (36 percent, CI: 24-50).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (29 percent, CI: 24-34).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (35 percent, CI: 29-41).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (27 percent, CI: 21-34).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (54 percent, CI: 43-65).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 16-36) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (54 percent, CI: 43-65).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Blair County employed adults (24 percent, CI: 19-29).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (54 percent, CI: 43-65).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (40 percent, CI: 33-47).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (33 percent, CI: 24-44).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-22) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (40 percent, CI: 33-47).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-22) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (33 percent, CI: 24-44).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (35 percent, CI: 29-43).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-27) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (37 percent, CI: 30-45).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-26) compared to Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (35 percent, CI: 30-41).
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-29) compared to Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (35 percent, CI: 30-41).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-25) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (40 percent, CI: 32-48).
  - Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-28) compared to Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage (41 percent, CI: 31-52).
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (49 percent, CI: 37-60).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	25	22-28		26	25-27
Male	31	26-36		30	28-32
Female	20	17-24		22	21-24
18-29	14	7-26		9	7-13
30-44	20	15-26		21	19-24
45-64	26	22-30		31	30-33
65+	40	35-45		39	37-42
< High School	26	18-37		23	19-28
High School	25	21-29		28	26-30
Some College	28	22-36		27	25-30
College Degree	23	17-29		24	22-26
<$25,000	25	20-30		25	23-28
$25,000 to $49,999	26	21-31		27	25-30
$50,000+	27	22-33		27	25-29
White, non-Hispanic	26	23-29		28	27-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		17	14-21
Emp. Status: Employed	24	20-29		24	23-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	8	3-20		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	13	6-24		21	18-25
Emp. Status: Retired	39	34-44		41	38-43
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	18	11-28		29	24-35
Married	29	25-33		30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	27	21-33		32	28-36
Widowed	28	22-35		34	31-37
Never Married	15	9-26		11	9-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	18	14-23		20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	29	26-33		29	28-31
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	28	23-34		32	29-35
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	25	21-28		25	24-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	32	26-40		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	24	21-28		25	24-26
Asthmatic (Current)	25	16-36		24	21-29
Not Asthmatic	25	22-28		26	25-28
Obese (BMI >= 30)	26	21-32		31	29-34
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	26-36		29	27-31
Not Overweight Nor Obese	19	15-23		19	18-21
Limited Due Health Problems	25	20-30		32	29-35
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	22-29		25	23-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		29	23-35
Drink But Not Chronic	26	21-31		28	26-30
Non-Drinker	23	20-26		24	22-25
No Health Care Coverage	20	13-29		16	12-19
Have Health Care Coverage	26	23-29		27	26-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	24	14-37		18	14-23
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	25	23-28		27	26-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	22	14-32		19	15-23
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	26	23-29		27	26-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	22	17-29		22	20-24
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	26	23-30		28	26-29
Urban	NSR	NSR		26	25-28
Rural	NSR	NSR		25	22-28

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County women had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Blair County men (31 percent, CI: 26-36).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 7-26) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (40 percent, CI: 35-45).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-26) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (40 percent, CI: 35-45).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-30) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (40 percent, CI: 35-45).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-29) compared to Blair County retired adults (39 percent, CI: 34-44).
  - Blair County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 3-20) compared to Blair County retired adults (39 percent, CI: 34-44).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 6-24) compared to Blair County retired adults (39 percent, CI: 34-44).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 11-28) compared to Blair County retired adults (39 percent, CI: 34-44).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (29 percent, CI: 26-33).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Blair County overweight adults (31 percent, CI: 26-36).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	56	49-64		57	53-60
Male	56	44-68		56	50-61
Female	56	47-65		58	53-62
18-29	NSR	NSR		67	57-75
30-44	53	41-65		52	45-58
45-64	58	49-67		53	48-58
65+	NSR	NSR		58	50-65
< High School	NSR	NSR		53	42-63
High School	57	46-67		58	52-63
Some College	NSR	NSR		62	55-69
College Degree	NSR	NSR		50	41-58
<$25,000	56	45-67		57	51-63
$25,000 to $49,999	71	58-81		59	52-65
$50,000+	NSR	NSR		57	50-64
White, non-Hispanic	55	47-63		56	52-59
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Employed	60	49-70		57	52-62
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		60	48-71
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR		56	49-64
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		45	35-57
Married	60	49-69		56	51-61
Divorced/Separated	47	36-59		52	44-59
Widowed	NSR	NSR		59	49-69
Never Married	NSR	NSR		59	51-67
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	56	44-68		59	53-65
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	56	47-65		55	50-59
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	64	50-76		56	49-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	53	44-62		57	53-61
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR		68	57-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	57	49-65		56	52-60
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		65	54-75
Not Asthmatic	53	45-61		56	52-60
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR		58	52-64
Not Overweight Nor Obese	46	36-56		54	48-60
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR		55	48-62
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	52	43-61		58	53-62
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		47	35-59
Drink But Not Chronic	59	47-69		60	55-65
Non-Drinker	54	43-65		56	50-61
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		53	44-62
Have Health Care Coverage	56	47-64		58	54-62
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		45	35-55
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	56	48-64		59	55-63
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		56	47-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	53	45-61		57	53-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		54	47-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	56	47-65		59	54-63
Urban	NSR	NSR		57	53-61
Rural	NSR	NSR		57	47-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Blair County and Pennsylvania.

Differences within Blair County:

- There were no significant differences within Blair County.

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	11-15		12	11-12
Male	24	21-29		23	21-24
Female	2	1-4		1	1-2
18-29	5	2-12		3	2-6
30-44	7	4-12		6	5-8
45-64	14	11-17		12	11-14
65+	25	21-29		25	23-27
< High School	11	7-19		10	8-14
High School	12	9-15		14	13-16
Some College	14	10-19		12	10-14
College Degree	14	10-19		9	8-10
<$25,000	11	8-15		11	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999	16	12-21		16	14-18
$50,000+	13	10-18		11	10-12
White, non-Hispanic	12	11-15		12	11-13
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Employed	10	8-14		9	8-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	9	4-20		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	4	1-11		8	5-13
Emp. Status: Homemaker	2	0-12		1	0-2
Emp. Status: Retired	27	23-32		29	27-31
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	14	8-24		11	8-15
Married	15	13-18		14	13-15
Divorced/Separated	15	11-22		14	11-18
Widowed	11	7-18		13	11-15
Never Married	7	4-13		5	3-6
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	5	3-9		7	5-8
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	14-20		14	13-16
Fair/Poor General Health	13	10-18		14	12-16
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	13	10-15		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	18	13-24		19	16-22
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	12	10-14		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	8	4-15		7	5-9
Not Asthmatic	13	11-16		12	11-13
Obese (BMI >= 30)	12	9-16		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	15	12-19		16	14-17
Not Overweight Nor Obese	13	10-17		8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	14	10-18		15	13-17
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	12	10-15		11	10-12
Current Smoker	11	8-17		12	10-14
Former Smoker	23	18-28		20	19-23
Never Smoked	8	6-11		7	6-8
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	13-21
Drink But Not Chronic	12	10-16		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	12	10-15		10	9-11
No Health Care Coverage	8	4-16		7	5-9
Have Health Care Coverage	13	11-16		12	11-13
No Personal Health Care Provider	7	3-15		12	8-15
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	14	12-16		12	11-13
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	6	2-15		6	4-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	12-16		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	6	4-10		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	15	13-18		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		13	11-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County women had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Blair County men (24 percent, CI: 21-29).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-12) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (25 percent, CI: 21-29).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-12) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (25 percent, CI: 21-29).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (25 percent, CI: 21-29).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Blair County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 4-20) compared to Blair County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Blair County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-11) compared to Blair County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-12) compared to Blair County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (17 percent, CI: 14-20).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (23 percent, CI: 18-28).
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (23 percent, CI: 18-28).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (15 percent, CI: 13-18).

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	23	20-27		26	24-27
Male	19	14-24		22	20-25
Female	27	24-31		28	27-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		24	20-29
30-44	22	17-28		24	21-26
45-64	26	22-30		30	28-32
65+	20	16-24		22	20-23
< High School	NSR	NSR		23	18-29
High School	18	15-23		25	23-28
Some College	29	22-37		25	22-28
College Degree	26	20-33		26	24-29
<$25,000	27	21-34		26	23-29
$25,000 to $49,999	18	14-24		26	23-29
$50,000+	24	19-30		25	23-28
White, non-Hispanic	23	20-26		26	24-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Employed	20	17-24		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		25	20-31
Emp. Status: Homemaker	27	18-38		25	21-29
Emp. Status: Retired	21	17-25		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	21	13-32		22	17-29
Married	24	21-28		26	25-28
Divorced/Separated	22	17-28		29	25-33
Widowed	19	14-25		19	16-22
Never Married	22	14-33		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	25	19-31		26	23-28
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	19-26		26	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	24	18-32		25	22-28
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	20-27		26	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	20	14-27		23	19-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	24	21-27		26	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	32	22-43		29	25-34
Not Asthmatic	22	19-26		25	24-27
Obese (BMI >= 30)	23	18-29		26	23-28
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	23	18-30		25	23-27
Not Overweight Nor Obese	24	20-30		26	23-28
Limited Due Health Problems	28	21-36		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	22	19-25		25	23-26
Current Smoker	29	22-37		29	26-33
Former Smoker	21	16-26		24	22-27
Never Smoked	22	18-26		25	23-27
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		25	19-31
Drink But Not Chronic	22	18-27		26	24-28
Non-Drinker	24	20-28		26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	27	18-39		27	22-32
Have Health Care Coverage	23	20-26		25	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		23	19-29
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	24	21-27		26	25-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	34	23-46		36	30-41
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	22	19-25		24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	22	16-29		24	22-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	21-27		26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		26	23-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Defined as providing regular care or assistance to a friend or family member who has a health problem, long-term illness or disability.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Blair County and Pennsylvania.

Differences within Blair County:

- There were no significant differences within Blair County.

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	25	22-28	+	19	18-20
Male	26	21-32	+	18	16-19
Female	24	21-27		20	19-22
18-29	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
30-44	17	12-22		13	11-16
45-64	29	25-34		23	21-25
65+	31	27-35		27	25-28
< High School	36	24-50		28	23-33
High School	28	24-33		22	20-24
Some College	25	19-33		20	17-22
College Degree	13	9-17		13	12-14
<$25,000	43	37-49		34	31-37
$25,000 to $49,999	20	15-26		20	18-22
$50,000+	11	7-15		11	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	24	21-27	+	19	18-20
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		19	15-23
Emp. Status: Employed	13	9-17		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	18	12-27		18	15-22
Emp. Status: Retired	34	29-39	+	26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	82	71-90		81	76-86
Married	17	14-20		16	15-18
Divorced/Separated	31	25-38		31	27-35
Widowed	36	29-44		29	26-32
Never Married	27	18-37		18	15-21
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	14-27		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	27	24-31		23	21-24
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	63	56-69		60	56-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	16	13-19		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	39	32-47		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	23	19-26		17	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	41	30-53		36	31-41
Not Asthmatic	23	20-26	+	17	16-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	33	28-40		28	25-30
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	21	16-27		17	16-19
Not Overweight Nor Obese	21	17-25	+	14	12-16
Current Smoker	38	31-46	+	27	24-30
Former Smoker	24	20-29		23	21-26
Never Smoked	18	15-22		14	13-15
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	12-21
Drink But Not Chronic	18	13-23		14	13-16
Non-Drinker	32	28-37	+	25	23-27
No Health Care Coverage	21	13-33		20	16-25
Have Health Care Coverage	25	22-29	+	19	18-20
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	26	23-30	+	20	19-21
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	39	28-51		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	23	20-26	+	17	16-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	15	10-22		15	13-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	28	24-31	+	21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		19	18-20
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Blair County adults had a significantly higher percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-28) compared to Pennsylvania adults (19 percent, CI: 18-20).
- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly higher percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-32) compared to Pennsylvania men (18 percent, CI: 16-19).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Blair County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-27) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (19 percent, CI: 18-20).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly higher percentage (34 percent, CI: 29-39) compared to Pennsylvania retired adults (26 percent, CI: 24-28).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly higher percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (17 percent, CI: 16-18).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly higher percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (14 percent, CI: 12-16).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being current smokers had a significantly higher percentage (38 percent, CI: 31-46) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being current smokers (27 percent, CI: 24-30).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not drink alcohol had a significantly higher percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-37) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not drink alcohol (25 percent, CI: 23-27).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults with health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (19 percent, CI: 18-20).
  - Blair County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly higher percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-30) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (20 percent, CI: 19-21).
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly higher percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (17 percent, CI: 16-18).
  - Blair County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly higher percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (21 percent, CI: 19-22).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-22) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (29 percent, CI: 25-34).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-22) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (31 percent, CI: 27-35).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Blair County adults less than a high school education (36 percent, CI: 24-50).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (28 percent, CI: 24-33).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Blair County adults with some college education (25 percent, CI: 19-33).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-26) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (43 percent, CI: 37-49).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-15) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (43 percent, CI: 37-49).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Blair County retired adults (34 percent, CI: 29-39).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (82 percent, CI: 71-90).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-27) compared to Blair County retired adults (34 percent, CI: 29-39).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-27) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (82 percent, CI: 71-90).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 29-39) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (82 percent, CI: 71-90).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (31 percent, CI: 25-38).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Blair County widowed adults (36 percent, CI: 29-44).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-19) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (63 percent, CI: 56-69).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-27) compared to Blair County obese adults (33 percent, CI: 28-40).
  - Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Blair County obese adults (33 percent, CI: 28-40).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (38 percent, CI: 31-46).
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (38 percent, CI: 31-46).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (32 percent, CI: 28-37).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (39 percent, CI: 28-51).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-22) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (28 percent, CI: 24-31).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-11		8	7-8
Male	10	7-13		7	6-8
Female	8	7-10		8	7-9
18-29	4	1-12		2	1-3
30-44	2	1-5		3	2-4
45-64	10	8-13		9	7-10
65+	18	15-22		17	16-19
< High School	13	8-21		14	11-18
High School	9	7-12		9	8-11
Some College	10	6-14		7	6-9
College Degree	6	3-10		4	4-5
<$25,000	16	12-20		15	13-18
$25,000 to $49,999	7	5-11		8	7-9
$50,000+	3	2-7		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-10		7	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Employed	4	2-6		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	5	1-15		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Out of Work	4	1-11		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Homemaker	8	4-14		8	7-11
Emp. Status: Retired	17	14-21		17	15-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	30	21-41		38	32-44
Married	7	5-9		6	6-7
Divorced/Separated	9	5-13		12	10-15
Widowed	27	21-34		20	18-23
Never Married	5	2-10		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	2	1-4		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	10-15		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	25	20-31		30	27-33
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	5	4-7		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic	23	17-30		22	19-25
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	7	5-9		6	6-7
Asthmatic (Current)	12	7-19		13	10-16
Not Asthmatic	8	7-10		7	6-8
Obese (BMI >= 30)	13	10-17		12	11-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	6	4-9		7	6-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese	8	5-11		5	4-6
Current Smoker	8	5-12		9	7-11
Former Smoker	11	8-15		10	8-11
Never Smoked	8	6-11		6	5-7
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Drink But Not Chronic	4	3-7		4	3-5
Non-Drinker	13	11-16		12	11-13
No Health Care Coverage	5	3-10		4	2-5
Have Health Care Coverage	9	8-11		8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	3	1-7		2	1-3
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	8-12		8	8-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	8	5-14		8	6-10
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	7-11		8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	3	1-5		3	3-5
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	11	9-13		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		8	7-8
Rural	NSR	NSR		7	6-9

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-12) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (18 percent, CI: 15-22).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (10 percent, CI: 8-13).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (18 percent, CI: 15-22).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (18 percent, CI: 15-22).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (16 percent, CI: 12-20).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (16 percent, CI: 12-20).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County retired adults (17 percent, CI: 14-21).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (30 percent, CI: 21-41).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 1-15) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (30 percent, CI: 21-41).
  - Blair County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-11) compared to Blair County retired adults (17 percent, CI: 14-21).
  - Blair County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (30 percent, CI: 21-41).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (30 percent, CI: 21-41).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Blair County widowed adults (27 percent, CI: 21-34).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-13) compared to Blair County widowed adults (27 percent, CI: 21-34).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-10) compared to Blair County widowed adults (27 percent, CI: 21-34).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (13 percent, CI: 10-15).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (25 percent, CI: 20-31).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (23 percent, CI: 17-30).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Blair County obese adults (13 percent, CI: 10-17).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (13 percent, CI: 11-16).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (10 percent, CI: 8-12).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (11 percent, CI: 9-13).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	17	14-20		17	15-18
Male	24	19-30		23	20-25
Female	10	8-14		11	10-12
18-29	28	18-42		32	27-37
30-44	25	19-31		20	18-23
45-64	14	11-18		13	11-14
65+	3	2-5		4	3-5
< High School	5	2-12		12	9-17
High School	15	12-19		15	13-17
Some College	21	14-30		19	16-22
College Degree	21	15-28		18	16-20
<$25,000	15	10-22		14	12-17
$25,000 to $49,999	11	8-16		16	13-19
$50,000+	29	22-35		20	18-22
White, non-Hispanic	17	14-20		17	16-18
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Employed	22	17-27		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		23	18-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	4	1-13		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	4	2-7		5	4-7
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Married	16	13-19		13	12-15
Divorced/Separated	16	11-22		17	14-20
Widowed	4	1-9		4	3-6
Never Married	25	16-36		29	25-34
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	18-30		19	17-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	10-17		15	14-17
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	12	7-21		9	7-11
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	18	15-22		18	16-19
Diagnosed Diabetic	10	6-16		6	4-8
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	18	15-22		18	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	26	16-39		15	11-19
Not Asthmatic	16	13-19		17	15-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	16	11-23		15	12-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	20	15-26		18	16-21
Not Overweight Nor Obese	15	11-20		18	15-20
Limited Due Health Problems	13	8-21		12	10-14
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	18	15-22		18	16-19
Current Smoker	27	20-34		30	26-34
Former Smoker	18	12-26		16	14-18
Never Smoked	11	8-15		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	16	10-25		24	20-30
Have Health Care Coverage	17	14-21		16	14-17
No Personal Health Care Provider	24	14-37		27	22-32
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	16	13-19		15	14-17
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	23	14-34		21	17-27
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	16	13-19		16	15-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	18-33		24	21-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	11-18		14	12-15
Urban	NSR	NSR		17	15-18
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-21

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Binge drinking is defined as having five or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for men, or four or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County women had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Blair County men (24 percent, CI: 19-30).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (25 percent, CI: 19-31).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (28 percent, CI: 18-42).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (25 percent, CI: 19-31).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-12) compared to Blair County adults with some college education (21 percent, CI: 14-30).
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-12) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (21 percent, CI: 15-28).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (29 percent, CI: 22-35).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-13) compared to Blair County employed adults (22 percent, CI: 17-27).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Blair County employed adults (22 percent, CI: 17-27).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Blair County married adults (16 percent, CI: 13-19).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (16 percent, CI: 11-22).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (25 percent, CI: 16-36).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (24 percent, CI: 18-30).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (27 percent, CI: 20-34).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	4	3-6		5	5-6
Male	5	3-8		6	5-7
Female	3	2-6		5	4-6
18-29	8	3-17		8	6-11
30-44	4	2-7		5	4-6
45-64	5	3-8		5	4-6
65+	1	0-2		3	2-4
< High School	2	0-7		4	2-7
High School	5	3-8		5	4-6
Some College	5	3-10		5	4-7
College Degree	3	1-7		6	4-7
<$25,000	3	1-7		5	4-7
$25,000 to $49,999	3	2-6		5	4-6
$50,000+	8	4-12		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	4	3-6		6	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Employed	5	3-8		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Emp. Status: Homemaker	4	1-13		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Retired	2	1-4		3	2-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	1	0-9		5	3-8
Married	4	2-6		4	4-5
Divorced/Separated	4	2-9		6	5-8
Widowed	1	0-6		3	2-4
Never Married	4	1-9		8	6-11
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	4-11		5	4-6
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	2-4	-	5	5-6
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	1	0-4		4	3-5
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	5	4-7		5	5-6
Diagnosed Diabetic	0	0-3		3	2-4
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	5	3-7		5	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic	4	3-6		5	4-6
Obese (BMI >= 30)	2	1-6		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	5	3-8		4	4-6
Not Overweight Nor Obese	6	4-10		7	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	2	1-4		5	3-6
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	5	3-7		5	5-6
Current Smoker	7	4-11		11	9-14
Former Smoker	6	3-11		5	4-6
Never Smoked	2	1-4		3	2-4
No Health Care Coverage	3	1-10		8	6-12
Have Health Care Coverage	4	3-6		5	4-6
No Personal Health Care Provider	4	2-11		9	6-13
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	4	3-6		5	4-6
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	5	2-11		7	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	4	3-6		5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	6	3-11		7	5-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	4	2-5		5	4-6
Urban	NSR	NSR		5	4-6
Rural	NSR	NSR		6	4-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Heavy drinking is defined as having more than two drinks per day for men or more than one drink per day for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (5 percent, CI: 5-6).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (8 percent, CI: 3-17).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (5 percent, CI: 3-8).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	58	54-62		57	56-59
Male, Age 50+	55	49-61		54	52-57
Female, Age 50+	61	56-65		60	58-62
50-64	46	40-51		45	43-48
65+	73	69-77		73	71-75
< High School, Age 50+	60	49-70		57	51-63
High School, Age 50+	58	53-63		56	53-58
Some College, Age 50+	59	52-67		57	53-61
College Degree, Age 50+	55	45-63		59	56-62
<$25,000, Age 50+	62	56-68		60	56-63
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	57	51-64		56	53-59
$50,000+, Age 50+	50	42-58		55	52-58
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	58	55-62		58	56-59
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR		54	47-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	51	44-58		48	45-51
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		43	36-50
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		41	33-49
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	59	46-70		61	55-66
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	69	64-74		70	68-72
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		57	49-64
Married, Age 50+	56	51-61		57	54-59
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	46	38-54		52	47-56
Widowed, Age 50+	77	70-83		69	65-72
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		51	45-57
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	NSR	NSR		46	40-52
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	59	56-63		59	57-60
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	66	59-73		65	61-68
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	55	51-59		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	69	61-76		73	69-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	55	51-59		54	53-56
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	72	59-82		68	62-73
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	57	53-61		56	55-58
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	62	56-68		60	56-63
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	56	50-61		57	54-59
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	57	50-63		57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	60	54-67		62	59-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	57	53-62		56	54-58
Current Smoker, Age 50+	43	35-52		45	40-49
Former Smoker, Age 50+	65	59-71		61	58-64
Never Smoked, Age 50+	59	54-64		58	56-61
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		52	44-60
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	58	51-64		55	53-58
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	60	55-64		59	57-62
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	29	19-42		29	22-37
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	60	57-64		59	57-61
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		20	15-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	60	56-63		59	57-61
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	40	28-53		47	40-53
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	60	56-63		58	56-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	41	31-52		32	28-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	60	56-64		63	61-65
Urban, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		58	57-60
Rural, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		50	46-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 40-51) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (73 percent, CI: 69-77).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 44-58) compared to Blair County retired adults age 50 and older (69 percent, CI: 64-74).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 51-61) compared to Blair County widowed adults age 50 and older (77 percent, CI: 70-83).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 38-54) compared to Blair County widowed adults age 50 and older (77 percent, CI: 70-83).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults age 50 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 51-59) compared to Blair County adults age 50 and older diagnosed with diabetes (69 percent, CI: 61-76).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults age 50 and older who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 35-52) compared to Blair County adults age 50 and older who reported being former smokers (65 percent, CI: 59-71).
  - Blair County adults age 50 and older who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 35-52) compared to Blair County adults age 50 and older who have never smoked (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults age 50 and older who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 19-42) compared to Blair County adults age 50 and older who reported having health care coverage (60 percent, CI: 57-64).
  - Blair County adults age 50 and older who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 28-53) compared to Blair County adults age 50 and older who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (60 percent, CI: 56-63).
  - Blair County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 31-52) compared to Blair County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (60 percent, CI: 56-64).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 65+	75	70-79		70	68-72
Male, Age 65+	77	69-83		67	64-71
Female, Age 65+	73	67-78		72	69-74
65+	74	68-80		70	67-73
< High School, Age 65+	76	65-84		74	69-78
High School, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	65-75
Some College, Age 65+	76	69-82		69	65-73
College Degree, Age 65+	73	65-81		70	66-74
<$25,000, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		68	62-73
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 65+	75	70-79		71	68-73
$50,000+, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		65	56-73
White, non-Hispanic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	46-60
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	40-66
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		75	69-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	78	73-82		72	70-75
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		74	61-84
Emp. Status: Retired	71	64-77		70	67-73
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		63	56-69
Married, Age 65+	79	72-85		72	69-76
Divorced/Separated, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		66	56-76
Widowed, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Never Married, Age 65+	75	70-79		70	68-72
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Veteran, Age 65+	81	74-87		76	72-80
Non-Veteran, Age 65+	72	66-77		68	65-70
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 65+	75	65-82		77	72-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 65+	75	69-79		68	66-71
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		81	73-86
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	74	69-78		69	67-71
Asthmatic (Current), Age 65+	74	66-82		68	64-72
Not Asthmatic, Age 65+	75	67-81		70	67-73
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 65+	75	66-81		71	68-75
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 65+	82	74-87		77	73-80
Neither Overweight nor Obese, Age 65+	71	66-76		68	65-70
Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		62	54-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	83	76-88	+	72	69-75
Current Smoker, Age 65+	70	63-75		69	67-72
Former Smoker, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		59	48-69
Never Smoked, Age 65+	80	71-87		71	67-74
Chronic Drinker, Age 65+	73	68-78		70	68-73
Drink but Not Chronic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Drinker, Age 65+	75	71-79		70	68-72
No Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		44	32-57
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	75	71-79		71	69-73
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		76	65-85
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 65+	74	70-79		70	68-72
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		50	43-57
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 65+	76	71-80		72	70-74
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	68-72
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	64-75

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly higher percentage (83 percent, CI: 76-88) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older who were not limited due to health problems (72 percent, CI: 69-75).

Differences within Blair County:

- There were no significant differences within Blair County.

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	34	32-38		31	30-33
Male	30	26-35		27	25-29
Female	38	35-42		35	33-36
18-29	9	4-19		8	6-11
30-44	16	12-21		18	16-21
45-64	46	41-51		39	37-41
65+	58	53-63		57	55-59
< High School	39	28-51		42	36-48
High School	40	36-45		37	35-39
Some College	32	26-39		28	26-31
College Degree	23	18-29		24	22-26
<$25,000	46	40-52		41	38-44
$25,000 to $49,999	36	30-42		33	30-35
$50,000+	23	19-29		26	24-28
White, non-Hispanic	35	32-38		33	31-34
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		24	21-28
Emp. Status: Employed	24	21-29		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		28	23-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	19	11-30		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	36	27-47		36	32-40
Emp. Status: Retired	58	53-63		54	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	62	49-73		58	52-65
Married	32	28-36		33	32-35
Divorced/Separated	50	43-57	+	36	33-40
Widowed	60	53-67		56	53-60
Never Married	19	13-27		16	14-19
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	13-21		20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	44	40-48		38	37-40
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	61	54-68		57	54-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	28	25-31		27	25-28
Diagnosed Diabetic	53	46-61		51	47-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	32	29-35		29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	40	30-51		42	37-47
Not Asthmatic	34	31-37		30	29-32
Obese (BMI >= 30)	42	36-48		41	39-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	33	28-39		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	29	25-34		23	21-25
Limited Due Health Problems	61	53-68		61	58-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	25	23-29		24	23-25
Current Smoker	33	27-39		32	29-35
Former Smoker	41	35-47		40	37-42
Never Smoked	32	28-37		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		32	26-39
Drink But Not Chronic	28	24-33		26	25-28
Non-Drinker	41	37-45		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	27	19-36		20	16-24
Have Health Care Coverage	36	32-39		33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	15	9-23		13	10-18
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	37	34-40		33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	42	32-53		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	33	30-37		31	30-32
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	19	14-25		22	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	40	36-43		35	33-36
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-33
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly higher percentage (50 percent, CI: 43-57) compared to Pennsylvania divorced or separated adults (36 percent, CI: 33-40).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 4-19) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (46 percent, CI: 41-51).
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 4-19) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (46 percent, CI: 41-51).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 41-51) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (40 percent, CI: 36-45).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-29) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (46 percent, CI: 40-52).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-29) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (36 percent, CI: 30-42).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-29) compared to Blair County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (62 percent, CI: 49-73).
  - Blair County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 11-30) compared to Blair County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 11-30) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (62 percent, CI: 49-73).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 27-47) compared to Blair County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 27-47) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (62 percent, CI: 49-73).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-36) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (50 percent, CI: 43-57).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-36) compared to Blair County widowed adults (60 percent, CI: 53-67).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Blair County married adults (32 percent, CI: 28-36).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (50 percent, CI: 43-57).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Arthritis, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Blair County widowed adults (60 percent, CI: 53-67).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (44 percent, CI: 40-48).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 25-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (61 percent, CI: 54-68).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 29-35) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (53 percent, CI: 46-61).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-34) compared to Blair County obese adults (42 percent, CI: 36-48).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (61 percent, CI: 53-68).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (41 percent, CI: 37-45).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 9-23) compared to Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (37 percent, CI: 34-40).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 14-25) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (40 percent, CI: 36-43).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	40	36-45		42	39-44
Male	37	29-44		37	33-41
Female	43	38-49		45	42-47
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
45-64	45	39-52		43	39-46
65+	41	35-47		40	37-43
< High School	44	31-58		52	44-60
High School	45	39-51		39	36-43
Some College	37	28-47		46	41-51
College Degree	25	16-37		38	33-42
<$25,000	52	45-59		52	48-57
$25,000 to $49,999	39	31-48		41	37-45
$50,000+	21	14-31		32	28-36
White, non-Hispanic	41	37-46		40	38-43
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Emp. Status: Employed	26	20-34		32	29-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		30	22-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	37-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		46	39-52
Emp. Status: Retired	42	36-49		40	37-44
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		81	75-87
Married	38	31-44		38	35-41
Divorced/Separated	46	37-56		53	48-59
Widowed	38	30-48		43	39-48
Never Married	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	36	24-49		41	35-46
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	41	37-46		42	39-44
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	57	49-64		67	63-71
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	31	26-37		32	29-35
Diagnosed Diabetic	49	40-59		50	44-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	38	33-43		40	38-43
Asthmatic (Current)	47	34-61		55	48-61
Not Asthmatic	40	35-45		40	37-42
Obese (BMI >= 30)	51	43-59		47	43-51
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	33	26-41		39	35-43
Neither Overweight nor Obese	36	28-45		36	32-40
Limited Due Health Problems	70	62-76		78	75-81
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	17	13-22		19	17-22
Current Smoker	42	33-52		52	46-57
Former Smoker	40	32-48		40	37-44
Never Smoked	40	33-46		38	35-41
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		44	34-55
Drink But Not Chronic	33	25-41		35	32-38
Non-Drinker	46	40-51		46	43-49
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-57
Have Health Care Coverage	41	36-45		41	39-44
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	40	36-45		41	39-43
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	48	35-62		62	53-70
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	39	34-44		39	37-41
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	36	24-50		39	34-45
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	41	36-46		42	40-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-44
Rural	NSR	NSR		44	38-50

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 16-37) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (45 percent, CI: 39-51).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-31) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (52 percent, CI: 45-59).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 20-34) compared to Blair County retired adults (42 percent, CI: 36-49).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 26-37) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (57 percent, CI: 49-64).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 26-41) compared to Blair County obese adults (51 percent, CI: 43-59).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (70 percent, CI: 62-76).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	27	23-32		28	26-30
Male	27	20-34		28	24-33
Female	28	23-33		27	25-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		34	27-41
45-64	38	32-45		30	27-33
65+	17	13-22		20	17-22
< High School	NSR	NSR		41	33-50
High School	32	27-38		29	26-32
Some College	25	17-34		30	25-36
College Degree	13	7-23		17	14-21
<$25,000	40	33-48		42	38-47
$25,000 to $49,999	19	13-26		28	24-32
$50,000+	17	10-27		18	14-21
White, non-Hispanic	27	23-32		25	23-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		49	40-58
Emp. Status: Employed	21	15-29		22	18-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		28	18-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		44	32-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Retired	20	15-26		20	17-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	63	49-75		68	61-75
Married	23	18-29		24	22-27
Divorced/Separated	38	29-48		40	35-47
Widowed	20	13-29		22	18-26
Never Married	NSR	NSR		41	32-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	28	17-41		33	27-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	27	23-32		26	24-29
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	43	36-51		49	45-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	19	14-24		20	18-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	31	22-41		34	29-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	22-31		27	24-29
Asthmatic (Current)	34	22-47		39	32-46
Not Asthmatic	26	22-31		26	24-29
Obese (BMI >= 30)	32	25-40		35	32-40
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	22	16-30		21	18-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	25	18-34		25	21-30
Limited Due Health Problems	46	39-53		53	49-56
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	12	9-16		13	11-15
Current Smoker	30	22-40		43	38-49
Former Smoker	33	26-41		25	22-29
Never Smoked	22	16-28		23	20-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		34	24-45
Drink But Not Chronic	22	16-30		21	18-25
Non-Drinker	31	26-36		32	28-35
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-58
Have Health Care Coverage	26	21-30		26	24-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	27	23-32		27	25-29
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	41	29-55		55	46-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	25	21-29		24	22-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	15-39		29	24-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	27	23-32		27	25-30
Urban	NSR	NSR		27	25-30
Rural	NSR	NSR		30	24-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (38 percent, CI: 32-45).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 7-23) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (32 percent, CI: 27-38).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-26) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (40 percent, CI: 33-48).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 10-27) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (40 percent, CI: 33-48).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 15-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (63 percent, CI: 49-75).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (63 percent, CI: 49-75).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 14-24) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (43 percent, CI: 36-51).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-16) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (46 percent, CI: 39-53).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	43	38-47		37	34-39
Male	36	28-44		31	27-35
Female	47	42-53		41	38-43
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		39	32-47
45-64	43	37-50		37	34-40
65+	41	35-47		35	32-38
< High School	45	32-59		46	38-54
High School	48	42-54	+	38	35-41
Some College	41	31-52		41	36-46
College Degree	24	15-35		26	22-30
<$25,000	58	51-65		51	46-55
$25,000 to $49,999	34	26-42		36	32-41
$50,000+	29	19-42		25	21-28
White, non-Hispanic	42	38-47	+	35	33-37
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		51	42-59
Emp. Status: Employed	31	23-39		28	25-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		25	16-37
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	36-59
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		41	35-47
Emp. Status: Retired	43	37-50		34	31-37
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		77	69-83
Married	36	30-43		32	30-35
Divorced/Separated	50	41-60		50	44-56
Widowed	44	34-53		39	35-44
Never Married	NSR	NSR		45	36-54
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	48	35-61		38	32-44
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	42	37-47		36	34-39
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	61	53-68		65	61-69
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	33	28-39		26	24-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	49	39-58		46	41-52
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	41	36-47		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		54	47-61
Not Asthmatic	42	37-47		34	32-37
Obese (BMI >= 30)	53	45-61		46	42-50
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	36	29-43		31	28-34
Neither Overweight nor Obese	40	32-49		30	26-35
Limited Due Health Problems	64	57-71		65	62-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	21-31		19	17-22
Current Smoker	46	37-56		48	43-54
Former Smoker	44	36-52		35	31-38
Never Smoked	40	33-47		33	30-36
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	26-47
Drink But Not Chronic	34	27-43		28	25-32
Non-Drinker	49	44-55		43	40-46
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		45	34-56
Have Health Care Coverage	42	37-47		36	34-38
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	42	38-47		36	34-39
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		57	48-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	40	35-45		34	32-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		36	30-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	43	38-48		37	34-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-39
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	32-44

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Among adults who were ever told they have some form of arthritis, 16% (CI: 13-20) of Blair County adults and 14% (CI: 13-16) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a lot. Twenty-seven (27) percent, (CI: 22-32) of Blair County adults and 22% (CI: 20-24) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a little.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (48 percent, CI: 42-54) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (38 percent, CI: 35-41).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Blair County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (42 percent, CI: 38-47) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (35 percent, CI: 33-37).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 15-35) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (48 percent, CI: 42-54).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 26-42) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (58 percent, CI: 51-65).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 19-42) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (58 percent, CI: 51-65).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-39) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (61 percent, CI: 53-68).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 29-43) compared to Blair County obese adults (53 percent, CI: 45-61).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (64 percent, CI: 57-71).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 27-43) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (49 percent, CI: 44-55).

Core 18: Fruits and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	20	18-23		24	23-25
Male	18	14-23		20	18-22
Female	22	19-26		28	26-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
30-44	20	16-27		21	19-24
45-64	19	16-23		24	22-26
65+	24	20-29		26	25-28
< High School	15	9-24		20	15-26
High School	15	13-19		19	17-21
Some College	28	20-36		24	21-27
College Degree	25	19-31		31	29-34
<$25,000	22	17-28		20	17-23
$25,000 to $49,999	17	13-22		22	19-24
$50,000+	23	18-30		27	25-30
White, non-Hispanic	20	17-23		24	22-25
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		26	22-31
Emp. Status: Employed	18	14-22		23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	31	20-45		28	22-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		18	14-24
Emp. Status: Homemaker	23	15-33		32	28-36
Emp. Status: Retired	22	18-26		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	18	11-28		23	17-30
Married	20	16-23		24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	21	16-27		22	19-26
Widowed	28	22-35		26	23-29
Never Married	18	11-28		24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	14-25		23	21-25
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	18-25		25	23-26
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	18	12-26		18	16-21
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	21	18-24		25	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	19	14-25		23	20-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-24		24	23-26
Asthmatic (Current)	20	14-29		20	16-25
Not Asthmatic	20	17-23		24	23-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	21	16-27		21	19-24
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	20	16-26		23	21-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	20	16-25		27	25-30
Limited Due Health Problems	23	17-30		23	20-26
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	19	17-23		24	23-26
Current Smoker	14	9-21		18	15-21
Former Smoker	24	19-31		23	21-25
Never Smoked	21	18-25		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		20	15-26
Drink But Not Chronic	21	17-27		24	22-26
Non-Drinker	20	17-23	-	26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	22	15-32		21	16-27
Have Health Care Coverage	20	17-23		24	23-26
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		22	18-28
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-24		24	23-26
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	15	10-24		23	19-29
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	21	18-24		24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	23	16-30		21	18-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	20	17-23	-	26	24-27
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		19	16-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 18: Fruits and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not drink alcohol had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not drink alcohol (26 percent, CI: 24-28).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (26 percent, CI: 24-27).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 13-19) compared to Blair County adults with some college education (28 percent, CI: 20-36).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	87	85-89		86	85-87
Male	86	82-89		87	85-88
Female	88	85-90		86	84-87
18-29	NSR	NSR		89	85-92
30-44	93	88-95		89	86-91
45-64	85	82-89		87	86-89
65+	77	73-81		79	77-80
< High School	79	69-86		78	72-82
High School	85	81-89		82	80-84
Some College	89	84-92		89	87-91
College Degree	92	88-95		91	89-92
<$25,000	81	77-85		79	76-82
$25,000 to $49,999	86	79-90		85	82-87
$50,000+	94	91-96		92	90-93
White, non-Hispanic	87	85-89		88	87-89
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		79	74-83
Emp. Status: Employed	91	87-94		89	88-91
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		87	83-91
Emp. Status: Out of Work	94	86-98		87	81-91
Emp. Status: Homemaker	86	78-91		89	86-91
Emp. Status: Retired	81	76-84		81	79-83
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	65	53-75		65	58-71
Married	90	87-92		89	88-90
Divorced/Separated	84	78-88		82	79-85
Widowed	74	67-80		77	74-79
Never Married	87	78-93		84	81-87
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	93	90-95		90	88-91
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	84	80-86		84	83-85
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	72	65-77		70	66-73
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	90	88-93		89	88-90
Diagnosed Diabetic	76	69-82		78	75-81
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	88	86-91		87	86-88
Asthmatic (Current)	85	78-91		83	78-87
Not Asthmatic	87	85-89		87	85-88
Obese (BMI >= 30)	83	79-87		83	81-85
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	89	84-93		86	84-88
Neither Overweight nor Obese	88	85-91		89	87-91
Limited Due Health Problems	76	70-81		75	73-78
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	91	88-93		89	88-90
Current Smoker	87	83-91		85	82-87
Former Smoker	85	81-89		85	83-87
Never Smoked	88	84-90		87	86-89
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		87	82-91
Drink But Not Chronic	89	85-93		91	90-92
Non-Drinker	84	81-87		81	79-83
No Health Care Coverage	90	83-94		88	84-91
Have Health Care Coverage	87	84-89		86	85-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	92	85-96		86	81-89
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	86	84-88		86	85-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	88	82-93		86	82-89
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	87	84-89		86	85-87
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	88	81-93		88	86-90
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	87	85-89		86	84-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		86	85-87
Rural	NSR	NSR		88	85-91

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 73-81) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (93 percent, CI: 88-95).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 73-81) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (85 percent, CI: 82-89).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 69-86) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (92 percent, CI: 88-95).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 77-85) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (94 percent, CI: 91-96).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 79-90) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (94 percent, CI: 91-96).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 76-84) compared to Blair County employed adults (91 percent, CI: 87-94).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 76-84) compared to Blair County adults who reported being out of work (94 percent, CI: 86-98).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 53-75) compared to Blair County employed adults (91 percent, CI: 87-94).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 53-75) compared to Blair County adults who reported being out of work (94 percent, CI: 86-98).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 53-75) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (86 percent, CI: 78-91).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 53-75) compared to Blair County retired adults (81 percent, CI: 76-84).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 67-80) compared to Blair County married adults (90 percent, CI: 87-92).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 80-86) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 65-77) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (90 percent, CI: 88-93).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 69-82) compared to Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (88 percent, CI: 86-91).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 70-81) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (91 percent, CI: 88-93).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	56	52-60		50	49-52
Male	58	52-64		53	51-56
Female	54	50-59		48	46-50
18-29	NSR	NSR		62	57-67
30-44	63	56-69	+	52	49-55
45-64	50	45-55		49	47-51
65+	42	37-47		40	37-42
< High School	50	36-63		42	35-48
High School	53	48-58		46	43-49
Some College	61	53-68		54	50-57
College Degree	60	53-66		55	52-57
<$25,000	46	39-53		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	58	51-65		50	46-53
$50,000+	64	58-70		56	54-59
White, non-Hispanic	56	52-60		51	49-53
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		48	42-53
Emp. Status: Employed	57	52-63		51	49-54
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		59	53-65
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		54	47-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	62	51-72		53	48-58
Emp. Status: Retired	45	40-51		43	41-46
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	31	21-44		28	23-35
Married	58	54-62	+	50	48-51
Divorced/Separated	51	44-58		47	42-51
Widowed	35	28-43		36	33-40
Never Married	63	51-73		57	52-61
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	62	55-68		54	51-57
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	53	49-57		48	46-50
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	38	30-46		33	30-37
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	60	56-64	+	53	51-55
Diagnosed Diabetic	42	34-50		36	32-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	58	54-62		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	55	44-66		47	41-52
Not Asthmatic	56	52-60		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	45	38-52		41	38-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	63	57-69	+	51	48-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	60	54-66		58	55-60
Limited Due Health Problems	43	35-52		37	33-40
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	60	56-64	+	54	52-55
Current Smoker	63	56-70	+	51	47-55
Former Smoker	55	49-62		48	45-51
Never Smoked	53	47-58		52	49-54
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		59	51-66
Drink But Not Chronic	60	54-66		55	52-57
Non-Drinker	52	47-57		45	42-47
No Health Care Coverage	63	52-73		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	55	51-59		49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	64	50-76		56	50-61
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	55	51-59		50	48-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	61	50-71		52	46-58
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	55	52-59		50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	60	52-69		53	50-56
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	55	51-59		49	48-51
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	49-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		51	47-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (63 percent, CI: 56-69) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (52 percent, CI: 49-55).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly higher percentage (58 percent, CI: 54-62) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (50 percent, CI: 48-51).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly higher percentage (60 percent, CI: 56-64) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (53 percent, CI: 51-55).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly higher percentage (63 percent, CI: 57-69) compared to Pennsylvania overweight adults (51 percent, CI: 48-54).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly higher percentage (60 percent, CI: 56-64) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (54 percent, CI: 52-55).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being current smokers had a significantly higher percentage (63 percent, CI: 56-70) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being current smokers (51 percent, CI: 47-55).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 45-55) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (63 percent, CI: 56-69).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 37-47) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (63 percent, CI: 56-69).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 39-53) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (64 percent, CI: 58-70).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 40-51) compared to Blair County employed adults (57 percent, CI: 52-63).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 21-44) compared to Blair County employed adults (57 percent, CI: 52-63).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 21-44) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (62 percent, CI: 51-72).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 28-43) compared to Blair County married adults (58 percent, CI: 54-62).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 28-43) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (51 percent, CI: 44-58).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 28-43) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (63 percent, CI: 51-73).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 30-46) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (60 percent, CI: 56-64).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 34-50) compared to Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (58 percent, CI: 54-62).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 38-52) compared to Blair County overweight adults (63 percent, CI: 57-69).
  - Blair County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 38-52) compared to Blair County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (60 percent, CI: 54-66).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 35-52) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (60 percent, CI: 56-64).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	50	46-53		50	49-52
Male	60	54-65		59	56-61
Female	40	36-45		42	40-44
18-29	68	55-79		69	64-73
30-44	60	53-66		56	53-59
45-64	46	41-50		47	45-49
65+	28	24-33		31	29-33
< High School	42	29-56		38	32-45
High School	44	39-49		44	42-47
Some College	55	47-62		53	50-57
College Degree	59	52-65		57	54-60
<$25,000	36	29-43		39	35-42
$25,000 to $49,999	52	45-59		47	43-50
$50,000+	62	56-68		60	58-62
White, non-Hispanic	50	46-53		51	49-52
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		49	43-54
Emp. Status: Employed	56	51-61		56	54-59
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		60	54-66
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		50	43-57
Emp. Status: Homemaker	36	26-47		47	42-51
Emp. Status: Retired	32	27-37		33	31-35
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	27	18-40		18	13-23
Married	53	48-57		50	48-52
Divorced/Separated	39	32-46		42	38-46
Widowed	25	18-32		26	23-29
Never Married	64	53-73		59	55-63
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	57	50-63		58	55-61
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	46	42-50		46	44-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	28	21-36		23	20-26
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	55	51-59		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic	34	27-43		31	27-35
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	52	48-56		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	57	46-68		46	41-52
Not Asthmatic	49	45-53		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	41	34-48		42	39-45
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	59	53-65		52	49-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	49	43-55		57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems	36	28-44		31	28-34
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	54	50-59		55	53-57
Current Smoker	53	46-61		50	46-54
Former Smoker	44	38-51		46	44-49
Never Smoked	50	45-56		52	50-55
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-66
Drink But Not Chronic	58	53-64		57	55-59
Non-Drinker	41	36-46		42	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	51	40-62		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	49	46-53		49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	61	48-73		60	55-66
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	48	44-52		49	47-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	49	38-61		49	43-55
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	50	46-53		50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	58	50-66		57	54-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	47	43-51		47	46-49
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	48-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		52	48-56

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County women had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 36-45) compared to Blair County men (60 percent, CI: 54-65).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 41-50) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (68 percent, CI: 55-79).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 41-50) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (60 percent, CI: 53-66).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (68 percent, CI: 55-79).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (60 percent, CI: 53-66).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (46 percent, CI: 41-50).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 39-49) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (59 percent, CI: 52-65).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 29-43) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (52 percent, CI: 45-59).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 29-43) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (62 percent, CI: 56-68).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 26-47) compared to Blair County employed adults (56 percent, CI: 51-61).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 27-37) compared to Blair County employed adults (56 percent, CI: 51-61).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 18-40) compared to Blair County employed adults (56 percent, CI: 51-61).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-46) compared to Blair County married adults (53 percent, CI: 48-57).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-46) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (64 percent, CI: 53-73).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-32) compared to Blair County married adults (53 percent, CI: 48-57).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-32) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (64 percent, CI: 53-73).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 21-36) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (55 percent, CI: 51-59).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 27-43) compared to Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (52 percent, CI: 48-56).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 34-48) compared to Blair County overweight adults (59 percent, CI: 53-65).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 28-44) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (54 percent, CI: 50-59).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 36-46) compared to Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (58 percent, CI: 53-64).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	31	27-34		28	26-29
Male	36	31-42		33	31-36
Female	25	22-30		23	21-25
18-29	NSR	NSR		43	38-49
30-44	39	32-46		30	27-33
45-64	27	23-31		25	23-27
65+	15	12-19		16	15-18
< High School	19	11-32		19	14-25
High School	26	22-31		23	20-25
Some College	38	30-47		31	28-35
College Degree	36	29-43		33	31-36
<$25,000	19	14-26		23	19-26
$25,000 to $49,999	34	27-41		24	21-27
$50,000+	42	35-48		34	31-36
White, non-Hispanic	30	27-34		27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Employed	36	31-41		30	28-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		37	31-44
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	24	16-35		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Retired	18	14-23		17	16-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	8	4-18		10	7-15
Married	33	29-38	+	26	24-28
Divorced/Separated	25	19-32		23	19-27
Widowed	13	9-19		12	10-15
Never Married	36	26-48		38	34-43
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	37	31-44		32	29-35
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	27	23-31		25	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	17	11-25		12	10-15
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	34	30-38		31	29-32
Diagnosed Diabetic	20	14-27		15	11-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	32	28-36		29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	36	25-49		23	19-28
Not Asthmatic	30	26-34		28	27-30
Obese (BMI >= 30)	22	17-29		19	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	39	32-45		30	28-33
Neither Overweight nor Obese	31	25-36		34	31-37
Limited Due Health Problems	21	14-29		16	14-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	34	30-38		31	29-32
Current Smoker	35	27-43		28	24-32
Former Smoker	30	23-37		24	22-26
Never Smoked	29	24-34		30	28-32
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		37	30-44
Drink But Not Chronic	39	34-45		32	29-34
Non-Drinker	22	18-27		22	20-25
No Health Care Coverage	28	19-40		36	30-42
Have Health Care Coverage	31	27-35		27	25-28
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		37	31-43
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	30	27-34		27	25-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	30	20-43		33	27-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	27-34		27	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	37	29-46		32	29-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	29	25-33		26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		28	24-32

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly higher percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-38) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (26 percent, CI: 24-28).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County women had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-30) compared to Blair County men (36 percent, CI: 31-42).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-31) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (39 percent, CI: 32-46).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (39 percent, CI: 32-46).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (27 percent, CI: 23-31).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 14-26) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (34 percent, CI: 27-41).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 14-26) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (42 percent, CI: 35-48).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Blair County employed adults (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-18) compared to Blair County employed adults (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-19) compared to Blair County married adults (33 percent, CI: 29-38).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-19) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (36 percent, CI: 26-48).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-25) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (34 percent, CI: 30-38).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-27) compared to Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (32 percent, CI: 28-36).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-29) compared to Blair County overweight adults (39 percent, CI: 32-45).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-29) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (34 percent, CI: 30-38).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (39 percent, CI: 34-45).

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	36	32-40		35	33-37
Male, Age 18-64	30	24-36		33	30-36
Female, Age 18-64	42	36-47		37	35-40
18-29	35	23-49		37	32-42
30-44	51	44-58		48	45-51
45-64	25	21-29		25	23-27
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		34	26-43
High School, Age 18-64	34	27-40		33	29-36
Some College, Age 18-64	37	29-46		36	32-40
College Degree, Age 18-64	33	27-41		37	34-40
<$25,000, Age 18-64	39	31-48		47	42-52
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	31	23-39		33	29-37
$50,000+, Age 18-64	34	28-41		33	31-36
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	35	30-39		31	29-32
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		55	49-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	33	28-39		35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		31	25-38
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		44	38-51
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		37	32-43
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	19	11-31		19	14-24
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	57	43-69		51	44-58
Married, Age 18-64	33	29-38		31	29-33
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	39	32-48		50	46-55
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		34	26-43
Never Married, Age 18-64	33	23-44		37	33-42
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	52	45-59		42	39-45
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	23	19-28		29	26-31
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	44	34-54		42	37-47
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	34	30-39		34	32-36
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	32	22-43		32	26-38
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	36	32-41		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	45	32-58		45	39-52
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	34	30-39		34	32-36
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	35	28-43		34	31-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	33	26-41		37	34-40
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	39	32-46		35	32-38
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	44	34-54		45	40-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	33	29-38		33	31-35
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	49	40-57		48	44-52
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	31	24-40		36	32-39
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	30	24-36		29	27-32
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		38	30-46
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	35	30-42		37	34-40
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	36	30-43		32	30-35
No Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	43	32-55		38	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	35	30-39		35	33-37
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		43	37-49
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	33	29-37		34	32-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	48	36-60		50	44-56
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	33	29-38		33	31-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	36	28-45		33	30-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	36	31-41		36	34-38
Urban, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	34-38
Rural, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		28	24-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-29) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (51 percent, CI: 44-58).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-39) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 who reported being unable to work (57 percent, CI: 43-69).
  - Blair County retired adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 11-31) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 who reported being unable to work (57 percent, CI: 43-69).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults age 18-64 with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-28) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 with children living in their household (52 percent, CI: 45-59).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults age 18-64 who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 24-36) compared to Blair County adults age 18-64 who reported currently smoking some days or every day (49 percent, CI: 40-57).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	11	9-14		9	8-10
Male	11	8-15		11	10-13
Female	11	8-15		7	6-8
18-29	NSR	NSR		8	5-11
30-44	7	4-11		8	6-10
45-64	9	7-13		9	7-10
65+	15	12-19		12	11-14
< High School	22	14-34		17	13-22
High School	11	8-15		12	11-14
Some College	11	6-19		7	6-10
College Degree	6	3-12		4	3-5
<$25,000	21	15-27		17	14-20
$25,000 to $49,999	9	5-16		9	8-11
$50,000+	3	2-5		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	10	8-13		8	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		16	12-20
Emp. Status: Employed	8	5-12		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		9	5-14
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		12	8-17
Emp. Status: Homemaker	6	3-13		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Retired	14	11-18		12	11-14
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	29	19-42		22	17-29
Married	6	4-8		7	6-8
Divorced/Separated	17	12-23		16	13-20
Widowed	15	10-21		13	11-15
Never Married	13	7-24		10	8-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	7-18		8	6-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	8-13		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	24	17-32		20	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	8	6-11		7	6-8
Diagnosed Diabetic	15	10-21		14	11-17
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	10	8-13		8	8-10
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		10	7-13
Not Asthmatic	9	7-12		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	8	6-12		11	9-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	12	8-18		8	7-10
Neither Overweight nor Obese	13	9-18		8	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	17	12-24		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	6-11		7	6-8
Current Smoker	15	10-22		12	9-14
Former Smoker	12	8-17		9	8-11
Never Smoked	8	6-12		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		13	9-19
Drink But Not Chronic	7	4-11		6	5-7
Non-Drinker	14	11-18		12	10-13
No Health Care Coverage	13	8-21		16	12-21
Have Health Care Coverage	10	8-13		8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	15	8-27		15	11-20
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	8-13		8	7-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	20	12-30		20	15-25
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	10	7-12		8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	16	10-25		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	9	7-11		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (15 percent, CI: 12-19).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-12) compared to Blair County adults less than a high school education (22 percent, CI: 14-34).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (21 percent, CI: 15-27).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (29 percent, CI: 19-42).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-13) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (29 percent, CI: 19-42).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (29 percent, CI: 19-42).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (17 percent, CI: 12-23).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Blair County widowed adults (15 percent, CI: 10-21).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (24 percent, CI: 17-32).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (17 percent, CI: 12-24).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	92	89-94		94	93-95
Male	91	86-95		94	92-95
Female	93	90-95		94	93-95
18-29	NSR	NSR		93	89-95
30-44	94	89-97		93	92-95
45-64	92	89-94		94	93-95
65+	95	92-97		97	96-97
< High School	NSR	NSR		90	85-93
High School	92	88-95		93	92-95
Some College	92	85-96		93	90-95
College Degree	96	93-98		96	95-97
<$25,000	82	75-87		88	86-90
$25,000 to $49,999	97	95-98		94	92-95
$50,000+	98	96-99		97	96-98
White, non-Hispanic	93	91-95		95	94-95
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		91	86-94
Emp. Status: Employed	97	95-98		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	100	NCI		97	95-98
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		85	79-89
Emp. Status: Homemaker	94	88-97		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Retired	95	92-97		97	96-97
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	62	49-74		74	68-80
Married	97	96-98		97	96-97
Divorced/Separated	88	83-92		86	83-89
Widowed	89	82-93		95	93-96
Never Married	90	82-95		90	87-92
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	89	82-94		94	93-96
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	91-95		94	93-95
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	77	68-83		80	77-84
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	96	93-97		96	95-97
Diagnosed Diabetic	91	85-94		90	87-93
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	92	89-95		94	93-95
Asthmatic (Current)	85	75-91		91	87-93
Not Asthmatic	93	90-95		94	94-95
Obese (BMI >= 30)	93	90-96		92	90-93
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	90	83-94		95	93-96
Neither Overweight nor Obese	93	89-96		95	94-96
Limited Due Health Problems	77	69-84		83	80-86
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	97	95-98		97	96-97
Current Smoker	82	73-88		87	83-89
Former Smoker	95	92-97		95	94-96
Never Smoked	96	94-97		96	95-97
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		93	88-96
Drink But Not Chronic	93	89-96		95	94-96
Non-Drinker	91	87-94		94	92-95
No Health Care Coverage	91	80-96		87	83-91
Have Health Care Coverage	92	90-95		95	94-96
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		92	88-94
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	93	90-95		94	93-95
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	80	68-89		83	78-87
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	94	91-96		95	95-96
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	92	84-96		93	91-95
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	92	89-95		94	93-95
Urban	NSR	NSR		94	93-95
Rural	NSR	NSR		94	91-96

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 75-87) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 75-87) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 49-74) compared to Blair County employed adults (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 49-74) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (94 percent, CI: 88-97).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 49-74) compared to Blair County retired adults (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 83-92) compared to Blair County married adults (97 percent, CI: 96-98).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 82-93) compared to Blair County married adults (97 percent, CI: 96-98).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (90 percent, CI: 82-95) compared to Blair County married adults (97 percent, CI: 96-98).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 68-83) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 69-84) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 73-88) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 73-88) compared to Blair County adults who have never smoked (96 percent, CI: 94-97).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 68-89) compared to Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (94 percent, CI: 91-96).

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	11	9-13		10	9-11
Male	9	7-12		8	8-9
Female	13	10-16		12	11-13
18-29	6	2-17		1	0-2
30-44	2	1-5		3	2-4
45-64	10	8-14		10	9-12
65+	26	22-31		27	25-29
< High School	16	9-26		12	10-15
High School	12	9-14		11	10-12
Some College	12	8-17		8	7-9
College Degree	8	5-14		10	9-11
<$25,000	17	13-22		12	11-14
$25,000 to $49,999	9	6-12		10	9-12
$50,000+	8	5-11		8	7-9
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-13		11	10-12
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		4	3-6
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-9		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	12	6-22		10	8-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		4	3-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker	8	4-14		16	13-19
Emp. Status: Retired	24	20-29		25	23-27
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	20	12-32		12	8-16
Married	11	9-13		11	11-13
Divorced/Separated	9	6-14		9	7-11
Widowed	24	18-31		22	19-25
Never Married	8	4-17		4	3-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	4	2-8		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	13-18		14	13-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	23	18-29		19	16-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	9	7-11		9	8-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	15	11-21		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	11	9-13		9	9-10
Asthmatic (Current)	19	11-30		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	10	9-12		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	10	8-14		10	8-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	13	9-17		11	9-12
Neither Overweight nor Obese	11	8-14		10	9-11
Limited Due Health Problems	20	15-26		16	14-18
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	7-10		9	8-9
Current Smoker	9	5-14		7	6-8
Former Smoker	17	13-23		14	13-16
Never Smoked	9	7-12		9	8-10
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		6	5-9
Drink But Not Chronic	8	6-11		9	8-10
Non-Drinker	13	11-16		11	10-12
No Health Care Coverage	5	3-9		4	3-6
Have Health Care Coverage	12	10-14		11	10-12
No Personal Health Care Provider	4	2-9		4	2-6
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	12	10-14		11	10-12
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	11	5-23		6	5-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	11	9-13		11	10-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	4	2-7		5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	11-16		12	11-13
Urban	NSR	NSR		10	9-11
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-17) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (26 percent, CI: 22-31).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (10 percent, CI: 8-14).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (26 percent, CI: 22-31).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (26 percent, CI: 22-31).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (17 percent, CI: 13-22).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (17 percent, CI: 13-22).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Blair County retired adults (24 percent, CI: 20-29).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (20 percent, CI: 12-32).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Blair County retired adults (24 percent, CI: 20-29).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-13) compared to Blair County widowed adults (24 percent, CI: 18-31).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-14) compared to Blair County widowed adults (24 percent, CI: 18-31).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-17) compared to Blair County widowed adults (24 percent, CI: 18-31).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (15 percent, CI: 13-18).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (23 percent, CI: 18-29).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 7-10) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (20 percent, CI: 15-26).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (17 percent, CI: 13-23).

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

Differences within Blair County: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage (12 percent, CI: 10-14).
  - Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (12 percent, CI: 10-14).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (14 percent, CI: 11-16).

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese**, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	69	66-72		64	62-66
Male	79	74-83	+	71	69-73
Female	60	55-64		57	55-59
18-29	70	57-81	+	47	42-52
30-44	67	60-73		66	63-69
45-64	70	65-74		72	70-73
65+	69	65-74		65	63-67
< High School	70	58-79		68	62-73
High School	70	65-74		67	65-70
Some College	67	59-74		65	61-68
College Degree	68	61-74		59	57-62
<$25,000	68	62-73		66	63-69
$25,000 to $49,999	71	64-77		68	64-70
$50,000+	69	63-74		63	61-66
White, non-Hispanic	69	66-72	+	64	62-65
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		67	62-72
Emp. Status: Employed	68	63-73		66	64-68
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	73	59-83		61	54-67
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		64	58-70
Emp. Status: Homemaker	56	43-68		53	49-58
Emp. Status: Retired	73	68-77		68	66-70
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	63	51-74		79	73-84
Married	70	66-74		68	66-70
Divorced/Separated	66	59-72		69	65-72
Widowed	63	55-70		61	58-65
Never Married	72	61-80	+	54	50-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	69	62-74		61	58-64
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	69	65-73		66	64-67
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	71	64-77		76	73-79
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	68	65-72	+	62	60-64
Diagnosed Diabetic	88	82-92		89	86-91
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	66	62-70		62	60-63
Asthmatic (Current)	75	65-83		66	61-72
Not Asthmatic	68	65-71		64	62-65
Limited Due Health Problems	74	68-79		73	70-76
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	67	63-71		62	60-64
Current Smoker	57	50-65		58	54-62
Former Smoker	77	72-82		74	71-76
Never Smoked	71	66-75	+	62	59-64
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Drink But Not Chronic	70	65-75		64	61-66
Non-Drinker	68	63-72		65	62-67
No Health Care Coverage	58	47-68		58	52-63
Have Health Care Coverage	70	67-74		65	63-67
No Personal Health Care Provider	63	49-75		53	47-58
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	70	66-73		65	64-67
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	73	63-81		61	55-66
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	68	65-72		65	63-66
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	62	54-70		59	56-62
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	71	68-74		66	64-68
Urban	NSR	NSR		64	62-66
Rural	NSR	NSR		64	60-68

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 25.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese (BMI GE 25), 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly higher percentage (79 percent, CI: 74-83) compared to Pennsylvania men (71 percent, CI: 69-73).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly higher percentage (70 percent, CI: 57-81) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-29 (47 percent, CI: 42-52).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Blair County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (69 percent, CI: 66-72) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (64 percent, CI: 62-65).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County adults who were never married had a significantly higher percentage (72 percent, CI: 61-80) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were never married (54 percent, CI: 50-59).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly higher percentage (68 percent, CI: 65-72) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (62 percent, CI: 60-64).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who never smoked had a significantly higher percentage (71 percent, CI: 66-75) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (62 percent, CI: 59-64).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County women had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 55-64) compared to Blair County men (79 percent, CI: 74-83).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 62-70) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (88 percent, CI: 82-92).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 50-65) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (77 percent, CI: 72-82).
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 50-65) compared to Blair County adults who have never smoked (71 percent, CI: 66-75).

Percent of Adults Who Are Obese**, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	32	29-35		28	27-29
Male	33	28-39		29	27-32
Female	31	27-35		27	25-29
18-29	NSR	NSR		19	16-24
30-44	35	29-42		32	29-35
45-64	34	29-38		32	30-34
65+	30	25-34		26	24-28
< High School	NSR	NSR		33	28-38
High School	34	29-39		32	30-35
Some College	27	21-35		29	26-32
College Degree	28	22-35		22	20-24
<$25,000	38	32-44		33	30-36
$25,000 to $49,999	28	23-34		30	28-33
$50,000+	29	23-36		25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	32	29-36		27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
Emp. Status: Employed	28	24-34		29	27-31
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		25	20-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	32	20-45		23	20-27
Emp. Status: Retired	31	27-36		28	26-30
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	47	36-59		45	38-51
Married	31	27-35		29	27-30
Divorced/Separated	33	26-40		34	30-37
Widowed	34	27-42		26	23-29
Never Married	37	27-49		25	22-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	34	28-41		27	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	31	27-35		29	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	40	33-47		46	43-50
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	30	26-34		25	23-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	61	53-68		57	53-61
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	28	24-32		25	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	33	24-44		34	29-38
Not Asthmatic	31	28-35		27	26-29
Limited Due Health Problems	43	35-50		41	38-44
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	28	24-32		25	24-27
Current Smoker	25	19-33		25	22-28
Former Smoker	32	26-39		34	31-36
Never Smoked	35	30-40	+	27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		21	16-26
Drink But Not Chronic	29	24-34		26	24-28
Non-Drinker	35	30-39		31	29-34
No Health Care Coverage	30	21-42		25	21-30
Have Health Care Coverage	32	29-36		28	27-30
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		22	18-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	32	29-36		29	27-30
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	30	21-42		29	25-34
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	32	29-36		28	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	22	16-29		23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	35	31-39		30	29-32
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		29	26-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 30.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Were Classified as Obese (BMI GE 30), 2009

Geographic Differences: Blair County and Pennsylvania:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County adults who never smoked had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 30-40) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (27 percent, CI: 25-29).

Differences within Blair County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-34) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (47 percent, CI: 36-59).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-32) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (61 percent, CI: 53-68).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-32) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (43 percent, CI: 35-50).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 16-29) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (35 percent, CI: 31-39).

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,236 Blair County adults completed interviews for the Blair County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Blair County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Blair County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Blair County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Blair County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Blair County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned healthy days, cardiovascular health, heart attack and stroke, general preparedness and social context.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Blair County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Blair County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Blair County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Tyrone Borough census population of 1,144 for ages 45-64 by the Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in Past 30 Days prevalence of 44% (0.44) for that age group in Blair County. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those in fair or poor health ages 45-64 in Tyrone Borough is 503.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who indicated their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in Past 30 Days, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Tyrone Borough Census Population		Fair or Poor Health From 2009 Blair County BRFSS		Estimate of Tyrone Borough Adults Indicating Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in Past 30 Days, 2009
18-29	792	X	41	=	325
30-44	1,179	X	34	=	401
45-64	1,144	X	44	=	503
65+	1,167	X	46	=	537
				Total	1,766

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Tyrone Borough who indicated their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in Past 30 Days, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in Tyrone Borough from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults who Indicated Their
Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in Past 30 Days in Tyrone Borough = **1,766**

Total Population Age 18+ in Tyrone Borough = **4,282**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who indicated their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in Past 30 Days by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage who indicated their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in Past 30 Days in Tyrone Borough
=(Total Synthetically Estimated Number of who Indicated their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in Past 30 Days in Tyrone Borough / Total Population Age 18+ in Tyrone Borough) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of adults in Tyrone Borough who indicated their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in Past 30 Days.
= (**1,766/ 4,282**) X 100
= **41 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Local Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Blair County

Table of Contents

Page 1	Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Percent of Adults Who Reported Pain Made it Hard to Do Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past Month, 2009
Page 3	Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 5	Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Worried, Tense or Anxious 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 7	Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Very Healthy and Full of Energy 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 9	Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day, 2009
Page 11	Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, Have a Health Condition That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe for Them, 2009
Page 13	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Pain or Discomfort in the Jaw, Neck or Back Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009
Page 15	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Feeling Weak, Lightheaded or Faint Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009
Page 17	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009
Page 19	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes Is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2009
Page 21	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Pain or Discomfort in the Arms or Shoulder Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009
Page 23	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Shortness of Breath is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2009
Page 25	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Confusion or Trouble Speaking Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009
Page 27	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Numbness or Weakness of Face, Arm, or Leg, Especially on One Side Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009
Page 29	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Stroke, 2009
Page 31	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009
Page 33	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Trouble Walking, Dizziness or Loss of Balance Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009
Page 35	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Severe Headache With No Known Cause is a Symptom of a Stroke, 2009
Page 37	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, The First Thing They Would Do is Call 911 if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack, 2009
Page 39	Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, The First Thing They Would Do is Go To the Hospital if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack, 2009
Page 41	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009
Page 43	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Somewhat Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009
Page 45	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Not At All Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009
Page 47	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Water for Everyone Who Lives There**, 2009
Page 49	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Nonperishable Food for Everyone Who Lives There, 2009
Page 51	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Prescription Medication for Each Person Who Takes Prescribed Medicines, 2009
Page 53	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Battery Operated Radio and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009

Table of Contents – *Continued*

Page 55	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Flashlight and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009
Page 57	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Cell Phones, 2009
Page 59	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Home Telephones, 2009
Page 62	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Television, 2009
Page 64	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Radio, 2009
Page 66	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Written Disaster Evacuation Plan For How They Will Leave Their Home in the Case of a Large-Scale Emergency, 2009
Page 68	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Would Evacuate if Authorities Announced a Mandatory Evacuation Due to a Large-Scale Emergency (Unsure Adults Included in the Denominator), 2009
Page 70	Module 23: Social Context, They Own Their Home, 2009
Page 72	Module 23: Social Context, They Rent Their Home, 2009
Page 74	Module 23: Social Context, They Were Always/Usually Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Pay Their Rent/Mortgage, 2009
Page 76	Module 23: Social Context, They Were Never Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Pay Their Rent/Mortgage, 2009
Page 78	Module 23: Social Context, They Were Usually/Always Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals, 2009
Page 80	Module 23: Social Context, They Were Never Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals, 2009
Page 83	Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by Salary in Their Main Job or Business, 2009
Page 85	Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by the Hour in Their Main Job or Business, 2009
Page 87	Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by Salary the Last Time They Worked in Their Main Job or Business, 2009
Page 89	Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by the Hour the Last Time They Worked in Their Main Job or Business, 2009
Page 91	Module 23: Social Context, They Voted in the Last Presidential Election, 2009
Page 93	Technical Notes

Detailed Local Questionnaire Tables

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Percent of Adults Who Reported Pain Made it Hard to Do Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past Month, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	33	30-36			
Male	29	24-35			
Female	36	32-40			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	31	25-38			
45-64	37	32-42			
65+	33	29-38			
< High School	37	26-51		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	33	29-39			
Some College	32	25-40			
College Degree	31	24-38			
<$25,000	44	38-50			
$25,000 to $49,999	29	23-37			
$50,000+	25	20-32			
White, non-Hispanic	32	29-36			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	28	23-34			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	24	16-34			
Emp. Status: Retired	35	30-40			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	78	65-87			
Married	28	25-32			
Divorced/Separated	45	38-52			
Widowed	36	29-43			
Never Married	34	24-45			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	29	24-36			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	31-39			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	57	50-64			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	27	24-31			
Diagnosed Diabetic	38	30-46			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	32	29-36			
Asthmatic (Current)	57	45-68			
Not Asthmatic	30	26-33			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	40	33-46			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	30	25-37			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	29	24-35			
Limited Due Health Problems	64	56-71			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	23	19-26			
Current Smoker	38	31-46			
Former Smoker	36	30-43			
Never Smoked	28	24-33			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	28	23-34			
Non-Drinker	37	32-42			
No Health Care Coverage	29	21-38			
Have Health Care Coverage	33	30-37			
No Personal Health Care Provider	21	12-35			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	31-38			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	55	43-66			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	30	26-33			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	24	18-31			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	36	32-40			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Percent of Adults Who Reported Pain Made it Hard to Do Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past Month, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 23-37) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (44 percent, CI: 38-50).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 20-32) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (44 percent, CI: 38-50).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 23-34) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (78 percent, CI: 65-87).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 16-34) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (78 percent, CI: 65-87).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 30-40) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (78 percent, CI: 65-87).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 25-32) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (45 percent, CI: 38-52).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (57 percent, CI: 50-64).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-33) compared to Blair County adults who currently have asthma (57 percent, CI: 45-68).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (64 percent, CI: 56-71).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-33) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (55 percent, CI: 43-66).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 18-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (36 percent, CI: 32-40).

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	51	48-55			
Male	42	36-48			
Female	59	55-63			
18-29	63	50-75			
30-44	53	46-60			
45-64	49	44-54			
65+	43	38-48			
< High School	51	38-64		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	53	47-58			
Some College	54	46-62			
College Degree	45	38-52			
<$25,000	57	51-64			
$25,000 to $49,999	48	41-56			
$50,000+	44	37-50			
White, non-Hispanic	50	47-54			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	52	46-57			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	47	36-59			
Emp. Status: Retired	39	34-44			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	83	73-90			
Married	41	37-45			
Divorced/Separated	58	51-65			
Widowed	56	49-64			
Never Married	60	49-71			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	56	50-63			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	48	44-53			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	65	58-71			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	48	44-52			
Diagnosed Diabetic	45	37-53			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	52	48-56			
Asthmatic (Current)	62	51-73			
Not Asthmatic	50	46-54			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	51	45-58			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	48	42-55			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	55	49-60			
Limited Due Health Problems	68	61-74			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	46	41-50			
Current Smoker	67	60-74			
Former Smoker	42	36-49			
Never Smoked	47	42-53			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	50	44-56			
Non-Drinker	51	46-55			
No Health Care Coverage	43	33-54			
Have Health Care Coverage	52	48-56			
No Personal Health Care Provider	52	39-66			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	51	47-55			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	66	56-75			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	49	45-53			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	51	43-60			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	51	47-55			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 36-48) compared to Blair County women (59 percent, CI: 55-63).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 38-48) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (63 percent, CI: 50-75).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 37-50) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (57 percent, CI: 51-64).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 46-57) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (83 percent, CI: 73-90).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 36-59) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (83 percent, CI: 73-90).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 34-44) compared to Blair County employed adults (52 percent, CI: 46-57).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 34-44) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (83 percent, CI: 73-90).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 37-45) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (58 percent, CI: 51-65).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 37-45) compared to Blair County widowed adults (56 percent, CI: 49-64).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 37-45) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (60 percent, CI: 49-71).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 44-52) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (65 percent, CI: 58-71).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 41-50) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (68 percent, CI: 61-74).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 36-49) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (67 percent, CI: 60-74).
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 42-53) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (67 percent, CI: 60-74).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 45-53) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (66 percent, CI: 56-75).

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Worried, Tense or Anxious 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	65	62-69			
Male	59	53-64			
Female	71	68-75			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	73	67-79			
45-64	66	61-70			
65+	51	46-56			
< High School	56	42-69		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	64	59-69			
Some College	69	61-75			
College Degree	69	62-74			
<$25,000	65	59-71			
$25,000 to $49,999	65	58-71			
$50,000+	67	61-73			
White, non-Hispanic	65	62-69			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	70	65-74			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	65	54-75			
Emp. Status: Retired	51	46-56			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	79	68-88			
Married	66	62-70			
Divorced/Separated	66	59-73			
Widowed	56	48-63			
Never Married	61	49-72			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	77	71-81			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	59	55-64			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	73	67-79			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	64	60-67			
Diagnosed Diabetic	58	50-66			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	66	63-70			
Asthmatic (Current)	80	71-86			
Not Asthmatic	63	60-67			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	66	60-72			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	67	60-72			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	63	57-68			
Limited Due Health Problems	72	65-79			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	63	59-67			
Current Smoker	72	65-79			
Former Smoker	63	57-69			
Never Smoked	63	58-68			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	67	62-72			
Non-Drinker	63	58-67			
No Health Care Coverage	59	47-71			
Have Health Care Coverage	66	63-70			
No Personal Health Care Provider	74	62-83			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	64	61-68			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	81	72-87			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	63	60-67			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	72	64-79			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	63	60-67			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Worried, Tense or Anxious 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 53-64) compared to Blair County women (71 percent, CI: 68-75).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 46-56) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (73 percent, CI: 67-79).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 46-56) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (66 percent, CI: 61-70).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 46-56) compared to Blair County employed adults (70 percent, CI: 65-74).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 46-56) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (79 percent, CI: 68-88).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 55-64) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (77 percent, CI: 71-81).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 60-67) compared to Blair County adults who currently have asthma (80 percent, CI: 71-86).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 60-67) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (81 percent, CI: 72-87).

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Very Healthy and Full of Energy 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	87	84-89			
Male	87	83-91			
Female	86	83-88			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	91	86-94			
45-64	83	80-87			
65+	83	79-86			
< High School	85	77-91		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	85	81-89			
Some College	85	78-91			
College Degree	91	86-94			
<$25,000	78	71-83			
$25,000 to $49,999	86	80-91			
$50,000+	94	90-96			
White, non-Hispanic	87	85-89			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	91	87-94			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	98	93-99			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	88	80-93			
Emp. Status: Retired	82	77-85			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	60	49-71			
Married	89	86-92			
Divorced/Separated	84	78-89			
Widowed	81	74-86			
Never Married	90	83-94			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	87	81-92			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	86	84-88			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	61	53-68			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	93	90-95			
Diagnosed Diabetic	75	68-81			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	88	85-91			
Asthmatic (Current)	78	65-87			
Not Asthmatic	88	85-90			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	83	79-87			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	87	81-92			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	90	86-92			
Limited Due Health Problems	65	57-72			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	94	92-95			
Current Smoker	81	73-87			
Former Smoker	87	82-90			
Never Smoked	89	87-92			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	89	84-93			
Non-Drinker	84	81-87			
No Health Care Coverage	86	79-91			
Have Health Care Coverage	87	84-89			
No Personal Health Care Provider	91	84-95			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	86	83-88			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	74	62-83			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	86-91			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	89	82-93			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	86	83-88			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Very Healthy and Full of Energy 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 71-83) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (94 percent, CI: 90-96).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 77-85) compared to Blair County employed adults (91 percent, CI: 87-94).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 77-85) compared to Blair County self-employed adults (98 percent, CI: 93-99).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 49-71) compared to Blair County employed adults (91 percent, CI: 87-94).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 49-71) compared to Blair County self-employed adults (98 percent, CI: 93-99).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 49-71) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (88 percent, CI: 80-93).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 49-71) compared to Blair County retired adults (82 percent, CI: 77-85).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 53-68) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 68-81) compared to Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (88 percent, CI: 85-91).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 57-72) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (94 percent, CI: 92-95).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 62-83) compared to Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (88 percent, CI: 86-91).

Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	29	27-33			
Male	28	24-33			
Female	30	27-35			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	13	9-18			
45-64	33	29-38			
65+	58	53-63			
< High School	29	20-39		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	32	28-37			
Some College	30	24-37			
College Degree	24	19-31			
<$25,000	38	33-45			
$25,000 to $49,999	29	23-34			
$50,000+	21	17-27			
White, non-Hispanic	30	27-33			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	21	17-26			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	25	15-39			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	26	18-36			
Emp. Status: Retired	58	53-63			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	22	14-33			
Married	30	26-33			
Divorced/Separated	31	24-37			
Widowed	52	44-59			
Never Married	21	14-31			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	10-20			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	38	34-41			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	42	35-49			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	26	23-30			
Diagnosed Diabetic	54	46-61			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	23-29			
Asthmatic (Current)	20	12-31			
Not Asthmatic	31	28-34			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	35	29-41			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	27	22-32			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	27	23-33			
Limited Due Health Problems	37	31-44			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	27	24-31			
Current Smoker	24	19-31			
Former Smoker	40	34-47			
Never Smoked	27	23-31			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	20	16-24			
Non-Drinker	36	32-41			
No Health Care Coverage	22	15-31			
Have Health Care Coverage	30	27-34			
No Personal Health Care Provider	13	7-21			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	32	29-35			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	24	16-36			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	30	27-33			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	14	10-20			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	34	31-38			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (33 percent, CI: 29-38).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-38) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-27) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (38 percent, CI: 33-45).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-26) compared to Blair County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 15-39) compared to Blair County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 18-36) compared to Blair County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 14-33) compared to Blair County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-33) compared to Blair County widowed adults (52 percent, CI: 44-59).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 24-37) compared to Blair County widowed adults (52 percent, CI: 44-59).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-31) compared to Blair County widowed adults (52 percent, CI: 44-59).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (38 percent, CI: 34-41).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-30) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (42 percent, CI: 35-49).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (54 percent, CI: 46-61).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (40 percent, CI: 34-47).
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-31) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (40 percent, CI: 34-47).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (36 percent, CI: 32-41).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 7-21) compared to Blair County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (32 percent, CI: 29-35).
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (34 percent, CI: 31-38).

Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, Have a Health Condition That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe for Them, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	14	12-17			
Male	10	7-15			
Female	18	14-22			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	12	8-18			
45-64	19	15-24			
65+	20	15-27			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	15	12-20			
Some College	10	6-18			
College Degree	11	7-16			
<$25,000	20	15-27			
$25,000 to $49,999	10	6-14			
$50,000+	11	7-17			
White, non-Hispanic	14	12-17			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	9	7-12			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	14	7-25			
Emp. Status: Retired	23	17-30			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	13	10-17			
Divorced/Separated	18	12-25			
Widowed	23	15-33			
Never Married	9	4-19			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	7-15			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	13-21			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	39	29-49			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	10	7-13			
Diagnosed Diabetic	30	20-43			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	10-16			
Asthmatic (Current)	28	18-40			
Not Asthmatic	12	9-15			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	21	15-29			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	8	5-11			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	14	10-20			
Limited Due Health Problems	33	24-42			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	7-12			
Current Smoker	15	10-22			
Former Smoker	19	13-26			
Never Smoked	12	9-16			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	10	7-14			
Non-Drinker	19	15-24			
No Health Care Coverage	8	4-15			
Have Health Care Coverage	15	12-18			
No Personal Health Care Provider	5	2-15			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	16	13-19			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	12	7-21			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	12-18			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	5	3-9			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	18	14-22			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, Have a Health Condition That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe for Them, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-14) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (20 percent, CI: 15-27).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Blair County retired adults (23 percent, CI: 17-30).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (39 percent, CI: 29-49).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Blair County adults diagnosed with diabetes (30 percent, CI: 20-43).
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Blair County adults who currently have asthma (28 percent, CI: 18-40).
- **Weight Status**
  - Blair County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Blair County obese adults (21 percent, CI: 15-29).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (33 percent, CI: 24-42).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (19 percent, CI: 15-24).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (18 percent, CI: 14-22).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Pain or Discomfort in the Jaw, Neck or Back Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	69	65-73			
Male	57	50-63			
Female	80	75-84			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	67	60-74			
45-64	76	71-80			
65+	77	72-81			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	69	63-74			
Some College	70	61-78			
College Degree	73	66-80			
<$25,000	64	56-71			
$25,000 to $49,999	70	62-76			
$50,000+	74	67-79			
White, non-Hispanic	70	66-74			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	71	66-76			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	80	68-88			
Emp. Status: Retired	74	69-78			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	74	69-78			
Divorced/Separated	71	63-78			
Widowed	75	67-82			
Never Married	55	42-68			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	63	55-70			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	72	67-76			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	65	56-73			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	70	65-74			
Diagnosed Diabetic	76	68-82			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	68	64-72			
Asthmatic (Current)	63	50-75			
Not Asthmatic	69	65-73			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	74	67-79			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	64	57-71			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	70	63-76			
Limited Due Health Problems	70	61-77			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	69	64-73			
Current Smoker	58	49-66			
Former Smoker	76	69-81			
Never Smoked	71	65-76			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	68	62-74			
Non-Drinker	71	65-76			
No Health Care Coverage	65	52-76			
Have Health Care Coverage	69	65-73			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	69	65-73			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	60	47-72			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	70	66-74			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	58	48-67			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	72	68-76			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Pain or Discomfort in the Jaw, Neck or Back Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 50-63) compared to Blair County women (80 percent, CI: 75-84).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 42-68) compared to Blair County married adults (74 percent, CI: 69-78).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 49-66) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (76 percent, CI: 69-81).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 48-67) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (72 percent, CI: 68-76).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Feeling Weak, Lightheaded or Faint Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	75	72-78			
Male	73	67-77			
Female	78	74-81			
18-29	88	77-94			
30-44	81	74-86			
45-64	73	68-77			
65+	62	56-67			
< High School	68	54-79		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	70	65-75			
Some College	78	71-84			
College Degree	83	77-87			
<$25,000	68	61-74			
$25,000 to $49,999	74	67-80			
$50,000+	82	77-86			
White, non-Hispanic	75	72-78			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	78	73-82			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	78	67-86			
Emp. Status: Retired	63	58-69			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	70	57-81			
Married	74	70-78			
Divorced/Separated	72	64-78			
Widowed	63	55-71			
Never Married	82	71-89			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	81	75-86			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	72	68-76			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	69	62-75			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	77	73-80			
Diagnosed Diabetic	65	56-73			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	77	73-80			
Asthmatic (Current)	77	64-86			
Not Asthmatic	75	71-78			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	72	65-77			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	76	70-81			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	76	70-81			
Limited Due Health Problems	75	68-81			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	75	71-79			
Current Smoker	79	72-85			
Former Smoker	73	66-78			
Never Smoked	74	70-78			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	77	72-81			
Non-Drinker	74	69-78			
No Health Care Coverage	80	69-88			
Have Health Care Coverage	74	71-78			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	75	71-78			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	75	65-84			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	75	72-78			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	80	72-86			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	74	70-77			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Feeling Weak, Lightheaded or Faint Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 56-67) compared to Blair County adults age 18-29 (88 percent, CI: 77-94).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 56-67) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (81 percent, CI: 74-86).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 56-67) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (73 percent, CI: 68-77).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 65-75) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (83 percent, CI: 77-87).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 61-74) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (82 percent, CI: 77-86).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 58-69) compared to Blair County employed adults (78 percent, CI: 73-82).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	97	95-98			
Male	95	93-97			
Female	98	97-99			
18-29	100	NCI			
30-44	97	93-99			
45-64	96	94-98			
65+	94	91-96			
< High School	90	79-95		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	96	93-97			
Some College	98	96-99			
College Degree	99	98-100			
<$25,000	94	91-96			
$25,000 to $49,999	98	95-99			
$50,000+	99	98-100			
White, non-Hispanic	97	96-98			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	98	96-99			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	98	92-99			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	98	94-99			
Emp. Status: Retired	95	92-96			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	95	87-98			
Married	98	97-99			
Divorced/Separated	95	90-97			
Widowed	91	85-94			
Never Married	98	95-99			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	98	96-99			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	96	94-97			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	95	91-97			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	97	96-98			
Diagnosed Diabetic	94	89-97			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	97	96-98			
Asthmatic (Current)	98	92-99			
Not Asthmatic	96	95-97			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	95	92-97			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	98	96-99			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	96	93-98			
Limited Due Health Problems	98	96-99			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	96	94-97			
Current Smoker	98	95-99			
Former Smoker	97	93-98			
Never Smoked	96	94-97			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	98	97-99			
Non-Drinker	95	93-97			
No Health Care Coverage	96	90-98			
Have Health Care Coverage	97	95-98			
No Personal Health Care Provider	96	86-99			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	97	95-98			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	97	93-99			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	96	95-98			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	98	95-99			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	96	95-97			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (90 percent, CI: 79-95) compared to Blair County adults with some college education (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (90 percent, CI: 79-95) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (99 percent, CI: 98-100).
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (96 percent, CI: 93-97) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (99 percent, CI: 98-100).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (94 percent, CI: 91-96) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (99 percent, CI: 98-100).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (91 percent, CI: 85-94) compared to Blair County married adults (98 percent, CI: 97-99).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (91 percent, CI: 85-94) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (98 percent, CI: 95-99).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes Is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	42	38-47			
Male	38	32-44			
Female	47	42-52			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	44	36-52			
45-64	45	40-50			
65+	36	30-41			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	39	33-45			
Some College	39	30-48			
College Degree	51	43-59			
<$25,000	36	30-44			
$25,000 to $49,999	48	40-56			
$50,000+	41	34-49			
White, non-Hispanic	43	39-47			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	46	40-52			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	36	31-42			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	43	30-56			
Married	40	35-45			
Divorced/Separated	53	44-60			
Widowed	33	25-43			
Never Married	41	29-54			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	47	39-55			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	40	36-45			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	36	29-44			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	44	39-49			
Diagnosed Diabetic	38	30-47			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	43	39-48			
Asthmatic (Current)	48	35-62			
Not Asthmatic	42	37-46			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	40	33-48			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	47	39-54			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	39	33-46			
Limited Due Health Problems	39	31-47			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	44	39-48			
Current Smoker	47	38-57			
Former Smoker	45	37-52			
Never Smoked	39	33-44			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	39	32-45			
Non-Drinker	45	40-51			
No Health Care Coverage	41	29-55			
Have Health Care Coverage	43	38-47			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	42	38-47			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	48	35-62			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	42	38-46			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	45	35-54			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	42	38-46			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes Is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 25-43) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (53 percent, CI: 44-60).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Pain or Discomfort in the Arms or Shoulder Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	94	92-96			
Male	93	91-95			
Female	95	91-97			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	97	93-98			
45-64	95	92-97			
65+	90	87-93			
< High School	90	83-95		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	92	88-95			
Some College	97	94-98			
College Degree	97	94-99			
<$25,000	93	89-95			
$25,000 to $49,999	94	89-97			
$50,000+	98	95-99			
White, non-Hispanic	94	92-96			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	96	92-98			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	96	88-99			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	95	87-98			
Emp. Status: Retired	90	86-93			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	92	83-96			
Married	95	93-97			
Divorced/Separated	94	89-96			
Widowed	92	87-95			
Never Married	92	82-97			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	88-97			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	92-96			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	94	89-96			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	94	92-96			
Diagnosed Diabetic	90	84-94			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	95	92-96			
Asthmatic (Current)	95	89-98			
Not Asthmatic	94	92-96			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	94	90-97			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	96	93-97			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	92	87-95			
Limited Due Health Problems	95	92-97			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	94	91-95			
Current Smoker	95	91-97			
Former Smoker	95	93-97			
Never Smoked	93	89-95			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	96	93-98			
Non-Drinker	92	89-95			
No Health Care Coverage	95	89-98			
Have Health Care Coverage	94	92-96			
No Personal Health Care Provider	97	90-99			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	94	91-95			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	94	87-97			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	94	92-96			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	95	88-98			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	94	92-96			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Pain or Discomfort in the Arms or Shoulder Are Symptoms of a Heart Attack, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- There were no significant differences within Blair County

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Shortness of Breath is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	93	91-95			
Male	91	87-94			
Female	95	94-97			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	95	91-97			
45-64	94	92-96			
65+	87	84-91			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	93	90-95			
Some College	96	93-98			
College Degree	96	94-98			
<$25,000	91	85-95			
$25,000 to $49,999	92	89-95			
$50,000+	98	95-99			
White, non-Hispanic	94	91-95			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	96	94-98			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	98	91-99			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	95	90-98			
Emp. Status: Retired	88	84-91			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	90	81-95			
Married	95	93-96			
Divorced/Separated	94	90-97			
Widowed	90	85-94			
Never Married	91	80-96			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	97	94-98			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	92	89-94			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	94	90-96			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	93	91-95			
Diagnosed Diabetic	92	86-95			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	94	91-95			
Asthmatic (Current)	94	88-97			
Not Asthmatic	93	91-95			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	92	86-96			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	94	92-96			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	93	90-95			
Limited Due Health Problems	91	83-95			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	94	93-96			
Current Smoker	96	93-98			
Former Smoker	92	89-95			
Never Smoked	92	88-95			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	94	92-96			
Non-Drinker	92	89-95			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	94	92-95			
No Personal Health Care Provider	93	85-97			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	93	91-95			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	99	94-100			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	93	90-94			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	98	95-99			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	92	89-94			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Shortness of Breath is a Symptom of a Heart Attack, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 84-91) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (94 percent, CI: 92-96).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 84-91) compared to Blair County employed adults (96 percent, CI: 94-98).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (92 percent, CI: 89-94) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (98 percent, CI: 95-99).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Confusion or Trouble Speaking Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	97	95-98			
Male	95	92-97			
Female	99	97-99			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	98	93-99			
45-64	97	95-99			
65+	96	94-98			
< High School	87	76-94		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	97	93-99			
Some College	98	96-99			
College Degree	100	97-100			
<$25,000	98	95-99			
$25,000 to $49,999	98	95-99			
$50,000+	99	97-100			
White, non-Hispanic	97	96-98			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	98	97-99			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	99	95-100			
Emp. Status: Retired	97	95-98			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	96	88-99			
Married	98	97-99			
Divorced/Separated	98	95-99			
Widowed	95	90-98			
Never Married	96	87-99			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	99	97-100			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	96	94-98			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	96	93-98			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	97	95-99			
Diagnosed Diabetic	99	96-100			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	97	95-98			
Asthmatic (Current)	99	91-100			
Not Asthmatic	97	95-98			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	97	94-99			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	97	93-99			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	97	94-98			
Limited Due Health Problems	97	94-98			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	97	95-99			
Current Smoker	96	92-98			
Former Smoker	97	93-99			
Never Smoked	98	95-99			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	98	96-99			
Non-Drinker	96	93-98			
No Health Care Coverage	96	88-99			
Have Health Care Coverage	97	95-98			
No Personal Health Care Provider	95	85-98			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	97	96-98			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	99	94-100			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	97	95-98			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	98	94-99			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	97	95-98			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Confusion or Trouble Speaking Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 76-94) compared to Blair County adults with some college education (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 76-94) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (100 percent, CI: 97-100).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Numbness or Weakness of Face, Arm, or Leg, Especially on One Side Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	98	96-99			
Male	96	92-98			
Female	99	98-99			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	98	93-99			
45-64	99	97-99			
65+	97	94-98			
< High School	90	79-96		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	98	97-99			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	100	98-100			
<$25,000	95	88-98			
$25,000 to $49,999	98	96-99			
$50,000+	100	NCI			
White, non-Hispanic	99	98-99			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	100	99-100			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	99	95-100			
Emp. Status: Retired	97	94-98			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	94	86-98			
Married	99	98-100			
Divorced/Separated	97	94-99			
Widowed	95	90-97			
Never Married	100	NCI			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	98	90-99			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	98	96-99			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	93	83-97			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	99	97-99			
Diagnosed Diabetic	98	94-99			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	98	95-99			
Asthmatic (Current)	100	97-100			
Not Asthmatic	97	95-99			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	96	93-98			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	98	89-100			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	99	97-99			
Limited Due Health Problems	95	87-98			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	98	97-99			
Current Smoker	97	86-99			
Former Smoker	98	94-99			
Never Smoked	98	97-99			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	97	92-99			
Non-Drinker	97	95-99			
No Health Care Coverage	97	91-99			
Have Health Care Coverage	98	95-99			
No Personal Health Care Provider	96	86-99			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	98	96-99			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	100	97-100			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	97	95-99			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	96	85-99			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	98	97-99			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Numbness or Weakness of Face, Arm, or Leg, Especially on One Side Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (90 percent, CI: 79-96) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (98 percent, CI: 97-99).
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (90 percent, CI: 79-96) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (100 percent, CI: 98-100).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (97 percent, CI: 94-98) compared to Blair County employed adults (100 percent, CI: 99-100).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (94 percent, CI: 86-98) compared to Blair County employed adults (100 percent, CI: 99-100).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (95 percent, CI: 90-97) compared to Blair County married adults (99 percent, CI: 98-100).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes is a Symptom of a Stroke, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	90	88-92			
Male	88	82-92			
Female	92	90-94			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	93	87-96			
45-64	90	86-93			
65+	86	81-89			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	88	84-91			
Some College	93	84-97			
College Degree	97	94-98			
<$25,000	84	77-90			
$25,000 to $49,999	91	87-94			
$50,000+	96	92-97			
White, non-Hispanic	91	89-93			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	94	91-96			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	93	82-97			
Emp. Status: Retired	86	82-90			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	78	66-86			
Married	91	88-93			
Divorced/Separated	88	82-93			
Widowed	85	77-90			
Never Married	98	94-99			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	91	85-95			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	90	87-92			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	81	72-88			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	92	90-94			
Diagnosed Diabetic	84	76-89			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	91	88-94			
Asthmatic (Current)	89	80-94			
Not Asthmatic	90	87-93			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	89	84-92			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	91	84-95			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	91	87-94			
Limited Due Health Problems	84	76-90			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	92	90-94			
Current Smoker	87	78-93			
Former Smoker	92	87-95			
Never Smoked	91	88-93			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	90	85-94			
Non-Drinker	90	87-92			
No Health Care Coverage	92	85-96			
Have Health Care Coverage	90	87-92			
No Personal Health Care Provider	91	80-96			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	90	87-92			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	85	76-91			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	91	88-93			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	90	81-95			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	90	88-92			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Trouble Seeing in One or Both Eyes Is a Symptom of a Stroke, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 84-91) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 77-90) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (96 percent, CI: 92-97).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 82-90) compared to Blair County employed adults (94 percent, CI: 91-96).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 66-86) compared to Blair County employed adults (94 percent, CI: 91-96).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (91 percent, CI: 88-93) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (98 percent, CI: 94-99).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 82-93) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (98 percent, CI: 94-99).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 77-90) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (98 percent, CI: 94-99).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 72-88) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (92 percent, CI: 90-94).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	49	45-53			
Male	50	43-56			
Female	48	43-54			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	40	32-48			
45-64	46	41-51			
65+	56	50-62			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	56	50-62			
Some College	45	36-55			
College Degree	35	27-43			
<$25,000	64	57-71			
$25,000 to $49,999	46	38-54			
$50,000+	32	26-40			
White, non-Hispanic	48	44-53			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	43	37-49			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	42	30-55			
Emp. Status: Retired	57	50-63			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	51	38-65			
Married	42	37-47			
Divorced/Separated	52	43-60			
Widowed	55	46-64			
Never Married	59	45-71			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	44	36-52			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	51	46-56			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	62	54-69			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	46	41-51			
Diagnosed Diabetic	50	41-59			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	49	44-53			
Asthmatic (Current)	54	41-66			
Not Asthmatic	48	44-53			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	47	39-54			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	55	48-62			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	45	38-52			
Limited Due Health Problems	57	49-65			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	46	41-51			
Current Smoker	59	50-67			
Former Smoker	49	42-57			
Never Smoked	45	39-51			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	42	36-49			
Non-Drinker	54	49-60			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	49	44-53			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	49	45-54			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	54	42-66			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	48	44-53			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	42	31-53			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	51	47-56			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Chest Pain or Discomfort Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 32-48) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (56 percent, CI: 50-62).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 27-43) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (56 percent, CI: 50-62).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 38-54) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (64 percent, CI: 57-71).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 26-40) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (64 percent, CI: 57-71).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 37-49) compared to Blair County retired adults (57 percent, CI: 50-63).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 41-51) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (62 percent, CI: 54-69).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Trouble Walking, Dizziness or Loss of Balance Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	94	92-96			
Male	93	91-95			
Female	95	91-97			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	97	93-98			
45-64	95	92-97			
65+	90	87-93			
< High School	90	83-95		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	92	88-95			
Some College	97	94-98			
College Degree	97	94-99			
<$25,000	93	89-95			
$25,000 to $49,999	94	89-97			
$50,000+	98	95-99			
White, non-Hispanic	94	92-96			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	96	92-98			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	96	88-99			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	95	87-98			
Emp. Status: Retired	90	86-93			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	92	83-96			
Married	95	93-97			
Divorced/Separated	94	89-96			
Widowed	92	87-95			
Never Married	92	82-97			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	88-97			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	92-96			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	94	89-96			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	94	92-96			
Diagnosed Diabetic	90	84-94			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	95	92-96			
Asthmatic (Current)	95	89-98			
Not Asthmatic	94	92-96			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	94	90-97			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	96	93-97			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	92	87-95			
Limited Due Health Problems	95	92-97			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	94	91-95			
Current Smoker	95	91-97			
Former Smoker	95	93-97			
Never Smoked	93	89-95			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	96	93-98			
Non-Drinker	92	89-95			
No Health Care Coverage	95	89-98			
Have Health Care Coverage	94	92-96			
No Personal Health Care Provider	97	90-99			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	94	91-95			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	94	87-97			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	94	92-96			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	95	88-98			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	94	92-96			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Sudden Trouble Walking, Dizziness or Loss of Balance Are Symptoms of a Stroke, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- There were no significant differences within Blair County

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Severe Headache With No Known Cause is a Symptom of a Stroke, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	93	91-95			
Male	91	87-94			
Female	95	94-97			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	95	91-97			
45-64	94	92-96			
65+	87	84-91			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	93	90-95			
Some College	96	93-98			
College Degree	96	94-98			
<$25,000	91	85-95			
$25,000 to $49,999	92	89-95			
$50,000+	98	95-99			
White, non-Hispanic	94	91-95			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	96	94-98			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	98	91-99			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	95	90-98			
Emp. Status: Retired	88	84-91			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	90	81-95			
Married	95	93-96			
Divorced/Separated	94	90-97			
Widowed	90	85-94			
Never Married	91	80-96			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	97	94-98			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	92	89-94			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	94	90-96			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	93	91-95			
Diagnosed Diabetic	92	86-95			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	94	91-95			
Asthmatic (Current)	94	88-97			
Not Asthmatic	93	91-95			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	92	86-96			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	94	92-96			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	93	90-95			
Limited Due Health Problems	91	83-95			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	94	93-96			
Current Smoker	96	93-98			
Former Smoker	92	89-95			
Never Smoked	92	88-95			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	94	92-96			
Non-Drinker	92	89-95			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	94	92-95			
No Personal Health Care Provider	93	85-97			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	93	91-95			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	99	94-100			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	93	90-94			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	98	95-99			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	92	89-94			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, They Think Severe Headache With No Known Cause is a Symptom of a Stroke, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 84-91) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (94 percent, CI: 92-96).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 84-91) compared to Blair County employed adults (96 percent, CI: 94-98).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (92 percent, CI: 89-94) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (98 percent, CI: 95-99).

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, The First Thing They Would Do is Call 911 if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	7	5-9			
Male	8	5-11			
Female	6	4-8			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	8	5-13			
45-64	6	4-9			
65+	8	6-11			
< High School	11	6-19		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	6	4-9			
Some College	5	2-11			
College Degree	7	5-12			
<$25,000	6	4-9			
$25,000 to $49,999	8	5-13			
$50,000+	6	4-10			
White, non-Hispanic	6	5-8			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-9			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	5	2-13			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	1	0-6			
Emp. Status: Retired	8	6-12			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	9	4-19			
Married	6	4-8			
Divorced/Separated	7	4-12			
Widowed	7	4-13			
Never Married	8	4-16			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	4-12			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	6	5-8			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	6	4-11			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	7	5-9			
Diagnosed Diabetic	6	3-10			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	7	5-9			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	6	5-8			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	5	3-8			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	8	5-12			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	7	5-11			
Limited Due Health Problems	5	3-8			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	5-9			
Current Smoker	5	3-9			
Former Smoker	8	6-12			
Never Smoked	6	4-9			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	6	4-9			
Non-Drinker	6	4-9			
No Health Care Coverage	6	3-13			
Have Health Care Coverage	7	5-9			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	6	5-8			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	7	3-13			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	7	5-9			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	10	6-16			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	6	4-7			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, The First Thing They Would Do is Call 911 if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- There were no significant differences within Blair County

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, The First Thing They Would Do is Go To the Hospital if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	7	5-9			
Male	8	5-11			
Female	6	4-8			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	8	5-13			
45-64	6	4-9			
65+	8	6-11			
< High School	11	6-19		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	6	4-9			
Some College	5	2-11			
College Degree	7	5-12			
<$25,000	6	4-9			
$25,000 to $49,999	8	5-13			
$50,000+	6	4-10			
White, non-Hispanic	6	5-8			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-9			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	5	2-13			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	1	0-6			
Emp. Status: Retired	8	6-12			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	9	4-19			
Married	6	4-8			
Divorced/Separated	7	4-12			
Widowed	7	4-13			
Never Married	8	4-16			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	4-12			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	6	5-8			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	6	4-11			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	7	5-9			
Diagnosed Diabetic	6	3-10			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	7	5-9			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	6	5-8			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	5	3-8			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	8	5-12			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	7	5-11			
Limited Due Health Problems	5	3-8			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	5-9			
Current Smoker	5	3-9			
Former Smoker	8	6-12			
Never Smoked	6	4-9			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	6	4-9			
Non-Drinker	6	4-9			
No Health Care Coverage	6	3-13			
Have Health Care Coverage	7	5-9			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	6	5-8			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	7	3-13			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	7	5-9			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	10	6-16			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	6	4-7			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 8: Heart Attack and Stroke, The First Thing They Would Do is Go To the Hospital if They Thought Someone Was Having a Heart Attack, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- There were no significant differences within Blair County

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	22	19-25			
Male	26	21-32			
Female	19	16-22			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	18	13-24			
45-64	20	16-24			
65+	31	27-36			
< High School	24	16-35		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	24	19-29			
Some College	21	15-28			
College Degree	21	16-27			
<$25,000	22	17-27			
$25,000 to $49,999	20	15-26			
$50,000+	22	17-28			
White, non-Hispanic	22	20-26			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	17	13-22			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	17	10-26			
Emp. Status: Retired	30	26-35			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	33	22-46			
Married	21	17-24			
Divorced/Separated	21	16-28			
Widowed	32	26-40			
Never Married	22	14-33			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	15-26			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	20-27			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	26	20-32			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	22	18-25			
Diagnosed Diabetic	31	24-39			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-24			
Asthmatic (Current)	21	14-30			
Not Asthmatic	23	19-26			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	24	19-29			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	21	16-27			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	24	19-30			
Limited Due Health Problems	24	18-31			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	22	19-25			
Current Smoker	22	16-30			
Former Smoker	19	15-24			
Never Smoked	24	20-29			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	19	15-23			
Non-Drinker	25	21-30			
No Health Care Coverage	17	11-26			
Have Health Care Coverage	23	20-26			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	23	20-26			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	23	13-35			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	22	19-25			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	16	11-24			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	21-28			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-24) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (31 percent, CI: 27-36).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (31 percent, CI: 27-36).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Blair County retired adults (30 percent, CI: 26-35).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Blair County widowed adults (32 percent, CI: 26-40).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Somewhat Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	58	54-62			
Male	60	54-66			
Female	56	52-61			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	56	49-63			
45-64	63	58-67			
65+	55	50-60			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	59	53-64			
Some College	61	52-68			
College Degree	61	53-67			
<$25,000	52	45-58			
$25,000 to $49,999	66	59-72			
$50,000+	62	56-68			
White, non-Hispanic	58	55-62			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	63	58-68			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	61	48-73			
Emp. Status: Retired	54	49-60			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	32	22-44			
Married	63	59-68			
Divorced/Separated	56	48-63			
Widowed	47	40-55			
Never Married	58	46-69			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	53	46-60			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	61	57-65			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	46	39-54			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	61	57-65			
Diagnosed Diabetic	50	42-58			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	59	55-63			
Asthmatic (Current)	56	44-67			
Not Asthmatic	58	54-62			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	57	51-64			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	62	55-68			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	54	48-60			
Limited Due Health Problems	52	45-60			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	60	56-64			
Current Smoker	53	45-61			
Former Smoker	60	53-66			
Never Smoked	60	55-65			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	61	55-66			
Non-Drinker	56	51-61			
No Health Care Coverage	61	50-72			
Have Health Care Coverage	58	54-62			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	58	54-61			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	52	40-64			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	59	55-63			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	59	51-68			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	58	54-62			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Somewhat Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 45-58) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (66 percent, CI: 59-72).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 22-44) compared to Blair County employed adults (63 percent, CI: 58-68).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 22-44) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (61 percent, CI: 48-73).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 22-44) compared to Blair County retired adults (54 percent, CI: 49-60).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 40-55) compared to Blair County married adults (63 percent, CI: 59-68).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 39-54) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (61 percent, CI: 57-65).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Not At All Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	20	17-23			
Male	13	10-18			
Female	25	21-29			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	26	20-32			
45-64	18	14-22			
65+	14	11-18			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	18	14-22			
Some College	19	13-27			
College Degree	19	14-26			
<$25,000	27	21-33			
$25,000 to $49,999	15	11-20			
$50,000+	16	12-21			
White, non-Hispanic	19	16-22			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	20	16-24			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	13	6-25			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	15	12-19			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	35	23-48			
Married	16	13-19			
Divorced/Separated	23	18-30			
Widowed	20	15-27			
Never Married	20	13-31			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	27	21-34			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	13-19			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	28	21-36			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	18	15-21			
Diagnosed Diabetic	19	13-26			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	20	17-23			
Asthmatic (Current)	23	14-35			
Not Asthmatic	19	16-23			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	19	14-25			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	17	13-23			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	22	17-27			
Limited Due Health Problems	24	17-31			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	18	15-22			
Current Smoker	25	19-33			
Former Smoker	21	16-27			
Never Smoked	16	12-20			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	20	16-26			
Non-Drinker	19	15-23			
No Health Care Coverage	21	14-32			
Have Health Care Coverage	19	16-23			
No Personal Health Care Provider	24	14-37			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	19	16-22			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	25	17-36			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	19	16-22			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	24	18-33			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	18	15-22			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 14: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Not At All Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-18) compared to Blair County women (25 percent, CI: 21-29).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (26 percent, CI: 20-32).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (27 percent, CI: 21-33).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (35 percent, CI: 23-48).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-19) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (27 percent, CI: 21-34).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Water for Everyone Who Lives There**, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	57	53-60			
Male	63	57-68			
Female	51	47-56			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	49	42-56			
45-64	62	58-67			
65+	59	54-63			
< High School	56	42-68		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	56	51-61			
Some College	57	48-65			
College Degree	57	50-64			
<$25,000	62	55-68			
$25,000 to $49,999	49	42-56			
$50,000+	56	50-63			
White, non-Hispanic	56	53-60			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	52	47-58			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	49	37-61			
Emp. Status: Retired	62	57-67			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	55	43-67			
Married	54	50-58			
Divorced/Separated	62	55-68			
Widowed	58	51-66			
Never Married	63	51-73			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	46	40-53			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	62	58-66			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	56	48-63			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	57	52-61			
Diagnosed Diabetic	60	52-68			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	56	52-60			
Asthmatic (Current)	55	43-67			
Not Asthmatic	57	53-61			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	57	50-63			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	57	50-63			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	56	50-62			
Limited Due Health Problems	55	48-63			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	57	53-61			
Current Smoker	53	45-61			
Former Smoker	59	52-65			
Never Smoked	57	52-62			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	53	47-59			
Non-Drinker	59	55-64			
No Health Care Coverage	63	52-73			
Have Health Care Coverage	56	52-60			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	57	53-60			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	54	42-66			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	57	53-61			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	45	36-53			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	60	56-64			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** 3-day supply of water is 1 gallon of water per person per day.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Water for Everyone Who Lives There**, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County women had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 47-56) compared to Blair County men (63 percent, CI: 57-68).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 42-56) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (62 percent, CI: 58-67).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 40-53) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (62 percent, CI: 58-66).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 36-53) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (60 percent, CI: 56-64).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Nonperishable Food for Everyone Who Lives There, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	88	85-90			
Male	91	86-94			
Female	85	81-88			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	84	78-88			
45-64	89	86-92			
65+	92	89-95			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	92	89-94			
Some College	86	77-92			
College Degree	83	77-89			
<$25,000	88	83-92			
$25,000 to $49,999	84	77-90			
$50,000+	90	85-93			
White, non-Hispanic	88	85-90			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	86	81-90			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	92	89-94			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	83	72-90			
Married	90	87-92			
Divorced/Separated	87	81-91			
Widowed	92	86-95			
Never Married	84	72-91			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	79-90			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	89	86-92			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	87	81-90			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	88	84-91			
Diagnosed Diabetic	90	84-93			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	87	84-90			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	88	85-91			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	85	80-89			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	90	85-93			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	88	82-92			
Limited Due Health Problems	89	84-92			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	87	84-90			
Current Smoker	88	83-92			
Former Smoker	89	83-93			
Never Smoked	87	82-90			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	89	84-92			
Non-Drinker	87	83-90			
No Health Care Coverage	90	81-96			
Have Health Care Coverage	87	84-90			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	90	87-92			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	82	72-90			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	85-91			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	83	74-89			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	89	86-92			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Nonperishable Food for Everyone Who Lives There, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 78-88) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (92 percent, CI: 89-95).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Prescription Medication for Each Person Who Takes Prescribed Medicines, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	94	91-95			
Male	93	90-96			
Female	94	91-96			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	91	86-95			
45-64	96	93-98			
65+	96	94-98			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	95	92-97			
Some College	96	90-98			
College Degree	94	90-97			
<$25,000	89	83-93			
$25,000 to $49,999	94	90-97			
$50,000+	97	94-99			
White, non-Hispanic	94	92-96			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	94	91-96			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	96	94-98			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	96	94-97			
Divorced/Separated	94	89-97			
Widowed	96	92-98			
Never Married	89	79-95			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	92	87-95			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	95	92-97			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	91	84-95			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	94	92-96			
Diagnosed Diabetic	96	92-98			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	93	91-95			
Asthmatic (Current)	91	81-96			
Not Asthmatic	94	92-96			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	97	94-98			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	93	89-96			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	92	87-96			
Limited Due Health Problems	92	87-96			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	94	92-96			
Current Smoker	89	82-94			
Former Smoker	96	91-98			
Never Smoked	95	92-97			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	93	88-95			
Non-Drinker	95	92-97			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	95	93-97			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	95	93-97			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	91	81-96			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	94	92-96			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	87	79-92			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	95	93-97			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Prescription Medication for Each Person Who Takes Prescribed Medicines, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 83-93) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (97 percent, CI: 94-99).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 79-92) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (95 percent, CI: 93-97).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Battery Operated Radio and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	76	72-79			
Male	76	70-81			
Female	76	72-79			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	72	65-78			
45-64	77	73-81			
65+	74	69-78			
< High School	66	53-78		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	79	75-83			
Some College	73	64-80			
College Degree	76	69-81			
<$25,000	65	58-71			
$25,000 to $49,999	79	72-84			
$50,000+	81	76-85			
White, non-Hispanic	77	74-80			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	78	73-82			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	81	70-88			
Emp. Status: Retired	74	69-79			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	68	56-78			
Married	82	78-85			
Divorced/Separated	66	59-73			
Widowed	67	59-74			
Never Married	74	62-83			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	79	73-84			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	74	70-78			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	71	63-78			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	77	73-80			
Diagnosed Diabetic	76	69-83			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	76	72-79			
Asthmatic (Current)	73	61-83			
Not Asthmatic	76	73-79			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	78	72-82			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	77	71-82			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	72	66-78			
Limited Due Health Problems	74	67-80			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	76	72-79			
Current Smoker	76	68-83			
Former Smoker	75	69-80			
Never Smoked	76	71-80			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	75	70-80			
Non-Drinker	77	73-80			
No Health Care Coverage	70	59-79			
Have Health Care Coverage	77	73-80			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	77	74-80			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	71	60-80			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	76	73-79			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	71	62-79			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	77	74-80			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Battery Operated Radio and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 58-71) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (79 percent, CI: 72-84).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 58-71) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (81 percent, CI: 76-85).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 59-73) compared to Blair County married adults (82 percent, CI: 78-85).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 59-74) compared to Blair County married adults (82 percent, CI: 78-85).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Flashlight and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	95	93-97			
Male	97	95-98			
Female	94	91-96			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	94	90-97			
45-64	96	94-97			
65+	96	94-98			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	96	94-98			
Some College	97	95-99			
College Degree	95	89-98			
<$25,000	92	87-95			
$25,000 to $49,999	97	95-99			
$50,000+	98	96-99			
White, non-Hispanic	96	94-97			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	96	93-98			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	97	88-99			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	96	94-98			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	89	79-95			
Married	98	97-99			
Divorced/Separated	91	86-94			
Widowed	96	91-98			
Never Married	94	86-98			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	90-97			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	96	94-97			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	96	92-98			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	95	93-97			
Diagnosed Diabetic	94	89-97			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	96	93-97			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	96	94-97			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	93	88-96			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	95	91-97			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	98	95-99			
Limited Due Health Problems	95	91-97			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	95	93-97			
Current Smoker	96	93-98			
Former Smoker	94	88-97			
Never Smoked	96	93-98			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	97	95-98			
Non-Drinker	95	92-97			
No Health Care Coverage	97	92-99			
Have Health Care Coverage	95	93-97			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	96	94-97			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	89	78-95			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	96	94-98			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	96	92-98			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	95	93-97			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Flashlight and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (92 percent, CI: 87-95) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (91 percent, CI: 86-94) compared to Blair County married adults (98 percent, CI: 97-99).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Cell Phones, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	69	66-72			
Male	68	62-74			
Female	70	66-74			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	75	68-81			
45-64	72	67-76			
65+	52	47-57			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	70	65-74			
Some College	68	60-76			
College Degree	76	70-81			
<$25,000	53	46-60			
$25,000 to $49,999	69	62-75			
$50,000+	86	82-90			
White, non-Hispanic	70	67-74			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	78	73-82			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	65	54-75			
Emp. Status: Retired	57	51-62			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	50	38-63			
Married	77	73-80			
Divorced/Separated	61	54-68			
Widowed	47	40-55			
Never Married	68	56-78			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	74	67-80			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	67	62-71			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	54	46-61			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	73	69-76			
Diagnosed Diabetic	60	51-68			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	70	67-74			
Asthmatic (Current)	72	61-81			
Not Asthmatic	69	65-73			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	68	61-74			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	75	69-80			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	63	56-69			
Limited Due Health Problems	58	50-66			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	73	69-76			
Current Smoker	69	61-76			
Former Smoker	71	65-77			
Never Smoked	68	63-73			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	76	70-80			
Non-Drinker	63	58-67			
No Health Care Coverage	69	56-80			
Have Health Care Coverage	69	66-73			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	69	66-73			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	65	53-75			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	70	66-73			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	72	63-80			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	68	65-72			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Cell Phones, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 47-57) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (75 percent, CI: 68-81).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 47-57) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (72 percent, CI: 67-76).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 46-60) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (69 percent, CI: 62-75).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 46-60) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (86 percent, CI: 82-90).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 62-75) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (86 percent, CI: 82-90).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 51-62) compared to Blair County employed adults (78 percent, CI: 73-82).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 38-63) compared to Blair County employed adults (78 percent, CI: 73-82).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 54-68) compared to Blair County married adults (77 percent, CI: 73-80).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 40-55) compared to Blair County married adults (77 percent, CI: 73-80).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 40-55) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (68 percent, CI: 56-78).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 46-61) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (73 percent, CI: 69-76).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 50-66) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (73 percent, CI: 69-76).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 58-67) compared to Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (76 percent, CI: 70-80).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Home Telephones, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	20	17-22			
Male	16	13-20			
Female	23	20-27			
18-29	5	2-13			
30-44	13	9-19			
45-64	20	16-24			
65+	39	34-44			
< High School	38	27-52		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	20	17-24			
Some College	15	11-20			
College Degree	18	13-23			
<$25,000	31	26-37			
$25,000 to $49,999	18	14-22			
$50,000+	9	7-13			
White, non-Hispanic	19	17-22			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	13	10-16			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	9	4-20			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	25	17-35			
Emp. Status: Retired	35	30-40			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	37	26-50			
Married	16	13-19			
Divorced/Separated	26	20-33			
Widowed	46	38-54			
Never Married	13	8-19			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	10-18			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	20-26			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	33	26-39			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	17	14-20			
Diagnosed Diabetic	27	20-34			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	19	16-22			
Asthmatic (Current)	19	12-29			
Not Asthmatic	19	17-22			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	19	15-24			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	18	14-22			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	24	19-29			
Limited Due Health Problems	28	22-34			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	17	14-20			
Current Smoker	16	12-21			
Former Smoker	20	15-25			
Never Smoked	22	18-26			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	14	11-17			
Non-Drinker	25	22-29			
No Health Care Coverage	14	9-23			
Have Health Care Coverage	21	18-23			
No Personal Health Care Provider	13	7-22			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-23			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	21	14-31			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	20	17-22			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	12	8-17			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	22	19-25			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Home Telephones, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (20 percent, CI: 16-24).
  - Blair County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (39 percent, CI: 34-44).
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-19) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (39 percent, CI: 34-44).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (39 percent, CI: 34-44).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Blair County adults with less than a high school education (38 percent, CI: 27-52).
  - Blair County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Blair County adults with less than a high school education (38 percent, CI: 27-52).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Blair County adults less than a high school education (38 percent, CI: 27-52).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (31 percent, CI: 26-37).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (31 percent, CI: 26-37).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (18 percent, CI: 14-22).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (25 percent, CI: 17-35).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Blair County retired adults (35 percent, CI: 30-40).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (37 percent, CI: 26-50).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 4-20) compared to Blair County retired adults (35 percent, CI: 30-40).
  - Blair County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 4-20) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (37 percent, CI: 26-50).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-19) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (26 percent, CI: 20-33).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-19) compared to Blair County widowed adults (46 percent, CI: 38-54).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 20-33) compared to Blair County widowed adults (46 percent, CI: 38-54).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (26 percent, CI: 20-33).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Blair County widowed adults (46 percent, CI: 38-54).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-18) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (23 percent, CI: 20-26).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Home Telephones, 2009

Differences within Blair County (continued):

- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (33 percent, CI: 26-39).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (28 percent, CI: 22-34).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (25 percent, CI: 22-29).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Blair County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (22 percent, CI: 19-25).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Television, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	21	18-24			
Male	21	17-27			
Female	21	18-25			
18-29	15	8-28			
30-44	22	16-29			
45-64	23	19-28			
65+	21	17-25			
< High School	21	12-34		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	19	16-24			
Some College	21	14-28			
College Degree	25	20-31			
<$25,000	18	14-23			
$25,000 to $49,999	17	13-22			
$50,000+	26	21-33			
White, non-Hispanic	21	19-25			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	21	16-26			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	15	9-24			
Emp. Status: Retired	23	19-28			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	27	18-40			
Married	21	18-25			
Divorced/Separated	24	18-31			
Widowed	22	16-29			
Never Married	20	12-31			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	14-25			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	19-26			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	22	16-28			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	21	18-25			
Diagnosed Diabetic	22	16-29			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-24			
Asthmatic (Current)	21	14-31			
Not Asthmatic	21	18-25			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	21	16-28			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	21	16-26			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	23	18-28			
Limited Due Health Problems	20	15-26			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	21	18-25			
Current Smoker	20	14-27			
Former Smoker	21	15-28			
Never Smoked	22	18-26			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	22	18-27			
Non-Drinker	19	16-23			
No Health Care Coverage	16	10-25			
Have Health Care Coverage	22	19-25			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-24			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	19	12-28			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	21	18-25			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	19	13-26			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	22	19-26			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Television, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- There were no significant differences within Blair County

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Radio, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	49	45-53			
Male	52	45-58			
Female	47	43-52			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	51	44-59			
45-64	55	50-60			
65+	39	34-45			
< High School	25	16-38		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	50	44-55			
Some College	49	40-57			
College Degree	57	49-64			
<$25,000	38	32-45			
$25,000 to $49,999	53	45-60			
$50,000+	56	50-63			
White, non-Hispanic	50	46-54			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	52	46-58			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	52	41-63			
Emp. Status: Retired	45	40-51			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	44	32-57			
Married	54	50-59			
Divorced/Separated	50	42-57			
Widowed	30	23-38			
Never Married	41	30-53			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	51	43-58			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	49	44-53			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	42	35-49			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	51	47-55			
Diagnosed Diabetic	46	38-55			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	50	46-54			
Asthmatic (Current)	47	35-59			
Not Asthmatic	49	45-53			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	49	42-56			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	50	43-56			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	49	42-55			
Limited Due Health Problems	47	39-55			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	50	46-54			
Current Smoker	51	43-59			
Former Smoker	51	44-57			
Never Smoked	48	42-53			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	54	48-60			
Non-Drinker	46	41-51			
No Health Care Coverage	42	31-54			
Have Health Care Coverage	50	46-54			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	51	47-54			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	48	36-60			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	49	45-53			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	49	41-58			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	49	45-53			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Radio, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 34-45) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (55 percent, CI: 50-60).
- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 16-38) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (50 percent, CI: 44-55).
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 16-38) compared to Blair County adults with some college education (49 percent, CI: 40-57).
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 16-38) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (57 percent, CI: 49-64).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 32-45) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (56 percent, CI: 50-63).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 23-38) compared to Blair County married adults (54 percent, CI: 50-59).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 23-38) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (50 percent, CI: 42-57).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Written Disaster Evacuation Plan For How They Will Leave Their Home in the Case of a Large-Scale Emergency, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	18	15-20			
Male	17	14-22			
Female	18	15-21			
18-29	9	4-19			
30-44	20	15-26			
45-64	16	13-20			
65+	23	19-27			
< High School	20	12-31		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	20	17-25			
Some College	14	10-20			
College Degree	14	10-20			
<$25,000	20	16-25			
$25,000 to $49,999	15	11-21			
$50,000+	14	11-20			
White, non-Hispanic	17	14-20			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	13	10-16			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	27	18-38			
Emp. Status: Retired	21	17-26			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	23	14-35			
Married	18	15-22			
Divorced/Separated	17	13-23			
Widowed	25	19-32			
Never Married	12	7-21			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	15-25			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	14-20			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	23	17-29			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	16	14-19			
Diagnosed Diabetic	21	16-29			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	17	14-20			
Asthmatic (Current)	13	8-21			
Not Asthmatic	18	15-21			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	19	15-24			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	16	12-20			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	17	13-22			
Limited Due Health Problems	19	15-25			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	17	14-20			
Current Smoker	19	14-25			
Former Smoker	18	14-24			
Never Smoked	16	13-20			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	11	9-15			
Non-Drinker	22	18-26			
No Health Care Coverage	17	11-26			
Have Health Care Coverage	18	15-21			
No Personal Health Care Provider	17	10-28			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	18	15-20			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	16	10-23			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	18	15-21			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	13	9-19			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	19	16-22			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Written Disaster Evacuation Plan For How They Will Leave Their Home in the Case of a Large-Scale Emergency, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (27 percent, CI: 18-38).
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Blair County retired adults (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Blair County adults who do not drink (22 percent, CI: 18-26).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Would Evacuate if Authorities Announced a Mandatory Evacuation Due to a Large-Scale Emergency (Unsure Adults Included in the Denominator), 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	94	91-96			
Male	89	85-93			
Female	98	95-99			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	96	92-98			
45-64	93	90-95			
65+	95	92-97			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	95	92-97			
Some College	96	93-98			
College Degree	94	89-97			
<$25,000	93	86-97			
$25,000 to $49,999	93	90-96			
$50,000+	95	91-97			
White, non-Hispanic	94	92-96			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	95	92-97			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	95	92-97			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	98	91-99			
Married	93	90-95			
Divorced/Separated	94	89-97			
Widowed	99	93-100			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	96	92-98			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	93	89-95			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	95	91-97			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	94	91-96			
Diagnosed Diabetic	92	86-96			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	94	91-96			
Asthmatic (Current)	99	96-100			
Not Asthmatic	93	90-95			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	92	85-96			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	97	94-98			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	93	89-96			
Limited Due Health Problems	93	85-97			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	94	92-96			
Current Smoker	93	89-96			
Former Smoker	93	87-96			
Never Smoked	95	90-97			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	93	89-95			
Non-Drinker	95	91-97			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	95	93-97			
No Personal Health Care Provider	89	78-95			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	95	92-96			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	97	92-99			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	93	91-95			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	93	88-97			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	94	91-96			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Would Evacuate if Authorities Announced a Mandatory Evacuation Due to a Large-Scale Emergency (Unsure Adults Included in the Denominator), 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County men had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 85-93) compared to Blair County women (98 percent, CI: 95-99).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (93 percent, CI: 90-95) compared to Blair County adults who currently have asthma (99 percent, CI: 96-100).

Module 23: Social Context, They Own Their Home, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	71	67-75			
Male	75	69-81			
Female	68	63-72			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	73	67-79			
45-64	79	74-82			
65+	80	76-84			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	69	63-74			
Some College	69	60-77			
College Degree	84	76-89			
<$25,000	49	43-56			
$25,000 to $49,999	73	65-80			
$50,000+	95	91-97			
White, non-Hispanic	73	69-77			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	77	71-82			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	79	75-83			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	34	24-46			
Married	90	86-92			
Divorced/Separated	59	52-66			
Widowed	73	66-79			
Never Married	48	37-60			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	66	58-73			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	74	70-78			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	59	51-66			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	74	70-78			
Diagnosed Diabetic	75	67-81			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	71	67-75			
Asthmatic (Current)	60	46-72			
Not Asthmatic	73	69-76			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	70	63-76			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	73	66-80			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	73	67-79			
Limited Due Health Problems	58	50-65			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	76	71-80			
Current Smoker	55	46-63			
Former Smoker	77	70-82			
Never Smoked	77	71-81			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	76	69-81			
Non-Drinker	68	63-73			
No Health Care Coverage	59	47-70			
Have Health Care Coverage	73	69-77			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	75	71-78			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	43	33-55			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	75	71-79			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	66	56-74			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	73	69-77			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Own Their Home, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 63-74) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (84 percent, CI: 76-89).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 43-56) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (73 percent, CI: 65-80).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 43-56) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 65-80) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 24-46) compared to Blair County employed adults (77 percent, CI: 71-82).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 24-46) compared to Blair County retired adults (79 percent, CI: 75-83).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 52-66) compared to Blair County married adults (90 percent, CI: 86-92).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 66-79) compared to Blair County married adults (90 percent, CI: 86-92).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 37-60) compared to Blair County married adults (90 percent, CI: 86-92).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 37-60) compared to Blair County widowed adults (73 percent, CI: 66-79).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 51-66) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (74 percent, CI: 70-78).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 50-65) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (76 percent, CI: 71-80).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 46-63) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (77 percent, CI: 70-82).
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 46-63) compared to Blair County adults who have never smoked (77 percent, CI: 71-81).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 33-55) compared to Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (75 percent, CI: 71-79).

Module 23: Social Context, They Rent Their Home, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	25	22-29			
Male	23	18-30			
Female	27	23-32			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	23	17-29			
45-64	20	16-24			
65+	18	14-22			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	28	23-34			
Some College	26	18-36			
College Degree	13	8-20			
<$25,000	45	38-51			
$25,000 to $49,999	25	18-33			
$50,000+	4	2-8			
White, non-Hispanic	24	20-28			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	22	17-28			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	18	15-23			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	60	48-71			
Married	10	7-13			
Divorced/Separated	38	31-45			
Widowed	23	17-30			
Never Married	43	31-55			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	31	24-39			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	19-27			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	37	30-45			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	19-27			
Diagnosed Diabetic	23	17-30			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	22-30			
Asthmatic (Current)	38	26-52			
Not Asthmatic	24	20-28			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	27	21-34			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	25	19-33			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	22	17-29			
Limited Due Health Problems	38	30-46			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	21	18-26			
Current Smoker	40	32-49			
Former Smoker	20	15-26			
Never Smoked	21	16-26			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	21	16-28			
Non-Drinker	28	24-34			
No Health Care Coverage	34	24-45			
Have Health Care Coverage	24	20-28			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	22	19-26			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	50	38-62			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	22	18-26			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	29	20-38			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	21-28			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Rent Their Home, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-20) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (28 percent, CI: 23-34).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-33) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (45 percent, CI: 38-51).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (45 percent, CI: 38-51).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (25 percent, CI: 18-33).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-28) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (60 percent, CI: 48-71).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (60 percent, CI: 48-71).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (38 percent, CI: 31-45).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County widowed adults (23 percent, CI: 17-30).
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (43 percent, CI: 31-55).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 17-30) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (38 percent, CI: 31-45).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 17-30) compared to Blair County adults who reported they were never married (43 percent, CI: 31-55).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-27) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (37 percent, CI: 30-45).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (38 percent, CI: 30-46).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (40 percent, CI: 32-49).
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (40 percent, CI: 32-49).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (50 percent, CI: 38-62).

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Always/Usually Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Pay Their Rent/Mortgage, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	10	7-12			
Male	5	3-10			
Female	13	10-17			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	11	7-16			
45-64	10	7-13			
65+	4	2-6			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	10	7-14			
Some College	8	4-16			
College Degree	6	2-12			
<$25,000	20	14-27			
$25,000 to $49,999	5	3-8			
$50,000+	3	1-5			
White, non-Hispanic	8	6-11			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	7	5-10			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	4	2-7			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	27	17-40			
Married	6	4-8			
Divorced/Separated	16	11-23			
Widowed	4	2-8			
Never Married	10	4-22			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	10-22			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	6	5-9			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	20	13-29			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	7	5-10			
Diagnosed Diabetic	9	6-15			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	10	7-13			
Asthmatic (Current)	21	12-33			
Not Asthmatic	8	6-11			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	12	8-18			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	8	4-14			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	9	6-13			
Limited Due Health Problems	18	12-26			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	5-10			
Current Smoker	17	11-25			
Former Smoker	7	4-13			
Never Smoked	7	5-11			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	8	5-13			
Non-Drinker	10	7-14			
No Health Care Coverage	12	7-21			
Have Health Care Coverage	9	7-12			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	7-12			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	27	18-38			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	7	5-10			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	14	8-23			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	8	6-11			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Always/Usually Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Pay Their Rent/Mortgage, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (11 percent, CI: 7-16).
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults age 45-64 (10 percent, CI: 7-13).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (20 percent, CI: 14-27).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (20 percent, CI: 14-27).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (27 percent, CI: 17-40).
  - Blair County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to work (27 percent, CI: 17-40).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (16 percent, CI: 11-23).
  - Blair County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (16 percent, CI: 11-23).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Blair County adults with children living in their household (15 percent, CI: 10-22).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (20 percent, CI: 13-29).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Blair County adults who currently have asthma (21 percent, CI: 12-33).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (18 percent, CI: 12-26).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (27 percent, CI: 18-38).

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Never Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Pay Their Rent/Mortgage, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	57	53-61			
Male	62	56-68			
Female	52	48-57			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	52	45-59			
45-64	57	52-62			
65+	76	71-80			
< High School	50	37-63		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	55	50-61			
Some College	56	47-65			
College Degree	63	55-70			
<$25,000	42	36-49			
$25,000 to $49,999	58	50-65			
$50,000+	65	58-71			
White, non-Hispanic	57	53-61			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	53	48-59			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	60	46-72			
Emp. Status: Retired	75	70-79			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	42	30-55			
Married	65	60-69			
Divorced/Separated	46	39-54			
Widowed	69	60-76			
Never Married	46	34-59			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	48	41-55			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	62	57-66			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	50	43-58			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	58	54-62			
Diagnosed Diabetic	54	46-62			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	57	53-61			
Asthmatic (Current)	41	30-53			
Not Asthmatic	59	55-63			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	51	44-58			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	61	54-67			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	59	52-65			
Limited Due Health Problems	49	41-56			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	59	55-64			
Current Smoker	43	35-52			
Former Smoker	65	58-72			
Never Smoked	59	53-64			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	56	50-62			
Non-Drinker	58	53-63			
No Health Care Coverage	44	33-55			
Have Health Care Coverage	58	54-62			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	58	54-62			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	32	21-45			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	60	56-64			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	46	38-56			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	59	55-63			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Never Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Pay Their Rent/Mortgage, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 45-59) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (76 percent, CI: 71-80).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 36-49) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (58 percent, CI: 50-65).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 36-49) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (65 percent, CI: 58-71).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 48-59) compared to Blair County retired adults (75 percent, CI: 70-79).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 30-55) compared to Blair County retired adults (75 percent, CI: 70-79).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 39-54) compared to Blair County married adults (65 percent, CI: 60-69).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 39-54) compared to Blair County widowed adults (69 percent, CI: 60-76).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 34-59) compared to Blair County married adults (65 percent, CI: 60-69).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 34-59) compared to Blair County widowed adults (69 percent, CI: 60-76).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 41-55) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (62 percent, CI: 57-66).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 30-53) compared to Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma (59 percent, CI: 55-63).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 35-52) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (65 percent, CI: 58-72).
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 35-52) compared to Blair County adults who have never smoked (59 percent, CI: 53-64).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 21-45) compared to Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (60 percent, CI: 56-64).

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Usually/Always Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	7	5-9			
Male	5	2-9			
Female	8	6-12			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	8	5-13			
45-64	6	4-9			
65+	2	1-4			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	9	6-14			
Some College	3	2-6			
College Degree	4	2-11			
<$25,000	11	8-17			
$25,000 to $49,999	4	2-6			
$50,000+	2	1-4			
White, non-Hispanic	6	4-9			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-9			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	2	0-7			
Emp. Status: Retired	2	1-4			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	4	2-5			
Divorced/Separated	9	6-14			
Widowed	3	1-7			
Never Married	9	4-20			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	7-17			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	4	3-7			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	13	9-19			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	5	3-8			
Diagnosed Diabetic	4	2-8			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	7	5-10			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	6	4-9			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	7	4-12			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	6	3-13			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	6	4-10			
Limited Due Health Problems	15	9-22			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	4	2-6			
Current Smoker	13	8-21			
Former Smoker	5	2-11			
Never Smoked	4	3-6			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	4	2-6			
Non-Drinker	9	6-13			
No Health Care Coverage	7	4-14			
Have Health Care Coverage	6	4-9			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	6	4-8			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	27	17-40			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	4	2-6			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	7	3-13			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	6	4-9			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Usually/Always Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Blair County adults age 30-44 (8 percent, CI: 5-13).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (11 percent, CI: 8-17).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (11 percent, CI: 8-17).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Blair County divorced or separated adults (9 percent, CI: 6-14).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (13 percent, CI: 9-19).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (15 percent, CI: 9-22).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (13 percent, CI: 8-21).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (27 percent, CI: 17-40).

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Never Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	63	60-67			
Male	71	65-76			
Female	57	52-61			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	57	50-63			
45-64	65	60-70			
65+	80	75-83			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	59	53-64			
Some College	66	58-74			
College Degree	70	63-76			
<$25,000	47	41-54			
$25,000 to $49,999	65	57-72			
$50,000+	79	73-83			
White, non-Hispanic	64	60-68			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	64	58-69			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	57	44-69			
Emp. Status: Retired	81	76-85			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	27	18-39			
Married	71	67-75			
Divorced/Separated	56	49-63			
Widowed	72	65-79			
Never Married	54	42-65			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	50	43-57			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	71	66-74			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	49	42-56			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	67	62-71			
Diagnosed Diabetic	65	57-73			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	63	59-67			
Asthmatic (Current)	45	34-57			
Not Asthmatic	66	62-69			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	60	54-67			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	67	60-73			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	64	57-70			
Limited Due Health Problems	48	41-55			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	68	64-72			
Current Smoker	45	38-53			
Former Smoker	71	64-76			
Never Smoked	69	63-73			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	65	59-71			
Non-Drinker	62	57-67			
No Health Care Coverage	48	38-59			
Have Health Care Coverage	65	61-69			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	66	62-69			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	25	17-36			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	69	65-72			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	53	44-62			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	66	62-70			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Never Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Gender**
  - Blair County women had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 52-61) compared to Blair County men (71 percent, CI: 65-76).
- **Age**
  - Blair County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 50-63) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (80 percent, CI: 75-83).
  - Blair County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 60-70) compared to Blair County adults age 65 and older (80 percent, CI: 75-83).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 41-54) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (65 percent, CI: 57-72).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 41-54) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (79 percent, CI: 73-83).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 58-69) compared to Blair County retired adults (81 percent, CI: 76-85).
  - Blair County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 44-69) compared to Blair County retired adults (81 percent, CI: 76-85).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 18-39) compared to Blair County employed adults (64 percent, CI: 58-69).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 18-39) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (57 percent, CI: 44-69).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 18-39) compared to Blair County retired adults (81 percent, CI: 76-85).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 49-63) compared to Blair County married adults (71 percent, CI: 67-75).
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 49-63) compared to Blair County widowed adults (72 percent, CI: 65-79).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 42-65) compared to Blair County married adults (71 percent, CI: 67-75).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Blair County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 43-57) compared to Blair County adults with no children living in their household (71 percent, CI: 66-74).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 42-56) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (67 percent, CI: 62-71).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Blair County adults who currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 34-57) compared to Blair County adults who do not currently have asthma (66 percent, CI: 62-69).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 41-55) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (68 percent, CI: 64-72).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 38-53) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (71 percent, CI: 64-76).
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 38-53) compared to Blair County adults who have never smoked (69 percent, CI: 63-73).

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Never Stressed or Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals, 2009

Differences within Blair County (continued):

- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 38-59) compared to Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage (65 percent, CI: 61-69).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 17-36) compared to Blair County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (69 percent, CI: 65-72).

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by Salary in Their Main Job or Business, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	34	30-39			
Male	35	28-43			
Female	33	28-39			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	41	34-49			
45-64	29	24-35			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	21	16-28			
Some College	24	15-36			
College Degree	60	52-68			
<$25,000	9	5-16			
$25,000 to $49,999	26	18-36			
$50,000+	49	42-56			
White, non-Hispanic	35	30-40			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	35	30-40			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	42	36-48			
Divorced/Separated	32	23-42			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	28-43			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	34	28-40			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	36	31-41			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	35	30-40			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	35	31-41			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	32	24-42			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	35	28-43			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	36	28-46			
Limited Due Health Problems	10	5-19			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	38	33-43			
Current Smoker	26	18-37			
Former Smoker	28	20-37			
Never Smoked	41	34-48			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	39	33-46			
Non-Drinker	28	22-36			
No Health Care Coverage	16	8-28			
Have Health Care Coverage	37	32-42			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	37	32-42			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	37	32-42			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	31	22-43			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	35	30-41			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by Salary in Their Main Job or Business, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-28) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (60 percent, CI: 52-68).
  - Blair County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 15-36) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (60 percent, CI: 52-68).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (26 percent, CI: 18-36).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (49 percent, CI: 42-56).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 18-36) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (49 percent, CI: 42-56).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 5-19) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (38 percent, CI: 33-43).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 8-28) compared to Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage (37 percent, CI: 32-42).

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by the Hour in Their Main Job or Business, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	53	48-58			
Male	50	42-58			
Female	57	51-63			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	49	41-56			
45-64	57	51-63			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	68	60-75			
Some College	63	51-73			
College Degree	30	23-39			
<$25,000	73	62-82			
$25,000 to $49,999	63	53-73			
$50,000+	39	32-46			
White, non-Hispanic	54	49-59			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	59	53-64			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	46	40-52			
Divorced/Separated	59	49-68			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	53	45-61			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	54	47-61			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	62	48-74			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	53	47-58			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	54	49-60			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	52	47-58			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	54	45-64			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	53	44-62			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	51	42-60			
Limited Due Health Problems	68	55-79			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	51	46-57			
Current Smoker	61	50-71			
Former Smoker	56	46-65			
Never Smoked	49	42-56			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	49	42-57			
Non-Drinker	58	50-66			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	53	47-58			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	51	46-57			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	50	45-56			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	53	42-64			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	54	48-59			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by the Hour in Their Main Job or Business, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 23-39) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (68 percent, CI: 60-75).
  - Blair County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 23-39) compared to Blair County adults with some college education (63 percent, CI: 51-73).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-46) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (73 percent, CI: 62-82).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-46) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (63 percent, CI: 53-73).

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by Salary the Last Time They Worked in Their Main Job or Business, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	31	26-37			
Male	31	23-39			
Female	32	26-39			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	28	19-38			
65+	40	35-46			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	24	18-30			
Some College	36	25-49			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	23	17-31			
$25,000 to $49,999	37	29-46			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	32	27-37			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	38	33-43			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	38	31-45			
Divorced/Separated	24	14-36			
Widowed	40	31-50			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	30-41			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	28	20-38			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	33	27-39			
Diagnosed Diabetic	40	30-50			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	29	24-35			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	33	27-38			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	30	22-40			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	29	22-38			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	36	27-45			
Limited Due Health Problems	29	21-38			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	33	27-39			
Current Smoker	16	9-27			
Former Smoker	41	32-49			
Never Smoked	34	27-42			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	34	25-45			
Non-Drinker	30	25-37			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	34	29-40			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	33	28-38			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	34	29-39			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	35	29-41			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by Salary the Last Time They Worked in Their Main Job or Business, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 9-27) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (41 percent, CI: 32-49).

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by the Hour the Last Time They Worked in Their Main Job or Business, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	59	53-64			
Male	57	48-66			
Female	60	53-67			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	59	48-69			
65+	50	45-56			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	66	59-73			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	63	54-71			
$25,000 to $49,999	56	46-65			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	57	51-63			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	52	47-58			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	54	47-61			
Divorced/Separated	59	46-72			
Widowed	53	43-62			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	53	48-59			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	62	51-72			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	57	50-64			
Diagnosed Diabetic	50	39-61			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	60	54-67			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	57	51-63			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	61	51-71			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	58	48-68			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	57	46-66			
Limited Due Health Problems	62	51-71			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	57	50-64			
Current Smoker	73	60-83			
Former Smoker	50	41-58			
Never Smoked	56	48-63			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	55	43-66			
Non-Drinker	59	52-66			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	57	51-63			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	57	51-63			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	56	50-62			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	55	49-61			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Were Paid by the Hour the Last Time They Worked in Their Main Job or Business, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 41-58) compared to Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (73 percent, CI: 60-83).

Module 23: Social Context, They Voted in the Last Presidential Election, 2009

	Blair County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	73	69-76			
Male	73	67-78			
Female	73	69-77			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	71	64-77			
45-64	75	70-79			
65+	80	76-84			
< High School	39	28-50		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	66	61-71			
Some College	78	70-85			
College Degree	91	86-94			
<$25,000	56	49-62			
$25,000 to $49,999	79	72-84			
$50,000+	86	81-90			
White, non-Hispanic	74	70-77			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	76	71-81			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	76	65-85			
Emp. Status: Retired	80	75-84			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	41	30-54			
Married	81	78-85			
Divorced/Separated	61	54-68			
Widowed	75	67-81			
Never Married	67	56-77			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	68	60-74			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	75	72-79			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	57	49-64			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	77	73-80			
Diagnosed Diabetic	76	69-82			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	72	68-76			
Asthmatic (Current)	60	47-71			
Not Asthmatic	74	71-78			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	73	67-79			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	76	69-81			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	70	64-76			
Limited Due Health Problems	62	54-70			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	76	72-80			
Current Smoker	52	44-61			
Former Smoker	81	76-86			
Never Smoked	79	74-83			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	78	72-83			
Non-Drinker	70	65-74			
No Health Care Coverage	57	46-67			
Have Health Care Coverage	75	71-78			
No Personal Health Care Provider	65	52-77			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	74	70-77			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	68	55-78			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	73	70-77			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	71	63-78			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	73	69-77			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Voted in the Last Presidential Election, 2009

Differences within Blair County:

- **Education**
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 28-50) compared to Blair County adults with a high school education (66 percent, CI: 61-71).
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 28-50) compared to Blair County adults with some college education (78 percent, CI: 70-85).
  - Blair County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 28-50) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (91 percent, CI: 86-94).
  - Blair County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 61-71) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (91 percent, CI: 86-94).
  - Blair County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 70-85) compared to Blair County adults with a college degree (91 percent, CI: 86-94).
- **Household Income**
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 49-62) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (79 percent, CI: 72-84).
  - Blair County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 49-62) compared to Blair County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (86 percent, CI: 81-90).
- **Employment Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 30-54) compared to Blair County employed adults (76 percent, CI: 71-81).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 30-54) compared to Blair County adults who reported being homemakers (76 percent, CI: 65-85).
  - Blair County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 30-54) compared to Blair County retired adults (80 percent, CI: 75-84).
- **Marital Status**
  - Blair County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 54-68) compared to Blair County married adults (81 percent, CI: 78-85).
  - Blair County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 56-77) compared to Blair County married adults (81 percent, CI: 78-85).
- **General Health Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 49-64) compared to Blair County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (77 percent, CI: 73-80).
- **Disability Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 54-70) compared to Blair County adults who were not limited due to health problems (76 percent, CI: 72-80).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 44-61) compared to Blair County adults who reported being former smokers (81 percent, CI: 76-86).
  - Blair County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 44-61) compared to Blair County adults who have never smoked (79 percent, CI: 74-83).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Blair County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 46-67) compared to Blair County adults who reported having health care coverage (75 percent, CI: 71-78).

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,236 Blair County adults completed interviews for the Blair County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Blair County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Blair County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Blair County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Blair County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Blair County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned healthy days, cardiovascular health, heart attack and stroke, general preparedness and social context.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the

reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Blair County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Blair County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Blair County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Logan Township census population of 1,731 for ages 18-29 by the Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days prevalence of 63% (0.63) for that age group in Blair County. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those who felt sad, blue or depressed 1+ days in the past 30 days, ages 18-29 in Logan Township is 1,091.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who they felt sad, blue or depressed 1+ days in the past 30 days, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Logan Township Census Population		Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days From 2009 Blair County BRFSS		Estimate of Logan Township Adults Indicating They Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
18-29	1,731	X	63 %	=	1,091
30-44	2,423	X	53 %	=	1,284
45-64	3,251	X	49 %	=	1,593
65+	2,078	X	43 %	=	894
				Total	4,862

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Logan Township who felt sad, blue or depressed 1+ days in the past 30 days, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in Logan Township from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days = **4,862**

Total Population Age 18+ in Logan Township = **9,483**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who felt sad, blue or depressed 1+ days in the past 30 days by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those Who Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days in Logan Township = (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults Who Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days in Logan Township / Total Population Age 18+ in Logan Township) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of Who Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days in Logan Township
= (4,862 / 9,483) X 100
= **51 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

2009 Behavioral Health Risks

of Chester County Adults

pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership

Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Health began the Pennsylvania Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) in 1989. The BRFSS survey consists of telephone interviews using randomly generated telephone numbers to determine the households contacted. The survey contains a core set of questions provided by CDC to gather comprehensive, standard information nationwide. The questions asked concern health status, access to health care, health awareness, use of preventive health services, and knowledge and attitude assessment.

In an effort to provide local BRFSS data, the Pennsylvania Department of Health instituted the Pennsylvania BRFSS Local Sampling Program in 2002. Participation in the program was open to Pennsylvania's State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP)-affiliated partnerships located statewide. Six partnership organizations chose to participate in the 2009 program: Blair County Healthy Community Partnership, Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership, Indiana County Community Health Advisory, Lancaster Health Improvement Partnership, Lycoming County Health Improvement Coalition and the Pennsylvania Department of Health Northeast Health District. These partnerships were given the opportunity to select 35-40 questions of their choice in addition to the core questions asked of all who participated in BRFSS.

The survey of adults living in Chester County asked questions about health and health-related behaviors including general health, health care access, exercise, tobacco use, asthma, diabetes, and immunization. This allows for some comparison of the county survey results to state results and it also allows the county to get data specific to its individual needs.

Report Organization

A brief *Survey Highlights* section includes prevalence estimates referring to Health Status, Health Care Access, Exercise, Diabetes, Hypertension Awareness, Tobacco Use, Caregiver Status, Arthritis Burden and Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction for adults in Chester County that are statistically different compared to the Pennsylvania estimates.

Select prevalence estimates are included in *Table 1: Core Questions, Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009*. They are estimates from questions asked of all 2009 Pennsylvania BRFSS questionnaire respondents. Chester County data are presented alongside Pennsylvania data to assist with comparison (see Table 1 footnote). The topics in Table 1 include Health Status, Health Care Access, Sleep, Exercise, Diabetes, Hypertension Awareness, Cholesterol Awareness, Cardiovascular Disease, Asthma, Disability, Tobacco Use, Caregiver Status, Alcohol Consumption, Immunization, Arthritis Burden, Nutrition, HIV/AIDS, Cancer Survivors and Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction.

The topics in *Table 2: Module Questions, Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009* include prevalence estimates that were requested for Chester County. Topics include: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, Prostate Cancer Screening, Colorectal Cancer Screening and Social Context.

Table 3: Locally-Added Questions, Chester County Adults, 2009 includes estimates that were specifically requested for Chester County, and were not asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. The topics included in this table are Child Physical Activity, Colorectal Cancer Screening, Workday Physical Activity and Social Context.

Table 4: Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation: Chester County & Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009 includes estimates for objective goals available for Chester County. Objective goals include Health Care Access, Disability, Weight Control, Diabetes, Physical Activity, Alcohol Consumption, and Immunization.

Survey Highlights - Significant Differences

Health Status:
Nine (9) percent of Chester County adults reported they had fair or poor health in 2009. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (15 percent).

Fifty-six (56) percent of Chester County adults reported they were overweight or obese in 2009. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (64 percent).

Nineteen (19) percent of Chester County adults reported they were obese in 2009. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (28 percent).

Health Care Access:
Eight (8) percent of Chester County adults age 18-64 reported they had no health care insurance in 2009. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (13 percent).

Exercise:
Sixteen (16) percent of Chester County adults reported they had no leisure time physical activity in the month prior to completion of the survey in 2009. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (26 percent).

Thirty-five (35) percent of Chester County adults reported they participated in vigorous physical activity 3+ days a week for 20+ minutes a session in 2009. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (28 percent).

Diabetes:
Six (6) percent of Chester County adults reported in 2009 they were ever told they had diabetes. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (9 percent).

Hypertension Awareness:
Twenty-four (24) percent of Chester County adults reported in 2009 that they were ever told that they have high blood pressure. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (31 percent).

Tobacco Use:
Fourteen (14) percent of Chester County adults reported that they were current smokers in 2009. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (20 percent).

Seventy (70) percent of Chester County adults reported that they stopped smoking for 1+ days in the past year prior to completion of the survey in 2009. This was significantly higher compared to Pennsylvania adults (57 percent).

Caregiver Status:
Twenty (20) percent of Chester County adults reported that they provided care or assistance to a friend or family member in the month prior to completion of the survey in 2009. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (26 percent).

Arthritis Burden:
Twenty-six (26) percent of Chester County adults reported in 2009 they were ever told they had some form of arthritis. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (31 percent).

Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction:
Four (4) percent of Chester County adults reported in 2009 that they rarely or never get the social or emotional support they need. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (9 percent).

TABLE 1

Core Questions

Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Health Status					
Fair or Poor Health	9	8-11	-	15	14-16
Physical Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	34	30-37		38	36-39
Mental Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	35	31-38		35	33-36
Overweight or Obese**	56	53-60	-	64	62-66
Obese**	19	17-22	-	28	27-29
Health Care Access					
No Health Care Insurance, Age 18-64	8	5-11	-	13	12-15
Do Not Have a Personal Health Care Provider	10	7-13		11	10-12
Unable to Get Med. Care Due to Cost in Past Year	8	6-11		11	10-12
Visited a Doctor For a Routine Checkup Within Past 2 Years	84	81-86		84	83-85
Sleep					
Unable to Get Enough Sleep 7+ Days Past Year	40	37-44		40	38-41
Exercise					
No Leisure Time Physical Activity in Past Month	16	13-18	-	26	24-27
10+ Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in Usual Week	87	84-90		86	85-87
Moderate Physical Activity 5+ Days a Week for 30+ Minutes a Session	56	52-59		50	49-52
10+ Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in Usual Week	56	52-59		50	49-52
Vigorous Physical Activity 3+ Days a Week for 20+ Minutes a Session	35	31-39	+	28	26-29
Diabetes					
Ever Told They Have Diabetes	6	5-7	-	9	8-10
Hypertension Awareness					
Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure	24	21-27	-	31	30-33
Taking Medication For High Blood Pressure**	76	69-82		80	78-82
Cholesterol Awareness					
Ever Had Blood Cholesterol Checked	87	83-90		82	81-84
Had Blood Cholesterol Checked in Past 5 Years	82	79-86		79	77-80
Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol**	34	31-37		39	37-40
Cardiovascular Disease					
Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack	4	3-6		6	6-7
Asthma					
Ever Told They Had Asthma	13	10-15		13	12-15
Currently Have Asthma	9	7-11		9	8-10
Tobacco Use					
Current Smokers**	14	12-17	-	20	19-22
Stopped Smoking For 1+ Days in Past Year	70	61-77	+	57	53-60
Caregiver Status					
Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member in Past Month	20	17-23	-	26	24-27
Disability					
Limited in Activities Due to Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems	16	14-19		19	18-20
Health Problem Requires Use of Special Equipment	6	5-8		8	7-8

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Core Questions

Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Alcohol Consumption					
Binge Drinkers**	18	15-21		17	15-18
Chronic Drinkers**	4	3-6		5	5-6
Immunization					
Had a Flu Shot in Past Year, Aged 50+	60	57-64		57	56-59
Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccination, Aged 65+	70	64-75		70	68-72
Arthritis Burden					
Ever Told Have Some Form of Arthritis	26	24-29		31	30-33
Limited in Activities Due to Arthritis or Joint Symptoms**	36	31-41		42	39-44
Nutrition					
Eat 5+ Fruits/Vegetables per Day	28	25-31		24	23-25
HIV/AIDS					
Ever Tested for HIV, Age 18-64	37	33-42		35	33-37
Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction					
Rarely or Never Get the Social or Emotional Support They Need	4	3-6		9	8-10
Satisfied or Very Satisfied With Their Life	96	94-97		94	93-95
Cancer Survivors					
Ever Told Had Cancer	12	10-14		10	9-11

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 2

Module Questions

Chester County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Actions to Control High Blood Pressure					
Changing Eating Habits	72	67-78		NSR	NSR
Cutting Down on Salt	70	63-75		NSR	NSR
Reducing Alcohol Use	56	48-64		NSR	NSR
Exercising	74	68-80		NSR	NSR
Ever Advised to Change Eating Habits	67	61-73		NSR	NSR
Ever Advised to Cut Down on Salt	73	67-79		NSR	NSR
Ever Advised to Reduce Alcohol Use	43	36-51		NSR	NSR
Ever Advised to Exercise	81	77-85		NSR	NSR
Ever Advised to Take Medication	86	78-91		NSR	NSR
Told on 2+ Different Visits That Have High Blood Pressure	81	74-87		NSR	NSR
Prostate Cancer Screening					
Ever Had PSA Test, Men Age 40+	69	63-74		NSR	NSR
Had PSA Test in Past 2 Years, Men Age 40+	51	46-56		NSR	NSR
Ever Had Digital Rectal Exam, Men Age 40+	85	80-88		NSR	NSR
Had Digital Rectal Exam in Past 2 Years, Men Age 40+	56	50-61		NSR	NSR
Ever Told Had Prostate Cancer, Men Age 40+	4	3-7		NSR	NSR
Colorectal Cancer Screening					
Ever Had Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit, Men Age 50+	41	37-45		NSR	NSR
Had Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit in Past 2 Years, Men Age 50+	30	25-36		NSR	NSR
Ever Had Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, Men Age 50+	73	69-77		NSR	NSR
Most Recent Exam Was a Sigmoidoscopy, Men Age 50+	6	4-8		NSR	NSR
Most Recent Exam Was a Colonoscopy, Men Age 50+	94	92-96		NSR	NSR
Had Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy in Past 2 Years, Men Age 50+	27	23-31		NSR	NSR
Social Context					
Rent home	13	10-16		NSR	NSR
Own home	81	77-84		NSR	NSR
Never Stressed/Worried About Paying Rent/Mortgage in Past 12 Months	71	68-75		NSR	NSR
Often Stressed/Worried About Paying Rent/Mortgage in Past 12 Months	10	8-12		NSR	NSR
Never Stressed/Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past 12 Months	84	80-86		NSR	NSR
Often Stressed/Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past 12 Months	6	4-9		NSR	NSR

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 3

Locally-Added Questions

Chester County Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County	
	%	CI
Child Physical Activity		
Child Gets Most Exercise During the Day at School or In Gym Class	24	19-30
Child Gets Most Exercise During the Day After School Once Home	24	19-30
Child Gets Most Exercise During the Day During Weekends When Off From School	13	10-18
Child Gets Most Exercise During the Day Playing Organized Sports	28	22-34
Child Spends Under 1 Hour Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity	18	14-24
Child Spends 1 to 2 Hours Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity	47	41-53
Child Spends 3 to 4 Hours Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity	22	17-27
Child Does Not Exercise	2	1-5
Child Spends Under 1 Hour Watching Television, Playing Video Games or Using a Computer for Non-School Related Purposes on a Typical Day	18	14-24
Child Spends an Estimated 1-2 Hours Watching Television, Playing Video Games or Using a Computer for Non-School Related Purposes on a Typical Day	63	56-69
Child Spends an Estimated 3-4 Hours Watching Television, Playing Video Games or Using a Computer for Non-School Related Purposes on a Typical Day	15	11-20
There is a Pool, Playground or Recreational Facility For the Child to Play Within Walking Distance of Their Home	59	52-65
The Park or Public Space is Generally Safe For the Child to Play At	98	95-100
They Think the Child's School Provides Sufficient Opportunity for Physical Activity During School Hours	83	77-87
They Think the Child's School Provides Nutritional Food Choices in the School Cafeteria	77	69-82
They Think the Child's School Provides Nutritional Food Choices in the Vending Machines	39	29-50
Colorectal Cancer Screening		
Main Reason Did Not Have a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit During the Recommended Time - Didn't Know How Often to Perform the Test	12	10-15
Main Reason Did Not Have a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit During the Recommended Time - Didn't Know I Should Perform the Test	34	30-38
Main Reason Did Not Have a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit During the Recommended Time - Don't Like to Perform the Test	6	5-9
Main Reason Did Not Have a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit During the Recommended Time - "'Other"	42	38-47
Main Reason Did Not Have a Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy Performed Within the Recommended Time - Don't Like to Have the Test Performed	16	11-23
Main Reason Did Not Have a Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy Performed Within the Recommended Time - Forgot to Schedule the Test	24	18-30
Main Reason Did Not Have a Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy Performed Within the Recommended Time - "Other"	54	46-61

Note: Excludes missing, don't know , and refused.

TABLE 3 (continued)

Locally-Added Questions

Chester County Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Chester County	
	%	CI
Workday Physical Activity		
Frequency of Taking Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity During a Typical Workday - Often	70	66-74
Frequency of Taking Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity During a Typical Workday - Sometimes	14	11-18
Frequency of Taking Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity During a Typical Workday - Not At All	8	6-12
Social Context		
Own Home	81	77-84
Rent Home	13	10-16
Stressed/Worried About Paying Rent/Mortgage in Past 12 Months - Often (6 Months or More)	10	8-12
Stressed/Worried About Paying Rent/Mortgage in Past 12 Months - Sometimes (1 to 5 Months)	19	16-22
Stressed/Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past 12 Months - Often (6 Months or More)	6	4-9
Stressed/Worried About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past 12 Months - Sometimes (1 to 5 Months)	10	8-13

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

TABLE 4

Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation
Chester County and Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009

Healthy People 2010 Objective[1]	Year 2010 Objective	Chester 2009	Objective Met[2] Chester County	Sig. Diff. to PA[3]	Pennsylvania 2009	Objective Met[2] Pennsylvania
01-01: Percent of adults aged 18-64 with health insurance (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	100%	92± 4	No		92± 4	No
01-04c: Percent of adults with a specific source of ongoing care (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	96%	90± 3	No		88± 1	No
05-03: Adults diagnosed with diabetes (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 age 18+)	25	56±13	No		81± 7	No
06-06: Percent of adults with disabilities* who are satisfied with their life (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	97%	86± 9	No		82± 4	No
12-09: Percent of adults aged 20+ who were ever told their blood pressure was high (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	16%	31± 3	No		35± 2	No
14-29a: Percent of adults aged 65+ with a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	72± 5	No		68± 5	No
14-29b: Percent of adults aged 65+ who were ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 18+)	90%	71± 5	No		70± 5	No
14-29c: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	36± 4	No		30± 4	No
14-29d: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who ever had vaccination agains pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	13± 4	No		18± 4	No
19-01: Percent of adults aged 20+ with healthy weights (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	40± 4	No		34± 2	No
19-02: Percent of adults aged 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	20± 3	No		29± 2	No
22-01: Percent of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	20%	16± 3	Yes		25± 1	No
26-11c: Percent of adults who engaged in binge drinking in past month** (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	6%	18± 3	No		17± 1	No

* Limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental or emotional problems

** 5+ alcoholic beverages at the same time or within couple hours

[1] Public Health Services. Healthy People 2010: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

[2] The "Yes" designation refers the 2009 percentage being significantly better compared to the Healthy People 2010 goal percentage.

[3] If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania, If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly low

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,180 Chester County adults completed interviews for the Chester County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Chester County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Chester County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Chester County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Chester County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Chester County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned Physical Activity, Colorectal Cancer Screening, Pre-Diabetes, General Preparedness, and Childhood Asthma prevalence.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Chester County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Chester County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Chester County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Report Page 4 Notes

- Adults are classified as overweight or obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 25 or above.
- Adults are classified as obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 30 or above.
- The analysis of adults who are taking medication for blood pressure was out of adults who have high blood pressure.
- The analysis of adults who were told they had high blood cholesterol was out of adults who ever had their blood cholesterol checked.
- Current smokers are adults who reported currently smoking every day or some days.

Report Page 5 Notes

- Binge drinkers are men who reported having five or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month or women who reported having four or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month.
- Chronic drinkers are adults who reported having an average of two or more alcoholic drinks per day the past month.
- The analysis of adults who have arthritis or joint symptoms that limit activity was out of arthritic adults who reported having joint symptoms in the past thirty days.

Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership
c/o Barbara Mancill
601 Westtown Road, Suite 295
P.O. Box 2747
West Chester, PA 19380-0990

Sponsored by

Chester County Health Department

In cooperation with the
Pennsylvania Department of Health
Bureau of Health Statistics and Research
555 Walnut Street 6th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1914

www.health.state.pa.us/stats/

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Core Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Chester County and Pennsylvania Comparisons

Table of Contents

Page 1	Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health
Page 5	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 7	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 9	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009
Page 11	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults 18-64), 2009
Page 13	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009
Page 15	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009
Page 17	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009
Page 19	Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 21	Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009
Page 25	Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes, 2009
Page 28	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 32	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009
Page 34	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009
Page 36	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009
Page 38	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009
Page 41	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack, (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 43	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 45	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 47	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Asthma, 2009
Page 49	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma, 2009
Page 51	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009
Page 54	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009
Page 56	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009
Page 58	Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009
Page 61	Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009
Page 64	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009
Page 66	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009
Page 69	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009
Page 71	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Table of Contents – *Continued*

Page 73	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009
Page 75	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009
Page 77	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009
Page 80	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 82	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009
Page 85	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 89	Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009
Page 91	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 93	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 95	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 98	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 101	Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009
Page 103	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009
Page 106	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009
Page 108	Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009
Page 111	Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight, 2009
Page 114	Percent of Adults Who Are Obese, 2009
Page 117	Technical Notes

Detailed Core Questionnaire Tables

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	8-11	-	15	14-16
Male	9	7-12		13	12-15
Female	10	7-12	-	16	15-18
18-29	4	1-12		8	6-11
30-44	7	4-12		9	8-11
45-64	11	8-14	-	17	16-19
65+	15	12-19	-	25	23-26
< High School	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
High School	14	10-19		21	19-23
Some College	11	7-17		12	10-15
College Degree	6	4-8		6	5-7
<$25,000	36	26-46		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	9	6-14		16	14-18
$50,000+	5	3-6		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-11	-	14	13-15
Other (Including Hispanic)	12	6-23		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Employed	5	3-7		8	7-9
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	6	3-12		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	4	1-10	-	15	12-18
Emp. Status: Retired	16	12-21	-	24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		61	55-68
Married	6	4-8	-	12	11-13
Divorced/Separated	24	15-36		26	23-30
Widowed	21	15-29		27	24-30
Never Married	7	4-13		14	11-17
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	5-10		8	7-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	9-14	-	19	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Diagnosed Diabetic	42	32-53		45	41-49
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	7	6-9	-	12	11-13
Asthmatic (Current)	17	10-27		28	24-32
Not Asthmatic	9	7-11	-	13	12-14
Obese (BMI >= 30)	19	13-26		24	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	7	5-11		12	11-14
Not Overweight Nor Obese	6	4-9		10	8-11
Limited Due Health Problems	36	28-44		46	43-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	4	3-6	-	7	7-8
Current Smoker	25	18-35		21	19-24
Former Smoker	11	8-14	-	18	17-20
Never Smoked	5	4-7	-	11	10-12
Chronic Drinker	5	2-14		10	7-15
Drink But Not Chronic	5	3-7		8	7-10
Non-Drinker	20	16-25		22	20-24
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		17	13-20
Have Health Care Coverage	9	7-11	-	15	14-16
No Personal Health Care Provider	5	2-11		11	8-14
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	8-12	-	15	14-16
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	28	18-42		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	6-9	-	13	12-14
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	9	6-13		10	9-12
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	9	7-12	-	17	15-18
Urban	NSR	NSR		15	14-16
Rural	NSR	NSR		16	13-18

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 8-11) compared to Pennsylvania adults (15 percent, CI: 14-16).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Pennsylvania women (16 percent, CI: 15-18).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (17 percent, CI: 16-19).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (25 percent, CI: 23-26).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (14 percent, CI: 13-15).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being homemakers (15 percent, CI: 12-18).
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Pennsylvania retired adults (24 percent, CI: 22-26).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (12 percent, CI: 11-13).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (19 percent, CI: 17-20).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (12 percent, CI: 11-13).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (13 percent, CI: 12-14).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (7 percent, CI: 7-8).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (18 percent, CI: 17-20).
  - Chester County adults who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (11 percent, CI: 10-12).

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (15 percent, CI: 14-16).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-12) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (15 percent, CI: 14-16).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (13 percent, CI: 12-14).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (17 percent, CI: 15-18).

Differences Within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (14 percent, CI: 10-19).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-14) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (36 percent, CI: 26-46).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (36 percent, CI: 26-46).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Chester County retired adults (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Chester County retired adults (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (24 percent, CI: 15-36).
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Chester County widowed adults (21 percent, CI: 15-29).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-13) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (24 percent, CI: 15-36).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-13) compared to Chester County widowed adults (21 percent, CI: 15-29).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (42 percent, CI: 32-53).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Chester County obese adults (19 percent, CI: 13-26).
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Chester County obese adults (19 percent, CI: 13-26).

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Differences Within Chester County: (continued)

- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (36 percent, CI: 28-44).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (25 percent, CI: 18-35).
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (25 percent, CI: 18-35).
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Chester County adults who reported being former smokers (11 percent, CI: 8-14).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-14) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Chester County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (28 percent, CI: 18-42).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	34	30-37		38	37-40
Male	31	26-37		35	33-37
Female	36	32-41		42	40-44
18-29	38	26-52		43	38-49
30-44	34	28-41		37	34-40
45-64	32	28-37		37	34-39
65+	34	29-39		40	38-42
< High School	NSR	NSR		47	42-53
High School	35	28-43		40	38-43
Some College	38	30-47		40	37-44
College Degree	31	27-36		33	30-35
<$25,000	60	50-69		49	46-52
$25,000 to $49,999	33	25-42		40	37-43
$50,000+	29	25-34		31	29-34
White, non-Hispanic	35	31-38		38	36-39
Other (Including Hispanic)	27	18-40		42	37-48
Emp. Status: Employed	30	26-35		35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	36	25-48		27	22-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		40	34-46
Emp. Status: Homemaker	27	17-39		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	34	29-40		39	36-41
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		77	70-83
Married	30	26-34		34	33-36
Divorced/Separated	49	39-59		45	41-49
Widowed	39	31-47		45	41-48
Never Married	35	25-47		45	40-49
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	32	27-38		36	34-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	31-40		40	38-42
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	86	78-91		78	75-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	28	25-32		32	30-33
Diagnosed Diabetic	51	40-61		56	52-60
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	33	29-36		37	35-38
Asthmatic (Current)	47	34-60		55	49-60
Not Asthmatic	32	29-36		37	35-38
Obese (BMI >= 30)	44	36-52		45	42-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	30	25-36		37	34-39
Not Overweight Nor Obese	32	26-38		36	33-39
Limited Due Health Problems	61	51-69		70	67-73
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	28	24-32		31	29-33
Current Smoker	45	35-55		45	42-49
Former Smoker	36	30-42		38	36-41
Never Smoked	30	26-35		36	34-38
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	29-43
Drink But Not Chronic	31	27-36		36	34-39
Non-Drinker	37	31-44		41	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		39	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage	32	29-36	-	38	37-40
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		36	30-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	31-38		39	37-40
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		58	52-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	28-35		36	35-38
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	34	28-40		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	33	29-38		38	37-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		39	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	35-42

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 29-36) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (38 percent, CI: 37-40).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 25-42) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (60 percent, CI: 50-69).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-34) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (60 percent, CI: 50-69).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (49 percent, CI: 39-59).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 25-32) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (86 percent, CI: 78-91).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-36) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (51 percent, CI: 40-61).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-32) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (61 percent, CI: 51-69).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	35	31-38		35	34-37
Male	29	24-35		29	27-32
Female	40	35-44		41	39-42
18-29	NSR	NSR		50	45-56
30-44	36	30-43		39	36-42
45-64	33	29-38		32	30-34
65+	16	13-20		21	19-23
< High School	NSR	NSR		40	34-46
High School	38	29-47		35	32-37
Some College	38	29-47		40	36-43
College Degree	32	28-36		31	29-33
<$25,000	55	45-65		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	44	34-54		35	32-38
$50,000+	29	25-34		31	28-33
White, non-Hispanic	34	31-38		35	33-36
Other (Including Hispanic)	34	22-47		38	33-43
Emp. Status: Employed	33	28-38		35	32-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	34	22-47		28	23-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		49	43-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	29	19-41		35	31-39
Emp. Status: Retired	18	14-23		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		65	58-70
Married	28	24-31		30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	47	37-57		44	40-48
Widowed	27	20-35		28	25-31
Never Married	57	45-68		47	42-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	38	32-44		40	37-43
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	32	28-37		32	31-34
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	61	51-70		52	48-55
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	32	28-36		32	31-34
Diagnosed Diabetic	33	24-44		36	32-41
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	35	31-39		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	43	31-57		49	44-54
Not Asthmatic	34	30-38		34	32-35
Obese (BMI >= 30)	42	33-50		40	37-43
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	30	25-36		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	36	30-42		35	33-38
Limited Due Health Problems	57	49-65		53	50-57
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	30	26-34		31	29-33
Current Smoker	48	38-58		50	46-53
Former Smoker	31	25-37		30	28-33
Never Smoked	33	28-39		32	30-34
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	31-45
Drink But Not Chronic	36	32-41		35	33-38
Non-Drinker	33	27-40		35	33-37
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		43	37-48
Have Health Care Coverage	34	30-37		34	33-36
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		38	33-44
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	33	30-37		35	33-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		59	54-64
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	28-35		32	31-34
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	37	30-43		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	34	29-38		34	32-35
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-37
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (36 percent, CI: 30-43).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (33 percent, CI: 29-38).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-34) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (55 percent, CI: 45-65).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Chester County employed adults (33 percent, CI: 28-38).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (47 percent, CI: 37-57).
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (57 percent, CI: 45-68).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 20-35) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (47 percent, CI: 37-57).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 20-35) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (57 percent, CI: 45-68).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-36) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (61 percent, CI: 51-70).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (57 percent, CI: 49-65).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 25-37) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (48 percent, CI: 38-58).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	20	17-24		21	20-22
Male	21	16-26		18	16-20
Female	20	16-24		24	22-26
18-29	27	16-41		25	21-30
30-44	21	16-27		23	20-26
45-64	20	17-24		20	18-22
65+	14	11-18		16	15-18
< High School	NSR	NSR		26	21-31
High School	20	14-29		21	19-23
Some College	25	18-33		23	20-26
College Degree	19	15-23		19	17-21
<$25,000	36	25-47		29	26-32
$25,000 to $49,999	18	12-27		21	19-24
$50,000+	18	14-22		18	16-20
White, non-Hispanic	21	18-25		21	19-22
Other (Including Hispanic)	11	5-22		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Employed	19	15-23		18	17-20
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	14	8-25		13	9-18
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	17	9-28		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Retired	15	11-20		16	15-18
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		63	56-69
Married	19	16-22		18	17-20
Divorced/Separated	27	18-38		31	27-34
Widowed	13	8-21		19	17-22
Never Married	25	16-37		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	16-26		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	17-25		21	19-22
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	59	49-68		51	47-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	17	14-20		16	15-17
Diagnosed Diabetic	31	23-42		30	27-34
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	20	17-23		20	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	26	16-38		35	30-40
Not Asthmatic	20	17-23		20	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	30	23-38		25	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	18	14-23		20	18-23
Not Overweight Nor Obese	18	14-23		19	17-22
Limited Due Health Problems	43	35-52		50	47-53
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	16	13-20		14	13-16
Current Smoker	24	16-34		29	26-33
Former Smoker	21	16-27		21	19-23
Never Smoked	19	15-24		18	16-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	18	15-23		20	18-22
Non-Drinker	27	21-34		23	21-25
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
Have Health Care Coverage	20	17-23		21	19-22
No Personal Health Care Provider	15	8-27		19	15-24
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-24		21	20-23
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		41	36-47
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	19	16-22		19	17-20
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	22	17-28		22	19-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	20	16-24		21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		21	20-23
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences Within Chester County:

- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (36 percent, CI: 25-47).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (59 percent, CI: 49-68).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (43 percent, CI: 35-52).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	8	5-11	-	13	12-15
Male, Age 18-64	11	7-18		17	14-19
Female, Age 18-64	5	3-8	-	10	9-12
18-29	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
30-44	4	2-9	-	13	11-15
45-64	5	4-8		9	8-10
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		26	19-34
High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		17	15-20
Some College, Age 18-64	14	8-25		16	13-19
College Degree, Age 18-64	2	1-5		5	4-7
<$25,000, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		30	26-35
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		18	15-21
$50,000+, Age 18-64	4	2-8		4	3-6
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	8	5-11		12	11-14
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		19	15-24
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	5	2-9		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		27	21-35
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	30-43
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		12	9-17
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		5	3-9
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		8	5-13
Married, Age 18-64	3	2-5	-	9	7-10
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	17	9-30		16	13-20
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		14	10-21
Never Married, Age 18-64	19	10-31		22	18-26
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	4	2-8	-	13	11-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	12	8-19		14	12-15
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		18	14-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	7	5-11		13	11-14
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		9	6-13
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	8	5-11	-	14	12-15
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		13	9-18
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	8	6-12	-	13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	9	4-18		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	7	3-14		13	10-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	9	5-15		16	13-19
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	6	4-9	-	13	11-15
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	20	12-32		23	19-27
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	6	3-12		9	7-11
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	6	3-11		12	10-14
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		25	18-34
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	7	4-11		12	10-14
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	9	5-16		14	12-16
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		48	42-54
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	4	3-7		8	7-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		46	40-52
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	4	2-7		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	11	7-18	-	25	22-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	6	3-11		8	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	11-14
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Chester County adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Pennsylvania adults (13 percent, CI: 12-15).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County women age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Pennsylvania women (10 percent, CI: 9-12).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (13 percent, CI: 11-15).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania married adults age 18-64 (9 percent, CI: 7-10).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Chester County adults age 18-64 who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (13 percent, CI: 11-16).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults age 18-64 who have been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have been diagnosed with diabetes (14 percent, CI: 12-15).
  - Chester County adults age 18-64 who currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Pennsylvania adults who currently have asthma (13 percent, CI: 12-15).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults age 18-64 who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being limited due to health problems (13 percent, CI: 11-15).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults age 18-64 who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-18) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (25 percent, CI: 22-28).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults age 18-64 with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Chester County adults age 18-64 with some college education (14 percent, CI: 8-25).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults age 18-64 (17 percent, CI: 9-30).
  - Chester County married adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County adults age 18-64 who reported they were never married (19 percent, CI: 10-31).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults age 18-64 who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-11) compared to Chester County adults age 18-64 who reported currently smoking some days or every day (20 percent, CI: 12-32).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	10	7-13		11	10-12
Male	12	8-18		14	12-16
Female	8	5-12		7	6-9
18-29	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
30-44	7	4-11		13	11-16
45-64	7	5-10		6	5-7
65+	2	1-4		3	3-4
< High School	NSR	NSR		15	11-21
High School	16	9-25		11	9-13
Some College	10	5-20		12	10-15
College Degree	7	4-10		8	7-10
<$25,000	17	9-29		17	14-21
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR		10	8-12
$50,000+	9	6-13		8	6-9
White, non-Hispanic	9	6-12		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		18	14-23
Emp. Status: Employed	7	5-11		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		17	12-24
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	2	1-5		3	3-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		6	4-10
Married	6	4-8		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	12	7-20		12	9-15
Widowed	2	0-7		4	3-6
Never Married	25	15-38		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	6-15		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	6-14		9	8-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	5	2-11		8	6-10
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	10	8-14		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	4	1-12		3	2-5
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	10	7-14		11	10-13
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		7	5-11
Not Asthmatic	10	7-13		11	10-12
Obese (BMI >= 30)	8	4-16		8	7-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	8	4-14		9	7-11
Not Overweight Nor Obese	13	8-19		14	12-16
Limited Due Health Problems	10	4-20		7	5-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	7-13		12	10-13
Current Smoker	17	10-26		16	13-19
Former Smoker	4	2-8		8	6-9
Never Smoked	11	7-16		10	9-12
Chronic Drinker	6	2-16		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	9	6-14		12	10-14
Non-Drinker	9	5-15		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	7	4-10		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		30	25-35
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	5-11		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	15	10-21		23	21-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	7	4-12		5	5-7
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-12

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (7 percent, CI: 5-10).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (25 percent, CI: 15-38).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-7) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (25 percent, CI: 15-38).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (17 percent, CI: 10-26).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	8	6-11		11	10-12
Male	7	4-11		10	8-12
Female	10	7-14		12	11-14
18-29	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
30-44	6	3-11		13	11-16
45-64	8	6-11		9	8-10
65+	2	1-5		3	3-4
< High School	NSR	NSR		14	10-19
High School	15	9-23		12	11-14
Some College	12	6-22		13	11-16
College Degree	4	2-7		7	6-9
<$25,000	24	16-35		23	20-26
$25,000 to $49,999	15	8-27		13	11-16
$50,000+	4	3-8		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	8	5-10		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-10		9	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	12	6-23		14	10-21
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		28	23-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Retired	3	1-6		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		20	15-26
Married	4	2-6	-	8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	19	11-30		16	13-19
Widowed	5	2-9		6	4-8
Never Married	19	11-31		18	15-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	4-11		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	6-13		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	25	17-36		21	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	7	4-10		9	8-11
Diagnosed Diabetic	12	6-21		12	9-16
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	6-11		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		21	17-26
Not Asthmatic	7	5-10		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	15	9-25		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	4	2-6	-	10	8-12
Not Overweight Nor Obese	9	6-14		12	10-14
Limited Due Health Problems	14	9-22		20	17-23
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	5-10		9	8-10
Current Smoker	18	11-28		21	18-24
Former Smoker	5	3-10		8	6-10
Never Smoked	7	5-11		9	7-10
Chronic Drinker	5	2-14		16	11-23
Drink But Not Chronic	6	4-9		10	8-11
Non-Drinker	13	9-20		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	5	4-8		7	6-8
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		31	26-37
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	6	4-8		9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	15	11-22		20	17-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	5	3-8		7	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		11	9-14

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Pennsylvania overweight adults (10 percent, CI: 8-12).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (8 percent, CI: 6-11).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (15 percent, CI: 9-23).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (24 percent, CI: 16-35).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (19 percent, CI: 11-30).
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (19 percent, CI: 11-31).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (19 percent, CI: 11-30).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (19 percent, CI: 11-31).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (25 percent, CI: 17-36).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Chester County obese adults (15 percent, CI: 9-25).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (18 percent, CI: 11-28).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (15 percent, CI: 11-22).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	84	81-86		84	83-85
Male	81	76-85		81	79-83
Female	86	83-89		87	85-88
18-29	84	72-91		80	76-84
30-44	80	74-85		77	74-79
45-64	84	80-87		86	84-87
65+	90	87-93		95	93-95
< High School	NSR	NSR		86	82-90
High School	88	82-92		84	82-86
Some College	82	73-88		84	82-87
College Degree	83	79-86		84	82-86
<$25,000	75	63-84		86	83-88
$25,000 to $49,999	84	77-90		83	80-85
$50,000+	84	80-87		84	82-86
White, non-Hispanic	83	80-86		83	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	86	74-93		88	84-91
Emp. Status: Employed	82	77-85		81	80-83
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	79	67-87		73	67-79
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		77	72-82
Emp. Status: Homemaker	84	72-92		85	81-88
Emp. Status: Retired	92	88-94		94	93-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		91	87-94
Married	83	80-87		84	83-85
Divorced/Separated	82	71-89		84	80-86
Widowed	88	81-92	-	95	94-96
Never Married	84	74-91		82	78-85
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	80	75-84		79	77-81
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	86	82-89		87	86-89
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	76	65-85	-	89	87-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	84	81-87		83	82-85
Diagnosed Diabetic	92	83-96		95	93-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	83	80-86		83	82-84
Asthmatic (Current)	89	80-94		84	80-88
Not Asthmatic	83	80-86		84	83-85
Obese (BMI >= 30)	82	73-88		88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	86	81-89		84	82-86
Not Overweight Nor Obese	82	77-86		82	80-84
Limited Due Health Problems	85	77-90		88	85-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	83	80-86		83	82-85
Current Smoker	78	67-86		78	74-81
Former Smoker	86	81-90		87	85-88
Never Smoked	84	80-88		86	84-87
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	69-81
Drink But Not Chronic	83	79-86		83	81-85
Non-Drinker	86	80-89		87	85-89
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		60	54-65
Have Health Care Coverage	85	82-88		87	86-88
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		57	51-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	85	82-88		87	86-88
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		68	63-73
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	86	83-88		86	85-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		84	83-86
Rural	NSR	NSR		84	81-87

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Year, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 81-92) compared to Pennsylvania widowed adults (95 percent, CI: 94-96).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as fair or poor had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 65-85) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as fair or poor (89 percent, CI: 87-91).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 74-85) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (90 percent, CI: 87-93).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 77-85) compared to Chester County retired adults (92 percent, CI: 88-94).
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 67-87) compared to Chester County retired adults (92 percent, CI: 88-94).

Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	40	37-44		41	39-42
Male	37	32-43		39	36-41
Female	43	38-48		43	41-44
18-29	NSR	NSR		50	45-55
30-44	48	42-55		54	51-57
45-64	36	31-40		38	36-40
65+	19	15-24		20	18-21
< High School	NSR	NSR		40	35-46
High School	44	35-52		41	38-43
Some College	46	37-55		42	39-46
College Degree	38	33-42		39	37-42
<$25,000	39	29-50		43	39-46
$25,000 to $49,999	44	34-54		42	39-45
$50,000+	41	36-45		40	38-43
White, non-Hispanic	41	37-45		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	38	27-51		47	42-52
Emp. Status: Employed	44	39-49		46	43-48
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	28	19-38		36	30-42
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		42	36-48
Emp. Status: Homemaker	44	33-57		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	19	15-24		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		61	55-67
Married	40	36-44		39	37-41
Divorced/Separated	40	30-50		47	43-51
Widowed	25	19-33		23	21-26
Never Married	48	37-60		47	43-52
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	48	42-54		52	49-55
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	30-39		34	32-36
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	54	44-63		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	39	35-43		38	37-40
Diagnosed Diabetic	29	20-39		39	35-43
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	41	37-45		41	39-43
Asthmatic (Current)	43	30-56		55	50-60
Not Asthmatic	40	36-44		39	38-41
Obese (BMI >= 30)	49	41-57		45	43-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	37	31-43		38	36-41
Not Overweight Nor Obese	38	33-44		40	37-42
Limited Due Health Problems	51	42-59		56	53-59
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	39	35-43		37	35-39
Current Smoker	52	42-61		54	50-57
Former Smoker	37	31-43		37	34-39
Never Smoked	39	34-44		38	36-40
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		39	33-47
Drink But Not Chronic	43	38-48		42	40-45
Non-Drinker	36	30-43		40	37-42
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		50	44-56
Have Health Care Coverage	39	36-43		40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		47	41-52
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	39	36-43		40	38-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	39	35-43		38	36-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	47	40-53		48	45-51
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	37	33-42		37	36-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-43
Rural	NSR	NSR		39	35-43

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 4: Sleep, Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-40) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (48 percent, CI: 42-55).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (48 percent, CI: 42-55).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (36 percent, CI: 31-40).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 19-38) compared to Chester County employed adults (44 percent, CI: 39-49).
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Chester County employed adults (44 percent, CI: 39-49).
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Chester County adults who reported being homemakers (44 percent, CI: 33-57).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-33) compared to Chester County married adults (40 percent, CI: 36-44).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-33) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (48 percent, CI: 37-60).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 30-39) compared to Chester County adults with children living in their household (48 percent, CI: 42-54).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 35-43) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (54 percent, CI: 44-63).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	16	13-18	-	26	24-27
Male	14	11-18	-	22	20-24
Female	17	14-21	-	29	28-31
18-29	NSR	NSR		17	14-21
30-44	17	12-23		24	22-27
45-64	14	11-17	-	27	25-28
65+	25	21-30	-	34	32-36
< High School	NSR	NSR		42	37-48
High School	24	18-31	-	34	32-36
Some College	16	11-22		23	20-26
College Degree	12	9-16		15	13-17
<$25,000	35	26-46		39	36-42
$25,000 to $49,999	21	15-28		31	28-34
$50,000+	11	9-15		16	14-18
White, non-Hispanic	15	13-18	-	25	23-26
Other (Including Hispanic)	23	13-36		31	27-36
Emp. Status: Employed	13	10-16	-	23	22-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	13	7-20		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		23	19-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		27	23-30
Emp. Status: Retired	19	15-24	-	30	28-32
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		57	50-63
Married	14	12-18	-	24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	27	19-38		33	29-37
Widowed	29	22-37		39	36-42
Never Married	9	5-16	-	24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	11-19		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	14-20	-	28	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	44	34-54		50	46-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	12	10-15	-	21	20-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	40	31-51		42	38-46
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	14	12-17	-	24	23-25
Asthmatic (Current)	19	11-30		34	29-39
Not Asthmatic	15	13-18	-	25	24-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	24	18-32		34	32-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	15	11-19	-	23	21-26
Not Overweight Nor Obese	13	10-18		20	18-22
Limited Due Health Problems	34	26-42		43	40-46
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	12	10-15	-	22	20-23
Current Smoker	30	21-40		32	29-35
Former Smoker	17	13-21	-	25	23-27
Never Smoked	12	9-15	-	24	22-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Drink But Not Chronic	10	8-13	-	18	17-20
Non-Drinker	27	22-33		33	31-36
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		27	22-31
Have Health Care Coverage	16	13-18	-	26	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		26	22-31
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	16	14-19	-	26	24-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	22	12-35		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	15	13-18	-	25	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	13	9-17	-	23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	17	14-20	-	27	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		27	24-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-18) compared to Pennsylvania adults (26 percent, CI: 24-27).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Pennsylvania men (22 percent, CI: 20-24).
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Pennsylvania women (29 percent, CI: 28-31).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (27 percent, CI: 25-28).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-30) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (34 percent, CI: 32-36).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 18-31) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (34 percent, CI: 32-36).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 13-18) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (25 percent, CI: 23-26).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (23 percent, CI: 22-25).
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Pennsylvania retired adults (30 percent, CI: 28-32).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (24 percent, CI: 22-25).
  - Chester County adults who were never married had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were never married (24 percent, CI: 21-28).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (28 percent, CI: 27-30).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (21 percent, CI: 20-23).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (24 percent, CI: 23-25).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 13-18) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (25 percent, CI: 24-26).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-19) compared to Pennsylvania overweight adults (23 percent, CI: 21-26).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania (continued)

- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (22 percent, CI: 20-23).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (25 percent, CI: 23-27).
  - Chester County adults who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (24 percent, CI: 22-26).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (18 percent, CI: 17-20).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-18) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (26 percent, CI: 24-27).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (26 percent, CI: 24-27).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 13-18) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (25 percent, CI: 23-26).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (23 percent, CI: 20-25).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (27 percent, CI: 25-28).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (25 percent, CI: 21-30).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-16) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (24 percent, CI: 18-31).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (35 percent, CI: 26-46).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (27 percent, CI: 19-38).
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Chester County widowed adults (29 percent, CI: 22-37).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (27 percent, CI: 19-38).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Chester County widowed adults (29 percent, CI: 22-37).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (44 percent, CI: 34-54).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (40 percent, CI: 31-51).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (34 percent, CI: 26-42).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (30 percent, CI: 21-40).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (27 percent, CI: 22-33).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes**, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	6	5-7	-	9	8-10
Male	7	5-10		9	8-10
Female	5	3-6	-	9	8-10
18-29	0	NCI		1	0-3
30-44	2	1-5		4	3-6
45-64	8	6-11		11	10-12
65+	14	11-19		20	18-21
< High School	NSR	NSR		17	13-21
High School	9	6-14		12	10-13
Some College	4	3-7		7	6-9
College Degree	5	3-6		5	5-6
<$25,000	11	7-17		16	14-18
$25,000 to $49,999	7	4-11		11	9-12
$50,000+	5	3-6		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	6	5-7	-	9	8-9
Other (Including Hispanic)	4	2-10		11	9-14
Emp. Status: Employed	3	2-5		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	6	3-12		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	9	4-18		7	5-11
Emp. Status: Homemaker	3	1-8		7	5-10
Emp. Status: Retired	15	11-20		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		24	19-29
Married	6	4-7	-	9	8-10
Divorced/Separated	10	6-16		13	11-15
Widowed	10	6-16	-	19	17-22
Never Married	2	1-5		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	2	1-4		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	7-11		12	11-13
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	27	19-35		28	25-31
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	4	3-5		6	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	9	5-17		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	6	5-7	-	9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	17	12-22		18	16-20
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	4	3-6	-	8	7-9
Not Overweight Nor Obese	2	1-3		3	2-3
Limited Due Health Problems	15	11-20		17	15-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	4	3-6		7	6-8
Current Smoker	6	3-10		7	6-9
Former Smoker	9	7-12		12	11-14
Never Smoked	4	3-6	-	8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	3	1-10		5	3-8
Drink But Not Chronic	4	3-6		5	4-6
Non-Drinker	11	8-14		14	13-15
No Health Care Coverage	7	3-16		5	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage	6	5-7	-	10	9-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-8		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	6	5-8	-	10	9-11
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	9	4-16		10	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	6	5-7	-	9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	3	2-5		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	8	6-9	-	11	11-12
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		8	7-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

** Numerator does not include females who responded that they only had diabetes during pregnancy.

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester Count and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania women (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-7) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (9 percent, CI: 8-9).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-16) compared to Pennsylvania widowed adults (19 percent, CI: 17-22).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania overweight adults (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (10 percent, CI: 9-10).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (10 percent, CI: 9-11).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (11 percent, CI: 11-12).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (8 percent, CI: 6-11).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-19).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (11 percent, CI: 7-17).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County retired adults (15 percent, CI: 11-20).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Chester County retired adults (15 percent, CI: 11-20).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (10 percent, CI: 6-16).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Chester County widowed adults (10 percent, CI: 6-16).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County adults with no children living in their household (9 percent, CI: 7-11).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (27 percent, CI: 19-35).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County obese adults (17 percent, CI: 12-22).
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Chester County obese adults (17 percent, CI: 12-22).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (15 percent, CI: 11-20).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County adults who reported being former smokers (9 percent, CI: 7-12).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (11 percent, CI: 8-14).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (8 percent, CI: 6-9).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	24	21-27	-	31	30-33
Male	26	22-30		32	30-35
Female	22	18-26	-	31	29-32
18-29	NSR	NSR		10	8-14
30-44	12	8-18		19	17-22
45-64	30	26-34		36	34-38
65+	48	42-53	-	59	57-61
< High School	NSR	NSR		42	37-48
High School	31	25-38		37	35-39
Some College	30	23-39		30	27-32
College Degree	18	15-21	-	24	22-26
<$25,000	37	28-47		42	39-45
$25,000 to $49,999	36	28-46		34	31-36
$50,000+	19	16-22	-	25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	24	21-27	-	32	31-33
Other (Including Hispanic)	25	16-36		28	24-32
Emp. Status: Employed	17	14-20	-	23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	19	13-27		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		29	24-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	14	9-21	-	33	29-36
Emp. Status: Retired	50	44-56		58	55-60
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		48	42-55
Married	21	18-24	-	33	31-34
Divorced/Separated	34	25-44		38	35-42
Widowed	48	40-56		56	52-59
Never Married	18	11-27		19	16-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	9-17		18	16-20
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	32	29-36	-	40	38-41
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	49	39-59		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	21	18-24	-	27	26-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	65	54-74		67	63-71
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-24	-	28	27-29
Asthmatic (Current)	23	14-35		32	28-37
Not Asthmatic	24	21-27	-	31	30-33
Obese (BMI >= 30)	50	42-58		46	44-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	25	21-29	-	33	30-35
Not Overweight Nor Obese	11	8-14	-	18	16-20
Limited Due Health Problems	37	29-45		47	44-50
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	21	18-24	-	28	26-29
Current Smoker	31	22-41		29	25-32
Former Smoker	28	23-33	-	40	38-43
Never Smoked	20	17-24	-	28	26-30
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		28	23-34
Drink But Not Chronic	20	17-24	-	27	25-29
Non-Drinker	31	26-37		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		21	17-25
Have Health Care Coverage	24	21-27	-	33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		17	14-22
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	25	22-28	-	33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	24	21-26	-	32	31-33
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	17	13-22		15	13-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	27	24-31	-	38	36-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-32
Rural	NSR	NSR		34	30-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults (31 percent, CI: 30-33).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Pennsylvania women (31 percent, CI: 29-32).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 42-53) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 57-61).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (24 percent, CI: 22-26).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (25 percent, CI: 23-27).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-27) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (32 percent, CI: 31-33).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (23 percent, CI: 21-25).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 9-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being homemakers (33 percent, CI: 29-36).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (33 percent, CI: 31-34).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 29-36) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (40 percent, CI: 38-41).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (27 percent, CI: 26-29).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (28 percent, CI: 27-29).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (31 percent, CI: 30-33).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-29) compared to Pennsylvania overweight adults (33 percent, CI: 30-35).
  - Chester County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (18 percent, CI: 16-20).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania: (continued)

- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (28 percent, CI: 26-29).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 23-33) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (40 percent, CI: 38-43).
  - Chester County adults who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (28 percent, CI: 26-30).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (27 percent, CI: 25-29).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (33 percent, CI: 31-34).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-28) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (33 percent, CI: 32-35).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-26) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (32 percent, CI: 31-33).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (38 percent, CI: 36-40).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-18) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (30 percent, CI: 26-34).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-18) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (48 percent, CI: 42-53).
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (48 percent, CI: 42-53).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (31 percent, CI: 25-38).
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Chester County adults with some college education (30 percent, CI: 23-39).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-22) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (37 percent, CI: 28-47).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-22) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (36 percent, CI: 28-46).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Chester County retired adults (50 percent, CI: 44-56).
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Chester County retired adults (50 percent, CI: 44-56).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 9-21) compared to Chester County retired adults (50 percent, CI: 44-56).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (34 percent, CI: 25-44).
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Chester County widowed adults (48 percent, CI: 40-56).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 11-27) compared to Chester County widowed adults (48 percent, CI: 40-56).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Chester County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Chester County adults with no children living in their household (32 percent, CI: 29-36).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (49 percent, CI: 39-59).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (65 percent, CI: 54-74).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-29) compared to Chester County obese adults (50 percent, CI: 42-58).
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Chester County obese adults (50 percent, CI: 42-58).
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Chester County overweight adults (25 percent, CI: 21-29).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (37 percent, CI: 29-45).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (31 percent, CI: 26-37).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (27 percent, CI: 24-31).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	76	69-82		80	78-82
Male	74	64-81		74	70-78
Female	79	67-87		86	83-88
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		52	45-60
45-64	81	73-87		86	83-88
65+	91	86-95		95	93-96
< High School	NSR	NSR		87	79-92
High School	83	71-91		84	81-87
Some College	NSR	NSR		71	66-77
College Degree	77	69-84		78	73-83
<$25,000	NSR	NSR		81	77-85
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR		80	76-84
$50,000+	76	66-84		77	72-81
White, non-Hispanic	76	68-82		81	78-83
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		77	69-83
Emp. Status: Employed	70	59-79		72	68-76
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		72	59-81
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	49-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		88	82-92
Emp. Status: Retired	93	87-96		94	92-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		82	71-89
Married	77	69-84		82	79-85
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		81	75-86
Widowed	98	91-99		95	92-96
Never Married	NSR	NSR		60	51-68
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		60	54-66
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	79-89		86	83-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR		86	82-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	74	66-81		78	75-81
Diagnosed Diabetic	83	71-91	-	95	92-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	75	66-81		76	74-79
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	78-89
Not Asthmatic	78	70-84		80	77-82
Obese (BMI >= 30)	68	54-79		81	77-84
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	81	71-88		81	78-85
Not Overweight Nor Obese	87	78-93		76	70-81
Limited Due Health Problems	79	66-88		81	76-85
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	75	66-82		80	77-82
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR		62	55-68
Former Smoker	87	80-92		86	83-89
Never Smoked	75	64-84		83	80-85
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		73	62-81
Drink But Not Chronic	72	62-80		75	70-78
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR		85	82-87
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		48	39-58
Have Health Care Coverage	76	69-82		83	80-85
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		29	19-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	80	73-85		83	81-85
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		59	50-67
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	78	72-84		82	80-84
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		52	45-59
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	81	74-87		85	82-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		81	78-83
Rural	NSR	NSR		77	70-82

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

Geographical Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 71-91) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have been diagnosed with diabetes (95 percent, CI: 92-96).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 59-79) compared to Chester County retired adults (93 percent, CI: 87-96).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 69-84) compared to Chester County widowed adults (98 percent, CI: 91-99).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	87	83-90		82	81-84
Male	85	79-90		81	79-83
Female	89	84-92		84	82-85
18-29	NSR	NSR		48	43-53
30-44	89	84-93		81	79-84
45-64	97	94-98		93	92-94
65+	98	95-99		97	96-98
< High School	NSR	NSR		77	72-82
High School	80	70-87		82	79-84
Some College	84	73-91		80	77-84
College Degree	92	88-95		86	84-88
<$25,000	81	68-90		80	76-83
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR		83	80-86
$50,000+	91	86-94		86	84-88
White, non-Hispanic	87	83-90		84	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		76	71-81
Emp. Status: Employed	88	83-91		82	80-84
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		80	74-85
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		73	66-79
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		84	79-87
Emp. Status: Retired	97	94-99		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		87	80-91
Married	92	89-95		89	88-91
Divorced/Separated	92	85-96		87	84-90
Widowed	99	96-100		96	94-97
Never Married	58	45-70		57	53-62
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	87	81-91	+	75	73-78
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	87	82-91		87	85-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	100	97-100	+	89	85-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	86	81-89		81	80-83
Diagnosed Diabetic	100	NCI		97	94-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	86	82-89		81	79-83
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	79-88
Not Asthmatic	87	83-90		82	81-84
Obese (BMI >= 30)	94	87-97		88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	87	81-92		84	82-87
Not Overweight Nor Obese	83	76-89		76	72-78
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR		87	84-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	86	82-90		81	80-83
Current Smoker	86	76-93		72	68-76
Former Smoker	91	85-95		92	91-94
Never Smoked	85	79-89		82	79-84
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	67-82
Drink But Not Chronic	86	81-91		84	81-86
Non-Drinker	90	84-94		83	80-85
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		59	53-65
Have Health Care Coverage	89	85-92		85	84-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		58	52-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	90	87-93		85	84-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		69	63-74
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	84-91		84	83-86
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	82	75-88	+	68	64-71
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	89	84-93		89	87-90
Urban	NSR	NSR		83	81-85
Rural	NSR	NSR		80	76-83

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly higher percentage (87 percent, CI: 81-91) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (75 percent, CI: 73-78).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as fair or poor had a significantly higher percentage (100 percent, CI: 97-100) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as fair or poor (89 percent, CI: 85-91).
- **Health Care Status**
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly higher percentage (82 percent, CI: 75-88) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (68 percent, CI: 64-71).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 84-93) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 84-93) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (98 percent, CI: 95-99).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 70-87) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (92 percent, CI: 88-95).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 83-91) compared to Chester County retired adults (97 percent, CI: 94-99).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (92 percent, CI: 89-95) compared to Chester County widowed adults (99 percent, CI: 96-100).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 45-70) compared to Chester County married adults (92 percent, CI: 89-95).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 45-70) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (92 percent, CI: 85-96).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 45-70) compared to Chester County widowed adults (99 percent, CI: 96-100).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 81-89) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (100 percent, CI: 97-100).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	82	79-86		79	77-80
Male	81	75-86		78	75-80
Female	84	79-88		80	77-81
18-29	NSR	NSR		45	40-50
30-44	81	75-86		75	72-77
45-64	93	90-95		90	88-91
65+	96	94-98		96	95-96
< High School	NSR	NSR		74	69-79
High School	76	66-84		78	75-81
Some College	78	67-86		77	73-80
College Degree	87	83-91		81	79-84
<$25,000	75	63-84		77	74-81
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR		79	76-82
$50,000+	85	81-89		81	79-84
White, non-Hispanic	82	78-85		80	78-81
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		74	68-79
Emp. Status: Employed	83	77-87		78	76-80
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		74	68-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		68	61-74
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		77	72-81
Emp. Status: Retired	96	92-97		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		86	79-91
Married	88	84-91		85	84-86
Divorced/Separated	86	79-92		84	80-86
Widowed	96	92-98		94	92-96
Never Married	53	41-66		54	49-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	80	73-85	+	70	67-72
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	79-89		84	82-86
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	92	85-96		86	83-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	81	77-85		77	76-79
Diagnosed Diabetic	100	NCI		96	93-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	81	77-85		77	75-78
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		81	75-85
Not Asthmatic	82	78-86		79	77-80
Obese (BMI >= 30)	89	80-94		85	83-87
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	84	78-89		81	78-83
Not Overweight Nor Obese	78	71-83		71	68-74
Limited Due Health Problems	84	73-91		85	81-88
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	82	78-86		77	75-79
Current Smoker	77	66-85		68	64-72
Former Smoker	88	82-92		89	87-91
Never Smoked	81	75-86		78	75-80
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		71	64-78
Drink But Not Chronic	82	77-86		79	77-82
Non-Drinker	84	78-89		79	77-81
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		51	46-57
Have Health Care Coverage	85	81-88		82	80-83
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		50	44-56
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	87	83-90		82	81-84
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	84	80-87		81	79-82
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	72	65-78	+	58	55-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	88	83-91		87	86-89
Urban	NSR	NSR		79	77-81
Rural	NSR	NSR		76	72-80

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly higher percentage (80 percent, CI: 73-85) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (70 percent, CI: 67-72).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly higher percentage (72 percent, CI: 65-78) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (58 percent, CI: 55-61).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 75-86) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 75-86) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 94-98).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 77-87) compared to Chester County retired adults (96 percent, CI: 92-97).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 84-91) compared to Chester County widowed adults (96 percent, CI: 92-98).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 41-66) compared to Chester County married adults (88 percent, CI: 84-91).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 41-66) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (86 percent, CI: 79-92).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 41-66) compared to Chester County widowed adults (96 percent, CI: 92-98).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 65-78) compared to Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (88 percent, CI: 83-91).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	34	31-37		39	37-40
Male	38	34-44		40	38-42
Female	29	26-33	-	38	36-40
18-29	NSR	NSR		14	9-20
30-44	19	15-25		27	24-30
45-64	43	38-48		44	41-46
65+	50	45-56		55	53-57
< High School	NSR	NSR		48	42-55
High School	41	34-48		45	42-47
Some College	35	28-43		36	33-39
College Degree	31	28-35		33	31-36
<$25,000	42	32-52		45	42-48
$25,000 to $49,999	43	35-52		44	41-47
$50,000+	31	27-35		34	32-36
White, non-Hispanic	35	32-39		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	19	12-28		33	28-38
Emp. Status: Employed	30	26-35		33	31-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	26	18-36		35	29-41
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		31	25-37
Emp. Status: Homemaker	22	14-31	-	37	32-41
Emp. Status: Retired	54	48-60		55	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		54	48-61
Married	34	30-38		40	38-42
Divorced/Separated	37	28-47		41	37-45
Widowed	48	40-56		51	48-55
Never Married	18	11-30		27	23-31
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	17-26		28	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	43	39-47		45	43-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	48	38-58		57	53-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	32	29-35		35	34-37
Diagnosed Diabetic	68	58-77		64	60-68
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	31	28-34		36	34-37
Asthmatic (Current)	36	24-49		40	35-45
Not Asthmatic	33	30-37		39	37-40
Obese (BMI >= 30)	43	35-50		46	43-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	42	36-47		43	40-45
Not Overweight Nor Obese	22	18-26		28	26-31
Limited Due Health Problems	43	35-51		50	47-54
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	32	28-35		36	34-38
Current Smoker	33	25-43		37	33-40
Former Smoker	37	32-42	-	47	44-50
Never Smoked	32	28-36		35	33-37
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	32-46
Drink But Not Chronic	33	29-37		35	33-37
Non-Drinker	35	30-41		43	41-45
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		26	21-32
Have Health Care Coverage	34	31-37	-	40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	31-38	-	40	39-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	34	31-37		39	37-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	27	22-32		26	24-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	37	33-41		43	41-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		38	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		42	38-45

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 26-33) compared to Pennsylvania women (38 percent, CI: 36-40).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 14-31) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being homemakers (37 percent, CI: 32-41).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 32-42) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (47 percent, CI: 44-50).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 31-37) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (40 percent, CI: 38-41).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 31-38) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (40 percent, CI: 39-42).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 26-33) compared to Chester County men (38 percent, CI: 34-44).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-25) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (43 percent, CI: 38-48).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-25) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (50 percent, CI: 45-56).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 12-28) compared to Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults (35 percent, CI: 32-39).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-35) compared to Chester County retired adults (54 percent, CI: 48-60).
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 18-36) compared to Chester County retired adults (54 percent, CI: 48-60).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 14-31) compared to Chester County retired adults (54 percent, CI: 48-60).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 30-38) compared to Chester County widowed adults (48 percent, CI: 40-56).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 11-30) compared to Chester County widowed adults (48 percent, CI: 40-56).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-26) compared to Chester County adults with no children living in their household (43 percent, CI: 39-47).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 29-35) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (48 percent, CI: 38-58).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 28-34) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (68 percent, CI: 58-77).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Chester County obese adults (43 percent, CI: 35-50).
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Chester County overweight adults (42 percent, CI: 36-47).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-32) compared to Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (37 percent, CI: 33-41).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	4	3-6		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	7	5-9		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	2	1-4		4	4-5
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	1	0-5		0	0-1
55-64	4	2-6		5	4-6
65+	10	7-13		13	12-15
< High School, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		17	13-21
High School, Age 35+	7	4-12		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	6	4-11		5	4-7
College Degree, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	2-4
<$25,000, Age 35+	8	4-15		12	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	8	5-13		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	3	2-5		2	2-3
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	4	3-6		6	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	2	1-6		6	5-9
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	3	1-4		2	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	4	1-11		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	2	0-8		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	2	0-8		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	11	7-15		13	11-15
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
Married, Age 35+	3	2-5		6	5-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	4	2-10		7	5-9
Widowed, Age 35+	10	5-17		11	9-14
Never Married, Age 35+	7	3-16		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	1	0-3		1	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	6	5-8		8	8-9
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	17	11-25		19	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	18	11-28		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	3	2-4		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	3	1-9		6	4-8
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	5	3-6		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	9	5-14		8	7-9
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	4	2-6		6	5-7
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	3	2-6		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	8	5-12		13	11-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	3	2-5		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	3	1-8		7	5-8
Former Smoker, Age 35+	7	5-11		9	8-11
Never Smoked, Age 35+	3	2-5		4	3-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	3-9
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	3	2-4		4	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	8	5-11		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	4	3-5	-	6	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	1-5
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	5	3-6		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		9	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	4	3-6		6	5-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	4	2-6		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	5	3-7		7	7-8
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	5-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		8	6-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 35 and older with health care coverage (6 percent, CI: 6-7).

Differences within Chester County:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County women age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County men age 35 and older (7 percent, CI: 5-9).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (10 percent, CI: 7-13).
  - Chester County adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (10 percent, CI: 7-13).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County retired adults age 35 and older (11 percent, CI: 7-15).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (6 percent, CI: 5-8).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (17 percent, CI: 11-25).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (18 percent, CI: 11-28).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older who do not drink (8 percent, CI: 5-11).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	5	3-6		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	7	5-9		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	3	2-4		5	4-6
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	1	0-4		0	0-1
55-64	4	3-6		5	4-6
65+	10	7-14		14	12-16
< High School, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		13	10-17
High School, Age 35+	5	3-10		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	6	3-10		6	5-7
College Degree, Age 35+	4	3-5		4	3-5
<$25,000, Age 35+	9	5-16		11	9-13
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	6	3-10		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	4	3-6		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	5	4-6		7	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	1	0-6		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	2	1-4		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	7	3-14		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	2	1-9		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	1	0-8		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	10	7-15		14	12-16
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
Married, Age 35+	4	2-5	-	6	6-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	6	3-12		5	4-7
Widowed, Age 35+	9	5-15		13	11-16
Never Married, Age 35+	5	1-14		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	1	0-2		2	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	7	5-9		9	8-10
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	19	12-27		20	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	3	2-4		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	21	14-30		18	15-21
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	3	2-4		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	2	0-8		8	6-11
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	5	4-6		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	9	6-14		8	7-10
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	4	3-6		6	5-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	3	2-5		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	12	8-17		13	12-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	3	2-4		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	5	2-10		5	4-6
Former Smoker, Age 35+	7	5-10		10	9-12
Never Smoked, Age 35+	3	2-5		5	4-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	3	2-4		5	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	9	6-13		8	7-9
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		4	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	4	3-6		7	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	5	4-6		7	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	7	3-17		8	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	4	3-6		6	6-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	3	2-6		2	1-3
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	5	4-7		8	7-9
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	6-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		7	5-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania married adults age 35 and older (6 percent, CI: 6-7).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Gender**
  - Chester County women age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County men age 35 and older (7 percent, CI: 5-9).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (10 percent, CI: 7-14).
  - Chester County adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (10 percent, CI: 7-14).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County retired adults age 35 and older (10 percent, CI: 7-15).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (7 percent, CI: 5-9).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (19 percent, CI: 12-27).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (21 percent, CI: 14-30).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County obese adults age 35 and older (9 percent, CI: 6-14).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (12 percent, CI: 8-17).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older who do not drink (9 percent, CI: 6-13).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	2	1-3		3	3-4
Male, Age 35+	2	1-3		3	2-4
Female, Age 35+	2	1-3		4	3-4
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	0	NCI		1	0-1
55-64	1	1-3		2	2-3
65+	5	3-8		7	6-9
< High School, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
High School, Age 35+	2	1-5		5	4-5
Some College, Age 35+	5	3-9		2	2-4
College Degree, Age 35+	1	1-2		2	1-2
<$25,000, Age 35+	4	2-9		7	5-8
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	3	1-7		3	2-4
$50,000+, Age 35+	1	1-2		1	1-2
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	2	1-3		3	3-4
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	2	0-7		4	3-7
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	1	0-2		1	1-1
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	2	1-7		1	0-3
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	3	1-11		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	1	0-8		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	5	3-8		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		9	6-13
Married, Age 35+	2	1-3		3	2-3
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	1	0-5		5	3-6
Widowed, Age 35+	3	1-7		7	5-9
Never Married, Age 35+	2	0-12		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	0	0-1		1	0-1
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	3	2-5		4	4-5
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	5	2-11		10	8-12
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	2	1-2		2	2-2
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	4	2-10		9	7-11
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	2	1-3		3	2-3
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	2	0-11		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	2	1-3		3	3-4
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	3	1-6		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	2	1-4		3	3-4
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	1	1-3		3	2-4
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	4	2-8		8	7-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	1	1-2		2	2-2
Current Smoker, Age 35+	2	1-7		3	2-5
Former Smoker, Age 35+	3	2-6		4	3-4
Never Smoked, Age 35+	1	1-2	-	3	3-4
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	1-7
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	1	1-2		2	2-3
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	3	2-6		4	4-5
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		2	1-4
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	2	1-3		3	3-4
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		1	0-1
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	2	1-3		3	3-4
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	7	3-17		4	2-6
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	2	1-2	-	3	3-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	2	1-4		1	1-2
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	2	1-3		4	3-5
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	3-4
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		4	3-5

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-2) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 35 and older who never smoked (3 percent, CI: 3-4).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-2) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 35 and older who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (3 percent, CI: 3-4).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-2) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older with some college education (5 percent, CI: 3-9).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Chester County retired adults age 35 and older (5 percent, CI: 3-8).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-1) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (3 percent, CI: 2-5).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-2) compared to Chester County adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (7 percent, CI: 3-17).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	10-15		13	12-15
Male	12	8-16		12	10-13
Female	13	10-17		15	14-17
18-29	19	10-33		20	16-25
30-44	13	9-18		14	12-17
45-64	12	10-16		11	10-13
65+	7	4-10		10	8-11
< High School	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
High School	10	6-16		13	11-15
Some College	18	11-26		17	14-20
College Degree	10	8-14		12	10-14
<$25,000	14	9-23		16	14-19
$25,000 to $49,999	16	10-24		13	11-15
$50,000+	12	9-15		12	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	13	10-16		13	12-14
Other (Including Hispanic)	13	6-24		16	13-20
Emp. Status: Employed	11	9-15		13	12-15
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	10	5-20		10	7-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	9	4-18		21	16-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	8	4-17		12	9-15
Emp. Status: Retired	8	5-12		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		21	16-28
Married	10	8-13		11	10-13
Divorced/Separated	13	7-21		16	13-20
Widowed	10	6-18		10	8-12
Never Married	20	12-32		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	13-22		14	12-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	7-12		13	12-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	21	14-31		22	19-25
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	11	9-14		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	15	9-26		15	12-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	12	10-15		13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30)	15	10-22		15	13-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	12	8-16		13	11-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese	12	8-17		13	11-15
Limited Due Health Problems	15	10-23		22	19-25
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	12	10-15		11	10-13
Current Smoker	18	11-27		18	15-21
Former Smoker	10	7-13		14	12-16
Never Smoked	13	9-17		12	10-13
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		14	9-20
Drink But Not Chronic	13	10-17		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	12	8-16		14	12-16
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		15	11-21
Have Health Care Coverage	12	10-15		13	12-14
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	12	10-15		14	12-15
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	12	9-14		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	15	11-20		14	12-16
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	11	9-15		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	12-15
Rural	NSR	NSR		14	11-17

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Chester County adults with children living in their household (17 percent, CI: 13-22).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-11		9	8-10
Male	7	4-11		7	5-8
Female	10	8-14		11	10-13
18-29	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
30-44	9	6-13		10	9-12
45-64	8	6-11		8	7-9
65+	3	2-6		7	6-8
< High School	NSR	NSR		13	10-18
High School	8	4-14		9	8-11
Some College	14	8-23		11	9-13
College Degree	6	5-9		7	6-8
<$25,000	10	6-18		13	11-15
$25,000 to $49,999	11	6-19		9	7-10
$50,000+	8	5-11		7	6-8
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-12		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	6	3-13		11	8-15
Emp. Status: Employed	8	6-11		8	7-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	3	1-9		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	7	3-17		14	10-19
Emp. Status: Homemaker	6	2-14		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Retired	5	3-9		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		19	13-25
Married	7	5-9		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	9	4-16		12	9-15
Widowed	7	3-15		8	6-10
Never Married	15	8-26		12	9-15
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	8-16		10	8-12
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	6	4-9		9	8-10
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	15	9-24		17	15-20
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	8	6-10		8	7-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	13	7-23		11	9-14
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	6-11		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	9	5-14		11	9-13
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	6-13		8	7-10
Not Overweight Nor Obese	8	5-12		8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	12	7-19		17	15-20
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	6-11		7	6-8
Current Smoker	12	7-21		13	10-15
Former Smoker	6	4-9		9	7-10
Never Smoked	9	6-13		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		7	4-13
Drink But Not Chronic	8	5-11		8	7-9
Non-Drinker	10	7-15		11	9-12
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		9	6-13
Have Health Care Coverage	9	7-11		9	8-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		6	4-10
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	7-11		9	9-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		18	14-22
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	7	6-10		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	10	6-15		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	8	6-11		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		10	8-13

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- There were no significant differences within Chester County results.

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	14	12-17	-	20	19-22
Male	15	11-20		22	19-24
Female	13	10-17	-	19	18-21
18-29	16	9-29		30	25-35
30-44	16	12-22		22	20-25
45-64	15	12-18		20	18-22
65+	7	4-10		9	8-11
< High School	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
High School	24	17-32		24	22-27
Some College	18	12-25		22	19-26
College Degree	8	6-11		11	10-13
<$25,000	35	25-46		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	19	12-29		23	20-26
$50,000+	10	7-13		15	13-17
White, non-Hispanic	14	11-16	-	19	18-21
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Employed	13	10-17	-	20	18-22
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	16	8-29		17	12-22
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		38	32-45
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		14	11-17
Emp. Status: Retired	8	5-12		11	9-12
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		42	35-49
Married	10	8-13	-	15	14-17
Divorced/Separated	36	26-47		30	26-34
Widowed	9	6-15		12	10-14
Never Married	16	9-25	-	30	26-35
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	11-20	-	23	21-26
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	11-17		18	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	38	28-49		29	26-32
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	12	9-14	-	19	17-20
Diagnosed Diabetic	14	8-23		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	14	12-17	-	21	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	20	11-33		28	23-33
Not Asthmatic	14	11-17	-	19	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	19	13-28		18	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	17	13-21		19	17-21
Neither Overweight nor Obese	10	7-13	-	24	21-27
Limited Due Health Problems	23	17-32		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	12	10-15	-	18	17-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
Drink But Not Chronic	13	10-17	-	20	18-22
Non-Drinker	16	11-21		18	16-20
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		38	33-43
Have Health Care Coverage	12	10-15	-	18	17-19
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		30	25-36
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	13	11-16	-	19	18-20
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	13	10-15	-	18	17-19
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	19	15-25		26	23-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	12	9-15	-	18	16-19
Urban	NSR	NSR		20	18-21
Rural	NSR	NSR		23	20-27

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults (20 percent, CI: 19-22).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Pennsylvania women (19 percent, CI: 18-21).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-16) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (19 percent, CI: 18-21).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (20 percent, CI: 18-22).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (15 percent, CI: 14-17).
  - Chester County adults who were never married had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 9-25) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were never married (30 percent, CI: 26-35).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (23 percent, CI: 21-26).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (19 percent, CI: 17-20).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (21 percent, CI: 19-22).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (19 percent, CI: 18-21).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (24 percent, CI: 21-27).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (18 percent, CI: 17-20).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (20 percent, CI: 18-22).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (18 percent, CI: 17-19).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 11-16) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (19 percent, CI: 18-20).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (18 percent, CI: 17-19).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (18 percent, CI: 16-19).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (16 percent, CI: 12-22).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (15 percent, CI: 12-18).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (24 percent, CI: 17-32).
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Chester County adults with some college education (18 percent, CI: 12-25).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (35 percent, CI: 25-46).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (36 percent, CI: 26-47).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-15) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (36 percent, CI: 26-47).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 9-25) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (36 percent, CI: 26-47).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (38 percent, CI: 28-49).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (23 percent, CI: 17-32).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	28	26-32		26	25-27
Male	29	24-34		30	28-32
Female	28	24-32		22	21-24
18-29	13	6-24		9	7-13
30-44	24	19-30		21	19-24
45-64	30	26-34		31	30-33
65+	49	43-54	+	39	37-42
< High School	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
High School	23	18-29		28	26-30
Some College	36	29-45		27	25-30
College Degree	28	25-33		24	22-26
<$25,000	30	22-41		25	23-28
$25,000 to $49,999	22	16-29		27	25-30
$50,000+	30	26-34		27	25-29
White, non-Hispanic	31	28-34		28	27-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	13	8-20		17	14-21
Emp. Status: Employed	26	22-30		24	23-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	27	18-39		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	22	13-34		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	29	20-41		21	18-25
Emp. Status: Retired	48	42-54		41	38-43
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		29	24-35
Married	34	30-38		30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	23	16-31		32	28-36
Widowed	45	37-53		34	31-37
Never Married	8	3-17		11	9-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	18-28		20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	33	29-37		29	28-31
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	32	24-42		32	29-35
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	28	25-31		25	24-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	44	34-54		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	28	24-31		25	24-26
Asthmatic (Current)	20	12-31		24	21-29
Not Asthmatic	29	26-33		26	25-28
Obese (BMI >= 30)	31	25-39		31	29-34
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	26-37		29	27-31
Not Overweight Nor Obese	25	21-30		19	18-21
Limited Due Health Problems	31	24-38		32	29-35
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	28	25-32		25	23-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		29	23-35
Drink But Not Chronic	29	25-33		28	26-30
Non-Drinker	26	21-31		24	22-25
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		16	12-19
Have Health Care Coverage	29	26-33		27	26-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		18	14-23
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	30	27-34		27	26-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		19	15-23
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	29	26-33		27	26-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	20-30		22	20-24
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	30	27-34		28	26-29
Urban	NSR	NSR		26	25-28
Rural	NSR	NSR		25	22-28

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly higher percentage (49 percent, CI: 43-54) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (39 percent, CI: 37-42).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 6-24) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (30 percent, CI: 26-34).
  - Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 6-24) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (49 percent, CI: 43-54).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-30) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (49 percent, CI: 43-54).
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (49 percent, CI: 43-54).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-20) compared to Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults (31 percent, CI: 28-34).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-30) compared to Chester County retired adults (48 percent, CI: 42-54).
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 18-39) compared to Chester County retired adults (48 percent, CI: 42-54).
  - Chester County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 13-34) compared to Chester County retired adults (48 percent, CI: 42-54).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 20-41) compared to Chester County retired adults (48 percent, CI: 42-54).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 16-31) compared to Chester County widowed adults (45 percent, CI: 37-53).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 3-17) compared to Chester County married adults (34 percent, CI: 30-38).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 3-17) compared to Chester County widowed adults (45 percent, CI: 37-53).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-28) compared to Chester County adults with no children living in their household (33 percent, CI: 29-37).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (44 percent, CI: 34-54).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	70	61-77	+	57	53-60
Male	68	54-79		56	50-61
Female	71	60-81		58	53-62
18-29	NSR	NSR		67	57-75
30-44	NSR	NSR		52	45-58
45-64	69	56-80		53	48-58
65+	NSR	NSR		58	50-65
< High School	NSR	NSR		53	42-63
High School	NSR	NSR		58	52-63
Some College	NSR	NSR		62	55-69
College Degree	NSR	NSR		50	41-58
<$25,000	NSR	NSR		57	51-63
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR		59	52-65
$50,000+	NSR	NSR		57	50-64
White, non-Hispanic	66	56-75		56	52-59
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Employed	71	57-81		57	52-62
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		60	48-71
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR		56	49-64
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		45	35-57
Married	71	57-82		56	51-61
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		52	44-59
Widowed	NSR	NSR		59	49-69
Never Married	NSR	NSR		59	51-67
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		59	53-65
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	61	49-71		55	50-59
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR		56	49-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	66	55-75		57	53-61
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR		68	57-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	69	60-77		56	52-60
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		65	54-75
Not Asthmatic	68	59-76		56	52-60
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	66	52-77		58	52-64
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR		54	48-60
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR		55	48-62
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	66	55-76		58	53-62
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		47	35-59
Drink But Not Chronic	70	57-80		60	55-65
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR		56	50-61
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		53	44-62
Have Health Care Coverage	69	59-77		58	54-62
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		45	35-55
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	72	63-80		59	55-63
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		56	47-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	68	58-76		57	53-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		54	47-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	72	60-81		59	54-63
Urban	NSR	NSR		57	53-61
Rural	NSR	NSR		57	47-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly higher percentage (70 percent, CI: 61-77) compared to Pennsylvania adults (57 percent, CI: 53-60).

Differences within Chester County:

- There were no significant differences within Chester County.

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	10	9-12		12	11-12
Male	20	17-24		23	21-24
Female	1	1-3		1	1-2
18-29	3	1-10		3	2-6
30-44	3	2-6		6	5-8
45-64	12	9-15		12	11-14
65+	30	25-35		25	23-27
< High School	NSR	NSR		10	8-14
High School	15	11-21		14	13-16
Some College	12	8-17		12	10-14
College Degree	8	6-10		9	8-10
<$25,000	12	7-20		11	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999	15	11-22		16	14-18
$50,000+	9	7-12		11	10-12
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-13		12	11-13
Other (Including Hispanic)	5	2-10		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Employed	7	5-9		9	8-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	10	5-17		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		8	5-13
Emp. Status: Homemaker	0	NCI		1	0-2
Emp. Status: Retired	33	27-39		29	27-31
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		11	8-15
Married	11	9-14		14	13-15
Divorced/Separated	12	7-21		14	11-18
Widowed	16	10-23		13	11-15
Never Married	4	2-9		5	3-6
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	2-6		7	5-8
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	13-19		14	13-16
Fair/Poor General Health	17	11-25		14	12-16
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	10	8-12		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	32	23-42	+	19	16-22
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	9	7-11		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	3	1-13		7	5-9
Not Asthmatic	11	9-13		12	11-13
Obese (BMI >= 30)	12	8-17		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	14	11-18		16	14-17
Not Overweight Nor Obese	7	5-10		8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	17	12-23		15	13-17
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	7-11		11	10-12
Current Smoker	10	6-17		12	10-14
Former Smoker	18	14-22		20	19-23
Never Smoked	7	5-9		7	6-8
Chronic Drinker	23	13-37		16	13-21
Drink But Not Chronic	9	7-11		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	13	9-17		10	9-11
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		7	5-9
Have Health Care Coverage	11	9-13		12	11-13
No Personal Health Care Provider	5	1-15		12	8-15
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	11	9-13		12	11-13
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	5	2-13		6	4-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	11	9-13		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	7	5-10		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	12	10-15		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		13	11-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly higher percentage (32 percent, CI: 23-42) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have been diagnosed with diabetes (19 percent, CI: 16-22).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Chester County men (20 percent, CI: 17-24).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (12 percent, CI: 9-15).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (15 percent, CI: 11-21).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Chester County retired adults (33 percent, CI: 27-39).
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Chester County retired adults (33 percent, CI: 27-39).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Chester County widowed adults (16 percent, CI: 10-23).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Chester County adults with no children living in their household (16 percent, CI: 13-19).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (32 percent, CI: 23-42).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Chester County overweight adults (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (17 percent, CI: 12-23).

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Chester County adults who reported being former smokers (18 percent, CI: 14-22).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Chester County adults who reported chronic drinking (23 percent, CI: 13-37).

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	20	17-23	-	26	24-27
Male	19	15-24		22	20-25
Female	21	18-25	-	28	27-30
18-29	16	8-28		24	20-29
30-44	14	10-20	-	24	21-26
45-64	28	24-32		30	28-32
65+	18	15-23		22	20-23
< High School	NSR	NSR		23	18-29
High School	23	17-30		25	23-28
Some College	22	15-30		25	22-28
College Degree	18	15-22	-	26	24-29
<$25,000	32	22-43		26	23-29
$25,000 to $49,999	20	14-28		26	23-29
$50,000+	17	14-20	-	25	23-28
White, non-Hispanic	20	17-23	-	26	24-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	23	14-35		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Employed	19	15-23	-	26	24-28
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	26	18-37		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Out of Work	20	11-32		25	20-31
Emp. Status: Homemaker	22	13-33		25	21-29
Emp. Status: Retired	17	13-23		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-29
Married	20	16-23	-	26	25-28
Divorced/Separated	26	18-35		29	25-33
Widowed	20	14-28		19	16-22
Never Married	18	11-28		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	14-24		26	23-28
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	18-25		26	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	31	22-41		25	22-28
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	19	16-22	-	26	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	29	20-39		23	19-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	19	17-22	-	26	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	26	16-39		29	25-34
Not Asthmatic	19	17-22	-	25	24-27
Obese (BMI >= 30)	25	18-33		26	23-28
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	20	16-25		25	23-27
Not Overweight Nor Obese	17	13-21	-	26	23-28
Limited Due Health Problems	24	18-31		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	19	16-23		25	23-26
Current Smoker	24	17-32		29	26-33
Former Smoker	21	16-26		24	22-27
Never Smoked	19	15-23		25	23-27
Chronic Drinker	17	9-29		25	19-31
Drink But Not Chronic	21	17-25		26	24-28
Non-Drinker	20	16-26		26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		27	22-32
Have Health Care Coverage	20	17-23	-	25	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	18	10-31		23	19-29
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	20	17-23	-	26	25-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		36	30-41
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	19	16-22	-	24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	21	16-27		24	22-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	19	16-23	-	26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		26	23-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Defined as providing regular care or assistance to a friend or family member who has a health problem, long-term illness or disability.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults (26 percent, CI: 24-27).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-25) compared to Pennsylvania women (28 percent, CI: 27-30).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (24 percent, CI: 21-26).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (26 percent, CI: 24-29).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (25 percent, CI: 23-28).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (26 percent, CI: 24-27).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (26 percent, CI: 24-28).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (26 percent, CI: 25-28).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (26 percent, CI: 24-27).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 17-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (26 percent, CI: 24-27).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 17-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (25 percent, CI: 24-27).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (26 percent, CI: 23-28).

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (25 percent, CI: 24-27).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (26 percent, CI: 25-27).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (24 percent, CI: 23-26).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (26 percent, CI: 25-28).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (28 percent, CI: 24-32).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (28 percent, CI: 24-32).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (32 percent, CI: 22-43).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	16	14-19		19	18-20
Male	16	13-21		18	16-19
Female	17	14-20		20	19-22
18-29	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
30-44	15	10-20		13	11-16
45-64	17	13-20	-	23	21-25
65+	25	20-30		27	25-28
< High School	NSR	NSR		28	23-33
High School	22	15-30		22	20-24
Some College	17	12-24		20	17-22
College Degree	14	11-18		13	12-14
<$25,000	40	30-50		34	31-37
$25,000 to $49,999	21	14-31		20	18-22
$50,000+	11	9-14		11	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	17	15-20		19	18-20
Other (Including Hispanic)	12	6-23		19	15-23
Emp. Status: Employed	9	7-12		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	13	8-21		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	8	4-15		18	15-22
Emp. Status: Retired	25	20-31		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		81	76-86
Married	12	10-15		16	15-18
Divorced/Separated	26	18-37		31	27-35
Widowed	31	24-39		29	26-32
Never Married	18	11-29		18	15-21
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	8-16		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	17-24		23	21-24
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	62	52-72		60	56-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	12	9-14		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	41	31-52		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	15	12-18		17	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	22	13-35		36	31-41
Not Asthmatic	16	13-19		17	16-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	25	19-32		28	25-30
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	17	12-22		17	16-19
Not Overweight Nor Obese	13	10-17		14	12-16
Current Smoker	28	20-37		27	24-30
Former Smoker	18	14-22		23	21-26
Never Smoked	13	10-17		14	13-15
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	12-21
Drink But Not Chronic	12	9-15		14	13-16
Non-Drinker	26	21-32		25	23-27
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
Have Health Care Coverage	16	13-18		19	18-20
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	16	14-19		20	19-21
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	28	18-42		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	15	13-18		17	16-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	14	10-19		15	13-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	18	15-21		21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		19	18-20
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (23 percent, CI: 21-25).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (40 percent, CI: 30-50).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Chester County retired adults (25 percent, CI: 20-31).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-15) compared to Chester County retired adults (25 percent, CI: 20-31).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (26 percent, CI: 18-37).
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Chester County widowed adults (31 percent, CI: 24-39).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Chester County adults with no children living in their household (20 percent, CI: 17-24).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (62 percent, CI: 52-72).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (41 percent, CI: 31-52).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Chester County obese adults (25 percent, CI: 19-32).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (28 percent, CI: 20-37).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (26 percent, CI: 21-32).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	6	5-8		8	7-8
Male	6	4-9		7	6-8
Female	6	5-8		8	7-9
18-29	0	NCI		2	1-3
30-44	5	2-9		3	2-4
45-64	7	5-9		9	7-10
65+	14	10-18		17	16-19
< High School	NSR	NSR		14	11-18
High School	8	6-13		9	8-11
Some College	7	5-12		7	6-9
College Degree	5	3-7		4	4-5
<$25,000	22	14-32		15	13-18
$25,000 to $49,999	6	4-11		8	7-9
$50,000+	3	2-5		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic	6	4-7		7	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	10	4-21		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Employed	2	1-3		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	2	1-7		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Homemaker	1	0-5	-	8	7-11
Emp. Status: Retired	14	11-19		17	15-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		38	32-44
Married	4	3-6		6	6-7
Divorced/Separated	13	7-24		12	10-15
Widowed	22	15-30		20	18-23
Never Married	2	1-5		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	2-6		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	6-11		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	34	24-44		30	27-33
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	3	2-4		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic	27	19-37		22	19-25
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	5	3-6		6	6-7
Asthmatic (Current)	9	5-18		13	10-16
Not Asthmatic	6	5-8		7	6-8
Obese (BMI >= 30)	13	8-19		12	11-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	5	3-8		7	6-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese	3	2-5		5	4-6
Current Smoker	10	5-19		9	7-11
Former Smoker	9	6-12		10	8-11
Never Smoked	4	3-6		6	5-7
Chronic Drinker	6	2-16		6	4-9
Drink But Not Chronic	4	3-5		4	3-5
Non-Drinker	12	8-16		12	11-13
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		4	2-5
Have Health Care Coverage	6	5-7		8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	3	1-8		2	1-3
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	6	5-8		8	8-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		8	6-10
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	5	4-7		8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	4	2-8		3	3-5
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	7	5-9		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		8	7-8
Rural	NSR	NSR		7	6-9

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being homemakers (8 percent, CI: 7-11).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (14 percent, CI: 10-18).
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (14 percent, CI: 10-18).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (22 percent, CI: 14-32).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (22 percent, CI: 14-32).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Chester County retired adults (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Chester County retired adults (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Chester County retired adults (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (13 percent, CI: 7-24).
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County widowed adults (22 percent, CI: 15-30).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (13 percent, CI: 7-24).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Chester County widowed adults (22 percent, CI: 15-30).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (34 percent, CI: 24-44).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (27 percent, CI: 19-37).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County obese adults (13 percent, CI: 8-19).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (28 percent, CI: 22-36).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (12 percent, CI: 8-16).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	18	15-21		17	15-18
Male	23	18-28		23	20-25
Female	13	10-17		11	10-12
18-29	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
30-44	25	19-32		20	18-23
45-64	10	8-13		13	11-14
65+	4	3-7		4	3-5
< High School	NSR	NSR		12	9-17
High School	14	8-22		15	13-17
Some College	22	15-33		19	16-22
College Degree	18	14-22		18	16-20
<$25,000	17	10-29		14	12-17
$25,000 to $49,999	14	8-24		16	13-19
$50,000+	20	16-24		20	18-22
White, non-Hispanic	19	16-23		17	16-18
Other (Including Hispanic)	7	3-15		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Employed	22	17-27		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	15	8-26		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		23	18-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	5	3-9		5	4-7
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Married	17	14-21		13	12-15
Divorced/Separated	15	9-23		17	14-20
Widowed	1	0-3		4	3-6
Never Married	28	18-40		29	25-34
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	17-27		19	17-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	11-19		15	14-17
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	12	7-20		9	7-11
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	18	15-22		18	16-19
Diagnosed Diabetic	2	0-8		6	4-8
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	19	15-22		18	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		15	11-19
Not Asthmatic	17	14-21		17	15-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	16	10-24		15	12-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	19	15-25		18	16-21
Not Overweight Nor Obese	18	13-24		18	15-20
Limited Due Health Problems	12	7-21		12	10-14
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	19	15-22		18	16-19
Current Smoker	29	21-40		30	26-34
Former Smoker	14	10-20		16	14-18
Never Smoked	16	13-21		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		24	20-30
Have Health Care Coverage	17	14-20		16	14-17
No Personal Health Care Provider	21	12-35		27	22-32
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	17	14-21		15	14-17
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		21	17-27
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	18	15-21		16	15-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	20	15-26		24	21-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	16	13-20		14	12-15
Urban	NSR	NSR		17	15-18
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-21

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Binge drinking is defined as having five or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for men, or four or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Chester County men (23 percent, CI: 18-28).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (25 percent, CI: 19-32).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (25 percent, CI: 19-32).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (10 percent, CI: 8-13).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 3-15) compared to Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults (19 percent, CI: 16-23).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Chester County employed adults (22 percent, CI: 17-27).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County married adults (17 percent, CI: 14-21).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (15 percent, CI: 9-23).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (28 percent, CI: 18-40).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-8) compared to Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (19 percent, CI: 15-22).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (29 percent, CI: 21-40).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	4	3-6		5	5-6
Male	4	2-7		6	5-7
Female	4	3-6		5	4-6
18-29	4	1-15		8	6-11
30-44	2	1-6		5	4-6
45-64	6	4-8		5	4-6
65+	4	3-7		3	2-4
< High School	NSR	NSR		4	2-7
High School	5	3-8		5	4-6
Some College	5	2-12		5	4-7
College Degree	4	3-6		6	4-7
<$25,000	3	1-7		5	4-7
$25,000 to $49,999	4	2-8		5	4-6
$50,000+	4	2-6		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	4	3-6		6	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	4	2-10		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Employed	4	2-6		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	2	1-7		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Out of Work	4	1-11		8	5-12
Emp. Status: Homemaker	5	2-11		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Retired	6	4-10		3	2-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		5	3-8
Married	4	2-5		4	4-5
Divorced/Separated	5	2-9		6	5-8
Widowed	4	2-9		3	2-4
Never Married	6	2-14		8	6-11
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	4	2-7		5	4-6
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	5	3-6		5	5-6
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	1	0-5		4	3-5
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	4	3-6		5	5-6
Diagnosed Diabetic	0	NCI		3	2-4
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	4	3-6		5	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic	4	3-5		5	4-6
Obese (BMI >= 30)	3	1-6		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	4	2-6		4	4-6
Not Overweight Nor Obese	6	4-9		7	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	5	2-13		5	3-6
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	4	3-5		5	5-6
Current Smoker	4	2-9		11	9-14
Former Smoker	6	4-9		5	4-6
Never Smoked	3	2-6		3	2-4
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		8	6-12
Have Health Care Coverage	4	3-6		5	4-6
No Personal Health Care Provider	6	2-13		9	6-13
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	4	3-6		5	4-6
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	3	1-8		7	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	4	3-6		5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	3	2-6		7	5-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	5	3-7		5	4-6
Urban	NSR	NSR		5	4-6
Rural	NSR	NSR		6	4-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Heavy drinking is defined as having more than two drinks per day for men or more than one drink per day for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- There were no significant differences within Chester County results.

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	60	57-64		57	56-59
Male, Age 50+	59	53-65		54	52-57
Female, Age 50+	62	57-66		60	58-62
50-64	53	47-58		45	43-48
65+	72	67-77		73	71-75
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		57	51-63
High School, Age 50+	56	49-64		56	53-58
Some College, Age 50+	61	52-69		57	53-61
College Degree, Age 50+	64	59-69		59	56-62
<$25,000, Age 50+	56	46-66		60	56-63
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	62	54-70		56	53-59
$50,000+, Age 50+	61	55-66		55	52-58
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	62	58-66		58	56-59
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR		54	47-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	56	50-62		48	45-51
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	41	30-55		43	36-50
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		41	33-49
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		61	55-66
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	72	66-77		70	68-72
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		57	49-64
Married, Age 50+	60	55-65		57	54-59
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	59	48-69		52	47-56
Widowed, Age 50+	68	60-75		69	65-72
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		51	45-57
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	52	40-63		46	40-52
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	62	58-66		59	57-60
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	63	52-73		65	61-68
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	60	56-64		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	68	57-78		73	69-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	60	55-64		54	53-56
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR		68	62-73
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	60	56-64		56	55-58
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	69	60-77		60	56-63
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	64	58-70		57	54-59
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	53	46-59		57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	72	64-79		62	59-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	58	53-62		56	54-58
Current Smoker, Age 50+	46	34-58		45	40-49
Former Smoker, Age 50+	64	58-70		61	58-64
Never Smoked, Age 50+	61	55-66		58	56-61
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		52	44-60
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	60	54-65		55	53-58
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	62	55-68		59	57-62
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		29	22-37
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	62	58-66		59	57-61
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		20	15-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	63	59-67		59	57-61
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		47	40-53
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	62	58-65		58	56-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	43	36-51	+	32	28-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	66	62-70		63	61-65
Urban, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		58	57-60
Rural, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		50	46-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly higher percentage (43 percent, CI: 36-51) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (32 percent, CI: 28-35).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 47-58) compared to Chester County adults age 65+ (72 percent, CI: 67-77).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 50-62) compared to Chester County retired adults age 50 and older (72 percent, CI: 66-77).
  - Chester County self-employed adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 30-55) compared to Chester County retired adults age 50 and older (72 percent, CI: 66-77).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 46-59) compared to Chester County obese adults age 50 and older (69 percent, CI: 60-77).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 53-62) compared to Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (72 percent, CI: 64-79).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 36-51) compared to Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (66 percent, CI: 62-70).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 65+	70	64-75		70	68-72
Male, Age 65+	65	56-73		67	64-71
Female, Age 65+	73	67-79		72	69-74
65+	79	70-85		70	67-73
< High School, Age 65+	78	65-87		74	69-78
High School, Age 65+	60	51-67		70	65-75
Some College, Age 65+	72	60-81		69	65-73
College Degree, Age 65+	71	60-80		70	66-74
<$25,000, Age 65+	62	52-71		68	62-73
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 65+	72	66-76		71	68-73
$50,000+, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		65	56-73
White, non-Hispanic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	46-60
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	40-66
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		75	69-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	75	68-80		72	70-75
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		74	61-84
Emp. Status: Retired	66	58-73		70	67-73
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		63	56-69
Married, Age 65+	80	72-86		72	69-76
Divorced/Separated, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		66	56-76
Widowed, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Never Married, Age 65+	70	65-75		70	68-72
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Veteran, Age 65+	73	59-84		76	72-80
Non-Veteran, Age 65+	69	63-74		68	65-70
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		77	72-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 65+	69	63-75		68	66-71
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		81	73-86
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	69	64-74		69	67-71
Asthmatic (Current), Age 65+	75	63-85		68	64-72
Not Asthmatic, Age 65+	66	58-74		70	67-73
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 65+	73	64-80		71	68-75
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 65+	82	72-89		77	73-80
Neither Overweight nor Obese, Age 65+	67	61-73		68	65-70
Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		62	54-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	78	70-84		72	69-75
Current Smoker, Age 65+	65	57-72		69	67-72
Former Smoker, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		59	48-69
Never Smoked, Age 65+	68	61-75		71	67-74
Chronic Drinker, Age 65+	71	63-78		70	68-73
Drink but Not Chronic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Drinker, Age 65+	70	65-75		70	68-72
No Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		44	32-57
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	70	65-75		71	69-73
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		76	65-85
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 65+	70	64-74		70	68-72
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 65+	51	39-63		50	43-57
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 65+	74	69-80		72	70-74
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	68-72
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	64-75

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 39-63) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (74 percent, CI: 69-80).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	26	24-29	-	31	30-33
Male	25	21-29		27	25-29
Female	28	24-32	-	35	33-36
18-29	5	2-13		8	6-11
30-44	13	9-18		18	16-21
45-64	35	31-40		39	37-41
65+	52	46-57		57	55-59
< High School	NSR	NSR		42	36-48
High School	35	28-42		37	35-39
Some College	32	25-40		28	26-31
College Degree	21	18-24		24	22-26
<$25,000	43	34-54		41	38-44
$25,000 to $49,999	34	27-42		33	30-35
$50,000+	22	19-25		26	24-28
White, non-Hispanic	27	24-30	-	33	31-34
Other (Including Hispanic)	21	14-31		24	21-28
Emp. Status: Employed	20	17-24		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	20	13-29		28	23-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	18	12-27	-	36	32-40
Emp. Status: Retired	56	50-62		54	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		58	52-65
Married	25	22-29	-	33	32-35
Divorced/Separated	30	22-39		36	33-40
Widowed	54	46-62		56	53-60
Never Married	16	10-24		16	14-19
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	12-19		20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	31-39		38	37-40
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	62	51-72		57	54-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	20-26		27	25-28
Diagnosed Diabetic	61	50-71		51	47-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	24	22-27	-	29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	29	19-41		42	37-47
Not Asthmatic	26	23-29		30	29-32
Obese (BMI >= 30)	40	32-48		41	39-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	27	22-31		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	21	17-25		23	21-25
Limited Due Health Problems	58	50-67		61	58-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	18-23		24	23-25
Current Smoker	28	20-36		32	29-35
Former Smoker	36	31-41		40	37-42
Never Smoked	22	18-25		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	25	15-38		32	26-39
Drink But Not Chronic	23	20-26		26	25-28
Non-Drinker	34	29-40		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		20	16-24
Have Health Care Coverage	27	24-30	-	33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	18	10-29		13	10-18
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	27	24-30	-	33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	29	19-42		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	26	23-29	-	31	30-32
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	18	14-22		22	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	31	28-35		35	33-36
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-33
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 24-29) compared to Pennsylvania adults (31 percent, CI: 30-33).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-32) compared to Pennsylvania women (35 percent, CI: 33-36).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-30) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (33 percent, CI: 31-34).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being homemakers (36 percent, CI: 32-40).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (33 percent, CI: 32-35).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 22-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (29 percent, CI: 28-31).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-30) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (33 percent, CI: 31-34).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-30) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (33 percent, CI: 32-35).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (31 percent, CI: 30-32).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (35 percent, CI: 31-40).
  - Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (52 percent, CI: 46-57).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (35 percent, CI: 31-40).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (52 percent, CI: 46-57).
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-40) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (52 percent, CI: 46-57).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 19-25) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (43 percent, CI: 34-54).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 19-25) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (34 percent, CI: 27-42).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Arthritis, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Chester County retired adults (56 percent, CI: 50-62).
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 13-29) compared to Chester County retired adults (56 percent, CI: 50-62).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-27) compared to Chester County retired adults (56 percent, CI: 50-62).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Chester County widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 46-62).
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 22-39) compared to Chester County widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 46-62).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 10-24) compared to Chester County widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 46-62).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Chester County adults with no children living in their household (35 percent, CI: 31-39).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (62 percent, CI: 51-72).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 22-27) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (61 percent, CI: 50-71).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Chester County obese adults (40 percent, CI: 32-48).
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Chester County obese adults (40 percent, CI: 32-48).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 18-23) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (58 percent, CI: 50-67).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-25) compared to Chester County adults who reported being former smokers (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (34 percent, CI: 29-40).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (31 percent, CI: 28-35).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	36	31-41		42	39-44
Male	32	25-41		37	33-41
Female	38	32-45		45	42-47
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
45-64	35	28-43		43	39-46
65+	35	28-42		40	37-43
< High School	NSR	NSR		52	44-60
High School	42	32-53		39	36-43
Some College	40	29-51		46	41-51
College Degree	29	23-37		38	33-42
<$25,000	53	40-66		52	48-57
$25,000 to $49,999	43	33-55		41	37-45
$50,000+	28	21-35		32	28-36
White, non-Hispanic	36	31-41		40	38-43
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Emp. Status: Employed	27	20-35		32	29-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		30	22-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	37-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		46	39-52
Emp. Status: Retired	35	28-43		40	37-44
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		81	75-87
Married	32	26-39		38	35-41
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		53	48-59
Widowed	41	30-52		43	39-48
Never Married	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	24-48		41	35-46
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	36	30-42		42	39-44
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	57	45-68		67	63-71
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	29	24-35		32	29-35
Diagnosed Diabetic	39	27-53		50	44-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	35	30-41		40	38-43
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		55	48-61
Not Asthmatic	36	30-41		40	37-42
Obese (BMI >= 30)	45	35-55		47	43-51
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	34	26-43		39	35-43
Neither Overweight nor Obese	29	22-38		36	32-40
Limited Due Health Problems	69	61-77		78	75-81
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	17	13-22		19	17-22
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR		52	46-57
Former Smoker	33	26-42		40	37-44
Never Smoked	32	25-39		38	35-41
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		44	34-55
Drink But Not Chronic	31	24-38		35	32-38
Non-Drinker	44	36-53		46	43-49
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-57
Have Health Care Coverage	34	29-40		41	39-44
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	29-39		41	39-43
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		62	53-70
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	33	27-38		39	37-41
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	36	27-47		39	34-45
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	36	30-42		42	40-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-44
Rural	NSR	NSR		44	38-50

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There are no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 21-35) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (53 percent, CI: 40-66).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 24-35) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (57 percent, CI: 45-68).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (69 percent, CI: 61-77).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	20	15-25	-	28	26-30
Male	15	9-24	-	28	24-33
Female	23	18-30		27	25-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		34	27-41
45-64	17	12-23	-	30	27-33
65+	15	10-21		20	17-22
< High School	NSR	NSR		41	33-50
High School	28	19-40		29	26-32
Some College	27	17-40		30	25-36
College Degree	9	6-15		17	14-21
<$25,000	46	33-59		42	38-47
$25,000 to $49,999	21	13-32		28	24-32
$50,000+	10	7-16		18	14-21
White, non-Hispanic	19	15-25		25	23-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		49	40-58
Emp. Status: Employed	14	8-22		22	18-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		28	18-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		44	32-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Retired	13	8-19		20	17-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		68	61-75
Married	13	9-19	-	24	22-27
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		40	35-47
Widowed	26	17-39		22	18-26
Never Married	NSR	NSR		41	32-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	12-33		33	27-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	15-25		26	24-29
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	37	27-49		49	45-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	14	10-20		20	18-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	20	11-34		34	29-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	19	15-25		27	24-29
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		39	32-46
Not Asthmatic	18	14-23	-	26	24-29
Obese (BMI >= 30)	27	18-39		35	32-40
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	17	11-26		21	18-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	15	10-23		25	21-30
Limited Due Health Problems	40	31-49		53	49-56
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	5-14		13	11-15
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR		43	38-49
Former Smoker	21	14-29		25	22-29
Never Smoked	15	10-21		23	20-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		34	24-45
Drink But Not Chronic	13	8-20		21	18-25
Non-Drinker	29	22-38		32	28-35
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-58
Have Health Care Coverage	18	13-23	-	26	24-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	17	13-22	-	27	25-29
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		55	46-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	15	11-20	-	24	22-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	21	14-31		29	24-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	19	14-25		27	25-30
Urban	NSR	NSR		27	25-30
Rural	NSR	NSR		30	24-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-25) compared to Pennsylvania adults (28 percent, CI: 26-30).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 9-24) compared to Pennsylvania men (28 percent, CI: 24-33).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (30 percent, CI: 27-33).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-19) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (24 percent, CI: 22-27).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (26 percent, CI: 24-29).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (26 percent, CI: 24-29).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (27 percent, CI: 25-29).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (24 percent, CI: 22-26).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-15) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (28 percent, CI: 19-40).
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-15) compared to Chester County adults with some college education (27 percent, CI: 17-40).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 13-32) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (37 percent, CI: 27-49).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-14) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (40 percent, CI: 31-49).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-20) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (29 percent, CI: 22-38).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	24	19-29	-	37	34-39
Male	17	11-25	-	31	27-35
Female	29	23-36	-	41	38-43
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		39	32-47
45-64	20	14-26	-	37	34-40
65+	26	20-33		35	32-38
< High School	NSR	NSR		46	38-54
High School	33	24-45		38	35-41
Some College	29	20-41		41	36-46
College Degree	16	11-22		26	22-30
<$25,000	46	33-59		51	46-55
$25,000 to $49,999	31	22-42		36	32-41
$50,000+	12	8-19	-	25	21-28
White, non-Hispanic	24	19-29	-	35	33-37
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		51	42-59
Emp. Status: Employed	10	6-16	-	28	25-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		25	16-37
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	36-59
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		41	35-47
Emp. Status: Retired	24	18-31		34	31-37
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		77	69-83
Married	20	15-27	-	32	30-35
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		50	44-56
Widowed	35	25-47		39	35-44
Never Married	NSR	NSR		45	36-54
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	25	15-38		38	32-44
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	19-29	-	36	34-39
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	51	39-63		65	61-69
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	16	12-21	-	26	24-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	35	23-49		46	41-52
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	22	17-27	-	35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		54	47-61
Not Asthmatic	22	17-27	-	34	32-37
Obese (BMI >= 30)	30	21-40	-	46	42-50
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	23	16-32		31	28-34
Neither Overweight nor Obese	19	12-27		30	26-35
Limited Due Health Problems	53	44-62		65	62-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	5-12	-	19	17-22
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR		48	43-54
Former Smoker	20	14-27	-	35	31-38
Never Smoked	24	18-31		33	30-36
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	26-47
Drink But Not Chronic	16	11-23	-	28	25-32
Non-Drinker	34	26-43		43	40-46
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		45	34-56
Have Health Care Coverage	23	19-28	-	36	34-38
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	22	18-27	-	36	34-39
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		57	48-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	21	17-26	-	34	32-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	30	21-41		36	30-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	22	17-28	-	37	34-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-39
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	32-44

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Among adults who were ever told they have some form of arthritis, 16% (CI: 13-20) of Indiana County adults and 14% (CI: 13-16) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a lot. Twenty-seven (27) percent, (CI: 22-32) of Indiana County adults and 22% (CI: 20-24) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a little.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-29) compared to Pennsylvania adults (37 percent, CI: 34-39).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-25) compared to Pennsylvania men (31 percent, CI: 27-35).
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 23-36) compared to Pennsylvania women (41 percent, CI: 38-43).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-26) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (37 percent, CI: 34-40).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (25 percent, CI: 21-28).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-29) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (35 percent, CI: 33-37).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-16) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (28 percent, CI: 25-32).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-27) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (32 percent, CI: 30-35).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-29) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (36 percent, CI: 34-39).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (26 percent, CI: 24-29).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (35 percent, CI: 33-37).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (34 percent, CI: 32-37).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 21-40) compared to Pennsylvania obese adults (46 percent, CI: 42-50).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania: (continued)

- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (19 percent, CI: 17-22).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (35 percent, CI: 31-38).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-23) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (28 percent, CI: 25-32).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-28) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (36 percent, CI: 34-38).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (36 percent, CI: 34-39).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-26) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (34 percent, CI: 32-36).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-28) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (37 percent, CI: 34-39).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (33 percent, CI: 24-45).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (31 percent, CI: 22-42).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-16) compared to Chester County retired adults (24 percent, CI: 18-31).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (51 percent, CI: 39-63).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (53 percent, CI: 44-62).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-23) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (34 percent, CI: 26-43).

Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	28	25-31		24	23-25
Male	22	18-27		20	18-22
Female	34	29-38		28	26-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
30-44	28	22-34		21	19-24
45-64	28	24-32		24	22-26
65+	32	27-38		26	25-28
< High School	NSR	NSR		20	15-26
High School	25	18-32		19	17-21
Some College	27	19-36		24	21-27
College Degree	31	27-35		31	29-34
<$25,000	22	15-30		20	17-23
$25,000 to $49,999	31	23-40		22	19-24
$50,000+	29	25-34		27	25-30
White, non-Hispanic	28	24-31		24	22-25
Other (Including Hispanic)	34	22-48		26	22-31
Emp. Status: Employed	27	23-32		23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	30	21-40		28	22-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	9	5-17		18	14-24
Emp. Status: Homemaker	40	28-54		32	28-36
Emp. Status: Retired	33	27-39		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		23	17-30
Married	30	26-34	+	24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	20	14-28		22	19-26
Widowed	31	23-39		26	23-29
Never Married	24	15-36		24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	27	22-33		23	21-25
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	29	25-33		25	23-26
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	22	15-30		18	16-21
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	29	25-33		25	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	26	18-37		23	20-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	28	25-32		24	23-26
Asthmatic (Current)	26	16-40		20	16-25
Not Asthmatic	28	25-32		24	23-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	26	19-34		21	19-24
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	26	21-31		23	21-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	30	25-36		27	25-30
Limited Due Health Problems	28	22-36		23	20-26
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	28	25-32		24	23-26
Current Smoker	16	10-26		18	15-21
Former Smoker	28	23-33		23	21-25
Never Smoked	31	27-36		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		20	15-26
Drink But Not Chronic	29	25-33		24	22-26
Non-Drinker	25	20-31		26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		21	16-27
Have Health Care Coverage	29	25-32		24	23-26
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		22	18-28
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	28	25-32		24	23-26
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	19	10-32		23	19-29
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	29	26-32		24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	20-31		21	18-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	30	26-34		26	24-27
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		19	16-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly higher percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (24 percent, CI: 22-25).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Gender**
  - Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Chester County women (34 percent, CI: 29-38).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Chester County employed adults (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Chester County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Chester County self-employed adults (30 percent, CI: 21-40).
  - Chester County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Chester County adults who reported being homemakers (40 percent, CI: 28-54).
  - Chester County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Chester County retired adults (33 percent, CI: 27-39).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 10-26) compared to Chester County adults who have never smoked (31 percent, CI: 27-36).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	87	84-90		86	85-87
Male	87	82-91		87	85-88
Female	88	84-91		86	84-87
18-29	NSR	NSR		89	85-92
30-44	90	84-94		89	86-91
45-64	90	86-92		87	86-89
65+	82	78-86		79	77-80
< High School	NSR	NSR		78	72-82
High School	83	77-89		82	80-84
Some College	91	85-94		89	87-91
College Degree	89	85-92		91	89-92
<$25,000	82	71-89		79	76-82
$25,000 to $49,999	90	85-93		85	82-87
$50,000+	89	85-92		92	90-93
White, non-Hispanic	88	85-90		88	87-89
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		79	74-83
Emp. Status: Employed	91	87-94		89	88-91
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	91	84-95		87	83-91
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		87	81-91
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		89	86-91
Emp. Status: Retired	83	77-87		81	79-83
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		65	58-71
Married	90	86-92		89	88-90
Divorced/Separated	88	78-94		82	79-85
Widowed	77	69-84		77	74-79
Never Married	84	72-92		84	81-87
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	89	84-93		90	88-91
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	86	82-89		84	83-85
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	69	58-78		70	66-73
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	89	86-92		89	88-90
Diagnosed Diabetic	75	66-83		78	75-81
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	88	85-91		87	86-88
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		83	78-87
Not Asthmatic	88	84-90		87	85-88
Obese (BMI >= 30)	79	71-85		83	81-85
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	92	88-94		86	84-88
Neither Overweight nor Obese	88	82-92		89	87-91
Limited Due Health Problems	72	63-79		75	73-78
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	91	87-93		89	88-90
Current Smoker	87	78-93		85	82-87
Former Smoker	87	82-90		85	83-87
Never Smoked	88	83-91		87	86-89
Chronic Drinker	92	81-97		87	82-91
Drink But Not Chronic	90	86-93		91	90-92
Non-Drinker	80	74-85		81	79-83
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		88	84-91
Have Health Care Coverage	87	84-90		86	85-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		86	81-89
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	88	85-91		86	85-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		86	82-89
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	85-91		86	85-87
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	90	85-94		88	86-90
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	86	82-89		86	84-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		86	85-87
Rural	NSR	NSR		88	85-91

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 69-84) compared to Chester County married adults (90 percent, CI: 86-92).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 58-78) compared to Chester County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (89 percent, CI: 86-92).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 66-83) compared to Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (88 percent, CI: 85-91).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 71-85) compared to Chester County overweight adults (92 percent, CI: 88-94).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 63-79) compared to Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems (91 percent, CI: 87-93).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 74-85) compared to Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (90 percent, CI: 86-93).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	56	52-59		50	49-52
Male	58	52-63		53	51-56
Female	54	49-58		48	46-50
18-29	NSR	NSR		62	57-67
30-44	54	47-61		52	49-55
45-64	54	49-58		49	47-51
65+	44	39-50		40	37-42
< High School	NSR	NSR		42	35-48
High School	51	42-59		46	43-49
Some College	57	47-66		54	50-57
College Degree	57	52-62		55	52-57
<$25,000	45	34-56		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	49	39-58		50	46-53
$50,000+	59	54-64		56	54-59
White, non-Hispanic	57	53-61		51	49-53
Other (Including Hispanic)	45	33-59		48	42-53
Emp. Status: Employed	54	49-59		51	49-54
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	69	56-79		59	53-65
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		54	47-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	64	50-75		53	48-58
Emp. Status: Retired	46	40-52		43	41-46
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		28	23-35
Married	57	53-61	+	50	48-51
Divorced/Separated	47	37-58		47	42-51
Widowed	34	27-43		36	33-40
Never Married	65	53-75		57	52-61
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	62	55-67		54	51-57
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	51	47-56		48	46-50
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	35	26-46		33	30-37
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	58	54-62		53	51-55
Diagnosed Diabetic	39	29-50		36	32-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	57	53-61		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	66	52-77		47	41-52
Not Asthmatic	55	51-59		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	40	32-49		41	38-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	54	48-60		51	48-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	64	58-70		58	55-60
Limited Due Health Problems	39	31-47		37	33-40
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	59	55-63		54	52-55
Current Smoker	53	43-63		51	47-55
Former Smoker	49	43-55		48	45-51
Never Smoked	60	54-64		52	49-54
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		59	51-66
Drink But Not Chronic	61	56-65		55	52-57
Non-Drinker	44	38-51		45	42-47
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	55	52-59	+	49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		56	50-61
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	56	52-59	+	50	48-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		52	46-58
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	56	53-60	+	50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	61	54-67		53	50-56
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	53	49-58		49	48-51
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	49-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		51	47-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly higher percentage (57 percent, CI: 53-61) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (50 percent, CI: 48-51).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (55 percent, CI: 52-59) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (49 percent, CI: 48-51).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly higher percentage (56 percent, CI: 52-59) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (50 percent, CI: 48-51).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly higher percentage (56 percent, CI: 53-60) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (50 percent, CI: 49-52).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 40-52) compared to Chester County self-employed adults (69 percent, CI: 56-79).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 27-43) compared to Chester County married adults (57 percent, CI: 53-61).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 27-43) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (65 percent, CI: 53-75).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 26-46) compared to Chester County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (58 percent, CI: 54-62).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 29-50) compared to Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (57 percent, CI: 53-61).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 32-49) compared to Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (64 percent, CI: 58-70).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 31-47) compared to Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems (59 percent, CI: 55-63).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 38-51) compared to Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (61 percent, CI: 56-65).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	56	52-59		50	49-52
Male	63	58-69		59	56-61
Female	49	44-54		42	40-44
18-29	NSR	NSR		69	64-73
30-44	61	54-68		56	53-59
45-64	55	50-60	+	47	45-49
65+	31	26-36		31	29-33
< High School	NSR	NSR		38	32-45
High School	47	39-55		44	42-47
Some College	56	47-64		53	50-57
College Degree	59	54-64		57	54-60
<$25,000	40	30-52		39	35-42
$25,000 to $49,999	46	37-56		47	43-50
$50,000+	61	57-66		60	58-62
White, non-Hispanic	56	52-60		51	49-52
Other (Including Hispanic)	51	38-64		49	43-54
Emp. Status: Employed	61	56-66		56	54-59
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	71	61-79		60	54-66
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		50	43-57
Emp. Status: Homemaker	51	38-63		47	42-51
Emp. Status: Retired	34	28-40		33	31-35
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		18	13-23
Married	59	55-64	+	50	48-52
Divorced/Separated	43	33-53		42	38-46
Widowed	26	20-34		26	23-29
Never Married	66	54-76		59	55-63
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	64	58-70		58	55-61
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	50	45-54		46	44-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	23	15-32		23	20-26
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	59	56-63		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic	28	19-39		31	27-35
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	58	54-61		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	63	50-75		46	41-52
Not Asthmatic	55	51-59		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	41	33-49		42	39-45
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	55	49-60		52	49-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	64	59-70		57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems	33	25-41		31	28-34
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	61	57-64		55	53-57
Current Smoker	46	36-56		50	46-54
Former Smoker	50	44-56		46	44-49
Never Smoked	61	56-66	+	52	50-55
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-66
Drink But Not Chronic	66	61-70	+	57	55-59
Non-Drinker	35	29-42		42	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	55	52-59	+	49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		60	55-66
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	55	52-59	+	49	47-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		49	43-55
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	56	53-60	+	50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	61	55-67		57	54-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	53	49-57		47	46-49
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	48-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		52	48-56

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (55 percent, CI: 50-60) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (47 percent, CI: 45-49).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly higher percentage (59 percent, CI: 55-64) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (50 percent, CI: 48-52).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who never smoked had a significantly higher percentage (61 percent, CI: 56-66) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (52 percent, CI: 50-55).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly higher percentage (66 percent, CI: 61-70) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (57 percent, CI: 55-59).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (55 percent, CI: 52-59) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (49 percent, CI: 48-51).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly higher percentage (55 percent, CI: 52-59) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (49 percent, CI: 47-51).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly higher percentage (56 percent, CI: 53-60) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (50 percent, CI: 49-52).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 44-54) compared to Chester County men (63 percent, CI: 58-69).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 26-36) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (61 percent, CI: 54-68).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 26-36) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (55 percent, CI: 50-60).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 30-52) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (61 percent, CI: 57-66).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 37-56) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (61 percent, CI: 57-66).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 28-40) compared to Chester County employed adults (61 percent, CI: 56-66).
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 28-40) compared to Chester County self-employed adults (71 percent, CI: 61-79).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 33-53) compared to Chester County married adults (59 percent, CI: 55-64).
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 33-53) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (66 percent, CI: 54-76).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 20-34) compared to Chester County married adults (59 percent, CI: 55-64).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 20-34) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (66 percent, CI: 54-76).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 45-54) compared to Chester County adults with children living in their household (64 percent, CI: 58-70).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 15-32) compared to Chester County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (59 percent, CI: 56-63).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 19-39) compared to Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (58 percent, CI: 54-61).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 33-49) compared to Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (64 percent, CI: 59-70).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 25-41) compared to Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems (61 percent, CI: 57-64).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 29-42) compared to Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (66 percent, CI: 61-70).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	35	31-39	+	28	26-29
Male	41	35-47		33	31-36
Female	29	25-34	+	23	21-25
18-29	NSR	NSR		43	38-49
30-44	38	31-45		30	27-33
45-64	31	27-35		25	23-27
65+	20	16-25		16	15-18
< High School	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
High School	27	20-36		23	20-25
Some College	38	29-48		31	28-35
College Degree	36	32-41		33	31-36
<$25,000	22	13-33		23	19-26
$25,000 to $49,999	27	19-36		24	21-27
$50,000+	38	33-42		34	31-36
White, non-Hispanic	35	31-39	+	27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	31	21-44		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Employed	35	30-40		30	28-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	48	37-60		37	31-44
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	34	24-46		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Retired	23	18-29		17	16-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		10	7-15
Married	35	31-40	+	26	24-28
Divorced/Separated	23	16-32		23	19-27
Widowed	12	8-19		12	10-15
Never Married	51	39-63		38	34-43
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	41	35-47		32	29-35
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	30	26-35		25	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	10	6-18		12	10-15
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	37	34-42	+	31	29-32
Diagnosed Diabetic	16	9-26		15	11-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	36	32-40	+	29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
Not Asthmatic	34	31-38	+	28	27-30
Obese (BMI >= 30)	21	14-29		19	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	34	28-40		30	28-33
Neither Overweight nor Obese	43	37-49		34	31-37
Limited Due Health Problems	18	12-26		16	14-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	38	34-43	+	31	29-32
Current Smoker	26	18-36		28	24-32
Former Smoker	27	22-33		24	22-26
Never Smoked	41	36-46	+	30	28-32
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		37	30-44
Drink But Not Chronic	41	36-46	+	32	29-34
Non-Drinker	23	18-29		22	20-25
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		36	30-42
Have Health Care Coverage	35	31-39	+	27	25-28
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		37	31-43
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	31-38	+	27	25-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		33	27-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	37	33-40	+	27	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	36	30-43		32	29-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	34	30-39	+	26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		28	24-32

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-39) compared to Pennsylvania adults (28 percent, CI: 26-29).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly higher percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-34) compared to Pennsylvania women (23 percent, CI: 21-25).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-39) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (27 percent, CI: 26-29).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-40) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (26 percent, CI: 24-28).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly higher percentage (37 percent, CI: 34-42) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (31 percent, CI: 29-32).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly higher percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-40) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (29 percent, CI: 28-31).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly higher percentage (34 percent, CI: 31-38) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (28 percent, CI: 27-30).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly higher percentage (38 percent, CI: 34-43) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (31 percent, CI: 29-32).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who never smoked had a significantly higher percentage (41 percent, CI: 36-46) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (30 percent, CI: 28-32).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly higher percentage (41 percent, CI: 36-46) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (32 percent, CI: 29-34).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-39) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (27 percent, CI: 25-28).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly higher percentage (34 percent, CI: 31-38) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (27 percent, CI: 25-28).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly higher percentage (37 percent, CI: 33-40) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (27 percent, CI: 26-29).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly higher percentage (34 percent, CI: 30-39) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (26 percent, CI: 25-28).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-34) compared to Chester County men (41 percent, CI: 35-47).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (38 percent, CI: 31-45).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (31 percent, CI: 27-35).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Chester County employed adults (35 percent, CI: 30-40).
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Chester County self-employed adults (48 percent, CI: 37-60).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 16-32) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (51 percent, CI: 39-63).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Chester County married adults (35 percent, CI: 31-40).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (51 percent, CI: 39-63).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-18) compared to Chester County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (37 percent, CI: 34-42).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 9-26) compared to Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (36 percent, CI: 32-40).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-29) compared to Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (43 percent, CI: 37-49).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-26) compared to Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems (38 percent, CI: 34-43).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-33) compared to Chester County adults who have never smoked (41 percent, CI: 36-46).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (41 percent, CI: 36-46).

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	37	33-42		35	33-37
Male, Age 18-64	33	27-39		33	30-36
Female, Age 18-64	42	36-48		37	35-40
18-29	NSR	NSR		37	32-42
30-44	52	45-59		48	45-51
45-64	27	22-31		25	23-27
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		34	26-43
High School, Age 18-64	33	24-43		33	29-36
Some College, Age 18-64	33	24-43		36	32-40
College Degree, Age 18-64	41	35-46		37	34-40
<$25,000, Age 18-64	41	28-55		47	42-52
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	40	28-53		33	29-37
$50,000+, Age 18-64	36	32-42		33	31-36
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	36	32-41		31	29-32
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		55	49-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	39	33-44		35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	34	24-46		31	25-38
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		44	38-51
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		37	32-43
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		19	14-24
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		51	44-58
Married, Age 18-64	36	31-41		31	29-33
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	44	33-55		50	46-55
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		34	26-43
Never Married, Age 18-64	36	25-48		37	33-42
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	44	37-50		42	39-45
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	31	26-37		29	26-31
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	41	29-54		42	37-47
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	37	33-41		34	32-36
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		32	26-38
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	37	33-42		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		45	39-52
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	38	33-42		34	32-36
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	37	27-47		34	31-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	37	31-44		37	34-40
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	38	32-45		35	32-38
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	48	38-59		45	40-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	35	31-40		33	31-35
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	41	30-51		48	44-52
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	40	32-48		36	32-39
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	35	30-41		29	27-32
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		38	30-46
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	38	33-43		37	34-40
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	37	30-46		32	30-35
No Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		38	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	38	34-43		35	33-37
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		43	37-49
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	37	33-42		34	32-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		50	44-56
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	37	33-42		33	31-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	35	28-41		33	30-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	39	34-45		36	34-38
Urban, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	34-38
Rural, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		28	24-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Chester County and Pennsylvania results.

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (52 percent, CI: 45-59).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	4	3-6	-	9	8-10
Male	4	3-6	-	11	10-13
Female	5	3-7		7	6-8
18-29	0	NCI		8	5-11
30-44	3	2-6		8	6-10
45-64	6	4-9		9	7-10
65+	8	5-11		12	11-14
< High School	NSR	NSR		17	13-22
High School	10	7-15		12	11-14
Some College	3	2-6		7	6-10
College Degree	3	2-4		4	3-5
<$25,000	13	8-20		17	14-20
$25,000 to $49,999	8	4-13		9	8-11
$50,000+	2	1-4		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	4	3-6	-	8	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	6	3-13		16	12-20
Emp. Status: Employed	4	3-6		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	2	0-7		9	5-14
Emp. Status: Out of Work	8	4-18		12	8-17
Emp. Status: Homemaker	1	0-4		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Retired	8	5-12		12	11-14
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-29
Married	3	2-4	-	7	6-8
Divorced/Separated	4	2-10	-	16	13-20
Widowed	14	9-22		13	11-15
Never Married	4	2-8		10	8-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	2-5	-	8	6-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	5	4-7	-	10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	17	11-25		20	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	3	2-4	-	7	6-8
Diagnosed Diabetic	13	8-23		14	11-17
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	4	3-5	-	8	8-10
Asthmatic (Current)	9	4-16		10	7-13
Not Asthmatic	4	3-5	-	9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	6	3-10		11	9-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	4	2-6	-	8	7-10
Neither Overweight nor Obese	4	3-6		8	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	10	7-15		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	3	2-5	-	7	6-8
Current Smoker	7	4-13		12	9-14
Former Smoker	4	3-6	-	9	8-11
Never Smoked	4	3-6	-	8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	7	3-18		13	9-19
Drink But Not Chronic	3	2-5		6	5-7
Non-Drinker	6	4-9	-	12	10-13
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		16	12-21
Have Health Care Coverage	4	3-6	-	8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	5	2-13		15	11-20
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	4	3-6	-	8	7-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	10	5-18		20	15-25
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	4	3-5	-	8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	5	3-8		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	4	3-6	-	9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania men (11 percent, CI: 10-13).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (8 percent, CI: 7-8).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (7 percent, CI: 6-8).
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-10) compared to Pennsylvania divorced or separated adults (16 percent, CI: 13-20).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (8 percent, CI: 6-10).
  - Chester County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (10 percent, CI: 9-11).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (7 percent, CI: 6-8).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (8 percent, CI: 8-10).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (9 percent, CI: 8-10).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Pennsylvania overweight adults (8 percent, CI: 7-10).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (7 percent, CI: 6-8).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (9 percent, CI: 8-11).
  - Chester County adults who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who do not drink alcohol had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not drink alcohol (12 percent, CI: 10-13).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (8 percent, CI: 7-8).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (9 percent, CI: 8-10).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (10 percent, CI: 7-15).
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (10 percent, CI: 7-15).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (13 percent, CI: 8-20).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Chester County retired adults (8 percent, CI: 5-12).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County widowed adults (14 percent, CI: 9-22).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Chester County widowed adults (14 percent, CI: 9-22).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (17 percent, CI: 11-25).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (13 percent, CI: 8-23).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (10 percent, CI: 7-15).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	96	94-97		94	93-95
Male	96	93-98		94	92-95
Female	96	93-97		94	93-95
18-29	99	91-100		93	89-95
30-44	95	91-98		93	92-95
45-64	95	92-97		94	93-95
65+	96	94-98		97	96-97
< High School	NSR	NSR		90	85-93
High School	93	88-96		93	92-95
Some College	96	92-97		93	90-95
College Degree	97	95-99		96	95-97
<$25,000	83	72-90		88	86-90
$25,000 to $49,999	94	89-97		94	92-95
$50,000+	99	97-99		97	96-98
White, non-Hispanic	96	95-97		95	94-95
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		91	86-94
Emp. Status: Employed	98	96-99		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	97	92-99		97	95-98
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		85	79-89
Emp. Status: Homemaker	98	94-100		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Retired	96	93-98		97	96-97
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		74	68-80
Married	98	96-99		97	96-97
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		86	83-89
Widowed	92	86-95		95	93-96
Never Married	97	93-99	+	90	87-92
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	96	93-98		94	93-96
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	96	93-97		94	93-95
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	75	63-83		80	77-84
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	98	97-99		96	95-97
Diagnosed Diabetic	87	78-93		90	87-93
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	96	95-98		94	93-95
Asthmatic (Current)	92	84-96		91	87-93
Not Asthmatic	96	95-97		94	94-95
Obese (BMI >= 30)	92	85-96		92	90-93
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	96	94-98		95	93-96
Neither Overweight nor Obese	97	96-99		95	94-96
Limited Due Health Problems	85	78-91		83	80-86
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	98	97-99		97	96-97
Current Smoker	89	79-94		87	83-89
Former Smoker	98	96-99		95	94-96
Never Smoked	97	95-98		96	95-97
Chronic Drinker	96	88-99		93	88-96
Drink But Not Chronic	97	95-98		95	94-96
Non-Drinker	94	89-96		94	92-95
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		87	83-91
Have Health Care Coverage	97	95-98		95	94-96
No Personal Health Care Provider	93	84-97		92	88-94
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	96	94-97		94	93-95
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		83	78-87
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	97	96-98		95	95-96
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	93	89-96		93	91-95
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	97	96-98	+	94	93-95
Urban	NSR	NSR		94	93-95
Rural	NSR	NSR		94	91-96

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County adults who were never married had a significantly higher percentage (97 percent, CI: 93-99) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were never married (90 percent, CI: 87-92).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly higher percentage (97 percent, CI: 96-98) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (94 percent, CI: 93-95).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 72-90) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (99 percent, CI: 97-99).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (92 percent, CI: 86-95) compared to Chester County married adults (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 63-83) compared to Chester County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (98 percent, CI: 97-99).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 78-93) compared to Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (96 percent, CI: 95-98).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 78-91) compared to Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems (98 percent, CI: 97-99).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 79-94) compared to Chester County adults who reported being former smokers (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
  - Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 79-94) compared to Chester County adults who have never smoked (97 percent, CI: 95-98).

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	12	10-14		10	9-11
Male	12	9-15		8	8-9
Female	13	10-16		12	11-13
18-29	4	1-11		1	0-2
30-44	6	4-11		3	2-4
45-64	13	10-16		10	9-12
65+	30	25-35		27	25-29
< High School	NSR	NSR		12	10-15
High School	17	13-23	+	11	10-12
Some College	14	10-20	+	8	7-9
College Degree	10	8-13		10	9-11
<$25,000	21	14-30		12	11-14
$25,000 to $49,999	17	12-24		10	9-12
$50,000+	9	7-12		8	7-9
White, non-Hispanic	13	11-16		11	10-12
Other (Including Hispanic)	4	2-9		4	3-6
Emp. Status: Employed	7	5-9		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	15	10-23		10	8-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	18	10-30	+	4	3-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker	13	8-21		16	13-19
Emp. Status: Retired	26	21-32		25	23-27
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		12	8-16
Married	12	9-14		11	11-13
Divorced/Separated	18	12-27	+	9	7-11
Widowed	30	23-39		22	19-25
Never Married	3	1-6		4	3-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	5-11		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	13-19		14	13-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	26	18-36		19	16-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	10	9-13		9	8-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	21	14-31		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	12	10-14		9	9-10
Asthmatic (Current)	12	6-23		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	12	10-14		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	12	8-18		10	8-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	13	10-17		11	9-12
Neither Overweight nor Obese	11	9-14		10	9-11
Limited Due Health Problems	21	16-28		16	14-18
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	10	9-13		9	8-9
Current Smoker	15	9-23	+	7	6-8
Former Smoker	18	14-22		14	13-16
Never Smoked	9	7-11		9	8-10
Chronic Drinker	15	8-28		6	5-9
Drink But Not Chronic	12	10-15		9	8-10
Non-Drinker	11	8-15		11	10-12
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		4	3-6
Have Health Care Coverage	12	11-15		11	10-12
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-7		4	2-6
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	13	11-15		11	10-12
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	7	3-15		6	5-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	13	11-15		11	10-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	11	8-15	+	5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	13	11-15		12	11-13
Urban	NSR	NSR		10	9-11
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly higher percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (11 percent, CI: 10-12).
  - Chester County adults with some college education had a significantly higher percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (8 percent, CI: 7-9).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 10-30) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being out of work (4 percent, CI: 3-6).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly higher percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-27) compared to Pennsylvania divorced or separated adults (9 percent, CI: 7-11).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being current smokers had a significantly higher percentage (15 percent, CI: 9-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being current smokers (7 percent, CI: 6-8).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly higher percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (5 percent, CI: 4-6).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 1-11) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (21 percent, CI: 14-30).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults (13 percent, CI: 11-16).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Chester County self-employed adults (15 percent, CI: 10-23).
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Chester County adults who reported being out of work (18 percent, CI: 10-30).
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Chester County retired adults (26 percent, CI: 21-32).

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

Differences within Chester County: (continued)

- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Chester County widowed adults (30 percent, CI: 23-39).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Chester County married adults (12 percent, CI: 9-14).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (18 percent, CI: 12-27).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Chester County widowed adults (30 percent, CI: 23-39).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Chester County adults with no children living in their household (16 percent, CI: 13-19).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 9-13) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (26 percent, CI: 18-36).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 9-13) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (21 percent, CI: 16-28).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Chester County adults who reported being former smokers (18 percent, CI: 14-22).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Chester County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (13 percent, CI: 11-15).

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese**, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	56	53-60	-	64	62-66
Male	68	62-73		71	69-73
Female	45	40-50	-	57	55-59
18-29	NSR	NSR		47	42-52
30-44	62	55-68		66	63-69
45-64	61	56-65	-	72	70-73
65+	62	57-67		65	63-67
< High School	NSR	NSR		68	62-73
High School	66	58-74		67	65-70
Some College	62	52-70		65	61-68
College Degree	52	47-57		59	57-62
<$25,000	63	52-73		66	63-69
$25,000 to $49,999	67	58-75		68	64-70
$50,000+	56	51-61		63	61-66
White, non-Hispanic	57	53-61	-	64	62-65
Other (Including Hispanic)	53	40-66		67	62-72
Emp. Status: Employed	57	51-62	-	66	64-68
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	47	36-59		61	54-67
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		64	58-70
Emp. Status: Homemaker	44	32-56		53	49-58
Emp. Status: Retired	66	60-72		68	66-70
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		79	73-84
Married	59	54-63	-	68	66-70
Divorced/Separated	63	52-72		69	65-72
Widowed	58	49-66		61	58-65
Never Married	44	32-56		54	50-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	54	48-60		61	58-64
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	58	53-63	-	66	64-67
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	71	61-79		76	73-79
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	55	51-59	-	62	60-64
Diagnosed Diabetic	85	77-91		89	86-91
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	55	51-59	-	62	60-63
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		66	61-72
Not Asthmatic	56	52-60	-	64	62-65
Limited Due Health Problems	66	57-74		73	70-76
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	54	50-58	-	62	60-64
Current Smoker	70	61-78		58	54-62
Former Smoker	62	55-68	-	74	71-76
Never Smoked	50	45-56	-	62	59-64
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Drink But Not Chronic	56	51-61		64	61-66
Non-Drinker	59	52-65		65	62-67
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		58	52-63
Have Health Care Coverage	57	53-61	-	65	63-67
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		53	47-58
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	58	54-61	-	65	64-67
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		61	55-66
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	57	53-61	-	65	63-66
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	54	48-61		59	56-62
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	58	53-62	-	66	64-68
Urban	NSR	NSR		64	62-66
Rural	NSR	NSR		64	60-68

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 25.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese (BMI GE 25), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 53-60) compared to Pennsylvania adults (64 percent, CI: 62-66).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 40-50) compared to Pennsylvania women (57 percent, CI: 55-59).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 56-65) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (72 percent, CI: 70-73).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 53-61) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (64 percent, CI: 62-65).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 51-62) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (66 percent, CI: 64-68).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 54-63) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (68 percent, CI: 66-70).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 53-63) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (66 percent, CI: 64-67).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 51-59) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (62 percent, CI: 60-64).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 51-59) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (62 percent, CI: 60-63).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 52-60) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (64 percent, CI: 62-65).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 50-58) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (62 percent, CI: 60-64).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 55-68) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (74 percent, CI: 71-76).
  - Chester County adults who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 45-56) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (62 percent, CI: 59-64).

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese (BMI GE 25), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 53-61) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (65 percent, CI: 63-67).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 54-61) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (65 percent, CI: 64-67).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 53-61) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (65 percent, CI: 63-66).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 53-62) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (66 percent, CI: 64-68).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Gender**
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 40-50) compared to Chester County men (68 percent, CI: 62-73).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 47-57) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (66 percent, CI: 58-74).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 36-59) compared to Chester County retired adults (66 percent, CI: 60-72).
  - Chester County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 32-56) compared to Chester County retired adults (66 percent, CI: 60-72).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 51-59) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (71 percent, CI: 61-79).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 51-59) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (85 percent, CI: 77-91).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 45-56) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (70 percent, CI: 61-78).

Percent of Adults Who Are Obese**, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	19	17-22	-	28	27-29
Male	20	16-25	-	29	27-32
Female	19	15-23	-	27	25-29
18-29	NSR	NSR		19	16-24
30-44	23	17-30		32	29-35
45-64	21	18-25	-	32	30-34
65+	19	15-24		26	24-28
< High School	NSR	NSR		33	28-38
High School	27	21-35		32	30-35
Some College	23	16-32		29	26-32
College Degree	15	12-19	-	22	20-24
<$25,000	28	19-38		33	30-36
$25,000 to $49,999	31	22-42		30	28-33
$50,000+	18	15-22	-	25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	19	16-22	-	27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	20	12-33		32	27-37
Emp. Status: Employed	19	15-23	-	29	27-31
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	9	5-16	-	25	20-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		23	20-27
Emp. Status: Retired	23	19-29		28	26-30
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		45	38-51
Married	18	15-22	-	29	27-30
Divorced/Separated	26	17-37		34	30-37
Widowed	20	14-28		26	23-29
Never Married	16	9-26		25	22-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	14-25		27	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	17-23	-	29	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	40	30-51		46	43-50
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	17	15-20	-	25	23-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	57	46-67		57	53-61
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	17	14-20	-	25	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	21	13-32		34	29-38
Not Asthmatic	19	16-23	-	27	26-29
Limited Due Health Problems	29	22-38		41	38-44
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	18	15-21	-	25	24-27
Current Smoker	27	18-38		25	22-28
Former Smoker	21	17-26	-	34	31-36
Never Smoked	17	13-21	-	27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		21	16-26
Drink But Not Chronic	16	13-20	-	26	24-28
Non-Drinker	28	22-34		31	29-34
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
Have Health Care Coverage	19	17-22	-	28	27-30
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		22	18-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	20	17-23	-	29	27-30
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		29	25-34
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	18	15-21	-	28	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	19	14-25		23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	20	17-23	-	30	29-32
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		29	26-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 30.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Were Classified as Obese (BMI GE 30), 2009

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania:

- **All Adults**
  - Chester County adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 17-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults (28 percent, CI: 27-29).
- **Gender**
  - Chester County men had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Pennsylvania men (29 percent, CI: 27-32).
  - Chester County women had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Pennsylvania women (27 percent, CI: 25-29).
- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-25) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (32 percent, CI: 30-34).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (22 percent, CI: 20-24).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (25 percent, CI: 23-27).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Chester County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-22) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (27 percent, CI: 26-29).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (29 percent, CI: 27-31).
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Pennsylvania self-employed adults (25 percent, CI: 20-30).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (29 percent, CI: 27-30).
- **Children in Household**
  - Chester County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (29 percent, CI: 27-30).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 15-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (25 percent, CI: 23-26).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (25 percent, CI: 24-27).
  - Chester County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (27 percent, CI: 26-29).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (25 percent, CI: 24-27).

Percent of Adults Who Were Classified as Obese (BMI GE 30), 2008

Geographic Differences: Chester County and Pennsylvania: (continued)

- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-26) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (34 percent, CI: 31-36).
  - Chester County adults who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (27 percent, CI: 25-29).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (26 percent, CI: 24-28).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 17-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (28 percent, CI: 27-30).
  - Chester County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (29 percent, CI: 27-30).
  - Chester County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (28 percent, CI: 26-29).
  - Chester County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (30 percent, CI: 29-32).

Differences within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (27 percent, CI: 21-35).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Chester County retired adults (23 percent, CI: 19-29).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 15-20) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (40 percent, CI: 30-51).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Chester County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Chester County adults diagnosed with diabetes (57 percent, CI: 46-67).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (29 percent, CI: 22-38).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Chester County adults who do not drink (28 percent, CI: 22-34).

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,180 Chester County adults completed interviews for the Chester County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Chester County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Chester County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Chester County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Chester County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Chester County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned healthy days, cardiovascular health, heart attack and stroke, general preparedness and social context.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the

reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Chester County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Chester County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Chester County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Downingtown Borough census population of 1,454 for ages 45-64 by the Fair or Poor General Health prevalence of 20% (0.20) for that age group in Chester County. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those who had fair or poor general health, ages 45-64 in Downingtown Borough is 291.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who had fair or poor general health, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Downingtown Borough Census Population		Fair or Poor General Health From 2009 Chester County BRFSS		Estimate of Downingtown Borough Adults Indicating They Had Fair or Poor General Health, 2009
18-29	1,245	X	4 %	=	50
30-44	1,895	X	10 %	=	190
45-64	1,454	X	20 %	=	291
65+	1,072	X	28 %	=	300
				Total	831

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Downingtown Borough who indicated they had fair or poor general health, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in Downingtown Borough from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
Fair or Poor General Health = **831**

Total Population Age 18+ in Downingtown Borough= **5,666**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who had fair or poor general health by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those who had fair or poor general health in Downingtown Borough = (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults Who Had Fair or Poor General Health in Downingtown Borough / Total Population Age 18+ in Downingtown Borough) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those who had fair or poor general health in Downingtown Borough
= (831 / 5,666) X 100
= **15 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Local Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Chester County

Table of Contents

Page 1	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Changing Their Eating Habits to Help Lower Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 3	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Exercising to Help Control or Lower Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 5	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Reduce Alcohol Use to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 7	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, Told on Two or More Occasions They Had High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 9	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Cutting Down on Salt to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure
Page 11	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Change Their Eating Habits to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 13	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Exercise to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 15	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Reducing Alcohol Use to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 17	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Cut Down on Salt to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 19	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Take Medicine to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 21	Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Prostate-Specific Antigen Test, 2009
Page 23	Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Had a Prostate-Specific Antigen Test in the Past Year, 2009
Page 25	Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Digital Rectal Exam, 2009
Page 27	Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Had Last Digital Rectal Exam Within the Past Year, 2009
Page 29	Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Ever Told They Had Prostate Cancer, 2009
Page 31	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit, 2009
Page 33	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy, 2009
Page 35	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had Their Last Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy in the Past Year, 2009
Page 37	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had Their Last Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy Within the Past Two Years, 2009
Page 39	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had Their Last Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy Within the Past Five Years, 2009
Page 41	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit in the Past Year, 2009
Page 43	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Most Recent Colorectal Cancer Screening Exam was a Colonoscopy, 2009
Page 45	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Most Recent Colorectal Cancer Screening Exam was a Sigmoidoscopy, 2009
Page 47	Module 23: Social Context, They Own Their Home, 2009
Page 49	Module 23: Social Context, They Rent Their Home, 2009
Page 51	Module 23: Social Context, Were Never Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Pay Rent or Mortgage in Past Year, 2009
Page 53	Module 23: Social Context, Were Often or Sometimes Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Pay Rent or Mortgage in Past Year, 2009
Page 55	Module 23: Social Context, Were Never Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past Year, 2009
Page 57	Module 23: Social Context, Were Often or Sometimes Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past Year, 2009
Page 59	Child Physical Activity: Child Gets Most Exercise During the Day at School or in Gym Class, 2009
Page 61	Child Physical Activity: Child Gets Most Exercise After School Once Home, 2009

Table of Contents – *Continued*

Page 63	Child Physical Activity: Child Gets Most Exercise from Organized Sports, 2009
Page 65	Child Physical Activity: Child Spends Under One Hour Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors, or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity, 2009
Page 67	Child Physical Activity: Child Spends One to Two Hours Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors, or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity, 2009
Page 69	Child Physical Activity: Child Spends Three to Four Hours Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors, or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity, 2009
Page 71	Child Physical Activity: Child Spends Under One Hour Per Day Watching Television, Playing Video Games, or Using a Computer for Non-School Purposes, 2009
Page 73	Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, A Pool, Playground, or Recreational Facility for the Child to Play At is Within Walking Distance From Their Home, 2009
Page 75	Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, The Park Near the Child's Home is Safe to Play At, 2009
Page 77	Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, They Think Child's School Provides Sufficient Opportunity for Physical Activity During School Hours, 2009
Page 79	Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, They Think the Child's School Provides Nutritional Food Choices in the School Cafeteria, 2009
Page 81	Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, They Think the Child's School Provides Nutritional Food Choices in the Vending Machines, 2009
Page 83	Colorectal Cancer Screening: Main Reason They Did Not Have a Last Blood Stool Test Using Home Kit During Recommended Time Was They Did Not Know How Often to Perform Test, 2009
Page 85	Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Last Blood Stool Test Using Home Kit During Recommended Time Was They Did Not Know They Should, 2009
Page 87	Colorectal Cancer Screening: Main Reason They Did Not Have a Last Blood Stool Test Using Home Kit During Recommended Time Was They Don't Like to Perform the Test, 2009
Page 89	Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy During Recommended Time Was They Dont Like to Have the Test Performed, 2009
Page 91	Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy During Recommended Time Was They Forgot to Schedule the Test, 2009
Page 93	Workday Physical Activity: During Typical Workday, They Often Take Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity, 2009
Page 95	Workday Physical Activity: During Typical Workday, They Sometimes Take Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity, 2009
Page 97	Workday Physical Activity: During Typical Workday, They Never Take Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity, 2009
Page 99	Technical Notes

Detailed Local Questionnaire Tables

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Changing Their Eating Habits to Help Lower Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County		Pennsylvania
Demographics			
Total	72	67-78	
Male	68	59-76	
Female	77	70-83	
18-29	NSR	NSR	
30-44	NSR	NSR	
45-64	72	64-79	
65+	67	59-74	
< High School	NSR	NSR	**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**
High School	80	71-87	
Some College	75	62-85	
College Degree	65	56-74	
<$25,000	NSR	NSR	
$25,000 to $49,999	81	70-89	
$50,000+	71	63-78	
White, non-Hispanic	72	67-78	
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR	
Emp. Status: Employed	72	62-80	
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR	
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR	
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR	
Emp. Status: Retired	70	62-78	
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR	
Married	69	61-75	
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR	
Widowed	82	71-90	
Never Married	NSR	NSR	
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR	
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	71	64-77	
Veteran	NSR	NSR	
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR	
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR	
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	73	67-78	
Diagnosed Diabetic	77	64-86	
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	72	65-78	
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR	
Not Asthmatic	71	64-76	
Obese (BMI >= 30)	79	68-88	
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	71	62-79	
Neither Overweight nor Obese	60	48-71	
Limited Due Health Problems	65	52-77	
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	75	69-81	
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR	
Former Smoker	74	65-81	
Never Smoked	77	69-83	
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR	
Drink But Not Chronic	75	67-81	
Non-Drinker	73	62-82	
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR	
Have Health Care Coverage	74	69-79	
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR	
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	72	66-77	
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR	
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	73	67-78	
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR	
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	75	69-80	

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Changing Their Eating Habits to Help Lower Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Exercising to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	74	68-80			
Male	72	62-79			
Female	77	70-83			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	73	65-80			
65+	72	64-79			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	71	61-80			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	72	62-80			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	75	62-84			
$50,000+	78	70-85			
White, non-Hispanic	76	70-81			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	75	65-83			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	73	65-80			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	77	70-83			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	64	51-76			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	71	64-77			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	79	73-84			
Diagnosed Diabetic	62	49-74			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	77	70-82			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	74	68-80			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	69	57-79			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	79	71-86			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	73	61-82			
Limited Due Health Problems	59	46-71			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	80	74-85			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	67	57-75			
Never Smoked	84	77-89			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	82	75-87			
Non-Drinker	67	56-77			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	76	70-81			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	73	67-79			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	76	70-80			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	75	69-80			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Exercising to Help Lowe or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 46-71) compared to Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems (80 percent, CI: 74-85).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 57-75) compared to Chester County adults who have never smoked (84 percent, CI: 77-89).

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Reduce Alcohol Use to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	43	36-51			
Male	44	35-54			
Female	42	32-53			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	39	31-48			
65+	38	30-48			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	55	42-67			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	33	24-42			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	42	33-52			
White, non-Hispanic	44	36-51			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	47	36-59			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	38	29-49			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	43	35-52			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	38	31-45			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	42	34-50			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	43	35-51			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	42	35-50			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	52	39-65			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	39	29-49			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	35	23-48			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	45	37-53			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	45	35-55			
Never Smoked	37	28-47			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	42	33-51			
Non-Drinker	43	30-56			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	44	37-52			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	43	36-50			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	45	38-52			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	43	35-51			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Reduce Alcohol Use to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, Told on Two or More Occasions They Had High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	81	74-87			
Male	84	76-90			
Female	78	66-86			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	82	75-88			
65+	87	81-92			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	84	75-91			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	85	78-90			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	81	72-88			
White, non-Hispanic	81	74-87			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	83	73-89			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	84	77-90			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	80	73-86			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	93	84-97			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	80-89			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	94	85-98			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	78	70-84			
Diagnosed Diabetic	84	73-92			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	81	73-87			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	83	76-88			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	89	82-93			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	77	66-86			
Limited Due Health Problems	91	84-96			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	77	69-84			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	86	79-91			
Never Smoked	77	66-86			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	82	73-88			
Non-Drinker	79	66-88			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	81	74-86			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	82	77-87			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	83	77-88			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	84	78-89			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, Told on Two or More Occasions They Had High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 70-84) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (94 percent, CI: 85-98).

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Cutting Down on Salt to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	70	63-75			
Male	67	58-75			
Female	73	64-80			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	74	65-80			
65+	69	61-76			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	79	69-86			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	69	60-77			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	68	59-76			
White, non-Hispanic	69	62-75			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	65	55-75			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	69	60-76			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	69	60-76			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	72	58-82			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	73	67-78			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	67	60-73			
Diagnosed Diabetic	84	73-91			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	67	60-73			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	72	65-77			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	74	63-83			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	67	58-75			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	69	58-79			
Limited Due Health Problems	78	66-87			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	67	59-74			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	72	62-79			
Never Smoked	74	66-81			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	66	57-74			
Non-Drinker	78	69-85			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	69	62-75			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	68	62-74			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	68	61-73			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	71	64-77			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Cutting Down on Salt to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Change Their Eating Habits to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	67	61-73			
Male	67	58-75			
Female	68	60-75			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	69	61-76			
65+	57	49-65			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	71	60-79			
Some College	75	63-85			
College Degree	60	50-69			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	75	62-84			
$50,000+	71	62-78			
White, non-Hispanic	67	61-73			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	78	69-85			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	61	52-69			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	66	58-72			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	65	52-75			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	64	58-70			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	68	62-74			
Diagnosed Diabetic	71	58-81			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	67	60-73			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	66	60-72			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	82	70-89			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	63	54-71			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	43	32-55			
Limited Due Health Problems	62	49-74			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	70	63-76			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	67	58-75			
Never Smoked	69	61-76			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	66	58-73			
Non-Drinker	70	60-79			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	68	63-74			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	66	60-72			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	69	63-74			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	69	62-74			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Change Their Eating Habits to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 32-55) compared to Chester County obese adults (82 percent, CI: 70-89).

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Exercise to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	81	77-85			
Male	85	79-90			
Female	77	70-83			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	82	75-87			
65+	74	67-81			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	85	77-91			
Some College	84	73-91			
College Degree	78	70-84			
<$25,000	78	65-87			
$25,000 to $49,999	82	70-90			
$50,000+	86	79-90			
White, non-Hispanic	81	76-85			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	89	82-94			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	75	67-82			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	80	73-85			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	83	72-90			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	79	74-84			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	85	73-92			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	81	75-85			
Diagnosed Diabetic	81	69-89			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	82	76-86			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	81	76-85			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	92	86-95			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	82	74-88			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	58	46-69			
Limited Due Health Problems	85	76-91			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	80	75-85			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	77	69-84			
Never Smoked	84	77-89			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	83	76-88			
Non-Drinker	81	73-87			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	82	77-86			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	81	77-85			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	81	77-85			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	78	66-87			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	82	77-87			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Exercise to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 46-69) compared to Chester County obese adults (92 percent, CI: 86-95).
  - Chester County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 46-69) compared to Chester County overweight adults (82 percent, CI: 74-88).

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Reducing Alcohol Use to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	56	48-64			
Male	56	46-66			
Female	57	45-68			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	55	45-65			
65+	60	49-69			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	48	37-58			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	50	40-60			
White, non-Hispanic	53	45-61			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	52	40-64			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	57	46-68			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	53	44-62			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	61	53-69			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	52	44-60			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	54	45-62			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	58	50-65			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	57	46-67			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	52	44-61			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	64	53-73			
Never Smoked	52	41-63			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	53	44-62			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	56	48-64			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	56	48-64			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	55	47-63			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	58	49-66			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Reducing Alcohol Use to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Cut Down on Salt to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	73	67-79			
Male	76	69-83			
Female	70	60-78			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	71	63-78			
65+	70	62-77			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	82	73-88			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	70	62-78			
<$25,000	86	75-93			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	73	65-80			
White, non-Hispanic	72	66-78			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	76	67-83			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	71	62-78			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	70	63-76			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	85	75-92			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	73	68-78			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	86	75-92			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	70	63-77			
Diagnosed Diabetic	79	66-87			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	72	65-78			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	73	66-79			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	78	65-87			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	69	60-77			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	68	56-78			
Limited Due Health Problems	79	68-87			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	72	64-78			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	76	68-83			
Never Smoked	69	58-77			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	74	67-80			
Non-Drinker	71	59-81			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	73	67-79			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	75	69-79			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	76	70-80			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	75	69-80			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Cut Down on Salt to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Take Medicine to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	86	78-91			
Male	86	76-92			
Female	NSR	NSR			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	93	87-96			
65+	99	95-100			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	90	81-95			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	84	74-91			
White, non-Hispanic	85	76-91			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	82	71-90			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	98	94-100			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	88	79-93			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	99	90-100			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	88-97			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	83	74-90			
Diagnosed Diabetic	100	NCI			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	83	74-89			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	86	78-92			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	89	79-95			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	96	89-99			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	83	74-90			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	96	90-98			
Never Smoked	85	72-92			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	83	73-90			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	85	77-90			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	89	82-93			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	87	80-92			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	90	82-94			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Take Medicine to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 71-90) compared to Chester County retired adults (98 percent, CI: 94-100).

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Prostate-Specific Antigen Test, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Male, Age 40+	69	63-74			
40-49, M	47	37-58			
50-64, M	71	62-78			
65-74, M	96	89-99			
75+, M	94	84-98			
< High School, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, M, Age 40+	64	52-75			
Some College, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
College Degree, M, Age 40+	72	65-78			
<$25,000, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+, M, Age 40+	66	59-73			
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 40+	68	62-73			
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, M, Age 40+	58	50-66			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, M, Age 40+	91	83-95			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Married, M, Age 40+	71	65-77			
Divorced/Separated, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Widowed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Never Married, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	52	42-62			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	77	71-83			
Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, M, Age 40+	70	64-75			
Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	67	61-73			
Asthmatic (Current), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, M, Age 40+	68	63-74			
Obese (BMI >= 30), M, Age 40+	67	56-77			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), M, Age 40+	72	64-79			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, M, Age 40+	64	52-74			
Limited Due Health Problems, M, Age 40+	73	59-83			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, M, Age 40+	68	62-74			
Current Smoker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, M, Age 40+	81	72-87			
Never Smoked, M, Age 40+	66	58-73			
Chronic Drinker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, M, Age 40+	67	60-74			
Non-Drinker, M, Age 40+	71	61-80			
No Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	70	65-75			
No Personal Health Care Provider, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), M, Age 40+	71	65-76			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, M, Age 40+	71	65-76			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, M, Age 40+	52	42-62			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, M, Age 40+	77	71-82			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Prostate-Specific Antigen Test, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County men age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 37-58) compared to Chester County men age 50-64 (71 percent, CI: 62-78).
  - Chester County men age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 37-58) compared to Chester County men age 65-74 (96 percent, CI: 89-99).
  - Chester County men age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 37-58) compared to Chester County men age 75 and older (94 percent, CI: 84-98).
  - Chester County men age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 62-78) compared to Chester County men age 65-74 (96 percent, CI: 89-99).
  - Chester County men age 30-64 had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 62-78) compared to Chester County men age 75 and older (94 percent, CI: 84-98).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed men age 40 or older had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 50-66) compared to Chester County retired men age 40 or older (91 percent, CI: 83-95).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Chester County men age 40 or older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 42-62) compared to Chester County men age 40 or older with no children living in their household (77 percent, CI: 71-83).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County men age 40 or older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 42-62) compared to Chester County men age 40 or older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (77 percent, CI: 71-82).

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Had a Prostate-Specific Antigen Test in the Past Year, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Male, Age 40+	51	46-56			
40-49, M	30	21-40			
50-64, M	53	44-61			
65-74, M	86	76-93			
75+, M	61	48-73			
< High School, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, M, Age 40+	50	39-62			
Some College, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
College Degree, M, Age 40+	51	44-58			
<$25,000, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+, M, Age 40+	50	44-57			
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 40+	50	45-56			
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, M, Age 40+	39	32-47			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, M, Age 40+	75	66-82			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Married, M, Age 40+	54	47-60			
Divorced/Separated, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Widowed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Never Married, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	33	25-44			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	60	54-66			
Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, M, Age 40+	51	45-57			
Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	49	43-55			
Asthmatic (Current), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, M, Age 40+	50	45-56			
Obese (BMI >= 30), M, Age 40+	51	40-62			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), M, Age 40+	55	47-63			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, M, Age 40+	42	32-52			
Limited Due Health Problems, M, Age 40+	57	45-69			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, M, Age 40+	50	44-56			
Current Smoker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, M, Age 40+	64	55-72			
Never Smoked, M, Age 40+	45	37-53			
Chronic Drinker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, M, Age 40+	49	42-56			
Non-Drinker, M, Age 40+	57	46-66			
No Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	53	47-58			
No Personal Health Care Provider, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), M, Age 40+	52	47-58			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, M, Age 40+	53	47-58			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, M, Age 40+	26	19-36			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, M, Age 40+	63	56-69			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Had a Prostate-Specific Antigen Test in the Past Year, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County men age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 21-40) compared to Chester County men age 50-64 (53 percent, CI: 44-61).
  - Chester County men age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 21-40) compared to Chester County men age 65-74 (86 percent, CI: 76-93).
  - Chester County men age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 21-40) compared to Chester County men age 75 and older (61 percent, CI: 48-73).
  - Chester County men age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 44-61) compared to Chester County men age 65-74 (86 percent, CI: 76-93).
  - Chester County men age 75 and older had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 48-73) compared to Chester County men age 65-74 (86 percent, CI: 76-93).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed men age 40 or older had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-47) compared to Chester County retired men age 40 or older (75 percent, CI: 66-82).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Chester County men age 40 or older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 25-44) compared to Chester County men age 40 or older with no children living in their household (60 percent, CI: 54-66).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County men age 40 or older who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 37-53) compared to Chester County men age 40 or older who reported being former smokers (64 percent, CI: 55-72).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County men age 40 or older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 19-36) compared to Chester County men age 40 or older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (63 percent, CI: 56-69).

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Digital Rectal Exam, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Male, Age 40+	85	80-88			
40-49, M	73	63-81			
50-64, M	88	82-93			
65-74, M	94	85-98			
75+, M	98	89-100			
< High School, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, M, Age 40+	81	69-89			
Some College, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
College Degree, M, Age 40+	89	83-92			
<$25,000, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+, M, Age 40+	86	80-90			
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 40+	85	80-89			
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, M, Age 40+	81	74-87			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, M, Age 40+	95	89-98			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Married, M, Age 40+	85	80-89			
Divorced/Separated, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Widowed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Never Married, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	79	69-86			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	88	82-91			
Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, M, Age 40+	84	79-88			
Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	84	79-88			
Asthmatic (Current), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, M, Age 40+	84	80-88			
Obese (BMI >= 30), M, Age 40+	83	73-90			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), M, Age 40+	85	78-90			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, M, Age 40+	84	74-91			
Limited Due Health Problems, M, Age 40+	88	77-94			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, M, Age 40+	84	78-88			
Current Smoker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, M, Age 40+	89	82-94			
Never Smoked, M, Age 40+	83	76-88			
Chronic Drinker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, M, Age 40+	88	82-92			
Non-Drinker, M, Age 40+	79	69-86			
No Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	86	81-90			
No Personal Health Care Provider, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), M, Age 40+	86	81-89			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, M, Age 40+	87	82-90			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, M, Age 40+	75	65-83			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, M, Age 40+	89	84-93			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Digital Rectal Exam, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County men age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 63-81) compared to Chester County men age 50-64 (88 percent, CI: 82-93).
  - Chester County men age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 63-81) compared to Chester County men age 65-74 (94 percent, CI: 85-98).
  - Chester County men age 40-49 had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 63-81) compared to Chester County men age 75 and older (98 percent, CI: 89-100).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed men age 40 or older had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 74-87) compared to Chester County retired men age 40 or older (95 percent, CI: 89-98).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County men age 40 or older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 65-83) compared to Chester County men age 40 or older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (89 percent, CI: 84-93).

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Had Last Digital Rectal Exam Within the Past Year, 2009

Demographics	Chester County %	Chester County CI	*	Pennsylvania %	Pennsylvania CI
Male, Age 40+	56	50-61			
40-49, M	46	34-58			
50-64, M	61	53-69			
65-74, M	61	48-72			
75+, M	54	41-67			
< High School, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School, M, Age 40+	53	40-65			
Some College, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
College Degree, M, Age 40+	58	51-65			
<$25,000, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+, M, Age 40+	58	51-65			
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 40+	55	49-61			
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, M, Age 40+	52	43-60			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, M, Age 40+	63	53-71			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Married, M, Age 40+	57	50-63			
Divorced/Separated, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Widowed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Never Married, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	49	38-60			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	59	52-65			
Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, M, Age 40+	57	50-63			
Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	56	50-62			
Asthmatic (Current), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, M, Age 40+	55	49-61			
Obese (BMI >= 30), M, Age 40+	56	45-67			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), M, Age 40+	60	52-68			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, M, Age 40+	47	36-58			
Limited Due Health Problems, M, Age 40+	53	41-65			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, M, Age 40+	57	50-63			
Current Smoker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, M, Age 40+	58	49-66			
Never Smoked, M, Age 40+	57	49-65			
Chronic Drinker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, M, Age 40+	57	49-64			
Non-Drinker, M, Age 40+	54	43-65			
No Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	57	51-63			
No Personal Health Care Provider, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), M, Age 40+	57	51-63			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, M, Age 40+	56	50-62			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, M, Age 40+	16	10-25			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, M, Age 40+	72	66-78			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Had Last Digital Rectal Exam Within the Past Year, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County men age 40 or older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 10-25) compared to Chester County men age 40 or older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (72 percent, CI: 66-78).

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Ever Told They Had Prostate Cancer, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Male, Age 40+	4	3-7			
40-49, M	0	NCI			
50-64, M	4	2-9			
65-74, M	9	4-19			
75+, M	15	8-27			
< High School, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, M, Age 40+	1	0-5			
Some College, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
College Degree, M, Age 40+	5	3-8			
<$25,000, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999, M, Age 40+	4	1-11			
$50,000+, M, Age 40+	3	2-6			
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 40+	5	3-7			
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, M, Age 40+	1	0-3			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, M, Age 40+	9	5-15			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Married, M, Age 40+	3	2-5			
Divorced/Separated, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Widowed, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Never Married, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	2	0-8			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 40+	6	4-9			
Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, M, Age 40+	4	2-6			
Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 40+	4	2-6			
Asthmatic (Current), M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, M, Age 40+	4	3-6			
Obese (BMI >= 30), M, Age 40+	3	1-8			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), M, Age 40+	6	3-10			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, M, Age 40+	3	1-9			
Limited Due Health Problems, M, Age 40+	5	2-11			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, M, Age 40+	4	3-7			
Current Smoker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, M, Age 40+	7	4-12			
Never Smoked, M, Age 40+	2	1-5			
Chronic Drinker, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, M, Age 40+	5	3-8			
Non-Drinker, M, Age 40+	4	1-10			
No Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, M, Age 40+	5	3-7			
No Personal Health Care Provider, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), M, Age 40+	4	3-7			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, M, Age 40+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, M, Age 40+	4	3-6			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, M, Age 40+	4	2-9			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, M, Age 40+	5	3-8			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Module 10: Prostate Cancer Screening, Ever Told They Had Prostate Cancer, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County employed men age 40 or older had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Chester County retired men age 40 or older (9 percent, CI: 5-15).

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	41	37-45			
Male, Age 50+	41	35-47			
Female, Age 50+	41	36-46			
50-64	36	31-41			
65-74	48	41-56			
75+	53	45-61			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	40	32-47			
Some College, Age 50+	41	33-50			
College Degree, Age 50+	43	37-48			
<$25,000, Age 50+	38	29-47			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	45	37-54			
$50,000+, Age 50+	38	32-43			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	41	38-45			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	36	30-43			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	27	17-40			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	50	44-56			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	39	34-44			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	34	25-45			
Widowed, Age 50+	60	52-68			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	31	21-43			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	43	39-47			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	46	35-56			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	40	36-45			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	41	30-52			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	41	37-45			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	42	38-46			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	44	36-53			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	42	36-48			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	40	34-46			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	46	38-55			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	40	36-44			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	25	17-36			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	49	43-55			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	39	34-45			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	41	37-47			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	40	34-46			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	42	38-46			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	43	39-47			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	42	38-46			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	34	27-42			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	44	39-48			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-41) compared to Chester County adults age 75 and older (53 percent, CI: 45-61).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 30-43) compared to Chester County retired adults age 50 and older (50 percent, CI: 44-56).
  - Chester County self-employed adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 17-40) compared to Chester County retired adults age 50 and older (50 percent, CI: 44-56).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 34-44) compared to Chester County widowed adults age 50 and older (60 percent, CI: 52-68).
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 25-45) compared to Chester County widowed adults age 50 and older (60 percent, CI: 52-68).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 17-36) compared to Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported being former smokers (49 percent, CI: 43-55).

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	73	69-77			
Male, Age 50+	74	68-79			
Female, Age 50+	73	68-77			
50-64	70	65-75			
65-74	79	73-85			
75+	78	70-84			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	62	54-70			
Some College, Age 50+	75	66-82			
College Degree, Age 50+	79	75-83			
<$25,000, Age 50+	55	44-64			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	72	63-79			
$50,000+, Age 50+	78	73-83			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	74	70-77			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	72	66-77			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	72	58-82			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	80	74-84			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	77	72-81			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	60	49-70			
Widowed, Age 50+	77	69-83			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	67	56-77			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	74	70-78			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	65	54-75			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	74	71-78			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	73	62-82			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	73	69-77			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	73	70-77			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	73	64-81			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	77	71-82			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	70	64-76			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	75	66-81			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	73	69-77			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	50	39-62			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	75	69-80			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	78	73-82			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	77	72-81			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	67	60-73			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	75	71-78			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	75	72-79			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	75	71-78			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	58	50-65			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	78	74-82			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Ever Had a Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 54-70) compared to Chester County adults age 50 and older with a college degree (79 percent, CI: 75-83).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 44-64) compared to Chester County adults age 50 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more (78 percent, CI: 73-83).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 49-70) compared to Chester County married adults age 50 and older (77 percent, CI: 72-81).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 39-62) compared to Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported being former smokers (75 percent, CI: 69-80).
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 39-62) compared to Chester County adults age 50 and older who have never smoked (78 percent, CI: 73-82).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 50-65) compared to Chester County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (78 percent, CI: 74-82).

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had Their Last Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy in the Past Year, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	27	23-31			
Male, Age 50+	23	18-29			
Female, Age 50+	30	24-35			
50-64	27	22-33			
65-74	25	18-33			
75+	24	17-32			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	31	23-40			
Some College, Age 50+	26	18-36			
College Degree, Age 50+	25	20-31			
<$25,000, Age 50+	31	20-45			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	23	16-32			
$50,000+, Age 50+	27	22-33			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	25	22-30			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	26	20-33			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	23	18-29			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	30	25-35			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	29	18-42			
Widowed, Age 50+	19	13-27			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	25	15-38			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	27	23-31			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	29	19-41			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	26	22-31			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	41	29-54			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	25	21-29			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	27	23-31			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	23	16-33			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	29	23-36			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	23	18-30			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	24	17-33			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	27	23-32			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	26	20-32			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	25	20-31			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	26	22-32			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	31	24-38			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	26	23-31			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	27	23-31			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	27	23-31			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	22	15-32			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	27	23-32			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had Their Last Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy in the Past Year, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had Their Last Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy within the Past Two Years, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	46	41-50			
Male, Age 50+	46	40-53			
Female, Age 50+	45	40-51			
50-64	49	43-56			
65-74	42	34-51			
75+	38	30-48			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	43	34-53			
Some College, Age 50+	44	34-54			
College Degree, Age 50+	48	42-54			
<$25,000, Age 50+	44	31-57			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	41	32-51			
$50,000+, Age 50+	51	44-57			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	45	41-50			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	48	40-55			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	40	34-47			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	47	42-53			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	52	38-66			
Widowed, Age 50+	37	29-47			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	45	32-59			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	46	41-51			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	46	34-59			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	46	41-51			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	62	48-73			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	44	39-49			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	45	41-50			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	47	37-57			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	50	43-57			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	40	33-47			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	50	40-59			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	45	40-50			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	45	38-52			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	43	37-50			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	45	40-51			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	48	41-56			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	46	41-50			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	46	42-51			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	46	42-51			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	39	30-50			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	47	42-52			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had Their Last Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy within the Past Two Years, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had Their Last Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy within the Past Five Years, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	82	78-85			
Male, Age 50+	84	78-88			
Female, Age 50+	80	75-85			
50-64	83	78-87			
65-74	83	75-88			
75+	78	69-85			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	84	76-89			
Some College, Age 50+	82	73-88			
College Degree, Age 50+	82	77-86			
<$25,000, Age 50+	88	77-94			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	76	66-83			
$50,000+, Age 50+	85	80-89			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	82	78-85			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	85	79-90			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	80	74-85			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	84	80-88			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	85	73-92			
Widowed, Age 50+	78	69-85			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	82	70-90			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	82	78-85			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	80	68-88			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	82	78-86			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	86	74-93			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	82	78-85			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	82	78-85			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	79	69-86			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	86	81-90			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	79	72-84			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	81	72-87			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	82	78-86			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	85	80-89			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	80	74-84			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	81	76-85			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	83	77-88			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	82	79-85			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	82	79-85			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	82	79-85			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	74	65-82			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	84	80-87			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had Their Last Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy within the Past Five Years, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit in the Past Year, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	30	25-36			
Male, Age 50+	38	29-47			
Female, Age 50+	23	18-30			
50-64	28	21-37			
65-74	29	20-40			
75+	34	25-45			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	35	24-48			
Some College, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
College Degree, Age 50+	27	21-35			
<$25,000, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	26	17-38			
$50,000+, Age 50+	28	20-37			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	30	25-36			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	30	21-40			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	31	24-40			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	34	27-42			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Widowed, Age 50+	30	21-41			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	29	24-35			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	30	24-36			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	29	24-35			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	29	24-35			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	27	17-40			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	37	28-47			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	25	18-34			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	27	18-39			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	31	25-38			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	30	22-39			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	28	21-37			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	23	17-31			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	38	28-48			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	31	25-36			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	30	25-36			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	30	25-36			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age	35	29-42			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Had a Blood Stool Test Using a Home Kit in the Past Year, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Most Recent Colorectal Cancer Screening Exam was a Colonoscopy, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	94	92-96			
Male, Age 50+	92	88-95			
Female, Age 50+	96	93-98			
50-64	96	92-98			
65-74	95	89-98			
75+	88	81-93			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	93	86-96			
Some College, Age 50+	91	84-96			
College Degree, Age 50+	95	92-97			
<$25,000, Age 50+	95	86-98			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	91	85-95			
$50,000+, Age 50+	95	92-97			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	94	92-96			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	95	91-98			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	93	88-95			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	95	91-97			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	98	89-100			
Widowed, Age 50+	91	85-95			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	94	84-98			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	94	92-96			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	97	89-99			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	94	91-96			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	99	90-100			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	94	91-96			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	94	92-96			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	99	94-100			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	93	89-96			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	93	88-96			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	93	86-97			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	95	92-96			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	93	89-96			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	94	91-97			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	94	91-96			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	96	92-98			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	94	92-96			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	94	92-96			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	94	92-96			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	89	81-94			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	95	93-97			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Most Recent Colorectal Cancer Screening Exam was a Colonoscopy, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Most Recent Colorectal Cancer Screening Exam was a Sigmoidoscopy, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	6	4-8			
Male, Age 50+	8	5-12			
Female, Age 50+	4	2-7			
50-64	4	2-8			
65-74	5	2-11			
75+	12	7-19			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	7	4-14			
Some College, Age 50+	9	4-16			
College Degree, Age 50+	5	3-8			
<$25,000, Age 50+	5	2-14			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	9	5-15			
$50,000+, Age 50+	5	3-8			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	6	4-8			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	5	2-9			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	7	5-12			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	5	3-9			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	2	0-11			
Widowed, Age 50+	9	5-15			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	6	2-16			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	6	4-8			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	3	1-11			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	6	4-9			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	1	0-10			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	6	4-9			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	6	4-8			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	1	0-6			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	7	4-11			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	7	4-12			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	7	3-14			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	5	4-8			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	7	4-11			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	6	3-9			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	6	4-9			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	4	2-8			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	6	4-8			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	6	4-8			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	6	4-8			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	11	6-19			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	5	3-7			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Most Recent Colorectal Cancer Screening Exam was a Sigmoidoscopy, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Module 23: Social Context, They Own Their Home, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	81	77-84			
Male	82	76-86			
Female	80	75-85			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	86	80-91			
45-64	88	84-91			
65+	86	82-89			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	70	61-77			
Some College	77	67-85			
College Degree	87	83-91			
<$25,000	55	44-66			
$25,000 to $49,999	67	57-77			
$50,000+	89	85-93			
White, non-Hispanic	83	79-86			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	83	78-87			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	84	79-88			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	93	89-95			
Divorced/Separated	56	46-66			
Widowed	77	70-84			
Never Married	61	48-73			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	79-90			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	78	73-82			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	71	59-80			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	82	78-86			
Diagnosed Diabetic	85	76-92			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	81	77-84			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	82	78-85			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	73	63-81			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	85	80-89			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	81	74-86			
Limited Due Health Problems	78	69-84			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	82	77-85			
Current Smoker	63	52-73			
Former Smoker	86	79-90			
Never Smoked	83	78-87			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	82	77-86			
Non-Drinker	78	71-84			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	83	79-86			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	83	80-87			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	84	80-87			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	79	72-85			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	82	77-86			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Own Their Home, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 61-77) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (87 percent, CI: 83-91).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 44-66) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (89 percent, CI: 85-93).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 57-77) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (89 percent, CI: 85-93).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 46-66) compared to Chester County married adults (93 percent, CI: 89-95).
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 46-66) compared to Chester County widowed adults (77 percent, CI: 70-84).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 70-84) compared to Chester County married adults (93 percent, CI: 89-95).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 48-73) compared to Chester County married adults (93 percent, CI: 89-95).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 52-73) compared to Chester County adults who reported being former smokers (86 percent, CI: 79-90).
  - Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 52-73) compared to Chester County adults who have never smoked (83 percent, CI: 78-87).

Module 23: Social Context, They Rent Their Home, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	10-16			
Male	13	9-18			
Female	13	10-18			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	11	7-17			
45-64	9	7-13			
65+	11	8-15			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	21	14-29			
Some College	18	11-28			
College Degree	9	6-13			
<$25,000	30	21-41			
$25,000 to $49,999	23	16-34			
$50,000+	7	5-11			
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-14			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	11	8-15			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	12	8-17			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	6	4-10			
Divorced/Separated	36	27-47			
Widowed	16	11-22			
Never Married	18	10-30			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	9-19			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	10-17			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	25	16-37			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	11	9-15			
Diagnosed Diabetic	10	5-18			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	10-17			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	13	10-16			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	21	14-31			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	10	7-15			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	12	8-18			
Limited Due Health Problems	14	9-21			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	13	10-16			
Current Smoker	26	18-37			
Former Smoker	10	6-16			
Never Smoked	11	8-16			
Chronic Drinker	12	6-24			
Drink But Not Chronic	11	8-15			
Non-Drinker	18	12-24			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	12	9-15			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	11	8-13			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	11	9-14			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	17	11-24			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	11	8-15			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 23: Social Context, They Rent Their Home, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-13) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (21 percent, CI: 14-29).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (30 percent, CI: 21-41).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (23 percent, CI: 16-34).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (36 percent, CI: 27-47).
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Chester County widowed adults (16 percent, CI: 11-22).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (36 percent, CI: 27-47).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (25 percent, CI: 16-37).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-16) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (26 percent, CI: 18-37).
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (26 percent, CI: 18-37).

Module 23: Social Context, Were Never Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Pay Rent or Mortgage in Past Year, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	71	68-75			
Male	73	67-79			
Female	69	65-74			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	61	53-68			
45-64	74	69-78			
65+	88	84-92			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	69	60-77			
Some College	65	56-74			
College Degree	74	69-78			
<$25,000	52	41-63			
$25,000 to $49,999	65	54-74			
$50,000+	75	70-79			
White, non-Hispanic	72	69-76			
Other (Including Hispanic)	67	53-78			
Emp. Status: Employed	70	65-75			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	76	65-85			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	73	60-83			
Emp. Status: Retired	88	83-92			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	72	67-76			
Divorced/Separated	59	48-69			
Widowed	83	75-89			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	65	59-71			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	76	72-80			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	51	40-62			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	74	70-77			
Diagnosed Diabetic	70	59-80			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	71	68-75			
Asthmatic (Current)	72	60-81			
Not Asthmatic	71	67-75			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	61	52-70			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	74	68-79			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	74	68-79			
Limited Due Health Problems	63	54-71			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	73	69-77			
Current Smoker	52	41-62			
Former Smoker	77	71-82			
Never Smoked	73	68-78			
Chronic Drinker	82	69-90			
Drink But Not Chronic	71	66-75			
Non-Drinker	72	65-78			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	73	70-77			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	73	69-76			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	75	71-78			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	63	56-70			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	76	72-80			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Often (6 or more months), 2) Sometimes (1 to 5 months), 3) Never

Module 23: Social Context, Were Never Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Pay Rent or Mortgage in Past Year, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 53-68) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (74 percent, CI: 69-78).
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 53-68) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (88 percent, CI: 84-92).
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 69-78) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (88 percent, CI: 84-92).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 41-63) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (75 percent, CI: 70-79).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 65-75) compared to Chester County retired adults (88 percent, CI: 83-92).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 48-69) compared to Chester County widowed adults (83 percent, CI: 75-89).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Chester County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 59-71) compared to Chester County adults with no children living in their household (76 percent, CI: 72-80).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 40-62) compared to Chester County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (74 percent, CI: 70-77).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 41-62) compared to Chester County adults who reported being former smokers (77 percent, CI: 71-82).
  - Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 41-62) compared to Chester County adults who have never smoked (73 percent, CI: 68-78).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 56-70) compared to Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (76 percent, CI: 72-80).

Module 23: Social Context, Were Often or Sometimes Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Pay Rent or Mortgage in Past Year, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	29	25-32			
Male	27	21-33			
Female	31	26-35			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	39	32-47			
45-64	26	22-31			
65+	12	8-16			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	31	23-40			
Some College	35	26-44			
College Degree	26	22-31			
<$25,000	48	37-59			
$25,000 to $49,999	35	26-46			
$50,000+	25	21-30			
White, non-Hispanic	28	24-31			
Other (Including Hispanic)	33	22-47			
Emp. Status: Employed	30	25-35			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	24	15-35			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	27	17-40			
Emp. Status: Retired	12	8-17			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	28	24-33			
Divorced/Separated	41	31-52			
Widowed	17	11-25			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	29-41			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	20-28			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	49	38-60			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	26	23-30			
Diagnosed Diabetic	30	20-41			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	29	25-32			
Asthmatic (Current)	28	19-40			
Not Asthmatic	29	25-33			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	39	30-48			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	26	21-32			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	26	21-32			
Limited Due Health Problems	37	29-46			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	27	23-31			
Current Smoker	48	38-59			
Former Smoker	23	18-29			
Never Smoked	27	22-32			
Chronic Drinker	18	10-31			
Drink But Not Chronic	29	25-34			
Non-Drinker	28	22-35			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	27	23-30			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	27	24-31			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	25	22-29			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	37	30-44			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	20-28			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Often (6 or more months), 2) Sometimes (1 to 5 months), 3) Never

Module 23: Social Context, Were Often or Sometimes Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Pay Rent or Mortgage in Past Year, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (39 percent, CI: 32-47).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (39 percent, CI: 32-47).
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (26 percent, CI: 22-31).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-30) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (48 percent, CI: 37-59).
- **Employment Status**
  - Chester County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Chester County employed adults (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-25) compared to Chester County divorced or separated adults (41 percent, CI: 31-52).
- **Children living in Household**
  - Chester County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-28) compared to Chester County adults with children living in their household (35 percent, CI: 29-41).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-30) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (49 percent, CI: 38-60).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (48 percent, CI: 38-59).
  - Chester County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-32) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (48 percent, CI: 38-59).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-28) compared to Chester County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (37 percent, CI: 30-44).

Module 23: Social Context, Were Never Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past Year, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	84	80-86			
Male	87	82-91			
Female	80	75-84			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	82	76-86			
45-64	88	84-90			
65+	93	90-96			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	73	64-80			
Some College	78	67-86			
College Degree	89	85-91			
<$25,000	60	49-70			
$25,000 to $49,999	72	61-81			
$50,000+	91	87-93			
White, non-Hispanic	84	80-87			
Other (Including Hispanic)	88	76-94			
Emp. Status: Employed	85	80-89			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	90	83-95			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	92	88-95			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	89	86-92			
Divorced/Separated	81	72-88			
Widowed	89	83-93			
Never Married	67	54-77			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	80	74-85			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	86	82-90			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	58	48-68			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	86	83-89			
Diagnosed Diabetic	72	61-81			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	84	81-87			
Asthmatic (Current)	78	65-88			
Not Asthmatic	84	81-87			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	73	64-81			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	91	86-93			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	83	77-88			
Limited Due Health Problems	68	59-76			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	87	83-90			
Current Smoker	72	61-80			
Former Smoker	88	83-92			
Never Smoked	84	79-88			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	86	82-89			
Non-Drinker	79	72-84			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	85	82-88			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	85	82-88			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	87	84-89			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	80	73-85			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	86	82-89			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Often (6 or more months), 2) Sometimes (1 to 5 months), 3) Never

Module 23: Social Context, Were Never Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past Year, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 76-86) compared to Chester County adults age 65 and older (93 percent, CI: 90-96).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 64-80) compared to Chester County adults with a college degree (89 percent, CI: 85-91).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 49-70) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (91 percent, CI: 87-93).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 61-81) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (91 percent, CI: 87-93).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 54-77) compared to Chester County married adults (89 percent, CI: 86-92).
  - Chester County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 54-77) compared to Chester County widowed adults (89 percent, CI: 83-93).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 48-68) compared to Chester County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (86 percent, CI: 83-89).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 64-81) compared to Chester County overweight adults (91 percent, CI: 86-93).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 59-76) compared to Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems (87 percent, CI: 83-90).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 61-80) compared to Chester County adults who reported being former smokers (88 percent, CI: 83-92).

Module 23: Social Context, Were Often or Sometimes Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past Year, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	16	14-20			
Male	13	9-18			
Female	20	16-25			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	18	14-24			
45-64	12	10-16			
65+	7	4-10			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	27	20-36			
Some College	22	14-33			
College Degree	11	9-15			
<$25,000	40	30-51			
$25,000 to $49,999	28	19-39			
$50,000+	9	7-13			
White, non-Hispanic	16	13-20			
Other (Including Hispanic)	12	6-24			
Emp. Status: Employed	15	11-20			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	10	5-17			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	8	5-12			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	11	8-14			
Divorced/Separated	19	12-28			
Widowed	11	7-17			
Never Married	33	23-46			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	15-26			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	10-18			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	42	32-52			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	14	11-17			
Diagnosed Diabetic	28	19-39			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	16	13-19			
Asthmatic (Current)	22	12-35			
Not Asthmatic	16	13-19			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	27	19-36			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	7-14			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	17	12-23			
Limited Due Health Problems	32	24-41			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	13	10-17			
Current Smoker	28	20-39			
Former Smoker	12	8-17			
Never Smoked	16	12-21			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	14	11-18			
Non-Drinker	21	16-28			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	15	12-18			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	15	12-18			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	13	11-16			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	20	15-27			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	11-18			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Often (6 or more months), 2) Sometimes (1 to 5 months), 3) Never

Module 23: Social Context, Were Often or Sometimes Worried or Stressed About Having Enough Money to Buy Nutritious Meals in Past Year, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Chester County adults age 30-44 (18 percent, CI: 14-24).
- **Education**
  - Chester County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Chester County adults with a high school education (27 percent, CI: 20-36).
- **Household Income**
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (40 percent, CI: 30-51).
  - Chester County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Chester County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (28 percent, CI: 19-39).
- **Marital Status**
  - Chester County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (33 percent, CI: 23-46).
  - Chester County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-17) compared to Chester County adults who reported they were never married (33 percent, CI: 23-46).
- **General Health Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Chester County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (42 percent, CI: 32-52).
- **Weight Status**
  - Chester County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Chester County obese adults (27 percent, CI: 19-36).
- **Disability Status**
  - Chester County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Chester County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (32 percent, CI: 24-41).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Chester County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Chester County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (28 percent, CI: 20-39).

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Gets Most Exercise During the Day at School or in Gym Class, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	24	19-30			
Male	26	18-36			
Female	22	16-29			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	24	17-31			
45-64	23	16-31			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	21	16-28			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	22	17-28			
White, non-Hispanic	22	17-28			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	26	20-34			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	21	16-27			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	19-30			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	24	19-30			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	24	19-30			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	23	18-29			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	22	14-32			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	22	15-32			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	24	18-30			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	17	10-27			
Never Smoked	25	18-33			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	22	16-29			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	23	18-30			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	24	19-30			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	24	19-30			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	23	16-32			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	18-33			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) During the day at school or in gym class, 2) After school once home, 3) During the weekends when off from school, 4) Organized Sports, 5) Other

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Gets Most Exercise During the Day at School or in Gym Class, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Gets Most Exercise After School Once Home, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	24	19-30			
Male	30	21-40			
Female	18	13-24			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	29	22-37			
45-64	18	12-26			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	27	21-35			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	25	19-32			
White, non-Hispanic	23	18-30			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	26	20-34			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	26	21-33			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	19-30			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	24	19-30			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	23	18-29			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	23	18-30			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	25	17-35			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	23	16-33			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	23	18-29			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	19	11-31			
Never Smoked	25	18-33			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	25	19-32			
Non-Drinker	15	8-26			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	24	19-30			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	24	19-30			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	24	19-30			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	17-33			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	23	16-32			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) During the day at school or in gym class, 2) After school once home, 3) During the weekends when off from school, 4) Organized Sports, 5) Other

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Gets Most Exercise After School Once Home, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Gets Most Exercise from Organized Sports, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	28	22-34			
Male	26	18-35			
Female	29	22-37			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	22	16-29			
45-64	41	33-50			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	27	21-33			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	31	25-38			
White, non-Hispanic	28	22-35			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	25	20-31			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	28	22-33			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	28	22-34			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	29	23-35			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	28	22-34			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	28	23-35			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	30	22-39			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	30	22-39			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	29	23-35			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	29	20-39			
Never Smoked	32	24-40			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	28	22-35			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	28	23-34			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	27	22-32			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	28	23-34			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	27	20-37			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	27	21-36			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) During the day at school or in gym class, 2) After school once home, 3) During the weekends when off from school, 4) Organized Sports, 5) Other

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Gets Most Exercise from Organized Sports, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Age**
  - Chester County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 16-29) compared to Chester County adults age 45-64 (41 percent, CI: 33-50).

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Spends Under One Hour Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors, or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	18	14-24			
Male	20	12-30			
Female	17	12-23			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	15	10-22			
45-64	22	15-30			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	14	10-20			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	16	11-21			
White, non-Hispanic	19	14-25			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	17	12-23			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	15	11-20			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	18	14-24			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	18	13-25			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	18	14-24			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	16	12-21			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	12	6-22			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	18	11-26			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	17	13-24			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	13	7-22			
Never Smoked	21	15-29			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	19	14-26			
Non-Drinker	16	8-29			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	18	13-24			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	19	14-25			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	18	13-24			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	14	8-22			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	22	15-30			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Under one hour, 2) 1-2 hours, 3) 3-4 hours, 4) 5-6 hours, 5) Over 6 hours per day

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Spends Under One Hour Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors, or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Spends One to Two Hours Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors, or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	47	41-53			
Male	52	42-62			
Female	43	35-50			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	49	41-57			
45-64	62	52-70			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	50	43-57			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	53	46-60			
White, non-Hispanic	48	41-55			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	49	42-57			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	52	46-59			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	47	41-53			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	49	42-56			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	47	41-53			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	48	41-55			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	57	46-67			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	46	36-56			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	47	41-54			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	44	33-56			
Never Smoked	51	43-60			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	52	44-59			
Non-Drinker	36	24-50			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	48	41-54			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	47	40-53			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	49	43-56			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	51	41-60			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	44	35-52			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Under one hour, 2) 1-2 hours, 3) 3-4 hours, 4) 5-6 hours, 5) Over 6 hours per day

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Spends One to Two Hours Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors, or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Spends Three to Four Hours Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors, or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	22	17-27			
Male	17	11-26			
Female	25	18-34			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	25	19-33			
45-64	13	8-20			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	24	18-31			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	22	16-29			
White, non-Hispanic	22	16-28			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	19	14-26			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	21	16-27			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	17-27			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	21	16-27			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	22	17-27			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	22	17-29			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	22	14-32			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	20	14-29			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	15-27			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	24	15-36			
Never Smoked	20	14-28			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	17	13-23			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	22	17-28			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	20	16-26			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	21	16-27			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	20	12-30			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	23	17-31			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Under one hour, 2) 1-2 hours, 3) 3-4 hours, 4) 5-6 hours, 5) Over 6 hours per day

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Spends Three to Four Hours Per Day Running Around, Exercising, Playing Games Outdoors, or Participating in Other Types of Physical Activity, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Spends Under One Hour Per Day Watching Television, Playing Video Games, or Using a Computer for Non-School Purposes, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	18	14-24			
Male	19	12-28			
Female	17	12-24			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	22	16-30			
45-64	10	6-17			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	21	15-28			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	18	13-24			
White, non-Hispanic	16	12-21			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	21	15-28			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	20	15-27			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	18	14-24			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	19	14-25			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	18	13-23			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	19	14-25			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	18	11-28			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	18	12-27			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	19	14-26			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	17	9-28			
Never Smoked	19	14-27			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	17	12-24			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	18	13-24			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	17	13-23			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	18	13-23			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	17	11-26			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	19	13-27			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Under one hour, 2) 1-2 hours, 3) 3-4 hours, 4) 5-6 hours, 5) Over 6 hours per day

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, Child Spends Under One Hour Per Day Watching Television, Playing Video Games, or Using a Computer for Non-School Purposes, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, A Pool, Playground, or Recreational Facility for the Child to Play At is Within Walking Distance From Their Home, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	59	52-65			
Male	57	47-66			
Female	61	53-68			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	59	51-66			
45-64	55	46-63			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	60	53-67			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	56	49-63			
White, non-Hispanic	58	51-64			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	58	50-65			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	58	51-64			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	59	52-65			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	59	52-65			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	59	53-65			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	57	50-63			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	57	47-67			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	63	53-72			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	57	51-64			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	65	54-74			
Never Smoked	56	47-64			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	54	46-61			
Non-Drinker	65	51-77			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	58	52-64			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	60	54-66			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	59	52-65			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	56	47-65			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	60	52-68			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, A Pool, Playground, or Recreational Facility for the Child to Play At is Within Walking Distance From Their Home, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, The Park Near the Child's Home is Safe to Play At, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	98	95-100			
Male	99	94-100			
Female	98	90-99			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	99	96-100			
45-64	98	89-100			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	99	96-100			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	99	96-100			
White, non-Hispanic	98	94-99			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	99	95-100			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	99	96-100			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	98	95-100			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	99	97-100			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	99	95-100			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	99	94-100			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	98	91-99			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	100	NCI			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	98	94-99			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	99	95-100			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	97	92-99			
Non-Drinker	100	NCI			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	98	94-100			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	98	94-99			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	98	94-99			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	96	87-99			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	100	NCI			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, The Park Near the Child's Home is Safe to Play At, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, They Think Child's School Provides Sufficient Opportunity for Physical Activity During School Hours, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	83	77-87			
Male	83	74-89			
Female	83	76-88			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	87	81-92			
45-64	74	65-81			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	93	83-97			
College Degree	83	77-87			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	84	79-88			
White, non-Hispanic	82	77-86			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	82	75-87			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	82	77-87			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	83	77-87			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	83	77-87			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	83	77-87			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	84	78-89			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	84	76-90			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	79	69-86			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	82	77-87			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	83	74-90			
Never Smoked	83	76-88			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	84	79-88			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	83	77-87			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	82	76-87			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	83	78-88			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	83	75-88			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	83	75-88			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, They Think Child's School Provides Sufficient Opportunity for Physical Activity During School Hours, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, They Think the Child's School Provides Nutritional Food Choices in the School Cafeteria, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	77	69-82			
Male	78	66-86			
Female	75	66-83			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	80	72-86			
45-64	78	68-85			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	77	70-83			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	79	72-85			
White, non-Hispanic	77	69-83			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	78	70-85			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	77	69-83			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	77	69-82			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	77	70-83			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	77	69-83			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	79	71-84			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	78	65-87			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	77	67-85			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	76	68-82			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	81	68-89			
Never Smoked	79	70-86			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	78	70-84			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	78	70-83			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	75	68-82			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	77	70-83			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	74	62-83			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	79	69-86			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, They Think the Child's School Provides Nutritional Food Choices in the School Cafeteria, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, They Think the Child's School Provides Nutritional Food Choices in the Vending Machines, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	39	29-50			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	NSR	NSR			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	37	25-50			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	35	23-49			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	42	29-55			
White, non-Hispanic	37	26-50			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	34	24-47			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	36	26-47			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	39	29-50			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	37	26-49			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	39	29-51			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	38	28-50			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	35	24-47			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	39	29-51			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	38	28-49			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	41	31-53			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	NSR	NSR			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Child Physical Activity, They Think the Child's School Provides Nutritional Food Choices in the Vending Machines, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Last Blood Stool Test Using Home Kit During Recommended Time Was They Did Not Know How Often to Perform Test, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	12	10-15			
Male, Age 50+	13	9-18			
Female, Age 50+	12	9-16			
50-64	12	9-17			
65+	13	9-17			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	11	6-18			
Some College, Age 50+	14	8-23			
College Degree, Age 50+	13	9-17			
<$25,000, Age 50+	14	8-24			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	10	6-18			
$50,000+, Age 50+	14	10-19			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	12	10-15			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	13	9-18			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	5	2-15			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	16	11-22			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	12	9-16			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	11	5-20			
Widowed, Age 50+	13	8-22			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	12	6-24			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	12	10-16			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	10	5-20			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	13	10-16			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	9	4-17			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	13	10-16			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	13	10-16			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	9	5-16			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	13	9-18			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	14	10-20			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	12	7-20			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	12	9-16			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	8	3-18			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	14	10-20			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	12	8-17			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	12	9-16			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	12	8-18			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	12	10-15			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	13	10-16			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	12	10-16			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	12	7-18			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	13	10-16			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Didn't know how often to perform the test, 2) Didn't know I should perform the test, 3) Don't like to perform the test, 4) Forgot to perform the test, 5) Don't know where to get the test, 6) Other

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Last Blood Stool Test Using Home Kit During Recommended Time Was They Did Not Know How Often to Perform Test, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Last Blood Stool Test Using Home Kit During Recommended Time Was They Did Not Know They Should, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	34	30-38			
Male, Age 50+	29	23-36			
Female, Age 50+	39	33-44			
50-64	38	33-44			
65+	28	23-34			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	37	29-46			
Some College, Age 50+	28	20-38			
College Degree, Age 50+	35	30-41			
<$25,000, Age 50+	38	28-50			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	30	22-39			
$50,000+, Age 50+	35	29-41			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	33	29-38			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	38	31-45			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	26	16-39			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	29	23-35			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	34	29-40			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	42	31-54			
Widowed, Age 50+	25	18-34			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	54	41-67			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	31	27-36			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	39	28-51			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	34	30-38			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	34	23-47			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	34	30-39			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	34	30-38			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	28	20-37			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	33	27-40			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	38	31-45			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	31	23-40			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	35	31-40			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	36	25-49			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	32	26-38			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	36	30-42			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	34	29-39			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	35	29-43			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	34	30-38			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	34	30-39			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	34	30-38			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	35	27-43			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	34	30-39			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Didn't know how often to perform the test, 2) Didn't know I should perform the test, 3) Don't like to perform the test, 4) Forgot to perform the test, 5) Don't know where to get the test, 6) Other

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Last Blood Stool Test Using Home Kit During Recommended Time Was They Did Not Know They Should, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Chester County adults age 50 and older with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-36) compared to Chester County adults age 50 and older with children living in their household (54 percent, CI: 41-67).

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Last Blood Stool Test Using Home Kit During Recommended Time Was They Don't Like to Perform the Test, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	6	5-9			
Male, Age 50+	4	2-8			
Female, Age 50+	9	6-12			
50-64	6	4-9			
65+	8	5-12			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	8	5-13			
Some College, Age 50+	8	4-16			
College Degree, Age 50+	5	3-9			
<$25,000, Age 50+	7	3-14			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	10	6-16			
$50,000+, Age 50+	6	4-10			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	6	5-9			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	4	2-8			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	11	5-22			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	8	5-13			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	6	4-10			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	7	4-14			
Widowed, Age 50+	8	4-14			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	4	1-14			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	7	5-9			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	12	6-22			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	6	4-8			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	4	1-13			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	7	5-9			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	6	5-9			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	7	3-14			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	6	4-10			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	6	4-10			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	7	3-14			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	6	4-9			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	5	2-13			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	6	3-10			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	8	5-11			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	7	4-10			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	7	4-12			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	7	5-9			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	6	5-9			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	6	5-9			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	7	4-12			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	6	4-9			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Didn't know how often to perform the test, 2) Didn't know I should perform the test, 3) Don't like to perform the test, 4) Forgot to perform the test, 5) Don't know where to get the test, 6) Other

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Last Blood Stool Test Using Home Kit During Recommended Time Was They Don't Like to Perform the Test, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy During Recommended Time Was They Dont Like to Have the Test Performed, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	16	11-23			
Male, Age 50+	14	8-25			
Female, Age 50+	18	12-26			
50-64	16	10-24			
65+	17	11-28			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	14	7-26			
Some College, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
College Degree, Age 50+	14	8-23			
<$25,000, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+, Age 50+	13	7-23			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	15	11-22			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	14	7-24			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	14	7-25			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	17	10-26			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Widowed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	17	12-24			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	15	10-21			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	15	10-22			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	17	12-23			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	16	8-27			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	15	9-26			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	15	10-22			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	20	12-31			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	10	5-19			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	17	11-27			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	16	9-27			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	16	11-22			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	16	11-23			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	15	10-22			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	15	8-25			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	17	11-26			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Didn't know how often to perform the test, 2) Didn't like to have test performed, 3) Forgot to schedule the test, 4) Doctor didn't recommend the test, 5) Other

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy During Recommended Time Was They Don't Like to Have the Test Performed, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy During Recommended Time Was They Forgot to Schedule the Test, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	24	18-30			
Male, 50+	15	8-25			
Female, Age 50+	31	23-40			
50-64	21	15-30			
65+	28	19-39			
< High School, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School, Age 50+	29	19-43			
Some College, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
College Degree, Age 50+	27	18-37			
<$25,000, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+, Age 50+	21	13-32			
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	24	18-31			
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	14	8-23			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	37	25-50			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, Age 50+	26	17-36			
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Widowed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	25	19-32			
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	24	18-32			
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	24	18-32			
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	24	18-30			
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	18	11-29			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	25	16-36			
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	25	19-33			
Current Smoker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker, Age 50+	18	11-29			
Never Smoked, Age 50+	38	28-50			
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	28	20-37			
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	21	13-32			
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	26	20-33			
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	25	19-32			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	24	18-31			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	19	12-30			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	26	19-35			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Didn't know how often to perform the test, 2) Didn't like to have test performed, 3) Forgot to schedule the test, 4) Doctor didn't recommend the test, 5) Other

Locally Added: Colorectal Cancer Screening, Main Reason They Did Not Have a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy During Recommended Time Was They Forgot to Schedule the Test, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Workday Physical Activity, During Typical Workday, They Often Take Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	70	66-74			
Male	68	61-74			
Female	73	67-78			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	67	59-74			
45-64	74	69-78			
65+	75	62-85			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	64	51-75			
Some College	78	66-87			
College Degree	70	64-75			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	71	66-76			
White, non-Hispanic	69	64-74			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	70	65-74			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	72	61-81			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	71	66-75			
Divorced/Separated	77	66-85			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	69	62-75			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	72	65-77			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	70	66-75			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	70	66-75			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	70	65-74			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	66	55-76			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	70	63-76			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	73	66-79			
Limited Due Health Problems	66	55-77			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	71	66-75			
Current Smoker	66	52-77			
Former Smoker	75	66-81			
Never Smoked	69	63-75			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	71	65-76			
Non-Drinker	70	61-78			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	71	67-75			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	71	67-75			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	70	65-74			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	73	65-79			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	68	62-74			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Often, 2) Sometimes, 3) Seldom, 4) Not at all

Locally Added: Workday Physical Activity, During Typical Workday, They Often Take Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Workday Physical Activity, During Typical Workday, They Sometimes Take Advantage o Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	14	11-18			
Male	15	11-21			
Female	13	10-18			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	17	12-25			
45-64	13	10-17			
65+	14	7-26			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	12	7-20			
Some College	12	6-23			
College Degree	16	12-20			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	11	6-20			
$50,000+	15	12-19			
White, non-Hispanic	15	12-19			
Other (Including Hispanic)	9	4-20			
Emp. Status: Employed	15	12-20			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	8	4-14			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	16	13-21			
Divorced/Separated	10	5-19			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	12	5-23			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	11-20			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	10-19			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	15	11-18			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	14	11-18			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	14	11-18			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	21	13-32			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	12	8-17			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	14	10-20			
Limited Due Health Problems	16	9-25			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	14	11-18			
Current Smoker	13	6-24			
Former Smoker	16	10-24			
Never Smoked	14	11-19			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	15	11-19			
Non-Drinker	14	9-21			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	14	11-18			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	13	10-16			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	11-17			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	15	10-21			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	11-19			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Often, 2) Sometimes, 3) Seldom, 4) Not at all

Locally Added: Workday Physical Activity, During Typical Workday, They Sometimes Take Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Locally Added: Workday Physical Activity, During Typical Workday, They Never Take Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity, 2009

	Chester County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	8	6-12			
Male	7	4-11			
Female	10	6-16			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	9	5-14			
45-64	6	4-9			
65+	7	3-18			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	13	7-25			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	7	5-11			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	8	5-11			
White, non-Hispanic	8	6-12			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	9	6-12			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	7	3-14			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	7	5-10			
Divorced/Separated	10	5-19			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	6-14			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	4-12			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	8	5-11			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	6-11			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	8	6-12			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	5	2-11			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	5-14			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	7	4-13			
Limited Due Health Problems	8	4-17			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	6-12			
Current Smoker	11	6-20			
Former Smoker	6	3-10			
Never Smoked	9	5-14			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	7	5-11			
Non-Drinker	10	5-18			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	8	6-11			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	8	6-12			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	6-12			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	6	4-11			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	10	6-15			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Possible Responses: 1) Often, 2) Sometimes, 3) Seldom, 4) Not at all

Locally Added: Workday Physical Activity, During Typical Workday, They Never Take Advantage of Opportunities to Engage in Physical Activity, 2009

Differences within Chester County:

- No significant differences were seen within Chester County.

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,180 Chester County adults completed interviews for the Chester County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Chester County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Chester County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Chester County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Chester County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Chester County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned healthy days, cardiovascular health, heart attack and stroke, general preparedness and social context.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the

reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Chester County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Chester County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Chester County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Downingtown Borough census population of 1,454 for ages 45-64 by the Fair or Poor General Health prevalence of 20% (0.20) for that age group in Chester County. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those who had fair or poor general health, ages 45-64 in Downingtown Borough is 291.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who had fair or poor general health, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Downingtown Borough Census Population		Fair or Poor General Health From 2009 Chester County BRFSS		Estimate of Downingtown Borough Adults Indicating They Had Fair or Poor General Health, 2009
18-29	1,245	X	4 %	=	50
30-44	1,895	X	10 %	=	190
45-64	1,454	X	20 %	=	291
65+	1,072	X	28 %	=	300
				Total	831

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Downingtown Borough who indicated they had fair or poor general health, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in Downingtown Borough from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
Fair or Poor General Health = **831**

Total Population Age 18+ in Downingtown Borough= **5,666**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who had fair or poor general health by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those who had fair or poor general health in Downingtown Borough = (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults Who Had Fair or Poor General Health in Downingtown Borough / Total Population Age 18+ in Downingtown Borough) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those who had fair or poor general health in Downingtown Borough
= (831 / 5,666) X 100
= **15 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

2009 Behavioral Health Risks

of Indiana County Adults

pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Indiana County Community Health Advisory

Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Health began the Pennsylvania Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) in 1989. The BRFSS survey consists of telephone interviews using randomly generated telephone numbers to determine the households contacted. The survey contains a core set of questions provided by CDC to gather comprehensive, standard information nationwide. The questions asked concern health status, access to health care, health awareness, use of preventive health services, and knowledge and attitude assessment.

In an effort to provide local BRFSS data, the Pennsylvania Department of Health instituted the Pennsylvania BRFSS Local Sampling Program in 2002. Participation in the program was open to Pennsylvania's State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP)-affiliated partnerships located statewide. Six partnership organizations chose to participate in the 2009 program: Blair County Healthy Community Partnership, Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership, Indiana County Community Health Advisory, Lancaster Health Improvement Partnership, Lycoming County Health Improvement Coalition and the Pennsylvania Department of Health North East Health District. These partnerships were given the opportunity to select 35-40 questions of their choice in addition to the core questions asked of all who participated in BRFSS.

The survey of adults living in Indiana County asked questions about health and health-related behaviors including general health, health care access, exercise, tobacco use, asthma, diabetes, and immunization. This allows for some comparison of the county survey results to state results and it also allows the county to get data specific to its individual needs.

Report Organization

A brief *Survey Highlights* section includes prevalence estimates referring to Cholesterol Awareness and Caregiver Status for adults in Indiana County that are statistically different compared to the Pennsylvania estimates.

Select prevalence estimates are included in *Table 1: Core Questions, Indiana County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009*. They are estimates from questions asked of all 2009 Pennsylvania BRFSS questionnaire respondents. Indiana County data are presented alongside Pennsylvania data to assist with comparison (see Table 1 footnote). The topics in Table 1 include Health Status, Health Care Access, Sleep, Exercise, Diabetes, Hypertension Awareness, Cholesterol Awareness, Cardiovascular Disease, Asthma, Disability, Tobacco Use, Caregiver Status, Alcohol Consumption, Immunization, Arthritis Burden, Nutrition, HIV/AIDS, Cancer Survivors and Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction.

The topics in *Table 2: Module Questions, Indiana County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009* include prevalence estimates that were requested for Indiana County. Topics include: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Cardiovascular Health, Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, Women's Health, Colorectal Cancer Screening, Cancer Survivorship, Adult Asthma History, Arthritis Management, General Preparedness and Childhood Asthma Prevalence. (refer to Table 2 footnotes for additional information).

Table 3: Locally-Added Questions, Indiana County Adults, 2009 includes estimates that were specifically requested for Indiana County, and were not asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. The topic included in this table is Falls.

Table 4: Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation: Indiana County & Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009 includes estimates for objective goals available for Indiana County. Objective goals include: Health Care Access, Disability, Weight Control, Diabetes, Physical Activity, Alcohol Consumption, and Immunization.

Survey Highlights - Significant Differences

Cholesterol Awareness:

Seventy-four (74) percent of Indiana County adults reported in 2009 that they ever had their blood cholesterol checked. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (82 percent).

Seventy-one (71) percent of Indiana County adults reported in 2009 that they had their blood cholesterol checked in the past 5 years. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (79 percent).

Caregiver Status:

Twenty (20) percent of Indiana County adults reported that they provided care or assistance to a friend or family member in the month prior to completion of the survey in 2009. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (26 percent).

TABLE 1

Core Questions

Indiana County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Indiana County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Health Status					
Fair or Poor Health	15	13-17		15	14-16
Physical Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	34	31-38		38	36-39
Mental Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	40	36-43		35	33-36
Overweight or Obese**	66	62-70		64	62-66
Obese**	28	24-31		28	27-29
Health Care Access					
No Health Care Insurance, Age 18-64	15	11-20		13	12-15
Do Not Have a Personal Health Care Provider	12	9-16		11	10-12
Unable to Get Med. Care Due to Cost in Past Year	9	7-12		11	10-12
Visited a Doctor For a Routine Checkup Within Past 2 Years	86	83-88		84	83-85
Sleep					
Unable to Get Enough Sleep 7+ Days Past Year	44	40-48		40	38-41
Exercise					
No Leisure Time Physical Activity in Past Month	27	24-31		26	24-27
10+ Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in Usual Week	88	85-90		86	85-87
Moderate Physical Activity 5+ Days a Week for 30+ Minutes a Session	53	49-58		50	49-52
10+ Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in Usual Week	54	50-59		50	49-52
Vigorous Physical Activity 3+ Days a Week for 20+ Minutes a Session	31	27-36		28	26-29
Diabetes					
Ever Told They Have Diabetes	9	7-10		9	8-10
Hypertension Awareness					
Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure	29	26-32		31	30-33
Taking Medication For High Blood Pressure**	82	77-86		80	78-82
Cholesterol Awareness					
Ever Had Blood Cholesterol Checked	74	69-79	-	82	81-84
Had Blood Cholesterol Checked in Past 5 Years	71	66-75	-	79	77-80
Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol**	39	36-43		39	37-40
Cardiovascular Disease					
Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack	8	7-10		6	6-7
Asthma					
Ever Told They Had Asthma	13	11-17		13	12-15
Currently Have Asthma	9	7-12		9	8-10
Tobacco Use					
Current Smokers**	24	21-27		20	19-22
Stopped Smoking For 1+ Days in Past Year	48	39-57		57	53-60
Caregiver Status					
Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member in Past Month	20	17-23	-	26	24-27
Disability					
Limited in Activities Due to hysical, Mental or Emotional Problems	20	17-23		19	18-20
Health Problem Requires Use of Special Equipment	9	7-11		8	7-8

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Core Questions

Indiana County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Indiana County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Alcohol Consumption					
Binge Drinkers**	18	14-22		17	15-18
Chronic Drinkers**	4	2-5		5	5-6
Immunization					
Had a Flu Shot in Past Year, Aged 50+	60	56-64		57	56-59
Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccination, Aged 65+	67	62-72		70	68-72
Arthritis Burden					
Ever Told Have Some Form of Arthritis	30	27-34		31	30-33
Limited in Activities Due to Arthritis or Joint Symptoms**	45	39-51		42	39-44
Nutrition					
Eat 5+ Fruits/Vegetables per Day	26	22-30		24	23-25
HIV/AIDS					
Ever Tested for HIV, Age 18-64	28	23-34		35	33-37
Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction					
Rarely or Never Get the Social or Emotional Support They Need	9	7-11		9	8-10
Satisfied or Very Satisfied With Their Life	95	93-97		94	93-95
Cancer Survivors					
Ever Told Had Cancer	9	7-10		10	9-11

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 2

Module Questions

Indiana County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Indiana County *		Pennsylvania	
	%	CI	%	CI
Healthy Days				
Reported Pain Made it Hard to Do Usual Activities 1+ Days in Past Month	33	29-38	NSR	NSR
Reported They Felt Very Healthy and Full of Energy 21+ in Past Month	88	85-90	NSR	NSR
Reported They Fels Sad, Depressed or Blue 1+ Days in Past Month	48	44-53	NSR	NSR
Reported They Felt Worried, Tense, or Anxious 1+ Days in Past Month	64	60-68	NSR	NSR
Cardiovascular Health				
Went to Any Kind of Outpatient Rehabilitation Following Their Heart Attack	30	21-41	NSR	NSR
Went to Any Kind of Outpatient Rehabilitation Following Their Stroke (15 of 45 answered "yes")	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day	24	22-27	NSR	NSR
Have a Health Problem That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe	9	7-11	NSR	NSR
Women's Health				
Ever Had a Mammogram	66	60-72	NSR	NSR
Had Mammogram in the Past Year	67	63-72	NSR	NSR
Had Mammogram in the Past 2 Years	84	81-87	NSR	NSR
Ever Had a Clinical Breast Exam	91	87-94	NSR	NSR
Had Clinical Breast Exam in the Past Year	71	65-76	NSR	NSR
Had Cllinical Breast Exam in the Past 2 Years	78	73-82	NSR	NSR
Ever Had a Pap Test	94	88-97	NSR	NSR
Had Pap Test in the Past year	62	56-67	NSR	NSR
Had Pap Test in the Past 2 Years	78	73-82	NSR	NSR
Adult Asthma History				
Age 1-10 When First Told They Have Asthma - 15	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Age 11-20 When First Told They Have Asthma - 17	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Age 21-30 When First Told They Have Asthma - 16	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Age 31-40 When First Told They Have Asthma - 24	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Age 41-50 When First Told They Have Asthma - 27	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Age 51-60 When First Told They Have Asthma - 15	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Had an Episode of Asthma or an Asthma Attack During Past 12 Months (49 out of 105)	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Visited an Emergency Room or Urgent Care Center Once Because of Asthma in Past 12 Months - 9	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Visited an Emergency Room or Urgent Care Center Twice Because of Asthma in Past 12 Months - 3	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Had No Symptoms of Asthma in Past 30 Days - 24	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Had Asthma Symptoms Less Than Once a Week in Past 30 Days - 22	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Had Asthma Symptoms Once or Twice a Week in Past 30 Days - 21	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Had Asthma Symptoms More Than 2 Times a Week in Past 30 Days - 12	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Had Asthma Symptoms Every Day, But Not All the Time in Past 30 Days - 17	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Had Asthma Symptoms Every Day, All the Time in Past 30 Days - 7	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Asthma Symptoms Did Not Make it Difficult to Stay Asleep in Past 30 Days - 35	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Asthma Symptoms Made it Difficult to Stay Asleep 1 or 2 Days in Past 30 Days - 19	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Asthma Symptoms Made it Difficult to Stay Asleep 3 or 4 Days in Past 30 Days - 7	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Asthma Symptoms Made it Difficult to Stay Asleep 5 Days in Past 30 Days - 4	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Asthma Symptoms Made it Difficult to Stay Asleep 6 to 10 Days in Past 30 Days - 4	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Did Not Take Prescription Asthma Medication to Prevent an Asthma Attack in Past 30 Days - 36	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Took Prescription Asthma Medication to Prevent an Asthma Attack 1 to 14 Days in the Past 30 Days - 21	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Past 30 Days - 3	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR
Past 30 Days - 44	NSR	NSR	NSR	NSR

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

TABLE 2 (continued)

Module Questions

Indiana County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Indiana County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Actions to Control High Blood Pressure					
Ever Advised to Change Eating Habits	65	59-69		NSR	NSR
Ever Advised to Cut Down on Salt	74	69-79		NSR	NSR
Ever Advised to Reduce Alcohol Use	39	32-45		NSR	NSR
Ever Advised to Exercise	73	68-77		NSR	NSR
Ever Advised to Take Medication	90	86-93		NSR	NSR
Arthritis Management					
Can Do Everything They Would Like to Do Today Despite Arthritis or Joint Symptoms	30	25-36		NSR	NSR
Can Do Most Things They Would Like to Do Today Despite Arthritis or Joint Symptoms	40	34-46		NSR	NSR
Can Do Some Things They Would Like to Do Today Due Arthritis or Joint Symptoms	23	19-27		NSR	NSR
Can Hardly Do Anything They Would Like to Do Today Due to Arthritis or Joint Symptoms	8	6-11		NSR	NSR
Doctor or Health Professional Ever Suggested Losing Weight to Help Arthritis or Joint Symptoms	30	26-35		NSR	NSR
Doctor or Health Professional Ever Suggested Physical Activity to Help Arthritis or Joint Symptoms	46	41-52		NSR	NSR
Ever Taken Educational Course or Class to Teach How to Manage Problems Related to Arthritis or Joint Symptoms	13	10-16		NSR	NSR
Colorectal Cancer Screening					
Ever Had Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, Men Age 50+	65	61-68		NSR	NSR
Had Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy in Past Year, Men Age 50+	33	29-37		NSR	NSR
Had Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy in Past 2 Years, Men Age 50+	52	48-57		NSR	NSR
Cancer Survivorship					
Currently Receiving Treatment for Cancer	10	6-16		NSR	NSR
General Preparedness					
Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency	24	21-28		NSR	NSR
They Feel Their Household is Somewhat Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency	57	53-62		NSR	NSR
They Feel Their Household is Not Prepared At All to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency	19	15-23		NSR	NSR
Childhood Asthma Prevalence					
Ever Told by Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That Child Has Asthma - 38	16	11-21		15	13-17

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 3

Locally-Added Questions

Indiana County Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Indiana County	
	%	CI
Falls		
Had a Fall in the Past 3 Months	17	14-21
Were Injured by Fall	34	24-35

Note: Excludes missing, don't know , and refused.

TABLE 4

Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation
Indiana County and Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009

Healthy People 2010 Objective[1]	Year 2010 Objective	Indiana 2009	Objective Met[2] Indiana County	Sig. Diff. to PA[3]	Pennsylvania 2009	Objective Met[2] Pennsylvania
01-01: Percent of adults aged 18-64 with health insurance (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	100%	85± 5	No		92± 4	No
01-04c: Percent of adults with a specific source of ongoing care (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	96%	87± 3	No		88± 1	No
05-03: Adults diagnosed with diabetes (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 age 18+)	25	79±14	No		81± 7	No
06-06: Percent of adults with disabilities* who are satisfied with their life (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	97%	82± 9	No		82± 4	No
12-09: Percent of adults aged 20+ who were ever told their blood pressure was high (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	16%	34± 4	No		35± 2	No
14-29a: Percent of adults aged 65+ with a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	76± 5	No		68± 5	No
14-29b: Percent of adults aged 65+ who were ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 18+)	90%	67± 5	No		70± 5	No
14-29c: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	31± 4	No		30± 4	No
14-29d: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who ever had vaccination agains pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	12± 3	No		18± 4	No
19-01: Percent of adults aged 20+ with healthy weights (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	30± 4	No		34± 2	No
19-02: Percent of adults aged 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	29± 4	No		29± 2	No
22-01: Percent of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	20%	27± 3	No		25± 1	No
26-11c: Percent of adults who engaged in binge drinking in past month** (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	6%	18± 4	No		17± 1	No

* Limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental or emotional problems

** 5+ alcoholic beverages at the same time or within couple hours

[1] Public Health Services. Healthy People 2010: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

[2] The "Yes" designation refers the 2009 percentage being significantly better compared to the Healthy People 2010 goal percentage.

[3] If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania, If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly low

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,247 Indiana County adults completed interviews for the Indiana County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Indiana County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Indiana County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Indiana County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Indiana County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Indiana County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned Healthy Days (Symptoms), Cardiovascular Health, Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, Women's Health, Colorectal Cancer Screening, Cancer Survivorship, Adult Asthma History, Arthritis Management, General Preparedness, Childhood Asthma Prevalence and Falls.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Indiana County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Indiana County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Indiana County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Report Page 3 Notes

- Adults are classified as overweight or obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 25 or above.
- Adults are classified as obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 30 or above.
- The analysis of adults who are taking medication for blood pressure was out of adults who have high blood pressure.
- The analysis of adults who were told they had high blood cholesterol was out of adults who ever had their blood cholesterol checked.
- Current smokers are adults who reported currently smoking every day or some days.

Report Page 4 Notes

- Binge drinkers are men who reported having five or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month or women who reported having four or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month.
- Chronic drinkers are adults who reported having an average of two or more alcoholic drinks per day the past month.
- The analysis of adults who have arthritis or joint symptoms that limit activity was out of arthritic adults who reported having joint symptoms in the past thirty days.

Indiana County Healthy Communities Partnership
c/o Barbara Mancill
601 Westtown Road, Suite 295
P.O. Box 2747
West Indiana, PA 19380-0990

Sponsored by

Indiana County Health Department

In cooperation with the
Pennsylvania Department of Health
Bureau of Health Statistics and Research
555 Walnut Street 6th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1914

www.health.state.pa.us/stats/

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Core Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Indiana County and Pennsylvania Comparisons

Table of Contents

Page 1	Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009
Page 4	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 6	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 8	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009
Page 10	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults 18-64), 2009
Page 12	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009
Page 14	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009
Page 17	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009
Page 19	Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 21	Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009
Page 24	Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes, 2009
Page 27	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 30	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009
Page 32	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009
Page 35	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009
Page 38	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009
Page 40	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack, (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 42	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 44	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 46	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Asthma, 2009
Page 48	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma, 2009
Page 50	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009
Page 52	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009
Page 54	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009
Page 56	Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009
Page 58	Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009
Page 60	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009
Page 63	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009
Page 65	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009
Page 67	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Table of Contents – *Continued*

Page 69	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009
Page 71	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009
Page 73	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009
Page 76	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 78	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009
Page 80	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 82	Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009
Page 84	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 86	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 88	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 90	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 92	Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009
Page 94	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009
Page 96	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009
Page 98	Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009
Page 100	Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese, 2009
Page 102	Percent of Adults Who Are Obese, 2009
Page 104	Technical Notes

Detailed Core Questionnaire Tables

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	15	13-17		15	14-16
Male	16	13-20		13	12-15
Female	14	12-18		16	15-18
18-29	4	2-11		8	6-11
30-44	10	6-15		9	8-11
45-64	20	17-24		17	16-19
65+	28	23-32		25	23-26
< High School	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
High School	19	15-22		21	19-23
Some College	11	7-16		12	10-15
College Degree	5	3-8		6	5-7
<$25,000	30	25-36		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	15	11-20		16	14-18
$50,000+	3	2-6		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	15	13-17		14	13-15
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-9		8	7-9
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	15	8-27		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	13	8-20		15	12-18
Emp. Status: Retired	25	21-31		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		61	55-68
Married	14	12-17		12	11-13
Divorced/Separated	26	20-34		26	23-30
Widowed	23	18-30		27	24-30
Never Married	10	6-17		14	11-17
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	6	4-10		8	7-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	16-22		19	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Diagnosed Diabetic	44	36-53		45	41-49
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	12	10-15		12	11-13
Asthmatic (Current)	29	20-40		28	24-32
Not Asthmatic	13	11-16		13	12-14
Obese (BMI >= 30)	20	16-25		24	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	10	8-14		12	11-14
Not Overweight Nor Obese	16	12-21	+	10	8-11
Limited Due Health Problems	45	38-53		46	43-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	6-10		7	7-8
Current Smoker	22	16-29		21	19-24
Former Smoker	21	17-26		18	17-20
Never Smoked	9	7-12		11	10-12
Chronic Drinker	2	1-8		10	7-15
Drink But Not Chronic	10	8-14		8	7-10
Non-Drinker	20	17-24		22	20-24
No Health Care Coverage	17	9-28		17	13-20
Have Health Care Coverage	15	13-17		15	14-16
No Personal Health Care Provider	8	4-15		11	8-14
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	16	14-19		15	14-16
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	30	20-43		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	13	11-16		13	12-14
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	11	7-17		10	9-12
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	16	14-19		17	15-18
Urban	NSR	NSR		15	14-16
Rural	NSR	NSR		16	13-18

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly higher percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (10 percent, CI: 8-11).

Differences Within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-11) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (20 percent, CI: 17-24).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-11) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (28 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-15) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (20 percent, CI: 17-24).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-15) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (28 percent, CI: 23-32).
- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County adults with a high school education (19 percent, CI: 15-22).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (30 percent, CI: 25-36).
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (30 percent, CI: 25-36).
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (15 percent, CI: 11-20).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Indiana County retired adults (25 percent, CI: 21-31).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-20) compared to Indiana County retired adults (25 percent, CI: 21-31).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (26 percent, CI: 20-34).
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (23 percent, CI: 18-30).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-17) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (26 percent, CI: 20-34).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-17) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (23 percent, CI: 18-30).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (19 percent, CI: 16-22).

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Differences Within Indiana County: (continued)

Chronic Disease Status

- Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (44 percent, CI: 36-53).
- Indiana County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 11-16) compared to Indiana County adults who currently have asthma (29 percent, CI: 20-40).

Weight Status

- Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Indiana County obese adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).

Disability Status

- Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (45 percent, CI: 38-53).

Smoking Status

- Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (22 percent, CI: 16-29).
- Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (21 percent, CI: 17-26).

Drinking Status

- Indiana County adults who reported chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (20 percent, CI: 17-24).
- Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (20 percent, CI: 17-24).

Health Care Access

- Indiana County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 11-16) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (30 percent, CI: 20-43).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	34	31-38		38	37-40
Male	35	29-41		35	33-37
Female	34	29-39	-	42	40-44
18-29	21	12-34	-	43	38-49
30-44	37	30-44		37	34-40
45-64	41	36-45		37	34-39
65+	40	35-45		40	38-42
< High School	NSR	NSR		47	42-53
High School	36	30-42		40	38-43
Some College	31	23-41		40	37-44
College Degree	30	24-36		33	30-35
<$25,000	47	40-55		49	46-52
$25,000 to $49,999	36	28-44		40	37-43
$50,000+	28	21-35		31	29-34
White, non-Hispanic	35	31-39		38	36-39
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		42	37-48
Emp. Status: Employed	26	21-31	-	35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		27	22-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		40	34-46
Emp. Status: Homemaker	44	32-57		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	37	32-42		39	36-41
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		77	70-83
Married	35	31-39		34	33-36
Divorced/Separated	39	32-48		45	41-49
Widowed	41	34-49		45	41-48
Never Married	31	21-43		45	40-49
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	30	24-38		36	34-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	37	32-41		40	38-42
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	80	73-85		78	75-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	26	22-31		32	30-33
Diagnosed Diabetic	54	46-63		56	52-60
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	33	29-37		37	35-38
Asthmatic (Current)	46	33-60		55	49-60
Not Asthmatic	33	29-38		37	35-38
Obese (BMI >= 30)	41	34-48		45	42-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	25-38		37	34-39
Not Overweight Nor Obese	32	25-39		36	33-39
Limited Due Health Problems	71	63-79		70	67-73
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	25	21-30		31	29-33
Current Smoker	37	29-46		45	42-49
Former Smoker	37	30-45		38	36-41
Never Smoked	32	27-38		36	34-38
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	29-43
Drink But Not Chronic	27	22-33	-	36	34-39
Non-Drinker	41	36-47		41	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		39	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage	34	30-38		38	37-40
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		36	30-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	35	31-39		39	37-40
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		58	52-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	32	28-36		36	35-38
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	29	22-36		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	37	32-41		38	37-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		39	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	35-42

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 29-39) compared to Pennsylvania women (42 percent, CI: 40-44).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 12-34) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-29 (43 percent, CI: 38-49).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-31) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (35 percent, CI: 33-37).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-33) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (36 percent, CI: 34-39).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 12-34) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (41 percent, CI: 36-45).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 12-34) compared to Indiana County adults age 65+ (40 percent, CI: 35-45).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 21-35) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (47 percent, CI: 40-55).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-31) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (44 percent, CI: 32-57).
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-31) compared to Indiana County retired adults (37 percent, CI: 32-42).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (80 percent, CI: 73-85).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-37) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (54 percent, CI: 46-63).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-30) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (71 percent, CI: 63-79).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-33) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (41 percent, CI: 36-47).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	37	32-41		35	34-37
Male	31	25-38		29	27-32
Female	42	36-48		41	39-42
18-29	53	40-66		50	45-56
30-44	40	34-47		39	36-42
45-64	33	28-37		32	30-34
65+	16	12-20		21	19-23
< High School	NSR	NSR		40	34-46
High School	37	31-44		35	32-37
Some College	38	28-48		40	36-43
College Degree	32	25-39		31	29-33
<$25,000	43	35-50		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	31	23-39		35	32-38
$50,000+	33	26-41		31	28-33
White, non-Hispanic	37	32-41		35	33-36
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		38	33-43
Emp. Status: Employed	35	29-41		35	32-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	24	14-38		28	23-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		49	43-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		35	31-39
Emp. Status: Retired	16	12-20		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		65	58-70
Married	31	27-36		30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	40	32-48		44	40-48
Widowed	21	15-27		28	25-31
Never Married	49	37-61		47	42-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	42	34-50		40	37-43
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	34	29-39		32	31-34
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	50	42-57		52	48-55
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	34	29-40		32	31-34
Diagnosed Diabetic	32	24-41		36	32-41
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	37	32-42		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		49	44-54
Not Asthmatic	36	31-41		34	32-35
Obese (BMI >= 30)	43	35-51		40	37-43
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	29	22-36		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	41	33-50		35	33-38
Limited Due Health Problems	51	43-59		53	50-57
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	33	28-38		31	29-33
Current Smoker	48	38-57		50	46-53
Former Smoker	31	24-39		30	28-33
Never Smoked	35	29-41		32	30-34
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	31-45
Drink But Not Chronic	29	23-36		35	33-38
Non-Drinker	41	35-47		35	33-37
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		43	37-48
Have Health Care Coverage	34	30-38		34	33-36
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		38	33-44
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	36	32-41		35	33-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	57	44-70		59	54-64
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	35	30-39		32	31-34
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	37	29-45		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	36	31-42		34	32-35
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-37
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-37) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (53 percent, CI: 40-66).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (53 percent, CI: 40-66).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (40 percent, CI: 34-47).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (33 percent, CI: 28-37).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Indiana County employed adults (35 percent, CI: 29-41).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-36) compared to Indiana County adults who reported they were never married (49 percent, CI: 37-61).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 15-27) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (40 percent, CI: 32-48).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 15-27) compared to Indiana County adults who reported they were never married (49 percent, CI: 37-61).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 29-40) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (50 percent, CI: 42-57).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-38) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (51 percent, CI: 43-59).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 30-39) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (57 percent, CI: 44-70).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	19	16-23		21	20-22
Male	20	15-27		18	16-20
Female	18	14-22		24	22-26
18-29	16	8-28		25	21-30
30-44	23	17-29		23	20-26
45-64	22	18-26		20	18-22
65+	15	12-19		16	15-18
< High School	NSR	NSR		26	21-31
High School	17	13-22		21	19-23
Some College	22	14-31		23	20-26
College Degree	18	12-24		19	17-21
<$25,000	29	23-37		29	26-32
$25,000 to $49,999	16	11-23		21	19-24
$50,000+	15	10-22		18	16-20
White, non-Hispanic	20	16-23		21	19-22
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Employed	13	9-17		18	17-20
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	18	11-30		13	9-18
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	12	7-19		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Retired	15	11-19		16	15-18
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		63	56-69
Married	17	14-20		18	17-20
Divorced/Separated	29	22-38		31	27-34
Widowed	15	11-21		19	17-22
Never Married	21	13-32		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	18	12-25		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	16-24		21	19-22
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	47	39-54		51	47-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	14	11-18		16	15-17
Diagnosed Diabetic	29	22-38		30	27-34
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	18	15-22		20	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		35	30-40
Not Asthmatic	18	14-21		20	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	24	18-30		25	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	16	11-22		20	18-23
Not Overweight Nor Obese	19	14-27		19	17-22
Limited Due Health Problems	52	44-60		50	47-53
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	11	8-15		14	13-16
Current Smoker	28	21-36		29	26-33
Former Smoker	21	14-29		21	19-23
Never Smoked	15	11-19		18	16-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	15	11-19		20	18-22
Non-Drinker	24	19-30		23	21-25
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
Have Health Care Coverage	19	15-22		21	19-22
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		19	15-24
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	19	16-22		21	20-23
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	27	18-38		41	36-47
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	18	15-22		19	17-20
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	16	11-22		22	19-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	20	16-25		21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		21	20-23
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

Differences Within Indiana County:

- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-22) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (29 percent, CI: 23-37).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (29 percent, CI: 22-38).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-21) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (29 percent, CI: 22-38).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (47 percent, CI: 39-54).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (52 percent, CI: 44-60).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-19) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (28 percent, CI: 21-36).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	15	11-20		13	12-15
Male, Age 18-64	19	13-27		17	14-19
Female, Age 18-64	12	8-18		10	9-12
18-29	25	15-38		23	19-28
30-44	12	8-18		13	11-15
45-64	9	7-12		9	8-10
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		26	19-34
High School, Age 18-64	15	10-22		17	15-20
Some College, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		16	13-19
College Degree, Age 18-64	15	8-26	+	5	4-7
<$25,000, Age 18-64	26	17-37		30	26-35
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	6	3-10	-	18	15-21
$50,000+, Age 18-64	7	4-13		4	3-6
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	15	11-20		12	11-14
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		19	15-24
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	15	10-22		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		27	21-35
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	30-43
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	14	7-24		12	9-17
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		5	3-9
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	3	1-13		8	5-13
Married, Age 18-64	10	7-15		9	7-10
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	20	13-29		16	13-20
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		14	10-21
Never Married, Age 18-64	23	14-37		22	18-26
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	10	6-15		13	11-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	19	13-27		14	12-15
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	22	13-34		18	14-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	15	10-20		13	11-14
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		9	6-13
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	16	12-21		14	12-15
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	8	4-18		13	9-18
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	16	12-22		13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	11	7-18		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	12	7-21		13	10-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	22	14-34		16	13-19
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	9	5-16		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	16	12-22		13	11-15
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	20	13-30		23	19-27
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	6	3-12		9	7-11
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	17	11-25		12	10-14
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		25	18-34
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	15	10-22		12	10-14
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	15	9-24		14	12-16
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		48	42-54
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	10	6-14		8	7-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		46	40-52
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	12	8-17		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	27	19-37		25	22-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	9	6-15		8	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	11-14
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-64 with a college degree had a significantly higher percentage (15 percent, CI: 8-26) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 with a college degree (5 percent, CI: 4-7).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (18 percent, CI: 15-21).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (25 percent, CI: 15-38).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than $25,000 (26 percent, CI: 17-37).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-13) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than $25,000 (26 percent, CI: 17-37).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-64 who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-12) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-64 who reported currently smoking some days or every day (20 percent, CI: 13-30).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-64 who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-15) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-64 who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (27 percent, CI: 19-37).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	12	9-16		11	10-12
Male	19	14-26		14	12-16
Female	6	4-9		7	6-9
18-29	20	12-33		23	19-28
30-44	16	11-22		13	11-16
45-64	10	7-13		6	5-7
65+	2	1-4		3	3-4
< High School	NSR	NSR		15	11-21
High School	8	6-11		11	9-13
Some College	17	10-28		12	10-15
College Degree	14	8-23		8	7-10
<$25,000	18	12-28		17	14-21
$25,000 to $49,999	11	6-17		10	8-12
$50,000+	9	6-15		8	6-9
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-15		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		18	14-23
Emp. Status: Employed	13	9-19		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		17	12-24
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Homemaker	5	2-10		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	3	2-6		3	3-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		6	4-10
Married	10	7-13		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	10	6-17		12	9-15
Widowed	3	1-7		4	3-6
Never Married	22	13-33		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	8-19		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	9-17		9	8-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	6	3-12		8	6-10
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	13	10-17		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	3	1-9		3	2-5
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	10-17		11	10-13
Asthmatic (Current)	3	1-9		7	5-11
Not Asthmatic	13	10-17		11	10-12
Obese (BMI >= 30)	8	4-15		8	7-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	12	8-18		9	7-11
Not Overweight Nor Obese	16	10-24		14	12-16
Limited Due Health Problems	4	2-7		7	5-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	14	11-19		12	10-13
Current Smoker	21	15-30		16	13-19
Former Smoker	8	4-14		8	6-9
Never Smoked	10	7-16		10	9-12
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	11	8-17		12	10-14
Non-Drinker	11	7-17		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	8	6-11		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	26	16-40		30	25-35
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	11	8-15		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	28	21-37		23	21-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	6	3-10		5	5-7
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-12

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Indiana County men (19 percent, CI: 14-26).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (20 percent, CI: 12-33).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (16 percent, CI: 11-22).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (10 percent, CI: 7-13).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County employed adults (13 percent, CI: 9-19).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Indiana County adults who reported they were never married (22 percent, CI: 13-33).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (13 percent, CI: 10-17).
  - Indiana County adults who currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Indiana County adults who do not currently have asthma (13 percent, CI: 10-17).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (21 percent, CI: 15-30).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (26 percent, CI: 16-40).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (28 percent, CI: 21-37).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-12		11	10-12
Male	7	5-10		10	8-12
Female	11	7-16		12	11-14
18-29	10	4-21		20	16-25
30-44	14	10-20		13	11-16
45-64	10	7-13		9	8-10
65+	3	2-5		3	3-4
< High School	NSR	NSR		14	10-19
High School	11	7-15		12	11-14
Some College	5	3-8	-	13	11-16
College Degree	7	4-12		7	6-9
<$25,000	13	10-18	-	23	20-26
$25,000 to $49,999	14	9-23		13	11-16
$50,000+	3	1-8		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-12		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
Emp. Status: Employed	9	6-14		9	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	14	8-26		14	10-21
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		28	23-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Retired	4	2-6		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	16	10-26		20	15-26
Married	10	7-15		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	14	9-21		16	13-19
Widowed	3	1-7		6	4-8
Never Married	6	3-12	-	18	15-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	8-16		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	6-12		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	19	13-27		21	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	8	5-11		9	8-11
Diagnosed Diabetic	8	4-15		12	9-16
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	9	7-13		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	7	3-13	-	21	17-26
Not Asthmatic	9	7-13		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	10	5-17		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	6	4-9		10	8-12
Not Overweight Nor Obese	13	8-19		12	10-14
Limited Due Health Problems	11	8-16	-	20	17-23
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	6-12		9	8-10
Current Smoker	21	14-30		21	18-24
Former Smoker	6	4-9		8	6-10
Never Smoked	6	4-10		9	7-10
Chronic Drinker	2	0-7	-	16	11-23
Drink But Not Chronic	11	7-15		10	8-11
Non-Drinker	7	5-11		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	32	20-45		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	6	4-9		7	6-8
No Personal Health Care Provider	20	12-32		31	26-37
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	8	6-11		9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	18	13-26		20	17-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	6	4-9		7	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		11	9-14

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (13 percent, CI: 11-16).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-18) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (23 percent, CI: 20-26).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were never married had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-12) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were never married (18 percent, CI: 15-22).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults who currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 3-13) compared to Pennsylvania adults who currently have asthma (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being limited due to health problems (20 percent, CI: 17-23).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adult chronic drinkers had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-7) compared to Pennsylvania adult chronic drinkers (16 percent, CI: 11-23).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (14 percent, CI: 10-20).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (10 percent, CI: 7-13).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (13 percent, CI: 10-18).
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (14 percent, CI: 9-23).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County self-employed adults (14 percent, CI: 8-26).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (16 percent, CI: 10-26).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (14 percent, CI: 9-21).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (19 percent, CI: 13-27).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

Differences within Indiana County: (continued)

- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (21 percent, CI: 14-30).
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (21 percent, CI: 14-30).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having no health care coverage (32 percent, CI: 20-45).
  - Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider (20 percent, CI: 12-32).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (18 percent, CI: 13-26).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	86	83-88		84	83-85
Male	82	77-86		81	79-83
Female	89	85-92		87	85-88
18-29	88	78-94		80	76-84
30-44	74	67-80		77	74-79
45-64	84	81-87		86	84-87
65+	96	93-97		95	93-95
< High School	NSR	NSR		86	82-90
High School	86	82-90		84	82-86
Some College	88	80-93		84	82-87
College Degree	84	78-89		84	82-86
<$25,000	84	78-89		86	83-88
$25,000 to $49,999	84	77-89		83	80-85
$50,000+	86	80-90		84	82-86
White, non-Hispanic	85	82-88		83	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		88	84-91
Emp. Status: Employed	84	79-88		81	80-83
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		73	67-79
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		77	72-82
Emp. Status: Homemaker	85	77-91		85	81-88
Emp. Status: Retired	94	90-96		94	93-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	87	77-93		91	87-94
Married	84	80-87		84	83-85
Divorced/Separated	83	75-89		84	80-86
Widowed	94	89-96		95	94-96
Never Married	88	80-93		82	78-85
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	82	76-87		79	77-81
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	87	84-90		87	86-89
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	90	84-94		89	87-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	85	81-88		83	82-85
Diagnosed Diabetic	95	89-98		95	93-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	85	81-87		83	82-84
Asthmatic (Current)	97	93-99	+	84	80-88
Not Asthmatic	84	81-87		84	83-85
Obese (BMI >= 30)	88	82-92		88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	85	80-89		84	82-86
Not Overweight Nor Obese	83	77-88		82	80-84
Limited Due Health Problems	92	87-95		88	85-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	84	81-87		83	82-85
Current Smoker	75	67-82		78	74-81
Former Smoker	86	80-91		87	85-88
Never Smoked	89	86-92		86	84-87
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	69-81
Drink But Not Chronic	86	81-89		83	81-85
Non-Drinker	87	83-90		87	85-89
No Health Care Coverage	72	58-83		60	54-65
Have Health Care Coverage	87	84-90		87	86-88
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		57	51-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	90	87-92		87	86-88
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		68	63-73
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	85-90		86	85-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		84	83-86
Rural	NSR	NSR		84	81-87

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Year, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults who currently have asthma had a significantly higher percentage (97 percent, CI: 93-99) compared to Pennsylvania adults who currently have asthma (84 percent, CI: 80-88).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 67-80) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (84 percent, CI: 81-87).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 67-80) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 81-87) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 79-88) compared to Indiana County retired adults (94 percent, CI: 90-96).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 80-87) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (94 percent, CI: 89-96).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 81-87) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (95 percent, CI: 89-98).
  - Indiana County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 81-87) compared to Indiana County adults who currently have asthma (97 percent, CI: 93-99).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 67-82) compared to Indiana County adults who have never smoked (89 percent, CI: 86-92).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 58-83) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having health care coverage (87 percent, CI: 84-90).

Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	44	40-48		41	39-42
Male	40	34-47		39	36-41
Female	47	42-53		43	41-44
18-29	59	46-71		50	45-55
30-44	52	45-59		54	51-57
45-64	40	35-44		38	36-40
65+	22	18-27		20	18-21
< High School	NSR	NSR		40	35-46
High School	42	36-49		41	38-43
Some College	50	40-60		42	39-46
College Degree	38	32-46		39	37-42
<$25,000	44	36-51		43	39-46
$25,000 to $49,999	45	37-53		42	39-45
$50,000+	41	34-48		40	38-43
White, non-Hispanic	44	39-48		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		47	42-52
Emp. Status: Employed	48	41-54		46	43-48
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		36	30-42
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		42	36-48
Emp. Status: Homemaker	50	37-62		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	18	15-23		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		61	55-67
Married	39	35-44		39	37-41
Divorced/Separated	44	36-52		47	43-51
Widowed	24	19-31		23	21-26
Never Married	57	45-68		47	43-52
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	56	48-64		52	49-55
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	38	33-43		34	32-36
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	54	47-61		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	42	37-47		38	37-40
Diagnosed Diabetic	33	25-41		39	35-43
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	45	40-50		41	39-43
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		55	50-60
Not Asthmatic	43	39-48		39	38-41
Obese (BMI >= 30)	45	37-53		45	43-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	37	30-44		38	36-41
Not Overweight Nor Obese	52	44-60	+	40	37-42
Limited Due Health Problems	50	42-58		56	53-59
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	42	37-48		37	35-39
Current Smoker	57	48-66		54	50-57
Former Smoker	30	24-37		37	34-39
Never Smoked	45	39-51		38	36-40
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		39	33-47
Drink But Not Chronic	44	38-51		42	40-45
Non-Drinker	44	38-50		40	37-42
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		50	44-56
Have Health Care Coverage	42	38-47		40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		47	41-52
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	42	38-47		40	38-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	73	61-82		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	41	36-46		38	36-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	50	42-58		48	45-51
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	42	37-47		37	36-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-43
Rural	NSR	NSR		39	35-43

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 4: Sleep, Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not overweight or obese had a significantly higher percentage (52 percent, CI: 44-60) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight or obese (40 percent, CI: 37-42).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 35-44) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (59 percent, CI: 46-71).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 35-44) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (52 percent, CI: 45-59).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (59 percent, CI: 46-71).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (52 percent, CI: 45-59).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (40 percent, CI: 35-44).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Indiana County employed adults (48 percent, CI: 41-54).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (50 percent, CI: 37-62).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 35-44) compared to Indiana County adults who reported they were never married (57 percent, CI: 45-68).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-31) compared to Indiana County married adults (39 percent, CI: 35-44).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-31) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (44 percent, CI: 36-52).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-31) compared to Indiana County adults who reported they were never married (57 percent, CI: 45-68).
- **Children in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 33-43) compared to Indiana County adults with children living in their household (56 percent, CI: 48-64).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 24-37) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (57 percent, CI: 48-66).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 24-37) compared to Indiana County adults who have never smoked (45 percent, CI: 39-51).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 36-46) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (73 percent, CI: 61-82).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	27	24-31		26	24-27
Male	23	18-28		22	20-24
Female	31	26-36		29	28-31
18-29	19	11-31		17	14-21
30-44	22	17-29		24	22-27
45-64	26	23-31		27	25-28
65+	43	38-48	+	34	32-36
< High School	NSR	NSR		42	37-48
High School	29	24-34		34	32-36
Some College	23	16-32		23	20-26
College Degree	18	13-23		15	13-17
<$25,000	42	35-49		39	36-42
$25,000 to $49,999	28	22-36		31	28-34
$50,000+	12	8-17		16	14-18
White, non-Hispanic	27	24-31		25	23-26
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		31	27-36
Emp. Status: Employed	20	16-25		23	22-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	15	8-26		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		23	19-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	35	26-46		27	23-30
Emp. Status: Retired	34	29-40		30	28-32
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		57	50-63
Married	23	20-26		24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	37	30-46		33	29-37
Widowed	42	36-50		39	36-42
Never Married	25	17-36		24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	16-29		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	30	26-34		28	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	52	45-59		50	46-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	19-27		21	20-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	42	34-50		42	38-46
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	22-30		24	23-25
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		34	29-39
Not Asthmatic	25	22-29		25	24-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	35	29-42		34	32-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	19	16-24		23	21-26
Not Overweight Nor Obese	28	21-35		20	18-22
Limited Due Health Problems	48	40-56		43	40-46
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	22	18-26		22	20-23
Current Smoker	38	30-47		32	29-35
Former Smoker	27	21-34		25	23-27
Never Smoked	23	19-27		24	22-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Drink But Not Chronic	18	14-23		18	17-20
Non-Drinker	35	30-40		33	31-36
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		27	22-31
Have Health Care Coverage	26	23-29		26	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		26	22-31
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	27	24-31		26	24-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	26	23-30		25	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	26	20-34		23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	27	24-31		27	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		27	24-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly higher percentage (43 percent, CI: 38-48) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (34 percent, CI: 32-36).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 11-31) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (43 percent, CI: 38-48).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-29) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (43 percent, CI: 38-48).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-31) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (43 percent, CI: 38-48).
- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Indiana County adults with a high school education (29 percent, CI: 24-34).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (42 percent, CI: 35-49).
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (28 percent, CI: 22-36).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (35 percent, CI: 26-46).
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Indiana County retired adults (34 percent, CI: 29-40).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 8-26) compared to Indiana County retired adults (34 percent, CI: 29-40).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (37 percent, CI: 30-46).
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (42 percent, CI: 36-50).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-27) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (52 percent, CI: 45-59).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-30) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (42 percent, CI: 34-50).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Indiana County obese adults (35 percent, CI: 29-42).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (48 percent, CI: 40-56).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Differences within Indiana County: (continued)

- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-27) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (38 percent, CI: 30-47).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (35 percent, CI: 30-40).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-10		9	8-10
Male	10	8-13		9	8-10
Female	7	6-9		9	8-10
18-29	0	0-2		1	0-3
30-44	3	2-7		4	3-6
45-64	13	10-16		11	10-12
65+	19	15-23		20	18-21
< High School	15	9-24		17	13-21
High School	11	9-14		12	10-13
Some College	6	4-9		7	6-9
College Degree	5	3-8		5	5-6
<$25,000	16	12-20		16	14-18
$25,000 to $49,999	9	7-13		11	9-12
$50,000+	4	2-6		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-10		9	8-9
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		11	9-14
Emp. Status: Employed	4	2-5		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	7	3-15		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	12	5-23		7	5-11
Emp. Status: Homemaker	6	3-11		7	5-10
Emp. Status: Retired	20	16-25		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	21	13-31		24	19-29
Married	8	6-10		9	8-10
Divorced/Separated	13	9-20		13	11-15
Widowed	18	13-24		19	17-22
Never Married	5	3-8		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	2-6		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	9-14		12	11-13
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	25	20-32		28	25-31
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	6	4-7		6	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	14	9-23		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	8	6-9		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	18	14-22		18	16-20
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	6	4-8		8	7-9
Not Overweight Nor Obese	4	2-6		3	2-3
Limited Due Health Problems	21	16-26		17	15-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	6	4-7		7	6-8
Current Smoker	5	3-9		7	6-9
Former Smoker	14	11-19		12	11-14
Never Smoked	7	6-9		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	1	0-10		5	3-8
Drink But Not Chronic	4	3-6		5	4-6
Non-Drinker	13	11-16		14	13-15
No Health Care Coverage	4	1-9		5	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage	9	8-11		10	9-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-7		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	8-11		10	9-11
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	7	3-14		10	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	7-11		9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	4	2-6		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	10	9-13		11	11-12
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		8	7-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (13 percent, CI: 10-16).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (19 percent, CI: 15-23).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (13 percent, CI: 10-16).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (19 percent, CI: 15-23).
- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County adults less than a high school education (15 percent, CI: 9-24).
  - Indiana County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County adults with a high school education (11 percent, CI: 9-14).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (16 percent, CI: 12-20).
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (9 percent, CI: 7-13).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Indiana County retired adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (21 percent, CI: 13-31).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 3-15) compared to Indiana County retired adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-11) compared to Indiana County retired adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-11) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (21 percent, CI: 13-31).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (18 percent, CI: 13-24).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (13 percent, CI: 9-20).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (18 percent, CI: 13-24).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (11 percent, CI: 9-14).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Differences within Indiana County: (continued)

- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (25 percent, CI: 20-32).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Indiana County obese adults (18 percent, CI: 14-22).
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County obese adults (18 percent, CI: 14-22).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (21 percent, CI: 16-26).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-10) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (13 percent, CI: 11-16).
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (13 percent, CI: 11-16).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (10 percent, CI: 8-11).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (10 percent, CI: 9-13).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	29	26-32		31	30-33
Male	29	25-34		32	30-35
Female	28	24-32		31	29-32
18-29	2	1-10		10	8-14
30-44	16	12-22		19	17-22
45-64	40	35-44		36	34-38
65+	59	54-64		59	57-61
< High School	NSR	NSR		42	37-48
High School	34	29-39		37	35-39
Some College	24	18-32		30	27-32
College Degree	18	14-23		24	22-26
<$25,000	41	34-48		42	39-45
$25,000 to $49,999	38	31-45		34	31-36
$50,000+	16	12-20	-	25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	29	26-32		32	31-33
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		28	24-32
Emp. Status: Employed	18	15-22		23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		29	24-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	36	26-47		33	29-36
Emp. Status: Retired	58	53-63		58	55-60
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	43	31-57		48	42-55
Married	31	28-35		33	31-34
Divorced/Separated	39	31-47		38	35-42
Widowed	59	52-66		56	52-59
Never Married	12	8-18		19	16-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	9-16		18	16-20
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	37	33-41		40	38-41
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	58	50-65		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	20-26		27	26-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	71	63-79		67	63-71
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	24	22-28		28	27-29
Asthmatic (Current)	37	26-49		32	28-37
Not Asthmatic	28	24-31		31	30-33
Obese (BMI >= 30)	40	34-47		46	44-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	26	21-31		33	30-35
Not Overweight Nor Obese	20	16-26		18	16-20
Limited Due Health Problems	49	41-57		47	44-50
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	24	20-27		28	26-29
Current Smoker	24	19-31		29	25-32
Former Smoker	35	29-41		40	38-43
Never Smoked	27	23-32		28	26-30
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		28	23-34
Drink But Not Chronic	20	16-25		27	25-29
Non-Drinker	36	31-41		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	15	9-23		21	17-25
Have Health Care Coverage	30	27-34		33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	11	7-18		17	14-22
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	31	28-35		33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	27	18-37		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	29	26-32		32	31-33
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	14	10-20		15	13-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	34	30-38		38	36-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-32
Rural	NSR	NSR		34	30-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (25 percent, CI: 23-27).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (16 percent, CI: 12-22).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (40 percent, CI: 35-44).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-22) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (40 percent, CI: 35-44).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-22) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 35-44) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Indiana County adults with a high school education (34 percent, CI: 29-39).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (41 percent, CI: 34-48).
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (38 percent, CI: 31-45).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (36 percent, CI: 26-47).
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Indiana County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (43 percent, CI: 31-57).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 26-47) compared to Indiana County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 28-35) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (59 percent, CI: 52-66).
  - Indiana County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 31-47) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (59 percent, CI: 52-66).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-18) compared to Indiana County married adults (31 percent, CI: 28-35).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-18) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (39 percent, CI: 31-47).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-18) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (59 percent, CI: 52-66).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Indiana County: (continued)

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-16) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (37 percent, CI: 33-41).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (58 percent, CI: 50-65).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 22-28) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (71 percent, CI: 63-79).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-31) compared to Indiana County obese adults (40 percent, CI: 34-47).
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-26) compared to Indiana County obese adults (40 percent, CI: 34-47).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-27) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (49 percent, CI: 41-57).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
- **Health Care Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 9-23) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having health care coverage (30 percent, CI: 27-34).
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-18) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (31 percent, CI: 28-35).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (34 percent, CI: 30-38).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	82	77-86		80	78-82
Male	80	72-86		74	70-78
Female	84	76-89		86	83-88
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		52	45-60
45-64	83	76-88		86	83-88
65+	95	91-97		95	93-96
< High School	NSR	NSR		87	79-92
High School	86	80-90		84	81-87
Some College	NSR	NSR		71	66-77
College Degree	78	66-87		78	73-83
<$25,000	81	74-87		81	77-85
$25,000 to $49,999	78	66-87		80	76-84
$50,000+	84	73-91		77	72-81
White, non-Hispanic	83	77-87		81	78-83
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		77	69-83
Emp. Status: Employed	76	66-83		72	68-76
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		72	59-81
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	49-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		88	82-92
Emp. Status: Retired	92	87-95		94	92-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		82	71-89
Married	81	75-86		82	79-85
Divorced/Separated	79	67-88		81	75-86
Widowed	93	86-96		95	92-96
Never Married	NSR	NSR		60	51-68
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		60	54-66
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	79-89		86	83-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	83	74-89		86	82-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	82	75-87		78	75-81
Diagnosed Diabetic	91	83-96		95	92-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	79	73-84		76	74-79
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	78-89
Not Asthmatic	82	76-86		80	77-82
Obese (BMI >= 30)	86	79-91		81	77-84
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	83	75-89		81	78-85
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR		76	70-81
Limited Due Health Problems	85	78-90		81	76-85
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	81	73-86		80	77-82
Current Smoker	69	56-79		62	55-68
Former Smoker	86	77-91		86	83-89
Never Smoked	84	76-90		83	80-85
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		73	62-81
Drink But Not Chronic	77	64-87		75	70-78
Non-Drinker	86	81-90		85	82-87
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		48	39-58
Have Health Care Coverage	84	79-88		83	80-85
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		29	19-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	84	79-88		83	81-85
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		59	50-67
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	83	77-87		82	80-84
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		52	45-59
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	88	84-91		85	82-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		81	78-83
Rural	NSR	NSR		77	70-82

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 76-88) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 66-83) compared to Indiana County retired adults (92 percent, CI: 87-95).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	74	69-79	-	82	81-84
Male	71	63-78	-	81	79-83
Female	77	70-83		84	82-85
18-29	35	23-48		48	43-53
30-44	75	69-81		81	79-84
45-64	92	89-94		93	92-94
65+	97	95-99		97	96-98
< High School	NSR	NSR		77	72-82
High School	77	69-83		82	79-84
Some College	64	52-75	-	80	77-84
College Degree	82	73-88		86	84-88
<$25,000	73	63-81		80	76-83
$25,000 to $49,999	78	68-85		83	80-86
$50,000+	77	68-84		86	84-88
White, non-Hispanic	75	70-80	-	84	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		76	71-81
Emp. Status: Employed	74	67-81		82	80-84
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	84	72-92		80	74-85
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		73	66-79
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		84	79-87
Emp. Status: Retired	97	94-98		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		87	80-91
Married	84	79-88		89	88-91
Divorced/Separated	91	83-95		87	84-90
Widowed	95	91-97		96	94-97
Never Married	45	34-57		57	53-62
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	63	53-71	-	75	73-78
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	79	73-85		87	85-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	88	80-94		89	85-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	72	66-77	-	81	80-83
Diagnosed Diabetic	96	89-98		97	94-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	72	67-77	-	81	79-83
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	79-88
Not Asthmatic	74	68-79	-	82	81-84
Obese (BMI >= 30)	80	70-88		88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	74	65-82		84	82-87
Not Overweight Nor Obese	68	58-76		76	72-78
Limited Due Health Problems	85	73-93		87	84-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	71	65-77	-	81	80-83
Current Smoker	71	61-80		72	68-76
Former Smoker	81	71-88	-	92	91-94
Never Smoked	72	64-79		82	79-84
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	67-82
Drink But Not Chronic	75	67-81		84	81-86
Non-Drinker	74	66-80		83	80-85
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		59	53-65
Have Health Care Coverage	78	73-83		85	84-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		58	52-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	79	73-83	-	85	84-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		69	63-74
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	76	70-80	-	84	83-86
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	61	52-69		68	64-71
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	79	73-85	-	89	87-90
Urban	NSR	NSR		83	81-85
Rural	NSR	NSR		80	76-83

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Adult Total**
  - Indiana County adults had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 69-79) compared to Pennsylvania adults (82 percent, CI: 81-84).
- **Gender**
  - Indiana County men had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 63-78) compared to Pennsylvania men (81 percent, CI: 79-83).
- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 52-75) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (80 percent, CI: 77-84).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Indiana County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-80) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (84 percent, CI: 82-85).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 53-71) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (75 percent, CI: 73-78).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 66-77) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (81 percent, CI: 80-83).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 67-77) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (81 percent, CI: 79-83).
  - Indiana County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 68-79) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (82 percent, CI: 81-84).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 65-77) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (81 percent, CI: 80-83).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 71-88) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (92 percent, CI: 91-94).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 73-83) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (85 percent, CI: 84-87).
  - Indiana County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 73-83) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (85 percent, CI: 84-87).
  - Indiana County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 70-80) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (84 percent, CI: 83-86).
  - Indiana County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 73-85) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (89 percent, CI: 87-90).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 23-48) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (75 percent, CI: 69-81).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 23-48) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (92 percent, CI: 89-94).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 23-48) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 95-99).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 69-81) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (92 percent, CI: 89-94).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 69-81) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 95-99).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (92 percent, CI: 89-94) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 95-99).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 67-81) compared to Indiana County retired adults (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 72-92) compared to Indiana County retired adults (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 79-88) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 34-57) compared to Indiana County married adults (84 percent, CI: 79-88).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 34-57) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (91 percent, CI: 83-95).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 34-57) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 53-71) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (79 percent, CI: 73-85).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 66-77) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (88 percent, CI: 80-94).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 67-77) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (96 percent, CI: 89-98).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 52-69) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (79 percent, CI: 73-85).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	71	66-75	-	79	77-80
Male	67	60-74	-	78	75-80
Female	74	67-80		80	77-81
18-29	32	21-46		45	40-50
30-44	69	62-76		75	72-77
45-64	87	83-90		90	88-91
65+	96	94-98		96	95-96
< High School	NSR	NSR		74	69-79
High School	74	66-80		78	75-81
Some College	62	50-73		77	73-80
College Degree	76	68-83		81	79-84
<$25,000	71	61-79		77	74-81
$25,000 to $49,999	75	65-82		79	76-82
$50,000+	72	64-80		81	79-84
White, non-Hispanic	72	66-76	-	80	78-81
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		74	68-79
Emp. Status: Employed	69	62-76		78	76-80
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	80	67-89		74	68-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		68	61-74
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		77	72-81
Emp. Status: Retired	95	92-97		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		86	79-91
Married	79	74-84		85	84-86
Divorced/Separated	85	77-91		84	80-86
Widowed	93	88-96		94	92-96
Never Married	43	32-55		54	49-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	57	48-66	-	70	67-72
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	77	71-82		84	82-86
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	87	79-93		86	83-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	68	62-73	-	77	76-79
Diagnosed Diabetic	95	89-98		96	93-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	68	63-73	-	77	75-78
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		81	75-85
Not Asthmatic	70	65-75	-	79	77-80
Obese (BMI >= 30)	78	68-85		85	83-87
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	71	62-78		81	78-83
Not Overweight Nor Obese	63	54-71		71	68-74
Limited Due Health Problems	85	73-92		85	81-88
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	67	61-72	-	77	75-79
Current Smoker	66	56-75		68	64-72
Former Smoker	79	69-86	-	89	87-91
Never Smoked	69	61-76		78	75-80
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		71	64-78
Drink But Not Chronic	71	63-78		79	77-82
Non-Drinker	71	63-77		79	77-81
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		51	46-57
Have Health Care Coverage	75	70-79	-	82	80-83
No Personal Health Care Provider	33	22-47		50	44-56
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	77	71-81		82	81-84
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	72	67-77	-	81	79-82
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	51	42-59		58	55-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	78	72-84	-	87	86-89
Urban	NSR	NSR		79	77-81
Rural	NSR	NSR		76	72-80

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Adult Total**
  - Indiana County adults had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 66-75) compared to Pennsylvania adults (79 percent, CI: 77-80).
- **Gender**
  - Indiana County men had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 60-74) compared to Pennsylvania men (78 percent, CI: 75-80).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Indiana County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 66-76) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (80 percent, CI: 78-81).
- **Children in Household**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 48-66) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (70 percent, CI: 67-72).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 62-73) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (77 percent, CI: 76-79).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 63-73) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (77 percent, CI: 75-78).
  - Indiana County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 65-75) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (79 percent, CI: 77-80).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 61-72) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (77 percent, CI: 75-79).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 69-86) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being former smokers (89 percent, CI: 87-91).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-79) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (82 percent, CI: 80-83).
  - Indiana County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 67-77) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (81 percent, CI: 79-82).
  - Indiana County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 72-84) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (87 percent, CI: 86-89).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 21-46) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (69 percent, CI: 62-76).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 21-46) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (87 percent, CI: 83-90).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 21-46) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 62-76) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (87 percent, CI: 83-90).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 62-76) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 83-90) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 94-98).
- **Employment Statue**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 62-76) compared to Indiana County retired adults (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 67-89) compared to Indiana County retired adults (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 74-84) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (93 percent, CI: 88-96).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 32-55) compared to Indiana County married adults (79 percent, CI: 74-84).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 32-55) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (85 percent, CI: 77-91).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 32-55) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (93 percent, CI: 88-96).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 48-66) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (77 percent, CI: 71-82).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 62-73) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (87 percent, CI: 79-93).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 63-73) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (95 percent, CI: 89-98).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 61-72) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (85 percent, CI: 73-92).
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 22-47) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (77 percent, CI: 71-81).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 42-59) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (78 percent, CI: 72-84).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	39	36-43		39	37-40
Male	41	36-47		40	38-42
Female	37	33-42		38	36-40
18-29	NSR	NSR		14	9-20
30-44	25	19-33		27	24-30
45-64	50	45-55		44	41-46
65+	52	46-57		55	53-57
< High School	NSR	NSR		48	42-55
High School	43	37-49		45	42-47
Some College	37	29-45		36	33-39
College Degree	32	26-38		33	31-36
<$25,000	52	45-58		45	42-48
$25,000 to $49,999	43	36-50		44	41-47
$50,000+	30	24-36		34	32-36
White, non-Hispanic	39	36-43		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		33	28-38
Emp. Status: Employed	30	25-36		33	31-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		35	29-41
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		31	25-37
Emp. Status: Homemaker	44	35-54		37	32-41
Emp. Status: Retired	54	49-60		55	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	58	46-69		54	48-61
Married	40	36-44		40	38-42
Divorced/Separated	46	38-55		41	37-45
Widowed	50	42-57		51	48-55
Never Married	27	18-37		27	23-31
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	25	20-32		28	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	45	40-49		45	43-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	59	52-66		57	53-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	35	31-39		35	34-37
Diagnosed Diabetic	62	53-70		64	60-68
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	36	33-40		36	34-37
Asthmatic (Current)	40	28-54		40	35-45
Not Asthmatic	39	35-43		39	37-40
Obese (BMI >= 30)	46	40-53		46	43-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	36	30-42		43	40-45
Not Overweight Nor Obese	36	30-42		28	26-31
Limited Due Health Problems	54	47-60		50	47-54
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	35	31-39		36	34-38
Current Smoker	42	33-51		37	33-40
Former Smoker	46	40-52		47	44-50
Never Smoked	35	30-40		35	33-37
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	32-46
Drink But Not Chronic	34	29-40		35	33-37
Non-Drinker	46	41-51		43	41-45
No Health Care Coverage	20	12-32		26	21-32
Have Health Care Coverage	41	37-45		40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	41	38-45		40	39-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	34	24-47		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	40	36-43		39	37-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	19-33		26	24-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	43	39-47		43	41-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		38	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		42	38-45

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-33) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (50 percent, CI: 45-55).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-33) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (52 percent, CI: 46-57).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 24-36) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (52 percent, CI: 45-58).
- **Employment Statue**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 25-36) compared to Indiana County retired adults (54 percent, CI: 49-60).
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 25-36) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (58 percent, CI: 46-69).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 18-37) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (46 percent, CI: 38-55).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 18-37) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (50 percent, CI: 42-57).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 20-32) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (45 percent, CI: 40-49).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-39) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (59 percent, CI: 52-66).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 33-40) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (62 percent, CI: 53-70).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-39) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (54 percent, CI: 47-60).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 29-40) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (46 percent, CI: 41-51).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 12-32) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having health care coverage (41 percent, CI: 37-45).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-33) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (43 percent, CI: 39-47).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	8	7-10		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	12	9-16		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	5	4-7		4	4-5
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	3	1-8		0	0-1
55-64	8	5-11		5	4-6
65+	14	11-18		13	12-15
< High School, Age 35+	20	12-32		17	13-21
High School, Age 35+	9	7-12		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	8	5-14		5	4-7
College Degree, Age 35+	4	2-7		3	2-4
<$25,000, Age 35+	15	11-20		12	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	9	6-14		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	4	2-7		2	2-3
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	8	6-10		6	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	5-9
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	5	3-8		2	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	0	NCI		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	4	2-9		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	14	11-19		13	11-15
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	22	14-33		15	11-20
Married, Age 35+	7	5-9		6	5-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	12	7-19		7	5-9
Widowed, Age 35+	14	9-19		11	9-14
Never Married, Age 35+	8	3-16		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	3	1-6		1	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	10	8-13		8	8-9
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	23	18-30		19	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	5	3-7		3	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	22	15-30		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	7	5-9		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	12	7-20		6	4-8
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	8	6-10		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	9	6-13		8	7-9
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	8	6-12		6	5-7
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	8	5-12		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	17	12-22		13	11-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	6	4-8		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	10	6-15		7	5-8
Former Smoker, Age 35+	14	10-19		9	8-11
Never Smoked, Age 35+	5	3-7		4	3-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	3-9
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	6	4-9		4	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	11	8-14		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	2	1-9		6	4-9
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	9	7-11		6	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	1	0-6		3	1-5
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	9	7-11		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	16	9-26		9	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	8	6-10		6	5-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	3	1-8		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	10	8-12		7	7-8
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	5-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		8	6-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Indiana County men age 35 and older (12 percent, CI: 9-16).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older less than a high school education (20 percent, CI: 12-32).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 (15 percent, CI: 11-20).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County retired adults age 35 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
  - Indiana County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (22 percent, CI: 14-33).
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Indiana County retired adults age 35 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (22 percent, CI: 14-33).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (10 percent, CI: 8-13).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (23 percent, CI: 18-30).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (22 percent, CI: 15-30).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (17 percent, CI: 12-22).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being former smokers (14 percent, CI: 10-19).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-6) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported having one or more personal health care providers (9 percent, CI: 7-11).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	8	7-10		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	11	8-14		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	6	4-8		5	4-6
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	2	1-6		0	0-1
55-64	6	4-9		5	4-6
65+	15	12-19		14	12-16
< High School, Age 35+	8	4-18		13	10-17
High School, Age 35+	8	6-11		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	12	8-17	+	6	5-7
College Degree, Age 35+	5	3-8		4	3-5
<$25,000, Age 35+	11	8-15		11	9-13
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	10	7-14		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	4	2-8		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	8	6-10		7	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	5	3-8		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	0	NCI		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	5	2-10		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	14	11-19		14	12-16
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	16	10-26		12	9-16
Married, Age 35+	7	5-9		6	6-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	9	5-15		5	4-7
Widowed, Age 35+	14	9-19		13	11-16
Never Married, Age 35+	7	3-16		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	5	2-9		2	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	9	7-11		9	8-10
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	19	14-25		20	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	5	4-7		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	21	14-29		18	15-21
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	6	5-8		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	11	6-18		8	6-11
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	8	6-10		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	10	7-14		8	7-10
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	8	6-11		6	5-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	7	5-11		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	13	10-18		13	12-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	6	5-9		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	6	3-11		5	4-6
Former Smoker, Age 35+	13	10-18		10	9-12
Never Smoked, Age 35+	6	4-9		5	4-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	7	5-10		5	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	9	7-12		8	7-9
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	7	3-18		4	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	8	7-10		7	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	1	0-8		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	9	7-11		7	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	14	7-26		8	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	8	6-9		6	6-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	4	2-8		2	1-3
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	9	7-12		8	7-9
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	6-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		7	5-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Adult Total**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older with some college education had a significantly higher percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 35 and older with some college education (6 percent, CI: 5-7).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (15 percent, CI: 12-19).
  - Indiana County adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (15 percent, CI: 12-19).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County retired adults age 35 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
  - Indiana County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (16 percent, CI: 10-26).
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-10) compared to Indiana County retired adults age 35 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-19).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (19 percent, CI: 14-25).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (21 percent, CI: 14-29).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (13 percent, CI: 10-18).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being former smokers (13 percent, CI: 10-18).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	4	3-6		3	3-4
Male, Age 35+	5	3-7		3	2-4
Female, Age 35+	4	3-6		4	3-4
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	1	0-5		1	0-1
55-64	4	2-6		2	2-3
65+	7	5-10		7	6-9
< High School, Age 35+	8	3-19		6	4-9
High School, Age 35+	5	3-7		5	4-5
Some College, Age 35+	4	2-8		2	2-4
College Degree, Age 35+	2	1-5		2	1-2
<$25,000, Age 35+	8	5-12		7	5-8
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	4	2-7		3	2-4
$50,000+, Age 35+	2	1-4		1	1-2
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	4	3-6		3	3-4
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR		4	3-7
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	2	1-3		1	1-1
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	4	1-11		1	0-3
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	4	1-10		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	6	4-10		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	13	6-23		9	6-13
Married, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	2-3
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	6	3-12		5	3-6
Widowed, Age 35+	7	4-11		7	5-9
Never Married, Age 35+	6	2-14		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	4	2-8	+	1	0-1
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	5	3-6		4	4-5
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	12	8-17		10	8-12
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	2	2-4		2	2-2
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	5	2-11		9	7-11
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	4	3-6		3	2-3
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	9	5-17		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	6	3-9		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	3	2-6		3	3-4
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	4	2-7		3	2-4
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	9	6-13		8	7-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	3	2-4		2	2-2
Current Smoker, Age 35+	8	5-14		3	2-5
Former Smoker, Age 35+	4	2-7		4	3-4
Never Smoked, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	3-4
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	1-7
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	2	1-5		2	2-3
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	6	4-8		4	4-5
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	0	NCI		2	1-4
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	5	3-6		3	3-4
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	0	NCI		1	0-1
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	5	3-6		3	3-4
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	6	2-16		4	2-6
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	4	3-6		3	3-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	1	0-3		1	1-2
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	5	4-7		4	3-5
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	3-4
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		4	3-5

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Children in Household**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly higher percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 35 and older who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (1 percent, CI: 0-1).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 (8 percent, CI: 5-12).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Indiana County retired adults age 35 and older (6 percent, CI: 4-10).
  - Indiana County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (13 percent, CI: 6-23).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (12 percent, CI: 8-17).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (9 percent, CI: 6-13).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Indiana County adults age 35 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (5 percent, CI: 4-7).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	11-17		13	12-15
Male	13	9-19		12	10-13
Female	14	11-18		15	14-17
18-29	14	7-26		20	16-25
30-44	15	11-20		14	12-17
45-64	13	10-17		11	10-13
65+	11	9-15		10	8-11
< High School	16	10-26		15	11-20
High School	13	9-18		13	11-15
Some College	15	9-24		17	14-20
College Degree	11	7-17		12	10-14
<$25,000	16	12-21		16	14-19
$25,000 to $49,999	11	7-17		13	11-15
$50,000+	15	9-22		12	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	14	11-17		13	12-14
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		16	13-20
Emp. Status: Employed	14	10-20		13	12-15
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	5	2-14		10	7-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		21	16-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	11	6-18		12	9-15
Emp. Status: Retired	10	7-13		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	27	17-39		21	16-28
Married	12	9-15		11	10-13
Divorced/Separated	20	14-28		16	13-20
Widowed	12	8-18		10	8-12
Never Married	15	8-26		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	10-20		14	12-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	10-17		13	12-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	23	18-30		22	19-25
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	12	9-15		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	21	15-29		15	12-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	10-16		13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30)	20	14-26		15	13-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	10	7-16		13	11-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese	12	8-18		13	11-15
Limited Due Health Problems	26	19-34		22	19-25
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	10	8-14		11	10-13
Current Smoker	19	12-29		18	15-21
Former Smoker	14	9-19		14	12-16
Never Smoked	11	8-15		12	10-13
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		14	9-20
Drink But Not Chronic	12	8-16		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	14	11-18		14	12-16
No Health Care Coverage	11	5-23		15	11-21
Have Health Care Coverage	14	11-17		13	12-14
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	14	11-18		14	12-15
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	13	8-22		23	19-28
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	13	11-17		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	7	4-13		14	12-16
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	16	12-20		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	12-15
Rural	NSR	NSR		14	11-17

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-14) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (27 percent, CI: 17-39).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (27 percent, CI: 17-39).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (23 percent, CI: 18-30).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (26 percent, CI: 19-34).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-12		9	8-10
Male	8	5-14		7	5-8
Female	10	8-14		11	10-13
18-29	11	5-22		12	9-16
30-44	9	6-13		10	9-12
45-64	10	7-13		8	7-9
65+	9	6-12		7	6-8
< High School	13	7-21		13	10-18
High School	9	6-14		9	8-11
Some College	12	7-21		11	9-13
College Degree	6	4-10		7	6-8
<$25,000	11	8-15		13	11-15
$25,000 to $49,999	8	5-14		9	7-10
$50,000+	12	7-20		7	6-8
White, non-Hispanic	10	7-13		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		11	8-15
Emp. Status: Employed	11	7-17		8	7-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	3	1-11		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	7	3-17		14	10-19
Emp. Status: Homemaker	7	4-14		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Retired	7	5-11		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	21	13-32		19	13-25
Married	7	5-11		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	14	9-20		12	9-15
Widowed	10	7-16		8	6-10
Never Married	11	5-22		12	9-15
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	5-12		10	8-12
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	7-14		9	8-10
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	18	14-24		17	15-20
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	8	5-11		8	7-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	16	11-24		11	9-14
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	9	6-12		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	14	9-21		11	9-13
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	7	4-13		8	7-10
Not Overweight Nor Obese	9	5-14		8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	21	15-30		17	15-20
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	4-10		7	6-8
Current Smoker	13	7-23		13	10-15
Former Smoker	8	5-14		9	7-10
Never Smoked	8	6-12		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		7	4-13
Drink But Not Chronic	6	4-10		8	7-9
Non-Drinker	10	7-14		11	9-12
No Health Care Coverage	5	2-11		9	6-13
Have Health Care Coverage	10	7-13		9	8-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-7		6	4-10
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	11	8-14		9	9-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	7	3-13		18	14-22
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	10	7-13		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	4	2-7		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	12	9-16		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		10	8-13

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-11) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (21 percent, CI: 13-32).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (21 percent, CI: 13-32).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (18 percent, CI: 14-24).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (21 percent, CI: 15-30).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (11 percent, CI: 8-14).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (12 percent, CI: 9-16).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	22	18-26		20	19-22
Male	20	16-26		22	19-24
Female	23	18-28		19	18-21
18-29	23	14-35		30	25-35
30-44	29	23-36		22	20-25
45-64	24	21-29		20	18-22
65+	10	7-13		9	8-11
< High School	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
High School	23	18-28		24	22-27
Some College	22	15-32		22	19-26
College Degree	12	8-19		11	10-13
<$25,000	29	23-37		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	21	16-28		23	20-26
$50,000+	18	12-25		15	13-17
White, non-Hispanic	22	19-26		19	18-21
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Employed	25	19-31		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	21	12-34		17	12-22
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		38	32-45
Emp. Status: Homemaker	21	14-30		14	11-17
Emp. Status: Retired	11	8-15		11	9-12
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	38	26-52		42	35-49
Married	20	16-24		15	14-17
Divorced/Separated	32	24-40		30	26-34
Widowed	14	9-20		12	10-14
Never Married	22	14-33		30	26-35
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	26	20-34		23	21-26
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	16-24		18	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	32	25-40		29	26-32
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	20	16-24		19	17-20
Diagnosed Diabetic	13	8-21		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	23	19-27		21	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		28	23-33
Not Asthmatic	21	18-25		19	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	17	11-26		18	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	17	13-23		19	17-21
Neither Overweight nor Obese	31	24-38		24	21-27
Limited Due Health Problems	28	22-34		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	16-25		18	17-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
Drink But Not Chronic	23	17-29		20	18-22
Non-Drinker	18	15-23		18	16-20
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		38	33-43
Have Health Care Coverage	20	17-24		18	17-19
No Personal Health Care Provider	38	26-52		30	25-36
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	20	16-24		19	18-20
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	19	16-23		18	17-19
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	31	24-39		26	23-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	18	14-22		18	16-19
Urban	NSR	NSR		20	18-21
Rural	NSR	NSR		23	20-27

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (23 percent, CI: 14-35).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (29 percent, CI: 23-36).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (24 percent, CI: 21-29).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Indiana County employed adults (25 percent, CI: 19-31).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (38 percent, CI: 26-52).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 9-20) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (32 percent, CI: 24-40).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (32 percent, CI: 25-40).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (31 percent, CI: 24-38).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider (38 percent, CI: 26-52).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (31 percent, CI: 24-39).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	24	21-27		26	25-27
Male	30	25-36		30	28-32
Female	17	14-21		22	21-24
18-29	14	7-25		9	7-13
30-44	21	16-28		21	19-24
45-64	26	22-30		31	30-33
65+	36	31-41		39	37-42
< High School	18	11-28		23	19-28
High School	25	20-31		28	26-30
Some College	21	15-29		27	25-30
College Degree	25	20-31		24	22-26
<$25,000	27	21-33		25	23-28
$25,000 to $49,999	26	21-33		27	25-30
$50,000+	24	19-31		27	25-29
White, non-Hispanic	24	21-27		28	27-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		17	14-21
Emp. Status: Employed	20	16-24		24	23-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	16	10-23		21	18-25
Emp. Status: Retired	40	35-45		41	38-43
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		29	24-35
Married	26	23-30		30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	27	20-35		32	28-36
Widowed	32	26-39		34	31-37
Never Married	14	8-24		11	9-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	14-25		20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	26	22-30		29	28-31
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	34	27-41		32	29-35
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	22	19-26		25	24-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	40	32-49		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	22	19-26		25	24-26
Asthmatic (Current)	20	12-33		24	21-29
Not Asthmatic	24	21-27		26	25-28
Obese (BMI >= 30)	27	22-33		31	29-34
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	27	21-34		29	27-31
Not Overweight Nor Obese	16	12-21		19	18-21
Limited Due Health Problems	36	28-45		32	29-35
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	17-24		25	23-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		29	23-35
Drink But Not Chronic	27	22-33		28	26-30
Non-Drinker	21	17-25		24	22-25
No Health Care Coverage	8	4-16		16	12-19
Have Health Care Coverage	26	22-29		27	26-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	15	8-27		18	14-23
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	25	22-29		27	26-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	15	9-24		19	15-23
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	24	21-28		27	26-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	18	13-24		22	20-24
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	26	22-30		28	26-29
Urban	NSR	NSR		26	25-28
Rural	NSR	NSR		25	22-28

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Indiana County men (30 percent, CI: 25-36).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 7-25) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-28) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-30) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Indiana County retired adults (40 percent, CI: 35-45).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 10-23) compared to Indiana County retired adults (40 percent, CI: 35-45).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 8-24) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (32 percent, CI: 26-39).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 19-26) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (34 percent, CI: 27-41).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 19-26) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (40 percent, CI: 32-49).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Indiana County obese adults (27 percent, CI: 22-33).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (36 percent, CI: 28-45).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-16) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having health care coverage (26 percent, CI: 22-29).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	48	39-57		57	53-60
Male	55	41-68		56	50-61
Female	43	31-55		58	53-62
18-29	NSR	NSR		67	57-75
30-44	57	44-69		52	45-58
45-64	54	44-63		53	48-58
65+	NSR	NSR		58	50-65
< High School	NSR	NSR		53	42-63
High School	50	37-63		58	52-63
Some College	NSR	NSR		62	55-69
College Degree	NSR	NSR		50	41-58
<$25,000	NSR	NSR		57	51-63
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR		59	52-65
$50,000+	NSR	NSR		57	50-64
White, non-Hispanic	48	39-57		56	52-59
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR		57	52-62
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		60	48-71
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR		56	49-64
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		45	35-57
Married	49	38-60		56	51-61
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		52	44-59
Widowed	NSR	NSR		59	49-69
Never Married	NSR	NSR		59	51-67
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		59	53-65
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	42	33-53		55	50-59
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR		56	49-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	47	37-58		57	53-61
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR		68	57-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	47	38-57		56	52-60
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		65	54-75
Not Asthmatic	49	39-58		56	52-60
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR		58	52-64
Not Overweight Nor Obese	45	32-58		54	48-60
Limited Due Health Problems	54	42-66		55	48-62
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	45	34-57		58	53-62
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		47	35-59
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR		60	55-65
Non-Drinker	59	48-69		56	50-61
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		53	44-62
Have Health Care Coverage	49	39-59		58	54-62
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		45	35-55
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	48	38-59		59	55-63
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		56	47-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	45	36-55		57	53-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	54	40-67		54	47-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	45	33-57		59	54-63
Urban	NSR	NSR		57	53-61
Rural	NSR	NSR		57	47-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- There were no significant differences between Indiana County and Pennsylvania.

Differences within Indiana County:

- There were no significant differences within Indiana County.

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	10-15		12	11-12
Male	24	20-30		23	21-24
Female	2	1-3		1	1-2
18-29	NSR	NSR		3	2-6
30-44	8	4-13		6	5-8
45-64	14	11-18		12	11-14
65+	27	23-32		25	23-27
< High School	12	7-20		10	8-14
High School	13	10-18		14	13-16
Some College	13	9-18		12	10-14
College Degree	11	8-15		9	8-10
<$25,000	15	11-19		11	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999	17	13-22		16	14-18
$50,000+	11	7-17		11	10-12
White, non-Hispanic	12	10-15		12	11-13
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Employed	10	7-15		9	8-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	10	4-22		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	6	2-17		8	5-13
Emp. Status: Homemaker	3	1-9		1	0-2
Emp. Status: Retired	30	25-35		29	27-31
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	15	9-25		11	8-15
Married	15	12-18		14	13-15
Divorced/Separated	16	11-23		14	11-18
Widowed	16	11-22		13	11-15
Never Married	7	3-16		5	3-6
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	5-12		7	5-8
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	12-18		14	13-16
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	22	16-28		14	12-16
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	11	9-14		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	28	20-36		19	16-22
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	11	9-14		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		7	5-9
Not Asthmatic	12	10-14		12	11-13
Obese (BMI >= 30)	11	8-15		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	16	11-21		16	14-17
Not Overweight Nor Obese	11	8-15		8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	15	11-21		15	13-17
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	12	9-15		11	10-12
Current Smoker	15	9-24		12	10-14
Former Smoker	21	17-27		20	19-23
Never Smoked	8	6-10		7	6-8
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	13-21
Drink But Not Chronic	12	9-16		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	11	9-14		10	9-11
No Health Care Coverage	7	4-15		7	5-9
Have Health Care Coverage	13	11-16		12	11-13
No Personal Health Care Provider	13	7-21		12	8-15
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	13	10-15		12	11-13
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	13	8-22		6	4-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	12	10-15		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	7	5-11		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	15	12-18		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		13	11-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Indiana County men (24 percent, CI: 20-30).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-13) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-15) compared to Indiana County retired adults (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 4-22) compared to Indiana County retired adults (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-17) compared to Indiana County retired adults (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Indiana County retired adults (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (22 percent, CI: 16-28).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (28 percent, CI: 20-36).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (21 percent, CI: 17-27).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (15 percent, CI: 12-18).

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	20	17-23	-	26	24-27
Male	20	15-25		22	20-25
Female	21	17-24	-	28	27-30
18-29	11	5-23		24	20-29
30-44	24	19-31		24	21-26
45-64	25	21-29		30	28-32
65+	21	17-25		22	20-23
< High School	NSR	NSR		23	18-29
High School	20	15-25		25	23-28
Some College	22	15-31		25	22-28
College Degree	19	15-24		26	24-29
<$25,000	18	12-25		26	23-29
$25,000 to $49,999	24	19-30		26	23-29
$50,000+	20	15-25		25	23-28
White, non-Hispanic	20	17-23	-	26	24-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Employed	20	16-25		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	23	14-37		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		25	20-31
Emp. Status: Homemaker	21	14-30		25	21-29
Emp. Status: Retired	22	18-27		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	16	9-25		22	17-29
Married	25	21-29		26	25-28
Divorced/Separated	20	14-27		29	25-33
Widowed	16	11-22		19	16-22
Never Married	14	7-24		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	13-23		26	23-28
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	18-26		26	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	18	14-24		25	22-28
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	20	17-24		26	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	20	14-27		23	19-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	20	17-24		26	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	23	14-37		29	25-34
Not Asthmatic	20	17-23	-	25	24-27
Obese (BMI >= 30)	22	17-28		26	23-28
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	21	16-27		25	23-27
Not Overweight Nor Obese	18	13-24		26	23-28
Limited Due Health Problems	20	16-26		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	16-24		25	23-26
Current Smoker	22	16-29		29	26-33
Former Smoker	21	16-26		24	22-27
Never Smoked	19	15-24		25	23-27
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		25	19-31
Drink But Not Chronic	19	16-24		26	24-28
Non-Drinker	21	16-26		26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		27	22-32
Have Health Care Coverage	20	18-23	-	25	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		23	19-29
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-24	-	26	25-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	17	11-26	-	36	30-41
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	20	17-24		24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	20	15-27		24	22-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	20	17-24	-	26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		26	23-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Defined as providing regular care or assistance to a friend or family member who has a health problem, long-term illness or disability.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Adult Total**
  - Indiana County adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults (26 percent, CI: 24-27).
- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Pennsylvania women (28 percent, CI: 27-30).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Indiana County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (26 percent, CI: 24-27).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (25 percent, CI: 24-27).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 18-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (25 percent, CI: 24-27).
  - Indiana County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (26 percent, CI: 25-27).
  - Indiana County adults who reported needing to see a doctor in the past year but being unable because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-26) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported needing to see a doctor in the past year but being unable because of cost (36 percent, CI: 30-41).
  - Indiana County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (26 percent, CI: 25-28).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	20	17-23		19	18-20
Male	20	15-25		18	16-19
Female	19	16-23		20	19-22
18-29	9	3-20		12	9-16
30-44	17	12-23		13	11-16
45-64	26	22-30		23	21-25
65+	28	24-33		27	25-28
< High School	26	17-38		28	23-33
High School	23	18-28		22	20-24
Some College	16	11-23		20	17-22
College Degree	14	10-20		13	12-14
<$25,000	33	27-39		34	31-37
$25,000 to $49,999	20	15-26		20	18-22
$50,000+	13	8-20		11	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	20	17-23		19	18-20
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		19	15-23
Emp. Status: Employed	10	7-14		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	10	5-19		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	19	13-28		18	15-22
Emp. Status: Retired	27	23-32		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		81	76-86
Married	18	15-21		16	15-18
Divorced/Separated	35	28-44		31	27-35
Widowed	25	19-31		29	26-32
Never Married	14	8-24		18	15-21
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	9-21		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	19-26		23	21-24
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	59	51-66		60	56-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	13	10-16		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	47	39-56		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	17	14-20		17	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		36	31-41
Not Asthmatic	17	14-20		17	16-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	28	22-34		28	25-30
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	16	12-22		17	16-19
Not Overweight Nor Obese	16	12-21		14	12-16
Current Smoker	25	19-31		27	24-30
Former Smoker	30	23-38		23	21-26
Never Smoked	13	10-16		14	13-15
Chronic Drinker	5	2-12		16	12-21
Drink But Not Chronic	13	9-18		14	13-16
Non-Drinker	27	23-32		25	23-27
No Health Care Coverage	12	7-20		20	16-25
Have Health Care Coverage	21	18-24		19	18-20
No Personal Health Care Provider	6	3-12		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-25		20	19-21
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	24	16-35		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	19	16-22		17	16-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	13	9-18		15	13-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	22	19-26		21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		19	18-20
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 3-20) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (26 percent, CI: 22-30).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 3-20) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (28 percent, CI: 24-33).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-23) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (28 percent, CI: 24-33).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-26) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (33 percent, CI: 27-39).
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-20) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (33 percent, CI: 27-39).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Indiana County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 5-19) compared to Indiana County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (35 percent, CI: 28-44).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 8-24) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (35 percent, CI: 28-44).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (59 percent, CI: 51-66).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (47 percent, CI: 39-56).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Indiana County obese adults (28 percent, CI: 22-34).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (25 percent, CI: 19-31).
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (30 percent, CI: 23-38).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-12) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (27 percent, CI: 23-32).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

Differences within Indiana County: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-12) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (21 percent, CI: 18-25).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (22 percent, CI: 19-26).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-11		8	7-8
Male	10	7-15		7	6-8
Female	8	6-10		8	7-9
18-29	NSR	NSR		2	1-3
30-44	3	1-6		3	2-4
45-64	11	8-14		9	7-10
65+	18	15-22		17	16-19
< High School	10	6-18		14	11-18
High School	11	7-15		9	8-11
Some College	8	5-12		7	6-9
College Degree	7	3-12		4	4-5
<$25,000	15	11-19		15	13-18
$25,000 to $49,999	8	5-12		8	7-9
$50,000+	6	3-14		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-12		7	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Employed	3	1-7		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	0	0-2		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Out of Work	2	0-8		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Homemaker	10	6-15		8	7-11
Emp. Status: Retired	17	13-22		17	15-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		38	32-44
Married	8	6-10		6	6-7
Divorced/Separated	9	5-15		12	10-15
Widowed	18	13-24		20	18-23
Never Married	6	3-15		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	4	1-13		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	9-14		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	31	25-38		30	27-33
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	5	3-8		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic	19	13-26		22	19-25
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	6-11		6	6-7
Asthmatic (Current)	15	9-23		13	10-16
Not Asthmatic	8	6-11		7	6-8
Obese (BMI >= 30)	11	9-15		12	11-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	5-16		7	6-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese	6	4-9		5	4-6
Current Smoker	8	5-12		9	7-11
Former Smoker	16	10-25		10	8-11
Never Smoked	6	5-8		6	5-7
Chronic Drinker	0	NCI		6	4-9
Drink But Not Chronic	5	3-9		4	3-5
Non-Drinker	13	10-17		12	11-13
No Health Care Coverage	5	3-11		4	2-5
Have Health Care Coverage	9	7-12		8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-7		2	1-3
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	8-13		8	8-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	11	6-20		8	6-10
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	7-11		8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	6	3-11		3	3-5
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	10	8-13		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		8	7-8
Rural	NSR	NSR		7	6-9

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (11 percent, CI: 8-14).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (18 percent, CI: 15-22).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (18 percent, CI: 15-22).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Indiana County retired adults (17 percent, CI: 13-22).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (10 percent, CI: 6-15).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Indiana County retired adults (17 percent, CI: 13-22).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-8) compared to Indiana County retired adults (17 percent, CI: 13-22).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (18 percent, CI: 13-24).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (31 percent, CI: 25-38).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (19 percent, CI: 13-26).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (16 percent, CI: 10-25).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (13 percent, CI: 10-17).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (10 percent, CI: 8-13).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	18	14-22		17	15-18
Male	24	18-31		23	20-25
Female	12	8-18		11	10-12
18-29	31	20-45		32	27-37
30-44	24	18-30		20	18-23
45-64	10	7-13		13	11-14
65+	4	3-7		4	3-5
< High School	10	5-20		12	9-17
High School	17	12-24		15	13-17
Some College	22	14-34		19	16-22
College Degree	16	10-24		18	16-20
<$25,000	15	9-24		14	12-17
$25,000 to $49,999	16	10-25		16	13-19
$50,000+	26	19-35		20	18-22
White, non-Hispanic	18	14-23		17	16-18
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Employed	21	16-28		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		23	18-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	4	2-7		5	4-7
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	6	2-13		8	5-12
Married	15	11-20		13	12-15
Divorced/Separated	17	11-25		17	14-20
Widowed	5	3-10		4	3-6
Never Married	25	15-38		29	25-34
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	15-29		19	17-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	12-22		15	14-17
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	10	6-17		9	7-11
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	19	15-24		18	16-19
Diagnosed Diabetic	3	1-10		6	4-8
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	19	15-24		18	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		15	11-19
Not Asthmatic	18	14-23		17	15-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	14	8-24		15	12-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	23	17-32		18	16-21
Not Overweight Nor Obese	15	10-22		18	15-20
Limited Due Health Problems	9	5-17		12	10-14
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	15-25		18	16-19
Current Smoker	29	20-40		30	26-34
Former Smoker	18	12-26		16	14-18
Never Smoked	13	8-19		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		24	20-30
Have Health Care Coverage	17	13-22		16	14-17
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		27	22-32
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	17	13-21		15	14-17
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		21	17-27
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	17	13-22		16	15-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	24	17-32		24	21-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	15	11-21		14	12-15
Urban	NSR	NSR		17	15-18
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-21

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Binge drinking is defined as having five or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for men, or four or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (31 percent, CI: 20-45).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (24 percent, CI: 18-30).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (31 percent, CI: 20-45).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (24 percent, CI: 18-30).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Indiana County employed adults (21 percent, CI: 16-28).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Indiana County employed adults (21 percent, CI: 16-28).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Indiana County married adults (15 percent, CI: 11-20).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (17 percent, CI: 11-25).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Indiana County adults who reported they were never married (25 percent, CI: 15-38).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (19 percent, CI: 15-24).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (29 percent, CI: 20-40).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	7	5-11		5	5-6
Male	9	5-15		6	5-7
Female	6	3-11		5	4-6
18-29	NSR	NSR		8	6-11
30-44	6	4-11		5	4-6
45-64	5	4-8		5	4-6
65+	2	1-4		3	2-4
< High School	5	2-14		4	2-7
High School	9	5-16		5	4-6
Some College	10	5-20		5	4-7
College Degree	3	2-6		6	4-7
<$25,000	2	1-5		5	4-7
$25,000 to $49,999	10	4-20		5	4-6
$50,000+	12	7-20		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	8	5-11		6	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Employed	9	5-16		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Out of Work	5	2-14		8	5-12
Emp. Status: Homemaker	8	3-16		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Retired	2	1-5		3	2-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	2	0-6		5	3-8
Married	6	4-9		4	4-5
Divorced/Separated	5	3-10		6	5-8
Widowed	3	1-7		3	2-4
Never Married	13	6-25		8	6-11
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	6	2-13		5	4-6
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	5-13		5	5-6
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	1	1-4		4	3-5
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	8	6-13		5	5-6
Diagnosed Diabetic	1	0-7		3	2-4
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	5-12		5	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic	6	4-10		5	4-6
Obese (BMI >= 30)	5	2-11		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	11	6-20		4	4-6
Not Overweight Nor Obese	6	3-10		7	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	2	1-4		5	3-6
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	6-13		5	5-6
Current Smoker	13	7-23		11	9-14
Former Smoker	5	3-9		5	4-6
Never Smoked	6	3-12		3	2-4
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		8	6-12
Have Health Care Coverage	8	5-11		5	4-6
No Personal Health Care Provider	14	7-26		9	6-13
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	7	4-11		5	4-6
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	2	1-6		7	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	5-12		5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	12	7-21		7	5-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	5	3-9		5	4-6
Urban	NSR	NSR		5	4-6
Rural	NSR	NSR		6	4-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Heavy drinking is defined as having more than two drinks per day for men or more than one drink per day for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (12 percent, CI: 7-20).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (8 percent, CI: 6-13).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems (9 percent, CI: 6-13).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	62	59-66		57	56-59
Male, Age 50+	62	56-68		54	52-57
Female, Age 50+	63	58-67		60	58-62
50-64	51	46-56		45	43-48
65+	76	71-80		73	71-75
< High School, Age 50+	60	48-72		57	51-63
High School, Age 50+	60	55-65		56	53-58
Some College, Age 50+	69	60-76		57	53-61
College Degree, Age 50+	63	56-70		59	56-62
<$25,000, Age 50+	66	60-72		60	56-63
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	59	53-66		56	53-59
$50,000+, Age 50+	57	49-64		55	52-58
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	63	59-66		58	56-59
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR		54	47-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	54	47-60		48	45-51
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		43	36-50
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		41	33-49
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	59	48-68		61	55-66
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	72	67-77		70	68-72
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	69	57-80		57	49-64
Married, Age 50+	60	55-64		57	54-59
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	51	41-61		52	47-56
Widowed, Age 50+	72	65-78		69	65-72
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		51	45-57
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	44	32-57		46	40-52
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	64	60-68		59	57-60
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	69	62-76		65	61-68
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	60	56-64		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	79	70-85		73	69-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	59	55-63		54	53-56
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	82	71-90		68	62-73
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	60	57-64		56	55-58
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	61	54-67		60	56-63
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	62	56-68		57	54-59
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	64	58-70		57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	68	61-74		62	59-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	60	56-64		56	54-58
Current Smoker, Age 50+	53	44-62		45	40-49
Former Smoker, Age 50+	67	60-73		61	58-64
Never Smoked, Age 50+	63	58-68		58	56-61
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		52	44-60
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	62	56-68		55	53-58
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	64	60-68		59	57-62
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		29	22-37
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	64	61-68		59	57-61
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		20	15-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	64	60-68		59	57-61
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		47	40-53
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	63	60-67		58	56-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	36	28-45		32	28-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	67	64-71		63	61-65
Urban, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		58	57-60
Rural, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		50	46-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 46-56) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (76 percent, CI: 71-80).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 47-60) compared to Indiana County retired adults age 50 and older (72 percent, CI: 67-77).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 55-64) compared to Indiana County widowed adults age 50 and older (72 percent, CI: 65-78).
  - Indiana County divorced or separated adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 41-61) compared to Indiana County widowed adults age 50 and older (72 percent, CI: 65-78).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults age 50 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 32-57) compared to Indiana County adults age 50 and older with no children living in their household (64 percent, CI: 60-68).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 50 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 55-63) compared to Indiana County adults age 50 and older diagnosed with diabetes (79 percent, CI: 70-85).
  - Indiana County adults age 50 and older who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 57-64) compared to Indiana County adults age 50 and older who currently have asthma (82 percent, CI: 71-90).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 28-45) compared to Indiana County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (67 percent, CI: 64-71).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 65+	67	62-72		70	68-72
Male, Age 65+	67	59-75		67	64-71
Female, Age 65+	67	61-73		72	69-74
65+	68	61-74		70	67-73
< High School, Age 65+	71	58-82		74	69-78
High School, Age 65+	67	56-77		70	65-75
Some College, Age 65+	76	69-82		69	65-73
College Degree, Age 65+	61	51-70		70	66-74
<$25,000, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		68	62-73
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 65+	67	62-72		71	68-73
$50,000+, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		65	56-73
White, non-Hispanic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	46-60
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	40-66
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	73	59-83		75	69-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	68	62-74		72	70-75
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		74	61-84
Emp. Status: Retired	60	53-68		70	67-73
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		63	56-69
Married, Age 65+	75	67-81		72	69-76
Divorced/Separated, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		66	56-76
Widowed, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Never Married, Age 65+	67	62-72		70	68-72
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Veteran, Age 65+	70	60-79		76	72-80
Non-Veteran, Age 65+	66	60-71		68	65-70
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 65+	85	74-92		77	72-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 65+	63	57-68		68	66-71
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		81	73-86
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	64	59-69		69	67-71
Asthmatic (Current), Age 65+	74	64-82		68	64-72
Not Asthmatic, Age 65+	66	57-74		70	67-73
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 65+	65	57-73		71	68-75
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 65+	77	68-84		77	73-80
Neither Overweight nor Obese, Age 65+	63	57-68		68	65-70
Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		62	54-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	74	66-81		72	69-75
Current Smoker, Age 65+	64	57-70		69	67-72
Former Smoker, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		59	48-69
Never Smoked, Age 65+	63	52-72		71	67-74
Chronic Drinker, Age 65+	70	64-75		70	68-73
Drink but Not Chronic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Drinker, Age 65+	67	62-72		70	68-72
No Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		44	32-57
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	68	62-72		71	69-73
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		76	65-85
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 65+	67	62-71		70	68-72
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		50	43-57
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 65+	68	63-73		72	70-74
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	68-72
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	64-75

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 57-68) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (85 percent, CI: 74-92).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	30	27-34		31	30-33
Male	29	24-35		27	25-29
Female	31	27-36		35	33-36
18-29	9	4-20		8	6-11
30-44	15	11-20		18	16-21
45-64	41	36-45		39	37-41
65+	59	54-64		57	55-59
< High School	35	24-48		42	36-48
High School	34	29-40		37	35-39
Some College	29	22-37		28	26-31
College Degree	23	18-29		24	22-26
<$25,000	43	37-51		41	38-44
$25,000 to $49,999	34	28-42		33	30-35
$50,000+	21	16-28		26	24-28
White, non-Hispanic	31	28-35		33	31-34
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		24	21-28
Emp. Status: Employed	19	15-23		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		28	23-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	34	25-45		36	32-40
Emp. Status: Retired	59	54-64		54	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		58	52-65
Married	33	29-37		33	32-35
Divorced/Separated	38	30-47		36	33-40
Widowed	53	46-60		56	53-60
Never Married	16	10-25		16	14-19
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	12-24		20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	37	33-41		38	37-40
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	60	52-68		57	54-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	25	22-29		27	25-28
Diagnosed Diabetic	57	48-65		51	47-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	28	24-31		29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	38	27-51		42	37-47
Not Asthmatic	29	26-33		30	29-32
Obese (BMI >= 30)	39	32-45		41	39-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	29	23-35		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	23	18-29		23	21-25
Limited Due Health Problems	64	56-71		61	58-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	22	19-25		24	23-25
Current Smoker	29	22-36		32	29-35
Former Smoker	45	37-52		40	37-42
Never Smoked	25	21-29		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	16	8-29		32	26-39
Drink But Not Chronic	26	21-31		26	25-28
Non-Drinker	36	31-42		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	15	9-24		20	16-24
Have Health Care Coverage	32	29-36		33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	16	8-27		13	10-18
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	33	29-36		33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	28	19-40		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	30	27-34		31	30-32
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	22	16-29		22	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	34	30-38		35	33-36
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-33
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 4-20) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (41 percent, CI: 36-45).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 4-20) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (41 percent, CI: 36-45).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 36-45) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-28) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (43 percent, CI: 37-51).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (34 percent, CI: 25-45).
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Indiana County retired adults (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 25-45) compared to Indiana County retired adults (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-37) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (53 percent, CI: 46-60).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 10-25) compared to Indiana County married adults (33 percent, CI: 29-37).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 10-25) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (38 percent, CI: 30-47).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 10-25) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (53 percent, CI: 46-60).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-24) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (37 percent, CI: 33-41).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (60 percent, CI: 52-68).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (57 percent, CI: 48-65).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Indiana County obese adults (39 percent, CI: 32-45).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Arthritis, 2009

Differences within Indiana County: (continued)

- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 19-25) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (64 percent, CI: 56-71).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 22-36) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (45 percent, CI: 37-52).
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-29) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (45 percent, CI: 37-52).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 8-29) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (36 percent, CI: 31-42).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 9-24) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having health care coverage (32 percent, CI: 29-36).
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 8-27) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (33 percent, CI: 29-36).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 16-29) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (34 percent, CI: 30-38).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	45	39-51		42	39-44
Male	47	36-57		37	33-41
Female	43	38-50		45	42-47
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
45-64	48	41-55		43	39-46
65+	37	30-43		40	37-43
< High School	NSR	NSR		52	44-60
High School	46	38-55		39	36-43
Some College	42	30-55		46	41-51
College Degree	41	28-55		38	33-42
<$25,000	52	44-61		52	48-57
$25,000 to $49,999	37	28-47		41	37-45
$50,000+	NSR	NSR		32	28-36
White, non-Hispanic	45	40-51		40	38-43
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Emp. Status: Employed	38	28-49		32	29-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		30	22-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	37-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	37	25-50		46	39-52
Emp. Status: Retired	39	33-46		40	37-44
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	91	81-96		81	75-87
Married	45	39-51		38	35-41
Divorced/Separated	62	49-73		53	48-59
Widowed	35	26-45		43	39-48
Never Married	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		41	35-46
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	42	36-47		42	39-44
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	68	60-75		67	63-71
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	35	28-43		32	29-35
Diagnosed Diabetic	46	36-57		50	44-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	45	38-51		40	38-43
Asthmatic (Current)	55	42-68		55	48-61
Not Asthmatic	44	37-50		40	37-42
Obese (BMI >= 30)	49	41-57		47	43-51
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	45	33-57		39	35-43
Neither Overweight nor Obese	38	28-48		36	32-40
Limited Due Health Problems	78	70-84		78	75-81
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	22	17-28		19	17-22
Current Smoker	54	44-65		52	46-57
Former Smoker	49	37-61		40	37-44
Never Smoked	37	31-44		38	35-41
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		44	34-55
Drink But Not Chronic	43	33-53		35	32-38
Non-Drinker	48	41-56		46	43-49
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-57
Have Health Care Coverage	43	37-50		41	39-44
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	45	39-51		41	39-43
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		62	53-70
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	42	36-48		39	37-41
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		39	34-45
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	46	41-53		42	40-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-44
Rural	NSR	NSR		44	38-50

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 28-49) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (91 percent, CI: 81-96).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 25-50) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (91 percent, CI: 81-96).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 33-46) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (91 percent, CI: 81-96).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 26-45) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (62 percent, CI: 49-73).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 28-43) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (68 percent, CI: 60-75).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-28) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (78 percent, CI: 70-84).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	29	24-33		28	26-30
Male	26	19-35		28	24-33
Female	30	25-36		27	25-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		34	27-41
45-64	37	30-44		30	27-33
65+	19	14-25		20	17-22
< High School	NSR	NSR		41	33-50
High School	30	24-37		29	26-32
Some College	28	18-40		30	25-36
College Degree	17	10-28		17	14-21
<$25,000	39	31-47		42	38-47
$25,000 to $49,999	26	19-35		28	24-32
$50,000+	14	8-24		18	14-21
White, non-Hispanic	28	24-33		25	23-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		49	40-58
Emp. Status: Employed	21	14-30		22	18-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		28	18-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		44	32-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	25	16-38		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Retired	18	13-24		20	17-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		68	61-75
Married	32	26-38		24	22-27
Divorced/Separated	48	35-61		40	35-47
Widowed	18	12-27		22	18-26
Never Married	NSR	NSR		41	32-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		33	27-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	26	21-31		26	24-29
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	52	43-60		49	45-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	19	15-24		20	18-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	32	23-43		34	29-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	28	23-33		27	24-29
Asthmatic (Current)	50	37-64		39	32-46
Not Asthmatic	26	21-31		26	24-29
Obese (BMI >= 30)	33	26-40		35	32-40
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	24	17-33		21	18-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	25	17-35		25	21-30
Limited Due Health Problems	50	40-60		53	49-56
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	14	10-19		13	11-15
Current Smoker	42	32-53		43	38-49
Former Smoker	27	19-36		25	22-29
Never Smoked	24	18-30		23	20-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		34	24-45
Drink But Not Chronic	26	19-35		21	18-25
Non-Drinker	31	25-37		32	28-35
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-58
Have Health Care Coverage	27	22-32		26	24-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	29	24-34		27	25-29
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		55	46-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	25	21-30		24	22-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	29	18-42		29	24-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	29	24-34		27	25-30
Urban	NSR	NSR		27	25-30
Rural	NSR	NSR		30	24-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 14-25) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (37 percent, CI: 30-44).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 8-24) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (39 percent, CI: 31-47).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-27) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (48 percent, CI: 35-61).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (52 percent, CI: 43-60).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-31) compared to Indiana County adults who currently have asthma (50 percent, CI: 37-64).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-19) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (50 percent, CI: 40-60).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 18-30) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (42 percent, CI: 32-53).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	43	37-48		37	34-39
Male	39	30-49		31	27-35
Female	46	40-52		41	38-43
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		39	32-47
45-64	50	43-57	+	37	34-40
65+	35	29-42		35	32-38
< High School	NSR	NSR		46	38-54
High School	44	37-52		38	35-41
Some College	36	25-49		41	36-46
College Degree	NSR	NSR		26	22-30
<$25,000	55	47-64		51	46-55
$25,000 to $49,999	32	24-41		36	32-41
$50,000+	NSR	NSR		25	21-28
White, non-Hispanic	43	37-48		35	33-37
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		51	42-59
Emp. Status: Employed	41	31-52		28	25-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		25	16-37
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	36-59
Emp. Status: Homemaker	45	33-58		41	35-47
Emp. Status: Retired	32	25-39		34	31-37
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		77	69-83
Married	45	39-51	+	32	30-35
Divorced/Separated	66	53-77		50	44-56
Widowed	30	22-40		39	35-44
Never Married	NSR	NSR		45	36-54
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		38	32-44
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	42	36-47		36	34-39
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	70	61-77		65	61-69
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	31	25-38		26	24-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	51	40-62		46	41-52
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	41	35-47		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	55	41-68		54	47-61
Not Asthmatic	41	35-47		34	32-37
Obese (BMI >= 30)	47	40-55		46	42-50
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	36	26-48		31	28-34
Neither Overweight nor Obese	41	31-52		30	26-35
Limited Due Health Problems	67	56-77		65	62-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	20-32		19	17-22
Current Smoker	59	48-69		48	43-54
Former Smoker	43	32-55		35	31-38
Never Smoked	35	29-42		33	30-36
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	26-47
Drink But Not Chronic	39	30-50		28	25-32
Non-Drinker	47	40-54		43	40-46
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		45	34-56
Have Health Care Coverage	40	34-46		36	34-38
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	43	38-49		36	34-39
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		57	48-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	38	32-44		34	32-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		36	30-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	42	37-48		37	34-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-39
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	32-44

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Among adults who were ever told they have some form of arthritis, 16% (CI: 13-20) of Indiana County adults and 14% (CI: 13-16) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a lot. Twenty-seven (27) percent, (CI: 22-32) of Indiana County adults and 22% (CI: 20-24) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a little.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (50 percent, CI: 43-57) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (37 percent, CI: 34-40).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly higher percentage (45 percent, CI: 39-51) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (32 percent, CI: 30-35).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 29-42) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (50 percent, CI: 43-57).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 24-41) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (55 percent, CI: 47-64).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 39-51) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (66 percent, CI: 53-77).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 22-40) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (66 percent, CI: 53-77).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 25-38) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (70 percent, CI: 61-77).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 20-32) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (67 percent, CI: 56-77).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 29-42) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (59 percent, CI: 48-69).

Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	26	22-30		24	23-25
Male	23	18-30		20	18-22
Female	29	23-34		28	26-30
18-29	32	21-46		25	21-30
30-44	20	15-27		21	19-24
45-64	22	19-26		24	22-26
65+	29	24-33		26	25-28
< High School	NSR	NSR		20	15-26
High School	22	17-28		19	17-21
Some College	29	20-39		24	21-27
College Degree	29	23-36		31	29-34
<$25,000	20	15-26		20	17-23
$25,000 to $49,999	25	19-33		22	19-24
$50,000+	30	23-38		27	25-30
White, non-Hispanic	25	22-30		24	22-25
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		26	22-31
Emp. Status: Employed	19	15-24		23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		28	22-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		18	14-24
Emp. Status: Homemaker	28	18-41		32	28-36
Emp. Status: Retired	28	23-33		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		23	17-30
Married	25	21-29		24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	18	12-26		22	19-26
Widowed	27	21-34		26	23-29
Never Married	32	21-45		24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	27-44	+	23	21-25
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	18-26		25	23-26
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	17	13-23		18	16-21
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	28	23-32		25	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	21	15-29		23	20-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	22-31		24	23-26
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
Not Asthmatic	27	23-31		24	23-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	20	15-26		21	19-24
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	27	20-35		23	21-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	30	23-38		27	25-30
Limited Due Health Problems	22	16-31		23	20-26
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	27	23-32		24	23-26
Current Smoker	17	11-25		18	15-21
Former Smoker	22	16-30		23	21-25
Never Smoked	31	25-37		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		20	15-26
Drink But Not Chronic	25	19-31		24	22-26
Non-Drinker	29	24-35		26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		21	16-27
Have Health Care Coverage	28	24-32		24	23-26
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		22	18-28
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	27	23-31		24	23-26
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		23	19-29
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	26	22-31		24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	23	17-32		21	18-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	27	23-32		26	24-27
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		19	16-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly higher percentage (35 percent, CI: 27-44) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (23 percent, CI: 21-25).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Indiana County adults with children living in their household (35 percent, CI: 27-44).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	88	85-90		86	85-87
Male	91	88-93		87	85-88
Female	85	82-89		86	84-87
18-29	95	85-98		89	85-92
30-44	91	86-94		89	86-91
45-64	89	85-91		87	86-89
65+	75	70-79		79	77-80
< High School	NSR	NSR		78	72-82
High School	87	84-90		82	80-84
Some College	90	84-94		89	87-91
College Degree	92	88-94		91	89-92
<$25,000	83	79-87		79	76-82
$25,000 to $49,999	87	81-91		85	82-87
$50,000+	94	92-96		92	90-93
White, non-Hispanic	88	85-90		88	87-89
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		79	74-83
Emp. Status: Employed	95	91-97		89	88-91
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	89	79-94		87	83-91
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		87	81-91
Emp. Status: Homemaker	90	83-94		89	86-91
Emp. Status: Retired	78	73-82		81	79-83
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	69	57-80		65	58-71
Married	90	87-92		89	88-90
Divorced/Separated	84	77-89		82	79-85
Widowed	76	70-82		77	74-79
Never Married	89	81-94		84	81-87
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	93	88-96		90	88-91
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	82-88		84	83-85
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	68	60-75		70	66-73
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	91	89-93		89	88-90
Diagnosed Diabetic	74	65-81		78	75-81
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	89	87-91		87	86-88
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		83	78-87
Not Asthmatic	88	86-90		87	85-88
Obese (BMI >= 30)	84	79-88		83	81-85
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	91	87-93		86	84-88
Neither Overweight nor Obese	88	82-92		89	87-91
Limited Due Health Problems	73	65-79		75	73-78
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	92	89-94		89	88-90
Current Smoker	87	80-92		85	82-87
Former Smoker	84	78-89		85	83-87
Never Smoked	90	87-92		87	86-89
Chronic Drinker	88	77-95		87	82-91
Drink But Not Chronic	92	87-95		91	90-92
Non-Drinker	85	81-88		81	79-83
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		88	84-91
Have Health Care Coverage	88	85-90		86	85-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	95	89-98		86	81-89
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	87	84-89		86	85-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		86	82-89
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	86-90		86	85-87
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	89	83-93		88	86-90
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	88	85-90		86	84-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		86	85-87
Rural	NSR	NSR		88	85-91

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-79) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (95 percent, CI: 85-98).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-79) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (91 percent, CI: 86-94).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-79) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (89 percent, CI: 85-91).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 79-87) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (94 percent, CI: 92-96).
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 81-91) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (94 percent, CI: 92-96).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 73-82) compared to Indiana County employed adults (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 73-82) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (90 percent, CI: 83-94).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 57-80) compared to Indiana County employed adults (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 57-80) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (90 percent, CI: 83-94).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 70-82) compared to Indiana County married adults (90 percent, CI: 87-92).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 60-75) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (91 percent, CI: 89-93).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 65-81) compared to Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (89 percent, CI: 87-91).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 65-79) compared to Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems (92 percent, CI: 89-94).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	53	49-58		50	49-52
Male	56	49-62		53	51-56
Female	51	45-57		48	46-50
18-29	69	56-80		62	57-67
30-44	49	41-56		52	49-55
45-64	53	49-58		49	47-51
65+	36	31-42		40	37-42
< High School	NSR	NSR		42	35-48
High School	49	42-56		46	43-49
Some College	61	51-70		54	50-57
College Degree	56	48-63		55	52-57
<$25,000	48	40-56		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	51	43-60		50	46-53
$50,000+	61	54-68		56	54-59
White, non-Hispanic	54	49-58		51	49-53
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		48	42-53
Emp. Status: Employed	56	50-63		51	49-54
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		59	53-65
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		54	47-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	54	41-67		53	48-58
Emp. Status: Retired	42	37-48		43	41-46
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		28	23-35
Married	51	46-55		50	48-51
Divorced/Separated	52	43-61		47	42-51
Widowed	36	29-43		36	33-40
Never Married	61	49-72		57	52-61
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	57	48-65		54	51-57
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	52	46-57		48	46-50
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	27	21-35		33	30-37
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	58	53-63		53	51-55
Diagnosed Diabetic	39	31-49		36	32-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	55	50-59		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		47	41-52
Not Asthmatic	54	50-59		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	42	34-50		41	38-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	60	52-67		51	48-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	56	48-64		58	55-60
Limited Due Health Problems	32	25-40		37	33-40
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	59	54-63		54	52-55
Current Smoker	51	41-61		51	47-55
Former Smoker	48	40-56		48	45-51
Never Smoked	57	51-63		52	49-54
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		59	51-66
Drink But Not Chronic	59	53-65		55	52-57
Non-Drinker	47	41-54		45	42-47
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	53	49-58		49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	74	61-84		56	50-61
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	50	46-55		50	48-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		52	46-58
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	53	48-57		50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	56	47-64		53	50-56
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	53	48-58		49	48-51
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	49-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		51	47-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-42) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (69 percent, CI: 56-80).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-42) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (53 percent, CI: 49-58).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-42) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (69 percent, CI: 56-80).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 37-48) compared to Indiana County employed adults (56 percent, CI: 50-63).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 29-43) compared to Indiana County married adults (51 percent, CI: 46-55).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 29-43) compared to Indiana County adults who reported they were never married (61 percent, CI: 49-72).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 21-35) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 31-49) compared to Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (55 percent, CI: 50-59).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 34-50) compared to Indiana County overweight adults (60 percent, CI: 52-67).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 25-40) compared to Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems (59 percent, CI: 54-63).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 46-55) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider (74 percent, CI: 61-84).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	54	50-59		50	49-52
Male	64	57-69		59	56-61
Female	46	40-52		42	40-44
18-29	71	58-81		69	64-73
30-44	58	51-65		56	53-59
45-64	51	46-56		47	45-49
65+	32	27-37		31	29-33
< High School	NSR	NSR		38	32-45
High School	53	46-59		44	42-47
Some College	58	48-67		53	50-57
College Degree	60	53-67		57	54-60
<$25,000	42	34-50		39	35-42
$25,000 to $49,999	51	43-59		47	43-50
$50,000+	66	59-73		60	58-62
White, non-Hispanic	54	49-58		51	49-52
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		49	43-54
Emp. Status: Employed	63	57-69		56	54-59
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		60	54-66
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		50	43-57
Emp. Status: Homemaker	46	33-60		47	42-51
Emp. Status: Retired	37	32-43		33	31-35
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		18	13-23
Married	54	49-59		50	48-52
Divorced/Separated	48	40-57		42	38-46
Widowed	29	23-36		26	23-29
Never Married	66	54-76		59	55-63
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	60	51-68		58	55-61
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	51	46-56		46	44-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	26	20-33		23	20-26
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	59	55-64		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic	33	25-42		31	27-35
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	56	52-61		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		46	41-52
Not Asthmatic	55	51-59		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	45	37-52		42	39-45
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	61	54-68		52	49-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	56	49-64		57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems	27	20-34		31	28-34
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	61	56-65		55	53-57
Current Smoker	52	43-61		50	46-54
Former Smoker	51	43-58		46	44-49
Never Smoked	57	51-63		52	50-55
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-66
Drink But Not Chronic	62	55-68		57	55-59
Non-Drinker	46	40-52		42	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	53	48-57		49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	72	59-82		60	55-66
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	52	47-56		49	47-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		49	43-55
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	54	50-59		50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	61	52-68		57	54-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	52	47-57		47	46-49
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	48-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		52	48-56

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 40-52) compared to Indiana County men (64 percent, CI: 57-69).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 46-56) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (71 percent, CI: 58-81).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 27-37) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (71 percent, CI: 58-81).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 27-37) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (58 percent, CI: 51-65).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 27-37) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (51 percent, CI: 46-56).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 34-50) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (66 percent, CI: 59-73).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 32-43) compared to Indiana County employed adults (63 percent, CI: 57-69).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 23-36) compared to Indiana County married adults (54 percent, CI: 49-59).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 23-36) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (48 percent, CI: 40-57).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 23-36) compared to Indiana County adults who reported they were never married (66 percent, CI: 54-76).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 20-33) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (59 percent, CI: 55-64).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 25-42) compared to Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (56 percent, CI: 52-61).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 37-52) compared to Indiana County overweight adults (61 percent, CI: 54-68).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 20-34) compared to Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems (61 percent, CI: 56-65).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 40-52) compared to Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (62 percent, CI: 55-68).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 47-56) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider (72 percent, CI: 59-82).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	31	27-36		28	26-29
Male	36	30-43		33	31-36
Female	27	21-33		23	21-25
18-29	48	35-62		43	38-49
30-44	29	22-36		30	27-33
45-64	27	23-32		25	23-27
65+	17	14-21		16	15-18
< High School	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
High School	28	22-35		23	20-25
Some College	36	26-48		31	28-35
College Degree	33	26-41		33	31-36
<$25,000	25	17-35		23	19-26
$25,000 to $49,999	27	19-37		24	21-27
$50,000+	42	34-50		34	31-36
White, non-Hispanic	31	26-36		27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Employed	32	26-39		30	28-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		37	31-44
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Retired	21	17-26		17	16-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		10	7-15
Married	29	24-34		26	24-28
Divorced/Separated	29	22-38		23	19-27
Widowed	16	11-22		12	10-15
Never Married	41	29-54		38	34-43
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	34	26-43		32	29-35
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	30	25-35		25	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	9	6-15		12	10-15
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	35	30-41		31	29-32
Diagnosed Diabetic	19	12-27		15	11-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	32	28-38		29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
Not Asthmatic	32	27-37		28	27-30
Obese (BMI >= 30)	21	14-30		19	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	40	32-48		30	28-33
Neither Overweight nor Obese	30	23-38		34	31-37
Limited Due Health Problems	13	8-21		16	14-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	36	31-41		31	29-32
Current Smoker	25	17-35		28	24-32
Former Smoker	30	23-38		24	22-26
Never Smoked	34	28-41		30	28-32
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		37	30-44
Drink But Not Chronic	35	29-42		32	29-34
Non-Drinker	25	20-32		22	20-25
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		36	30-42
Have Health Care Coverage	31	27-36		27	25-28
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		37	31-43
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	30	25-35		27	25-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		33	27-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	27-36		27	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	32	24-41		32	29-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	31	26-37		26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		28	24-32

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-32) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (48 percent, CI: 35-62).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (48 percent, CI: 35-62).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (29 percent, CI: 22-36).
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Indiana County married adults (29 percent, CI: 24-34).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Indiana County adults who reported they were never married (41 percent, CI: 29-54).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-15) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (35 percent, CI: 30-41).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 12-27) compared to Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (32 percent, CI: 28-38).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-30) compared to Indiana County overweight adults (40 percent, CI: 32-48).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-21) compared to Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems (36 percent, CI: 31-41).

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	28	23-34		35	33-37
Male, Age 18-64	21	15-28	-	33	30-36
Female, Age 18-64	35	28-43		37	35-40
18-29	35	23-49		37	32-42
30-44	37	30-44	-	48	45-51
45-64	17	14-21	-	25	23-27
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		34	26-43
High School, Age 18-64	24	16-33		33	29-36
Some College, Age 18-64	31	21-43		36	32-40
College Degree, Age 18-64	34	26-43		37	34-40
<$25,000, Age 18-64	29	20-39	-	47	42-52
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	38	27-50		33	29-37
$50,000+, Age 18-64	26	19-35		33	31-36
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	29	24-34		31	29-32
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		55	49-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	26	20-34		35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		31	25-38
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		44	38-51
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		37	32-43
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	9	4-17		19	14-24
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		51	44-58
Married, Age 18-64	24	19-30		31	29-33
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	36	27-46		50	46-55
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		34	26-43
Never Married, Age 18-64	31	20-44		37	33-42
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	37	29-46		42	39-45
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	22	17-29		29	26-31
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	24	17-34	-	42	37-47
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	29	23-35		34	32-36
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	27	17-40		32	26-38
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	28	23-34		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		45	39-52
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	27	22-33		34	32-36
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	24	16-34		34	31-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	26	18-36		37	34-40
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	34	25-44		35	32-38
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	42	31-54		45	40-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	25	20-32		33	31-35
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	34	24-44		48	44-52
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	33	23-45		36	32-39
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	24	18-32		29	27-32
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		38	30-46
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	27	21-35		37	34-40
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	28	21-36		32	30-35
No Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		38	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	29	24-35		35	33-37
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		43	37-49
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	29	23-35		34	32-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		50	44-56
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	26	21-31		33	31-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	34	26-44		33	30-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	25	19-32	-	36	34-38
Urban, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	34-38
Rural, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		28	24-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County men age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 15-28) compared to Pennsylvania men age 18-64 (33 percent, CI: 30-36).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 30-44) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (48 percent, CI: 45-51).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (25 percent, CI: 23-27).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 20-39) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than $25,000 (47 percent, CI: 42-52).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-64 who reported their general health as fair or poor had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 17-34) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 who reported their general health as fair or poor (42 percent, CI: 37-47).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-64 who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-32) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 18-64 who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (36 percent, CI: 34-38).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Indiana County adults age 18-29 (35 percent, CI: 23-49).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (37 percent, CI: 30-44).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 4-17) compared to Indiana County employed adults age 18-64 (26 percent, CI: 20-34).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-11		9	8-10
Male	10	8-13		11	10-13
Female	8	6-12		7	6-8
18-29	4	1-13		8	5-11
30-44	9	5-14		8	6-10
45-64	11	8-14		9	7-10
65+	14	10-18		12	11-14
< High School	NSR	NSR		17	13-22
High School	11	9-14		12	11-14
Some College	5	3-8		7	6-10
College Degree	3	2-6		4	3-5
<$25,000	15	11-20		17	14-20
$25,000 to $49,999	11	7-17		9	8-11
$50,000+	4	3-7		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-12		8	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		16	12-20
Emp. Status: Employed	8	5-13		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	8	4-17		9	5-14
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		12	8-17
Emp. Status: Homemaker	10	5-18		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Retired	10	7-13		12	11-14
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	22	14-34		22	17-29
Married	7	5-9		7	6-8
Divorced/Separated	20	14-28		16	13-20
Widowed	13	9-19		13	11-15
Never Married	6	3-12		10	8-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	5-14		8	6-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	7-12		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	24	17-32		20	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	6	5-9		7	6-8
Diagnosed Diabetic	13	8-20		14	11-17
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	9	7-11		8	8-10
Asthmatic (Current)	10	5-18		10	7-13
Not Asthmatic	9	7-11		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	10	7-14		11	9-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	7	5-10		8	7-10
Neither Overweight nor Obese	11	7-17		8	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	14	10-19		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	6-10		7	6-8
Current Smoker	16	10-25		12	9-14
Former Smoker	9	6-13		9	8-11
Never Smoked	6	5-9		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		13	9-19
Drink But Not Chronic	7	5-11		6	5-7
Non-Drinker	10	8-14		12	10-13
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		16	12-21
Have Health Care Coverage	9	7-11		8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	6	3-11		15	11-20
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	8-12		8	7-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		20	15-25
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	7	6-9		8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	13	8-20		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	8	6-10		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Indiana County adults with a high school education (11 percent, CI: 9-14).
  - Indiana County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Indiana County adults with a high school education (11 percent, CI: 9-14).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (15 percent, CI: 11-20).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-13) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (22 percent, CI: 14-34).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (22 percent, CI: 14-34).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (20 percent, CI: 14-28).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-12) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (20 percent, CI: 14-28).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (24 percent, CI: 17-32).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (16 percent, CI: 10-25).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	95	93-97		94	93-95
Male	95	91-97		94	92-95
Female	96	94-97		94	93-95
18-29	98	89-100		93	89-95
30-44	93	89-96		93	92-95
45-64	94	91-96		94	93-95
65+	95	93-97		97	96-97
< High School	94	87-97		90	85-93
High School	93	90-96		93	92-95
Some College	96	93-98		93	90-95
College Degree	99	97-99		96	95-97
<$25,000	93	89-95		88	86-90
$25,000 to $49,999	94	90-96		94	92-95
$50,000+	98	92-99		97	96-98
White, non-Hispanic	95	93-97		95	94-95
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		91	86-94
Emp. Status: Employed	96	93-98		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	92	84-96		97	95-98
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		85	79-89
Emp. Status: Homemaker	98	94-99		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Retired	96	93-98		97	96-97
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	85	75-92		74	68-80
Married	97	95-98		97	96-97
Divorced/Separated	84	77-89		86	83-89
Widowed	97	93-99		95	93-96
Never Married	95	89-98		90	87-92
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	96	90-98		94	93-96
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	95	93-96		94	93-95
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	85	79-90		80	77-84
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	97	95-98		96	95-97
Diagnosed Diabetic	91	83-95		90	87-93
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	96	94-97		94	93-95
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		91	87-93
Not Asthmatic	96	95-97		94	94-95
Obese (BMI >= 30)	93	87-96		92	90-93
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	95	93-97		95	93-96
Neither Overweight nor Obese	98	96-99		95	94-96
Limited Due Health Problems	84	76-89		83	80-86
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	98	97-99		97	96-97
Current Smoker	93	88-95		87	83-89
Former Smoker	97	94-98		95	94-96
Never Smoked	96	93-97		96	95-97
Chronic Drinker	96	88-99		93	88-96
Drink But Not Chronic	97	95-98		95	94-96
Non-Drinker	94	91-96		94	92-95
No Health Care Coverage	96	91-98		87	83-91
Have Health Care Coverage	95	93-97		95	94-96
No Personal Health Care Provider	98	93-99		92	88-94
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	95	93-96		94	93-95
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	93	86-97		83	78-87
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	96	94-97		95	95-96
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	96	93-98		93	91-95
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	95	93-96		94	93-95
Urban	NSR	NSR		94	93-95
Rural	NSR	NSR		94	91-96

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (93 percent, CI: 90-96) compared to Indiana County adults with a college degree (99 percent, CI: 97-99).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 75-92) compared to Indiana County employed adults (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 75-92) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (98 percent, CI: 94-99).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 75-92) compared to Indiana County retired adults (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 77-89) compared to Indiana County married adults (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
  - Indiana County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 77-89) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (97 percent, CI: 93-99).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 79-90) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 76-89) compared to Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems (98 percent, CI: 97-99).

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-10		10	9-11
Male	7	5-10		8	8-9
Female	10	8-12		12	11-13
18-29	0	0-3		1	0-2
30-44	4	2-8		3	2-4
45-64	11	8-14		10	9-12
65+	21	17-26		27	25-29
< High School	7	4-13		12	10-15
High School	9	7-11		11	10-12
Some College	9	6-13		8	7-9
College Degree	8	6-12		10	9-11
<$25,000	10	8-14		12	11-14
$25,000 to $49,999	8	6-12		10	9-12
$50,000+	7	5-10		8	7-9
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-11		11	10-12
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		4	3-6
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-9		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	3	1-10		10	8-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		4	3-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker	13	9-21		16	13-19
Emp. Status: Retired	20	16-25		25	23-27
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	7	3-14		12	8-16
Married	10	8-12		11	11-13
Divorced/Separated	12	8-18		9	7-11
Widowed	22	16-28		22	19-25
Never Married	2	1-4		4	3-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	2	1-4		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	10-14		14	13-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	15	10-20		19	16-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	7	6-9		9	8-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	16	10-23		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	7-10		9	9-10
Asthmatic (Current)	10	6-17		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	8	7-10		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	9	6-12		10	8-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	7	5-10		11	9-12
Neither Overweight nor Obese	10	7-13		10	9-11
Limited Due Health Problems	16	12-21		16	14-18
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	5-8		9	8-9
Current Smoker	5	3-9		7	6-8
Former Smoker	11	8-15		14	13-16
Never Smoked	9	7-11		9	8-10
Chronic Drinker	6	2-14		6	5-9
Drink But Not Chronic	7	5-10		9	8-10
Non-Drinker	10	8-13		11	10-12
No Health Care Coverage	4	2-10		4	3-6
Have Health Care Coverage	9	8-11		11	10-12
No Personal Health Care Provider	4	2-10		4	2-6
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	8-11		11	10-12
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	10	5-18		6	5-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	7-10		11	10-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	4	3-7		5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	10	9-13		12	11-13
Urban	NSR	NSR		10	9-11
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (11 percent, CI: 8-14).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Indiana County retired adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Indiana County retired adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 3-14) compared to Indiana County retired adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-12) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (22 percent, CI: 16-28).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County married adults (10 percent, CI: 8-12).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (12 percent, CI: 10-14).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (15 percent, CI: 10-20).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (10 percent, CI: 9-13).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (22 percent, CI: 16-28).
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (12 percent, CI: 10-14).
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (15 percent, CI: 10-20).
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (10 percent, CI: 9-13).

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese**, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	66	62-70		64	62-66
Male	75	70-81		71	69-73
Female	58	51-63		57	55-59
18-29	60	47-72		47	42-52
30-44	66	59-72		66	63-69
45-64	74	70-78		72	70-73
65+	64	59-69		65	63-67
< High School	NSR	NSR		68	62-73
High School	72	66-78		67	65-70
Some College	67	56-77		65	61-68
College Degree	59	51-66		59	57-62
<$25,000	67	59-74		66	63-69
$25,000 to $49,999	70	62-77		68	64-70
$50,000+	70	63-76		63	61-66
White, non-Hispanic	66	62-71		64	62-65
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		67	62-72
Emp. Status: Employed	69	62-75		66	64-68
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		61	54-67
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		64	58-70
Emp. Status: Homemaker	63	49-75		53	49-58
Emp. Status: Retired	66	60-70		68	66-70
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		79	73-84
Married	69	64-73		68	66-70
Divorced/Separated	68	59-75		69	65-72
Widowed	66	59-72		61	58-65
Never Married	64	51-75		54	50-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	66	58-74		61	58-64
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	66	61-71		66	64-67
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	64	56-72	-	76	73-79
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	67	62-71		62	60-64
Diagnosed Diabetic	85	76-90		89	86-91
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	65	60-69		62	60-63
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		66	61-72
Not Asthmatic	66	61-70		64	62-65
Limited Due Health Problems	72	65-79		73	70-76
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	65	59-69		62	60-64
Current Smoker	53	43-62		58	54-62
Former Smoker	77	71-82		74	71-76
Never Smoked	67	61-73		62	59-64
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Drink But Not Chronic	65	59-71		64	61-66
Non-Drinker	65	59-71		65	62-67
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		58	52-63
Have Health Care Coverage	68	64-72		65	63-67
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		53	47-58
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	67	63-72		65	64-67
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		61	55-66
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	68	63-72		65	63-66
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	65	56-72		59	56-62
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	67	62-72		66	64-68
Urban	NSR	NSR		64	62-66
Rural	NSR	NSR		64	60-68

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 25.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese (BMI GE 25), 2009

Geographic Differences: Indiana County and Pennsylvania

- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported their general health as fair or poor had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 56-72) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as fair or poor (76 percent, CI: 73-79).

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 51-63) compared to Indiana County men (75 percent, CI: 70-81).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 59-69) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (74 percent, CI: 70-78).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 60-69) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (85 percent, CI: 76-90).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 43-62) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (77 percent, CI: 71-82).

Percent of Adults Who Are Obese**, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	28	24-31		28	27-29
Male	26	21-31		29	27-32
Female	29	24-35		27	25-29
18-29	19	11-32		19	16-24
30-44	27	21-34		32	29-35
45-64	37	32-41		32	30-34
65+	26	22-31		26	24-28
< High School	26	17-37		33	28-38
High School	30	24-36		32	30-35
Some College	28	20-38		29	26-32
College Degree	24	19-30		22	20-24
<$25,000	36	29-44		33	30-36
$25,000 to $49,999	30	23-38		30	28-33
$50,000+	22	17-28		25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	28	25-32		27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
Emp. Status: Employed	29	24-35		29	27-31
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	18	10-29		25	20-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		23	20-27
Emp. Status: Retired	29	24-34		28	26-30
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	33	22-46		45	38-51
Married	31	26-35		29	27-30
Divorced/Separated	29	22-38		34	30-37
Widowed	31	24-38		26	23-29
Never Married	24	15-34		25	22-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	27	21-35		27	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	28	24-32		29	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	37	30-44		46	43-50
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	26	22-30		25	23-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	58	49-66		57	53-61
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	25	21-29		25	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		34	29-38
Not Asthmatic	26	22-30		27	26-29
Limited Due Health Problems	40	33-48		41	38-44
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	25	21-29		25	24-27
Current Smoker	22	14-32		25	22-28
Former Smoker	32	26-39		34	31-36
Never Smoked	28	23-33		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		21	16-26
Drink But Not Chronic	25	20-31		26	24-28
Non-Drinker	31	26-36		31	29-34
No Health Care Coverage	21	13-34		25	21-30
Have Health Care Coverage	28	25-32		28	27-30
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		22	18-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	29	25-33		29	27-30
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		29	25-34
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	27	24-31		28	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	22	16-29		23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	30	25-34		30	29-32
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		29	26-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 30.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Were Classified as Obese (BMI GE 30), 2008

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (37 percent, CI: 32-41).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-28) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (36 percent, CI: 29-44).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-29) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (58 percent, CI: 49-66).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-29) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (40 percent, CI: 33-48).

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,247 Indiana County adults completed interviews for the Indiana County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Indiana County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Indiana County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Indiana County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Indiana County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Indiana County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned healthy days (symptoms), cardiovascular health, actions to control high blood pressure, women's health, colorectal cancer screening, cancer survivorship, adults asthma history, arthritis management, general preparedness and falls.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Indiana County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Indiana County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Indiana County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Center Township census population of 1,298 for ages 45-64 by the Fair or Poor Health prevalence of 20% (0.20) for that age group in Indiana County. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those in fair or poor health ages 45-64 in Center Township is 260.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who indicated Fair or Poor health, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Center Township Census Population		Fair or Poor Health From 2009 Indiana County BRFSS		Estimate of Center Township Adults Indicating Fair or Poor Health, 2009
18-29	646	X	4	=	26
30-44	1,046	X	10	=	105
45-64	1,298	X	20	=	260
65+	879	X	28	=	246
				Total	637

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Center Township with Fair or Poor Health, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in Center Township from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
With Fair or Poor Health in Center Township = **637**

Total Population Age 18+ in Center Township = **3,869**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults with fair or poor health by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage With Fair or Poor Health in Center Township
=(Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults With Fair or Poor Health in Center Township / Total Population Age 18+ in Center Township) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage With Fair or Poor Health in Center Township
= (637 / 3,869) X 100
= **16 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Local Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Indiana County

Table of Contents

Page 1	Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Percent of Adults Who Reported Pain Made it Hard to Do Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past Month, 2009
Page 3	Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 5	Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Worried, Tense or Anxious 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 7	Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Very Healthy and Full of Energy 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 9	Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, They Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day, 2009
Page 12	Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, They Have a Health Condition That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe for Them, 2009
Page 14	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Changing Their Eating Habits to Help Lower Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 16	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Exercising to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 18	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Reduce Alcohol Use to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 20	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Cut Down on Salt to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 22	Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Take Medicine to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 24	Module 9: Women's Health, They Ever Had a Mammogram, 2009
Page 26	Module 9: Women's Health, They Had Their Last Mammogram Within the Past Year, 2009
Page 28	Module 9: Women's Health, They Ever Had a Breast Exam, 2009
Page 30	Module 9: Women's Health, They Had Their Last Breast Exam Within the Past Year, 2009
Page 32	Module 9: Women's Health, They Ever Had a Pap Test, 2009
Page 34	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, They Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Adults 50 and Older), 2009
Page 36	Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, They Had Their Last Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy in the Past Year (Adults 50 and Older), 2009
Page 38	Module 12: Cancer Survivorship, They Are Currently Receiving Treatment for Cancer, 2009
Page 40	Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Can Do Everything They Want to Do (Today) Despite Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 42	Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Can Hardly do Anything They Want to Do (Today) Due to Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 44	Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Ever Had a Doctor or Other Health Professional Suggest Losing Weight to Help Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 46	Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Ever Had a Doctor or Other Health Professional Suggest Physical Activity or Exercise to Help Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 48	Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Ever Took an Educational Course or Class to Teach Them How to Manage Problems Related to Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 50	Module 19: General Preparedness, They Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009
Page 52	Module 19: General Preparedness, They Feel Their Household is Not At All Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009
Page 54	Locally Added: Falls, They Had a Fall* in the Past 3 Months, 2009
Page 56	Locally Added: Falls, They Were Injured By a Fall They Had in the Past 3 Months, 2009
Page 58	Technical Notes

Detailed Local Questionnaire Tables

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Percent of Adults Who Reported Pain Made it Hard to Do Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past Month, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	33	29-38			
Male	31	25-37			
Female	36	30-42			
18-29	33	22-47			
30-44	29	23-36			
45-64	37	33-42			
65+	31	27-37			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	35	28-42			
Some College	29	21-39			
College Degree	30	23-38			
<$25,000	43	36-51			
$25,000 to $49,999	39	30-48			
$50,000+	24	18-32			
White, non-Hispanic	34	30-38			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	30	24-37			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	23	13-36			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	31	26-36			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	32	28-37			
Divorced/Separated	42	33-51			
Widowed	31	25-39			
Never Married	30	20-42			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	33	25-42			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	34	29-39			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	66	57-73			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	28	23-33			
Diagnosed Diabetic	42	34-52			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	32	28-37			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	32	27-36			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	40	33-48			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	30	23-37			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	32	25-40			
Limited Due Health Problems	77	71-82			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	23	18-28			
Current Smoker	39	31-49			
Former Smoker	41	33-49			
Never Smoked	28	22-34			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	29	23-35			
Non-Drinker	38	32-44			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	33	29-38			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	30-39			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	26-35			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	30	23-39			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	35	30-40			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Percent of Adults Who Reported Pain Made it Hard to Do Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past Month, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 18-32) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (43 percent, CI: 36-51).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 23-33) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (66 percent, CI: 57-73).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-28) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (77 percent, CI: 71-82).

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	48	44-53			
Male	43	36-50			
Female	53	47-59			
18-29	56	43-69			
30-44	47	40-55			
45-64	48	43-53			
65+	38	33-43			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	51	44-57			
Some College	44	34-55			
College Degree	45	37-52			
<$25,000	52	44-60			
$25,000 to $49,999	46	38-54			
$50,000+	42	34-50			
White, non-Hispanic	48	44-53			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	44	38-51			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	55	43-66			
Emp. Status: Retired	36	30-41			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	80	69-88			
Married	42	37-46			
Divorced/Separated	51	42-60			
Widowed	51	44-58			
Never Married	56	43-68			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	48	40-57			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	48	43-53			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	61	53-68			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	46	41-51			
Diagnosed Diabetic	50	42-59			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	48	43-53			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	48	43-52			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	51	43-58			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	39	32-47			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	57	49-65			
Limited Due Health Problems	65	58-72			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	44	39-49			
Current Smoker	55	45-64			
Former Smoker	44	36-51			
Never Smoked	47	41-54			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	41	34-48			
Non-Drinker	53	47-59			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	46	42-51			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	47	42-52			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	67	53-79			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	46	42-51			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	50	41-58			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	47	42-53			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 38-51) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (80 percent, CI: 69-88).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 43-66) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (80 percent, CI: 69-88).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 30-41) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers (55 percent, CI: 43-66).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 30-41) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (80 percent, CI: 69-88).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 41-51) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (61 percent, CI: 53-68).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-47) compared to Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (57 percent, CI: 49-65).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 39-49) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (65 percent, CI: 58-72).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 42-51) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (67 percent, CI: 53-79).

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Worried, Tense or Anxious 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	64	60-68			
Male	59	52-66			
Female	69	63-74			
18-29	70	56-81			
30-44	70	62-76			
45-64	63	58-67			
65+	53	48-59			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	63	56-69			
Some College	65	55-74			
College Degree	65	58-72			
<$25,000	71	64-77			
$25,000 to $49,999	61	53-69			
$50,000+	61	53-69			
White, non-Hispanic	64	59-68			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	67	61-73			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	60	46-72			
Emp. Status: Retired	51	46-57			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	61	56-65			
Divorced/Separated	65	56-73			
Widowed	59	51-66			
Never Married	71	59-82			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	71	62-78			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	62	57-66			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	70	62-77			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	63	58-68			
Diagnosed Diabetic	66	57-74			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	64	59-69			
Asthmatic (Current)	79	69-86			
Not Asthmatic	63	58-67			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	65	57-72			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	57	49-64			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	73	65-79			
Limited Due Health Problems	71	63-79			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	62	57-67			
Current Smoker	76	69-82			
Former Smoker	56	48-63			
Never Smoked	63	57-69			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	61	54-68			
Non-Drinker	68	62-73			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	62	58-67			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	64	59-68			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	63	59-68			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	69	61-76			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	62	57-67			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Worried, Tense or Anxious 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 48-59) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (70 percent, CI: 62-76).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 46-57) compared to Indiana County employed adults (67 percent, CI: 61-73).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 58-67) compared to Indiana County adults who currently have asthma (79 percent, CI: 69-86).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 49-64) compared to Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (73 percent, CI: 65-79).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 48-63) compared to Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (76 percent, CI: 69-82).

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Very Healthy and Full of Energy 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	88	85-90			
Male	86	81-90			
Female	89	86-92			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	89	84-93			
45-64	85	81-88			
65+	81	76-85			
< High School	82	71-90		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	86	81-90			
Some College	89	82-93			
College Degree	92	89-95			
<$25,000	82	77-86			
$25,000 to $49,999	85	79-90			
$50,000+	92	85-95			
White, non-Hispanic	87	84-90			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	93	91-95			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	92	84-96			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	91	85-94			
Emp. Status: Retired	84	79-88			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	88	85-91			
Divorced/Separated	81	73-86			
Widowed	85	79-90			
Never Married	90	80-95			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	91	84-95			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	86	83-89			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	61	53-68			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	93	90-95			
Diagnosed Diabetic	75	66-82			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	89	86-91			
Asthmatic (Current)	78	64-87			
Not Asthmatic	89	86-91			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	86	81-89			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	88	83-92			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	89	84-93			
Limited Due Health Problems	63	55-71			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	94	92-95			
Current Smoker	84	78-88			
Former Smoker	79	71-86			
Never Smoked	93	91-95			
Chronic Drinker	90	80-95			
Drink But Not Chronic	92	88-95			
Non-Drinker	84	79-87			
No Health Care Coverage	91	83-95			
Have Health Care Coverage	87	84-90			
No Personal Health Care Provider	89	80-94			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	88	85-90			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	83	73-90			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	85-91			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	92	88-95			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	86	83-89			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 3: Healthy Days (Symptoms), Felt Very Healthy and Full of Energy 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 79-88) compared to Indiana County employed adults (93 percent, CI: 91-95).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 53-68) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 66-82) compared to Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (89 percent, CI: 86-91).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 55-71) compared to Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems (94 percent, CI: 92-95).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 78-88) compared to Indiana County adults who have never smoked (93 percent, CI: 91-95).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 71-86) compared to Indiana County adults who have never smoked (93 percent, CI: 91-95).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 79-87) compared to Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (92 percent, CI: 88-95).

Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, They Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	24	22-27			
Male	28	24-33			
Female	21	18-24			
18-29	0	0-2			
30-44	8	5-14			
45-64	36	32-41			
65+	55	50-61			
< High School	33	22-46		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	28	23-33			
Some College	17	13-23			
College Degree	22	18-27			
<$25,000	34	28-41			
$25,000 to $49,999	30	25-37			
$50,000+	16	13-21			
White, non-Hispanic	25	22-28			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	15	12-19			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	14	7-24			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	26	18-35			
Emp. Status: Retired	58	53-64			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	40	28-54			
Married	27	24-31			
Divorced/Separated	36	28-44			
Widowed	54	47-61			
Never Married	7	5-11			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	6-13			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	32	28-36			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	50	42-58			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	20	17-23			
Diagnosed Diabetic	64	55-71			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-24			
Asthmatic (Current)	28	19-40			
Not Asthmatic	24	21-27			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	30	24-36			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	25	20-30			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	19	15-24			
Limited Due Health Problems	39	32-46			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	21	18-24			
Current Smoker	22	17-29			
Former Smoker	39	32-46			
Never Smoked	19	16-23			
Chronic Drinker	11	5-22			
Drink But Not Chronic	21	17-25			
Non-Drinker	30	26-34			
No Health Care Coverage	9	5-16			
Have Health Care Coverage	27	24-30			
No Personal Health Care Provider	12	7-20			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	26	23-30			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	16	10-25			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	25	22-28			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	10	7-14			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	30	26-34			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, They Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Indiana County adults age 30-44 (8 percent, CI: 5-14).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (36 percent, CI: 32-41).
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (55 percent, CI: 50-61).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-14) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (36 percent, CI: 32-41).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-14) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (55 percent, CI: 50-61).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-41) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (55 percent, CI: 50-61).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (34 percent, CI: 28-41).
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (30 percent, CI: 25-37).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Indiana County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-64).
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (40 percent, CI: 28-54).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 7-24) compared to Indiana County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-64).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 7-24) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being unable to work (40 percent, CI: 28-54).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 18-35) compared to Indiana County retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-64).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 47-61).
  - Indiana County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 28-44) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 47-61).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Indiana County married adults (27 percent, CI: 24-31).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (36 percent, CI: 28-44).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 47-61).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-13) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (32 percent, CI: 28-36).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (50 percent, CI: 42-58).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (64 percent, CI: 55-71).

Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, They Take Aspirin Daily or Every Other Day, 2009

Differences within Indiana County: (continued)

- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (39 percent, CI: 32-46).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-29) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (39 percent, CI: 32-46).
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (39 percent, CI: 32-46).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 5-22) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (30 percent, CI: 26-34).
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (30 percent, CI: 26-34).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having health care coverage (27 percent, CI: 24-30).
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 7-20) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (26 percent, CI: 23-30).
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (30 percent, CI: 26-34).

Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, They Have a Health Condition That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe for Them, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-11			
Male	6	4-9			
Female	11	8-15			
18-29	2	0-11			
30-44	4	2-8			
45-64	13	10-18			
65+	29	23-37			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	8	6-11			
Some College	7	4-11			
College Degree	7	5-11			
<$25,000	18	13-24			
$25,000 to $49,999	9	4-18			
$50,000+	4	3-7			
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-12			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	5	3-9			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	6	2-15			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	10	6-18			
Emp. Status: Retired	26	19-34			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	8	6-11			
Divorced/Separated	16	9-25			
Widowed	29	20-40			
Never Married	3	1-6			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	5	2-10			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	9-14			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	30	20-41			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	6	5-9			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	6-10			
Asthmatic (Current)	15	8-27			
Not Asthmatic	8	6-10			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	11	8-16			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	6	4-8			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	10	6-16			
Limited Due Health Problems	28	20-37			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	5	4-8			
Current Smoker	8	3-17			
Former Smoker	13	9-19			
Never Smoked	8	6-11			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	6	4-8			
Non-Drinker	13	9-17			
No Health Care Coverage	3	1-8			
Have Health Care Coverage	10	8-13			
No Personal Health Care Provider	1	0-5			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	8-13			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	6-10			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	6	3-12			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	10	8-13			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 6: Cardiovascular Health, They Have a Health Condition That Makes Taking Aspirin Unsafe for Them, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-11) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (29 percent, CI: 23-37).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (13 percent, CI: 10-18).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (29 percent, CI: 23-37).
  - Indiana County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-18) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (29 percent, CI: 23-37).
- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (18 percent, CI: 13-24).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Indiana County retired adults (26 percent, CI: 19-34).
  - Indiana County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-15) compared to Indiana County retired adults (26 percent, CI: 19-34).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-18) compared to Indiana County retired adults (26 percent, CI: 19-34).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (29 percent, CI: 20-40).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (16 percent, CI: 9-25).
  - Indiana County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (29 percent, CI: 20-40).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (30 percent, CI: 20-41).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (28 percent, CI: 20-37).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (13 percent, CI: 9-17).
- **Health Care Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (10 percent, CI: 8-13).

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Changing Their Eating Habits to Help Lower Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	65	59-69			
Male	73	66-79			
Female	56	49-63			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	78	72-84			
65+	50	43-57			
< High School	67	52-78		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	65	59-71			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	65	53-75			
<$25,000	62	54-69			
$25,000 to $49,999	66	55-76			
$50,000+	77	67-85			
White, non-Hispanic	66	61-71			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	77	68-84			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	58	45-70			
Emp. Status: Retired	54	47-61			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	71	65-77			
Divorced/Separated	72	59-82			
Widowed	44	35-54			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	64	59-69			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	67	58-75			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	64	57-70			
Diagnosed Diabetic	80	71-87			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	60	54-66			
Asthmatic (Current)	78	64-87			
Not Asthmatic	62	57-68			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	76	69-82			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	66	58-74			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	40	29-52			
Limited Due Health Problems	68	60-75			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	63	56-69			
Current Smoker	63	51-74			
Former Smoker	70	62-77			
Never Smoked	62	55-69			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	63	51-73			
Non-Drinker	63	58-69			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	64	59-69			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	65	60-70			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	65	59-70			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	66	61-71			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Changing Their Eating Habits to Help Lower Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 49-63) compared to Indiana County men (73 percent, CI: 66-79).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 43-57) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (78 percent, CI: 72-84).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 47-61) compared to Indiana County employed adults (77 percent, CI: 68-84).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 35-54) compared to Indiana County married adults (71 percent, CI: 65-77).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 35-54) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (72 percent, CI: 59-82).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 54-66) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (80 percent, CI: 71-87)
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 29-52) compared to Indiana County obese adults (76 percent, CI: 69-82).
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 29-52) compared to Indiana County overweight adults (66 percent, CI: 58-74).

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Exercising to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	73	68-77			
Male	76	69-82			
Female	70	62-76			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	81	75-87			
65+	65	58-71			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	72	66-78			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	80	70-88			
<$25,000	69	61-76			
$25,000 to $49,999	75	62-84			
$50,000+	84	74-91			
White, non-Hispanic	74	69-79			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	75	66-83			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	72	59-82			
Emp. Status: Retired	72	65-78			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	77	71-82			
Divorced/Separated	71	58-82			
Widowed	66	56-74			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	73	67-78			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	73	64-80			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	73	66-78			
Diagnosed Diabetic	86	78-92			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	69	63-74			
Asthmatic (Current)	81	68-89			
Not Asthmatic	72	66-77			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	86	80-90			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	71	63-78			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	55	42-67			
Limited Due Health Problems	74	66-80			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	72	65-78			
Current Smoker	62	49-72			
Former Smoker	81	73-87			
Never Smoked	72	64-79			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	76	63-85			
Non-Drinker	72	66-77			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	74	68-78			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	74	68-78			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	73	68-78			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	76	71-80			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Are Exercising to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 58-71) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (81 percent, CI: 75-87).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 63-74) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (86 percent, CI: 78-92).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 63-78) compared to Indiana County obese adults (86 percent, CI: 80-90).
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 42-67) compared to Indiana County obese adults (86 percent, CI: 80-90).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 49-72) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (81 percent, CI: 73-87).

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Reduce Alcohol Use to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	39	32-45			
Male	48	39-57			
Female	26	19-34			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	48	39-57			
65+	31	22-41			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	42	33-51			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	33	21-47			
<$25,000	53	41-64			
$25,000 to $49,999	32	22-44			
$50,000+	34	23-47			
White, non-Hispanic	39	32-46			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	32	22-44			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	35	26-45			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	33	25-42			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	41	34-48			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	45	33-58			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	36	29-44			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	37	30-45			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	37	30-44			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	43	33-54			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	37	27-47			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	44	32-56			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	37	29-45			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	49	38-60			
Never Smoked	25	17-34			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	32	23-42			
Non-Drinker	39	30-48			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	37	31-44			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	37	31-44			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	37	31-44			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	38	32-45			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Reduce Alcohol Use to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 19-34) compared to Indiana County men (48 percent, CI: 39-57).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 17-34) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being former smokers (49 percent, CI: 38-60).

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Cut Down on Salt to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	74	69-79			
Male	78	70-84			
Female	71	63-78			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	79	72-84			
65+	75	69-80			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	75	68-80			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	73	61-82			
<$25,000	76	68-82			
$25,000 to $49,999	70	58-80			
$50,000+	81	70-89			
White, non-Hispanic	75	70-80			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	74	64-82			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	76	63-86			
Emp. Status: Retired	78	71-84			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	77	71-82			
Divorced/Separated	83	71-91			
Widowed	73	63-81			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	75	69-80			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	79	71-86			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	72	65-78			
Diagnosed Diabetic	84	75-90			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	72	66-77			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	74	68-79			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	78	70-84			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	76	68-83			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	77	69-84			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	73	66-79			
Current Smoker	68	55-78			
Former Smoker	78	69-85			
Never Smoked	75	67-81			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	69	56-79			
Non-Drinker	78	72-82			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	74	69-79			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	74	69-79			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	74	69-79			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	78	73-82			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Cut Down on Salt to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- There were no significant differences within Indiana County.

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Take Medicine to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	86	78-91			
Male	86	76-92			
Female	NSR	NSR			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	93	87-96			
65+	99	95-100			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	90	81-95			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	84	74-91			
White, non-Hispanic	85	76-91			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	82	71-90			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	98	94-100			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	88	79-93			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	99	90-100			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	88-97			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	83	74-90			
Diagnosed Diabetic	100	NCI			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	83	74-89			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	86	78-92			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	89	79-95			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	96	89-99			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	83	74-90			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	96	90-98			
Never Smoked	85	72-92			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	83	73-90			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	85	77-90			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	89	82-93			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	87	80-92			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	90	82-94			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 7: Actions to Control High Blood Pressure, They Were Advised to Take Medicine to Help Lower or Control Their High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 71-90) compared to Indiana County retired adults (98 percent, CI: 94-100).
  - Indiana County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (98 percent, CI: 94-100) compared to Indiana County employed adults (82 percent, CI: 71-90).

Module 9: Women's Health, They Ever Had a Mammogram, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	66	60-72			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	66	60-72			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	45	36-54			
45-64	97	94-98			
65+	95	91-97			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	76	65-84			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	61	51-70			
<$25,000	69	55-80			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	62	52-71			
White, non-Hispanic	67	60-73			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	60	49-69			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	97	93-99			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	70	62-77			
Divorced/Separated	84	74-90			
Widowed	95	89-98			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	44	33-55			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	78	69-85			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	88	80-93			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	63	55-70			
Diagnosed Diabetic	93	83-97			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	64	57-71			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	65	58-72			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	78	69-85			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	52	42-63			
Limited Due Health Problems	81	70-89			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	63	55-70			
Current Smoker	56	42-69			
Former Smoker	77	64-86			
Never Smoked	67	58-75			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	64	53-74			
Non-Drinker	68	59-76			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	68	61-75			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	69	61-75			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	68	61-75			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	52	39-64			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	71	62-78			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 9: Women's Health, They Ever Had a Mammogram, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 36-54) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 36-54) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (60 percent, CI: 49-69) compared to Indiana County retired adults (97 percent, CI: 93-99).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 62-77) compared to Indiana County widowed adults (95 percent, CI: 89-98).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 33-55) compared to Indiana County adults with no children living in their household (78 percent, CI: 69-85).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 55-70) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (88 percent, CI: 80-93).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 57-71) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (93 percent, CI: 83-97).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 42-63) compared to Indiana County overweight adults (78 percent, CI: 69-85).

Module 9: Women's Health, They Had Their Last Mammogram Within the Past Year, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	67	63-72			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	67	63-72			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	62	48-74			
45-64	65	60-71			
65+	65	59-71			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	72	66-77			
Some College	60	49-70			
College Degree	66	57-73			
<$25,000	60	52-67			
$25,000 to $49,999	69	60-77			
$50,000+	72	63-79			
White, non-Hispanic	67	63-72			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	67	58-74			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	62	51-71			
Emp. Status: Retired	71	64-77			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	70	65-76			
Divorced/Separated	62	51-73			
Widowed	60	51-68			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	66	53-78			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	68	63-72			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	60	50-69			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	69	64-74			
Diagnosed Diabetic	62	50-73			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	68	63-72			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	68	63-72			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	62	54-70			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	71	62-78			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	68	60-74			
Limited Due Health Problems	64	56-72			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	68	63-73			
Current Smoker	63	51-73			
Former Smoker	73	64-81			
Never Smoked	67	61-72			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	71	63-78			
Non-Drinker	64	58-69			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	69	64-73			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	69	64-73			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	69	64-73			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	72	67-76			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 9: Women's Health, They Had Their Last Mammogram Within the Past Year, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- There were no significant differences within Indiana County.

Module 9: Women's Health, They Ever Had a Breast Exam, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	91	87-94			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	91	87-94			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	96	91-98			
45-64	98	95-99			
65+	87	82-91			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	92	86-96			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	91	79-96			
<$25,000	90	85-94			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	92	87-95			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	94	85-97			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	95	88-98			
Emp. Status: Retired	90	85-93			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	95	89-98			
Divorced/Separated	96	89-98			
Widowed	86	79-91			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	98	94-99			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	88	81-92			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	88	80-93			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	92	86-95			
Diagnosed Diabetic	91	83-96			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	91	86-95			
Asthmatic (Current)	95	85-98			
Not Asthmatic	91	86-94			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	96	93-98			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	96	93-98			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	84	73-91			
Limited Due Health Problems	95	90-97			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	90	85-94			
Current Smoker	97	93-99			
Former Smoker	96	91-98			
Never Smoked	88	80-93			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	95	86-98			
Non-Drinker	89	82-93			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	93	88-96			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	92	87-95			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	98	91-99			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	91	85-94			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	93	88-96			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 9: Women's Health, They Ever Had a Breast Exam, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 82-91) compared to Indiana County adults age 45-64 (98 percent, CI: 95-99).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Indiana County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 81-92) compared to Indiana County adults with children living in their household (98 percent, CI: 94-99).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 73-91) compared to Indiana County obese adults (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 73-91) compared to Indiana County overweight adults (96 percent, CI: 93-98).

Module 9: Women's Health, They Had Their Last Breast Exam Within the Past Year, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	71	65-76			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	71	65-76			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	67	57-75			
45-64	69	63-74			
65+	70	64-76			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	74	68-80			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	76	66-83			
<$25,000	61	49-71			
$25,000 to $49,999	77	68-83			
$50,000+	76	67-83			
White, non-Hispanic	71	65-76			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	71	60-79			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	70	59-79			
Emp. Status: Retired	73	66-79			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	72	66-77			
Divorced/Separated	72	62-81			
Widowed	66	57-74			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	72	61-81			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	70	64-76			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	66	55-75			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	72	65-78			
Diagnosed Diabetic	68	56-78			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	71	65-77			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	71	65-77			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	68	56-77			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	76	69-82			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	69	58-79			
Limited Due Health Problems	63	55-71			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	73	66-79			
Current Smoker	62	48-74			
Former Smoker	81	73-87			
Never Smoked	72	64-78			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	73	63-82			
Non-Drinker	68	61-74			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	74	68-78			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	73	67-78			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	72	66-77			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	50	37-63			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	77	70-83			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 9: Women's Health, They Had Their Last Breast Exam Within the Past Year, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 37-63) compared to Indiana County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (77 percent, CI: 70-83).

Module 9: Women's Health, They Ever Had a Pap Test, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	94	88-97			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	94	88-97			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	99	95-100			
45-64	99	97-100			
65+	95	91-97			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	99	96-100			
College Degree	97	93-99			
<$25,000	95	91-97			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	94	88-97			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	98	95-99			
Emp. Status: Retired	95	91-98			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	99	98-100			
Divorced/Separated	97	92-99			
Widowed	94	88-97			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	89-97			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	97	92-99			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	93	86-97			
Diagnosed Diabetic	97	88-99			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	93	87-97			
Asthmatic (Current)	98	91-99			
Not Asthmatic	93	87-97			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	100	98-100			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	90	79-96			
Limited Due Health Problems	96	92-98			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	93	85-97			
Current Smoker	100	NCI			
Former Smoker	98	95-99			
Never Smoked	90	80-95			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	92	85-96			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	94	87-97			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	94	87-97			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	98	91-100			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	93	87-97			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	96	90-98			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 9: Women's Health, They Ever Had a Pap Test, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (94 percent, CI: 88-97) compared to Indiana County married adults (99 percent, CI: 98-100).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (90 percent, CI: 79-96) compared to Indiana County obese adults (100 percent, CI: 98-100).

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, They Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Adults 50 and Older), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	65	61-68			
Male, Age 50+	67	60-72			
Female, Age 50+	63	59-68			
50-64, M	56	51-61			
65-74, M	75	69-81			
75+, M	76	69-81			
< High School, M, Age 50+	58	45-70			
High School, M, Age 50+	62	57-67		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
Some College, M, Age 50+	69	60-76			
College Degree, M, Age 50+	70	63-77			
<$25,000, M, Age 50+	62	55-68			
$25,000 to $49,999, M, Age 50+	67	61-74			
$50,000+, M, Age 50+	66	58-73			
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	65	62-69			
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, M, Age 50+	58	51-65			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, M, Age 50+	65	55-75			
Emp. Status: Retired, M, Age 50+	74	69-79			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, M, Age 50+	61	48-73			
Married, M, Age 50+	67	62-71			
Divorced/Separated, M, Age 50+	56	46-66			
Widowed, M, Age 50+	66	58-73			
Never Married, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 50+	54	41-67			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 50+	66	62-69			
Veteran, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, M, Age 50+	69	61-75			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, M, Age 50+	64	60-68			
Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 50+	71	62-79			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 50+	64	60-67			
Asthmatic (Current), M, Age 50+	71	59-81			
Not Asthmatic, M, Age 50+	64	60-68			
Obese (BMI >= 30), M, Age 50+	69	63-75			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), M, Age 50+	63	57-69			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, M, Age 50+	64	57-70			
Limited Due Health Problems, M, Age 50+	72	65-78			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, M, Age 50+	62	58-66			
Current Smoker, M, Age 50+	49	40-58			
Former Smoker, M, Age 50+	69	63-75			
Never Smoked, M, Age 50+	67	63-72			
Chronic Drinker, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, M, Age 50+	65	59-71			
Non-Drinker, M, Age 50+	66	62-71			
No Health Care Coverage, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, M, Age 50+	66	63-70			
No Personal Health Care Provider, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), M, Age 50+	67	63-70			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, M, Age 50+	47	33-60			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, M, Age 50+	66	63-70			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, M, Age 50+	40	31-49			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, M, Age 50+	70	66-74			
Urban, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Rural, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, They Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy (Adults 50 and Older), 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 51-61) compared to Indiana County adults age 65-74 (75 percent, CI: 69-81).
  - Indiana County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 51-61) compared to Indiana County adults age 75 and older (76 percent, CI: 69-81).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 51-65) compared to Indiana County retired adults age 50 and older (74 percent, CI: 69-79).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults age 50 and older who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 40-58) compared to Indiana County adults age 50 and older who reported being former smokers (69 percent, CI: 63-75).
  - Indiana County adults age 50 and older who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 40-58) compared to Indiana County adults age 50 and older who have never smoked (67 percent, CI: 63-72).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Indiana County adults age 50 and older who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 33-60) compared to Indiana County adults age 50 and older who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (66 percent, CI: 63-70).
  - Indiana County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 31-49) compared to Indiana County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (70 percent, CI: 66-74).

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, They Had Their Last Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy in the Past Year (Adults 50 and Older), 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	33	29-37			
Male, Age 50+	33	26-40			
Female, Age 50+	33	28-38			
50-64, M	33	27-39			
65-74, M	37	29-45			
75+, M	29	22-37			
< High School, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
High School, M, Age 50+	32	26-39		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
Some College, M, Age 50+	28	20-39			
College Degree, M, Age 50+	34	26-43			
<$25,000, M, Age 50+	39	32-47			
$25,000 to $49,999, M, Age 50+	27	20-35			
$50,000+, M, Age 50+	35	27-44			
White, non-Hispanic, M, Age 50+	33	29-37			
Other (Including Hispanic), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed, M, Age 50+	31	24-40			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker, M, Age 50+	42	30-55			
Emp. Status: Retired, M, Age 50+	30	25-36			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Married, M, Age 50+	34	28-39			
Divorced/Separated, M, Age 50+	32	22-45			
Widowed, M, Age 50+	29	22-38			
Never Married, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), M, Age 50+	32	27-36			
Veteran, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health, M, Age 50+	34	26-44			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, M, Age 50+	32	27-37			
Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 50+	35	26-46			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, M, Age 50+	32	28-37			
Asthmatic (Current), M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic, M, Age 50+	32	28-36			
Obese (BMI >= 30), M, Age 50+	35	28-43			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), M, Age 50+	34	27-42			
Not Overweight Nor Obese, M, Age 50+	31	24-39			
Limited Due Health Problems, M, Age 50+	27	20-35			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, M, Age 50+	35	30-41			
Current Smoker, M, Age 50+	43	31-56			
Former Smoker, M, Age 50+	32	25-39			
Never Smoked, M, Age 50+	31	25-36			
Chronic Drinker, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic, M, Age 50+	30	23-37			
Non-Drinker, M, Age 50+	36	30-41			
No Health Care Coverage, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage, M, Age 50+	33	29-38			
No Personal Health Care Provider, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), M, Age 50+	32	28-37			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, M, Age 5	33	29-37			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, M, A	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, M, Age	33	29-38			
Urban, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			
Rural, M, Age 50+	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 11: Colorectal Cancer Screening, They Had Their Last Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy in the Past Year (Adults 50 and Older), 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- There were no significant differences within Indiana County.

Module 12: Cancer Survivorship, They Are Currently Receiving Treatment for Cancer, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	10	6-16			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	8	4-14			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	NSR	NSR			
65+	11	6-21			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	9	5-16			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	11	5-21			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	9	5-18			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	6-17			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	7	3-14			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	4-16			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	10	6-17			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	12	6-23			
Limited Due Health Problems	8	3-19			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	11	6-20			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	10	4-20			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	10	5-18			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	9	5-14			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	5-14			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	5-14			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	9	5-16			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 12: Cancer Survivorship, They Are Currently Receiving Treatment for Cancer, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- There were no significant differences within Indiana County

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Can Do Everything They Want to Do (Today) Despite Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	30	25-36			
Male	33	25-43			
Female	27	21-34			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	29	23-36			
65+	25	20-31			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	24	18-30			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	39	28-52			
<$25,000	23	15-33			
$25,000 to $49,999	35	25-46			
$50,000+	35	24-49			
White, non-Hispanic	30	24-36			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	41	31-51			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	23	13-36			
Emp. Status: Retired	24	19-31			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	0	NCI			
Married	30	25-37			
Divorced/Separated	25	16-38			
Widowed	20	13-29			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	31	25-37			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	11	7-19			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	37	31-45			
Diagnosed Diabetic	25	17-36			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	31	25-37			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	30	25-37			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	25	19-33			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	29	19-40			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	40	29-51			
Limited Due Health Problems	12	8-18			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	42	35-50			
Current Smoker	31	21-42			
Former Smoker	26	17-38			
Never Smoked	32	25-41			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	35	26-45			
Non-Drinker	26	19-33			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	30	25-36			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	28	23-34			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	25-37			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	26	21-31			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Can Do Everything They Want to Do (Today) Despite Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-19) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (37 percent, CI: 31-45).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-18) compared to Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems (42 percent, CI: 35-50).

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Can Hardly do Anything They Want to Do (Today) Due to Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	8	6-11			
Male	7	4-12			
Female	8	6-12			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	9	5-14			
65+	8	5-13			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	7	4-11			
Some College	7	3-16			
College Degree	4	2-11			
<$25,000	13	8-19			
$25,000 to $49,999	6	3-13			
$50,000+	1	0-6			
White, non-Hispanic	7	5-10			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	1	0-5			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	8	3-17			
Emp. Status: Retired	8	5-13			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	8	5-13			
Divorced/Separated	13	7-24			
Widowed	7	3-15			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	6	2-15			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	6-11			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	21	14-28			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	2	1-5			
Diagnosed Diabetic	17	10-27			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	6	4-9			
Asthmatic (Current)	17	9-29			
Not Asthmatic	7	4-10			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	11	7-17			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	7	4-13			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	4	2-9			
Limited Due Health Problems	17	12-23			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	2	0-5			
Current Smoker	13	7-23			
Former Smoker	4	2-9			
Never Smoked	7	5-12			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	6	3-12			
Non-Drinker	9	6-13			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	8	5-11			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	8	5-10			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	5-11			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	7	3-16			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	8	6-11			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Can Hardly do Anything They Want to Do (Today) Due to Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-6) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (13 percent, CI: 8-19).
- **General Health Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Indiana County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (21 percent, CI: 14-28).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (17 percent, CI: 10-27).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (17 percent, CI: 12-23).

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Ever Had a Doctor or Other Health Professional Suggest Losing Weight to Help Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	30	26-35			
Male	25	18-33			
Female	35	29-41			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	35	28-42			
65+	31	25-37			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	29	23-36			
Some College	29	20-41			
College Degree	31	21-42			
<$25,000	34	27-42			
$25,000 to $49,999	29	21-38			
$50,000+	28	18-40			
White, non-Hispanic	30	25-35			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	28	20-38			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	34	23-48			
Emp. Status: Retired	32	26-39			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	31	25-37			
Divorced/Separated	29	19-41			
Widowed	36	27-46			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	30	26-35			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	31	23-39			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	30	25-36			
Diagnosed Diabetic	53	43-64			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	21-31			
Asthmatic (Current)	47	34-61			
Not Asthmatic	28	23-33			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	61	53-69			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	15	10-22			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	3	1-7			
Limited Due Health Problems	33	26-42			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	28	22-34			
Current Smoker	19	12-29			
Former Smoker	28	20-38			
Never Smoked	37	31-44			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	21	15-29			
Non-Drinker	37	30-43			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	30	26-36			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	31	26-36			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	26-36			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	21	13-33			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	33	28-38			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Ever Had a Doctor or Other Health Professional Suggest Losing Weight to Help Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Indiana County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-31) compared to Indiana County adults diagnosed with diabetes (53 percent, CI: 43-64).
  - Indiana County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 23-33) compared to Indiana County adults who currently have asthma (47 percent, CI: 34-61).
- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-22) compared to Indiana County obese adults (61 percent, CI: 53-69).
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Indiana County obese adults (61 percent, CI: 53-69).
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Indiana County overweight adults (15 percent, CI: 10-22).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 12-29) compared to Indiana County adults who have never smoked (37 percent, CI: 31-44).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Indiana County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 15-29) compared to Indiana County adults who do not drink (37 percent, CI: 30-43).

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Ever Had a Doctor or Other Health Professional Suggest Physical Activity or Exercise to Help Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	46	41-52			
Male	44	34-54			
Female	48	42-55			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	52	45-59			
65+	50	43-56			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	47	39-55			
Some College	44	32-58			
College Degree	49	36-62			
<$25,000	48	39-56			
$25,000 to $49,999	49	39-60			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	47	41-53			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	47	37-58			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	45	32-58			
Emp. Status: Retired	53	45-60			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	48	42-55			
Divorced/Separated	53	40-65			
Widowed	52	42-62			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	48	42-54			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	49	40-57			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	45	38-53			
Diagnosed Diabetic	60	49-71			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	44	37-50			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	46	40-52			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	62	54-69			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	35	25-45			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	38	29-48			
Limited Due Health Problems	52	41-62			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	42	36-49			
Current Smoker	43	32-54			
Former Smoker	45	34-57			
Never Smoked	49	42-56			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	45	36-55			
Non-Drinker	49	41-56			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	46	40-52			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	47	41-53			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	46	40-52			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	50	44-56			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Ever Had a Doctor or Other Health Professional Suggest Physical Activity or Exercise to Help Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Weight Status**
  - Indiana County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 25-45) compared to Indiana County obese adults (62 percent, CI: 54-69).
  - Indiana County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 29-48) compared to Indiana County obese adults (62 percent, CI: 54-69).

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Ever Took an Educational Course or Class to Teach Them How to Manage Problems Related to Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	10-16			
Male	14	9-21			
Female	12	8-16			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	17	12-23			
65+	10	6-14			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	7	4-12			
Some College	20	13-31			
College Degree	20	13-30			
<$25,000	9	5-14			
$25,000 to $49,999	18	12-26			
$50,000+	12	7-21			
White, non-Hispanic	13	10-16			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	12	7-19			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	4	1-12			
Emp. Status: Retired	13	9-19			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	16	12-22			
Divorced/Separated	8	4-18			
Widowed	10	6-18			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	6-24			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	10-17			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	15	10-22			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	12	8-16			
Diagnosed Diabetic	13	7-21			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	9-17			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	13	10-17			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	13	8-20			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	10	6-16			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	16	10-24			
Limited Due Health Problems	19	13-26			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	5-12			
Current Smoker	17	10-27			
Former Smoker	11	7-17			
Never Smoked	12	8-17			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	12	8-18			
Non-Drinker	13	9-18			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	13	10-17			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	12	9-16			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	12	9-16			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	9	4-18			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	10-18			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** 3-day supply of water is 1 gallon of water per person per day.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 14: Arthritis Management, They Ever Took an Educational Course or Class to Teach Them How to Manage Problems Related to Their Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Education**
  - Indiana County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-12) compared to Indiana County adults with some college education (20 percent, CI: 13-31).
  - Indiana County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-12) compared to Indiana County adults with a college degree (20 percent, CI: 13-30).
- **Disability Status**
  - Indiana County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Indiana County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (19 percent, CI: 13-26).

Module 19: General Preparedness, They Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	24	21-28			
Male	30	24-36			
Female	18	15-23			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	19	14-26			
45-64	27	23-31			
65+	34	29-39			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	25	20-30			
Some College	23	16-33			
College Degree	22	17-28			
<$25,000	23	18-30			
$25,000 to $49,999	26	20-33			
$50,000+	23	17-31			
White, non-Hispanic	24	21-28			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	19	15-24			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	20	13-29			
Emp. Status: Retired	36	31-42			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	27	23-31			
Divorced/Separated	21	15-29			
Widowed	34	28-42			
Never Married	18	10-31			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	16-29			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	25	21-29			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	28	22-37			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	19-27			
Diagnosed Diabetic	30	23-39			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	23	20-27			
Asthmatic (Current)	28	17-41			
Not Asthmatic	23	20-27			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	25	20-31			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	26	20-33			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	22	16-29			
Limited Due Health Problems	31	23-40			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	22	19-26			
Current Smoker	23	17-32			
Former Smoker	31	24-39			
Never Smoked	21	17-26			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	24	18-30			
Non-Drinker	25	20-30			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	25	21-28			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	24	21-28			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	23	20-27			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	23	16-31			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	21-29			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, They Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Gender**
  - Indiana County women had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Indiana County men (30 percent, CI: 24-36).
- **Age**
  - Indiana County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 14-26) compared to Indiana County adults age 65 and older (34 percent, CI: 29-39).
- **Employment Status**
  - Indiana County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Indiana County retired adults (36 percent, CI: 31-42).
  - Indiana County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 13-29) compared to Indiana County retired adults (36 percent, CI: 31-42).

Module 19: General Preparedness, They Feel Their Household is Not At All Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	19	15-23			
Male	18	13-25			
Female	19	15-24			
18-29	25	15-38			
30-44	21	16-28			
45-64	16	12-19			
65+	13	10-17			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	18	13-25			
Some College	22	14-33			
College Degree	15	10-21			
<$25,000	31	24-40			
$25,000 to $49,999	18	11-28			
$50,000+	13	9-18			
White, non-Hispanic	18	14-21			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	16	12-21			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	19	10-32			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	11	8-15			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	21	13-32			
Married	13	10-18			
Divorced/Separated	30	23-39			
Widowed	10	7-16			
Never Married	25	16-37			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	11-23			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	16-25			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	25	19-33			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	18	14-22			
Diagnosed Diabetic	16	10-24			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	19	15-23			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	19	15-23			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	17	12-25			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	16	11-23			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	23	16-31			
Limited Due Health Problems	23	17-30			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	18	14-22			
Current Smoker	23	16-31			
Former Smoker	18	13-24			
Never Smoked	18	13-24			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	18	13-23			
Non-Drinker	18	13-24			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	16	13-20			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	17	14-21			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	17	13-21			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	27	20-36			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	15	12-20			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, They Feel Their Household is Not At All Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- **Household Income**
  - Indiana County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Indiana County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (31 percent, CI: 24-40).
- **Marital Status**
  - Indiana County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-18) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (30 percent, CI: 23-39).
  - Indiana County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Indiana County divorced or separated adults (30 percent, CI: 23-39).

Locally Added: Falls, They Had a Fall* in the Past 3 Months, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	17	14-21			
Male	18	13-24			
Female	17	13-23			
18-29	23	13-37			
30-44	13	9-19			
45-64	15	12-19			
65+	18	14-22			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	18	12-25			
Some College	15	9-22			
College Degree	18	12-26			
<$25,000	16	12-21			
$25,000 to $49,999	24	16-35			
$50,000+	13	9-18			
White, non-Hispanic	17	14-21			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	12	8-18			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	16	12-20			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	23	15-34			
Married	16	13-21			
Divorced/Separated	16	11-23			
Widowed	15	10-21			
Never Married	21	12-33			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	18	11-26			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	14-22			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	24	19-31			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	16	12-21			
Diagnosed Diabetic	20	14-27			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	17	14-22			
Asthmatic (Current)	21	13-31			
Not Asthmatic	17	13-21			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	16	11-24			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	21	15-30			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	14	10-21			
Limited Due Health Problems	25	19-32			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	16	12-20			
Current Smoker	15	10-23			
Former Smoker	17	12-23			
Never Smoked	19	13-25			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	18	13-25			
Non-Drinker	16	12-21			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	17	14-21			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	18	14-22			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	16	13-20			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	20	12-30			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	16	13-21			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* Defined as when a person unintentionally comes to a rest on the ground or another lower level

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Falls, They Had a Fall in the Past 3 Months, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- There were no significant differences within Indiana County

Locally Added: Falls, They Were Injured By a Fall They Had in the Past 3 Months, 2009

	Indiana County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	34	24-45			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	NSR	NSR			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	48	36-60			
65+	35	24-47			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	36	26-48			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	NSR	NSR			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	39	27-53			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	NSR	NSR			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	34	23-47			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	32	21-44			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	35	24-48			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	39	28-51			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	36	25-48			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	30	21-41			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	41	29-53			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Falls, They Were Injured By a Fall They Had in the Past 3 Months, 2009

Differences within Indiana County:

- There were no significant differences within Indiana County

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,247 Indiana County adults completed interviews for the Indiana County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Indiana County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Indiana County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Indiana County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Indiana County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Indiana County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned healthy days (symptoms), cardiovascular health, actions to control high blood pressure, women's health, colorectal cancer screening, cancer survivorship, adults asthma history, arthritis management, general preparedness and falls.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the

reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Indiana County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Indiana County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Indiana County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 White Township census population of 2,113 for ages 18-29 by the Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days prevalence of 56% (0.56) for that age group in Indiana County. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those who felt sad, blue or depressed 1+ days in the past 30 days, ages 18-29 in Logan Township is 1,183.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who they felt sad, blue or depressed 1+ days in the past 30 days, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 White Township Census Population		Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days From 2009 Indiana County BRFSS		Estimate of White Township Adults Indicating They Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
18-29	2,113	X	56 %	=	1,183
30-44	2,632	X	47 %	=	1,237
45-64	3,706	X	48 %	=	1,779
65+	2,774	X	38 %	=	1,054
				Total	5,253

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in White Township who felt sad, blue or depressed 1+ days in the past 30 days, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in White Township from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days = **5,253**

Total Population Age 18+ in White Township = **11,225**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who felt sad, blue or depressed 1+ days in the past 30 days by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those Who Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days in White Township = (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults Who Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days in White Township / Total Population Age 18+ in White Township) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of Who Felt Sad, Blue or Depressed 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days in White Township
= (5,253 / 11,225) X 100
= **47 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

2009 Behavioral Health Risks

of Lancaster County Adults

pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Lancaster County Health Partnership

Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Health began the Pennsylvania Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) in 1989. The BRFSS survey consists of telephone interviews using randomly generated telephone numbers to determine the households contacted. The survey contains a core set of questions provided by CDC to gather comprehensive, standard information nationwide. The questions asked concern health status, access to health care, health awareness, use of preventive health services, and knowledge and attitude assessment.

In an effort to provide local BRFSS data, the Pennsylvania Department of Health instituted the Pennsylvania BRFSS Local Sampling Program in 2002. Participation in the program was open to Pennsylvania's State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP)-affiliated partnerships located statewide. Six partnership organizations chose to participate in the 2009 program: Blair County Healthy Community Partnership, Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership, Indiana County Community Health Advisory, Lancaster Health Improvement Partnership, Lycoming County Health Improvement Coalition and the Pennsylvania Department of Health Northeast Health District. These partnerships were given the opportunity to select 35-40 questions of their choice in addition to the core questions asked of all who participated in BRFSS.

The survey of adults living in Lancaster County asked questions about health and health-related behaviors including General Health, Health Care Access, Exercise, Tobacco Use, Asthma, Diabetes, and Immunization. This allows for some comparison of the county survey results to state results and it also allows the county to get data specific to its individual needs.

Report Organization

A brief *Survey Highlights* section includes prevalence estimates referring to Health Care Access and Pre-Diabetes data for adults in Lancaster County that are statistically different compared to the Pennsylvania estimates.

Select prevalence estimates are included in *Table 1: Core Questions, Lancaster County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009*. They are estimates from questions asked of all 2009 Pennsylvania BRFSS questionnaire respondents. Blair County data are presented alongside Pennsylvania data to assist with comparison (see Table 1 footnote). The topics in Table 1 include Health Status, Health Care Access, Sleep, Exercise, Diabetes, Hypertension Awareness, Cholesterol Awareness, Cardiovascular Disease, Asthma, Disability, Tobacco Use, Caregiver Status, Alcohol Consumption, Immunization, Arthritis Burden, Nutrition, HIV/AIDS, Cancer Survivors and Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction.

The topics in *Table 2: Module Questions, Lancaster County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009* include prevalence estimates that were requested for Lancaster County and were also asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. Topics include Pre-Diabetes, General Preparedness and Childhood Asthma Prevalence.

Table 3: Locally-Added Questions, Lancaster County Adults, 2009 includes estimates that were specifically requested for Lancaster County, and were not asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. The topic included in this table is Anxiety and Depression.

Table 4: Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation: Lancaster County & Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009 includes estimates for objective goals available for Lancaster County. . Objective goals include Health Care Access, Disability, Weight Control, Diabetes, Physical Activity, Alcohol Consumption, and Immunization.

Survey Highlights - Significant Differences

Health Care Access:

Seventy-seven (77) percent of Lancaster County adults reported in 2009 that they have visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past two years. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (84 percent).

Pre-Diabetes

Three (3) percent of Lancaster County adults reported they had diabetes or borderline diabetes in 2009. This was significantly lower compared to Pennsylvania adults (6 percent).

TABLE 1

Core Questions

Lancaster County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Lancaster County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Health Status					
Fair or Poor Health	12	10-14		15	14-16
Physical Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	37	34-41		38	36-39
Mental Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	35	32-38		35	33-36
Overweight or Obese**	59	56-63		64	62-66
Obese**	26	23-29		28	27-29
Health Care Access					
No Health Care Insurance, Age 18-64	16	13-19		13	12-15
Do Not Have a Personal Health Care Provider	9	7-11		11	10-12
Unable to Get Med. Care Due to Cost in Past Year	11	8-13		11	10-12
Visited a Doctor For a Routine Checkup Within Past 2 Years	77	73-80	-	84	83-85
Sleep					
Unable to Get Enough Sleep 7+ Days Past Year	36	32-39		40	38-41
Exercise					
No Leisure Time Physical Activity in Past Month	23	20-26		26	24-27
10+ Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in Usual Week	87	85-89		86	85-87
Moderate Physical Activity 5+ Days a Week for 30+ Minutes a Session	48	45-52		50	49-52
10+ Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in Usual Week	52	48-55		50	49-52
Vigorous Physical Activity 3+ Days a Week for 20+ Minutes a Session	28	24-31		28	26-29
Diabetes					
Ever Told They Have Diabetes	7	6-9		9	8-10
Hypertension Awareness					
Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure	27	24-30		31	30-33
Taking Medication For High Blood Pressure**	79	73-83		80	78-82
Cholesterol Awareness					
Ever Had Blood Cholesterol Checked	79	75-82		82	81-84
Had Blood Cholesterol Checked in Past 5 Years	75	71-78		79	77-80
Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol**	38	35-42		39	37-40
Cardiovascular Disease					
Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack	5	4-6		6	6-7
Asthma					
Ever Told They Had Asthma	13	11-16		13	12-15
Currently Have Asthma	11	8-13		9	8-10
Tobacco Use					
Current Smokers**	22	19-24		20	19-22
Stopped Smoking For 1+ Days in Past Year	55	45-64		57	53-60
Caregiver Status					
Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member in Past Month	21	18-24		26	24-27
Disability					
Limited in Activities Due to Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems	18	16-21		19	18-20
Health Problem Requires Use of Special Equipment	7	5-9		8	7-8

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Core Questions

Lancaster County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Lancaster County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Alcohol Consumption					
Binge Drinkers**	15	12-18		17	15-18
Chronic Drinkers**	4	2-5		5	5-6
Immunization					
Had a Flu Shot in Past Year, Age 50+	60	56-64		57	56-59
Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccination, Age 65+	73	68-77		70	68-72
Arthritis Burden					
Ever Told Have Some Form of Arthritis	28	25-31		31	30-33
Limited in Activities Due to Arthritis or Joint Symptoms**	41	36-47		42	39-44
Nutrition					
Eat 5+ Fruits/Vegetables per Day	29	26-33		24	23-25
HIV/AIDS					
Ever Tested for HIV, Age 18-64	30	26-35		35	33-37
Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction					
Rarely or Never Get the Social or Emotional Support They Need	9	7-12		9	8-10
Satisfied or Very Satisfied With Their Life	95	93-96		94	93-95
Cancer Survivors					
Ever Told Had Cancer	11	9-13		10	9-11

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 2

Module Questions

Lancaster County and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Lancaster County		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Pre-Diabetes					
Had a Test For High Blood Sugar or Diabetes Within the Past 3 Years	53	49-57		57	55-59
Ever Been Told by a Doctor or Other Health Professional That They Have Pre-Diabetes or Borderline Diabetes	3	2-4	-	6	5-6
General Preparedness					
Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency	26	23-29		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Water for Everyone Who Lives There**	58	55-62		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Nonperishable Food for Everyone Who Lives There**	86	83-88		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Prescription Medication for Each Person Who Takes Prescribed Medicines**	95	93-97		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a Working Battery Operated Radio and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out	71	68-75		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a Working Flashlight and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out	96	94-97		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Cell Phones	72	68-75		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Home Telephones	22	19-25		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Radio	40	37-44		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Television	30	26-33		NSR	NSR
Reported Their Household Has a Written Disaster Evacuation Plan For How They Will Leave Their Home in the Case of a Large-Scale Emergency	15	13-18		NSR	NSR
Percent of Adults Who Reported They Would Evacuate if Authorities Announced a Mandatory Evacuation Due to a Large-Scale Emergency (Unsure Adults Included in the Denominator)	88	86-90		NSR	NSR
Main Reason They May Not Evacuate if Asked to Do So Would be:				NSR	NSR
Lack of Transportation - 0	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Lack of Trust in Public Officials - 21	17	10-27		NSR	NSR
Concern About Leaving Property Behind - 16	14	8-22		NSR	NSR
Concern About Personal Safety - 5	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Concern About Family Safety - 8	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Concern About Leaving Pets - 9	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Concern About Traffic Jams and Inability to Get Out - 6	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Health Problems (Could Not be Moved) - 4	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Other - 54	47	36-57		NSR	NSR
Childhood Asthma Prevalence					
Ever Told by Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That Child Has Asthma - 38	13	9-17		15	13-17

Note: Excludes missing, don't know , and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly low er percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 3

Locally-Added Questions

Lancaster County Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	Lancaster County	
	%	CI
Anxiety and Depression		
Had Little Interest or Pleasure Doing Things 1 to 2 Days Over the Past 2 Weeks	17	14-20
Felt Down, Depressed or Hopeless 1 to 2 Days Over the Past 2 Weeks	16	13-19
Had Trouble Falling Asleep, Staying Asleep or Sleeping Too Much 1 to 2 Days Over the Past 2 Weeks	16	14-19
Felt Tired or Had Little Energy 1 to 2 Days Over the Past 2 Weeks	25	22-28
Had a Poor Appetitie or Ate Too Much 1 to 2 Days Over the Past 2 Weeks	13	11-15
Felt Bad About Themselves, Felt Like a Failure or Had Let Themselves or Their Family Down 1 to 2 Days Over the Past 2 Weeks	11	9-14
Had Trouble Concentrating on Things Such as Reading the Newspaper or Watching TV 1 to 2 Days Over the Past 2 Weeks	8	6-10
Have Moved or Spoken So Slowly That Others Have Noticed **OR** Have Been So Fidgety or Restless That They Were Moving Around a Lot More Than Usual 1+ Days Over the Past 2 Weeks	4	3-6
Ever Told by a Doctor or Other Healthcare Provider That They Have an Anxiety Disorder	13	11-16
Ever told by a Doctor or Other Healthcare Provider That They Have a Depressive Disorder	19	16-22

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

TABLE 5

Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation
Lancaster County and Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009

Healthy People 2010 Objective[1]	Year 2010 Objective	Lancaster 2009	Objective Met[2] Lancaster County	Sig. Diff. to PA[3]	Pennsylvania 2009	Objective Met[2] Pennsylvania
01-01: Percent of adults aged 18-64 with health insurance (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	100%	84± 4	No		92± 4	No
01-04c: Percent of adults with a specific source of ongoing care (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	96%	91± 2	No		88± 1	No
05-03: Adults diagnosed with diabetes (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 age 18+)	25	67±14	Yes		81± 7	No
06-06: Percent of adults with disabilities* who are satisfied with their life (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	97%	86± 7	Yes		82± 4	No
12-09: Percent of adults aged 20+ who were ever told their blood pressure was high (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	16%	33± 3	No		35± 2	No
14-29a: Percent of adults aged 65+ with a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	73± 5	Yes		68± 5	Yes
14-29b: Percent of adults aged 65+ who were ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 18+)	90%	73± 5	No		70± 5	No
14-29c: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	34± 4	No		30± 4	No
14-29d: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who ever had vaccination agains pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	11± 3	No		18± 4	No
19-01: Percent of adults aged 20+ with healthy weights (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	39± 4	No		34± 2	No
19-02: Percent of adults aged 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	26± 3	No		29± 2	No
22-01: Percent of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	20%	22± 3	No		25± 1	No
26-11c: Percent of adults who engaged in binge drinking in past month** (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	6%	15± 3	Yes		17± 1	Yes

* limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental or emotional problems

** 5+ alcoholic beverages at the same time or within couple hours

[1] Public Health Services. Healthy People 2010: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

[2] The "Yes" designation refers the 2009 percentage being significantly better compared to the Healthy People 2010 goal percentage.

[3] If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania, If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly low

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,201 Lancaster County adults completed interviews for the Lancaster County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Lancaster County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Lancaster County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Lancaster County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Lancaster County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Lancaster County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned Pre-Diabetes, General Preparedness, Childhood Asthma Prevalence and Anxiety and Depression.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Lancaster County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Lancaster County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Lancaster County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Report Page 3 Notes

- Adults are classified as overweight or obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 25 or above.
- Adults are classified as obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 30 or above.
- The analysis of adults who are taking medication for blood pressure was out of adults who have high blood pressure.
- The analysis of adults who were told they had high blood cholesterol was out of adults who ever had their blood cholesterol checked.
- Current smokers are adults who reported currently smoking every day or some days.

Report Page 4 Notes

- Binge drinkers are men who reported having five or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month or women who reported having four or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month.
- Chronic drinkers are adults who reported having an average of two or more alcoholic drinks per day the past month.
- The analysis of adults who have arthritis or joint symptoms that limit activity was out of arthritic adults who reported having joint symptoms in the past thirty days.

Report Page 5 Notes

- 3-day supply of water is 1 gallon of water per person per day.
- Nonperishable food does not require refrigeration or cooking.

Lancaster County Healthy Communities Partnership
c/o Jim Kelly
601 Westtown Road, Suite 295
P.O. Box 2747
West Lancaster, PA 19380-0990

Sponsored by

Lancaster County Health Department

In cooperation with the
Pennsylvania Department of Health
Bureau of Health Statistics and Research
555 Walnut Street 6th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1914

www.health.state.pa.us/stats/

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Core Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Lancaster County and Pennsylvania Comparisons

Table of Contents

Page 1	Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009
Page 4	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 6	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 8	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009
Page 10	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults 18-64), 2009
Page 12	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009
Page 14	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009
Page 16	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009
Page 20	Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 23	Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009
Page 26	Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes, 2009
Page 29	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 32	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009
Page 34	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009
Page 36	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009
Page 40	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009
Page 42	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack, (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 44	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 46	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 48	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Asthma, 2009
Page 50	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma, 2009
Page 52	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009
Page 54	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009
Page 57	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009
Page 59	Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009
Page 61	Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009
Page 63	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009
Page 65	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009
Page 67	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009
Page 69	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Table of Contents – *Continued*

Page 71	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009
Page 73	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009
Page 75	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009
Page 78	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 80	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009
Page 82	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 84	Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009
Page 87	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 89	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 91	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 94	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 96	Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009
Page 98	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009
Page 100	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009
Page 102	Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009
Page 104	Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese, 2009
Page 106	Percent of Adults Who Are Obese, 2009
Page 108	Technical Notes

Detailed Core Questionnaire Tables

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	12	10-14		15	14-16
Male	11	8-14		13	12-15
Female	13	10-16		16	15-18
18-29	8	4-16		8	6-11
30-44	8	5-12		9	8-11
45-64	15	12-19		17	16-19
65+	19	15-23		25	23-26
< High School	22	15-30		32	27-37
High School	15	12-19		21	19-23
Some College	11	7-16		12	10-15
College Degree	5	3-7		6	5-7
<$25,000	25	19-33		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	13	10-18		16	14-18
$50,000+	6	4-10		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	12	10-14		14	13-15
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-9		8	7-9
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	7	3-16		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	15	8-28		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	12	7-20		15	12-18
Emp. Status: Retired	20	16-25		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		61	55-68
Married	9	7-12		12	11-13
Divorced/Separated	22	15-30		26	23-30
Widowed	18	13-26		27	24-30
Never Married	11	6-17		14	11-17
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	5-12		8	7-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	13-18		19	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Diagnosed Diabetic	40	31-49		45	41-49
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	10	8-12		12	11-13
Asthmatic (Current)	25	16-36		28	24-32
Not Asthmatic	11	9-13		13	12-14
Obese (BMI >= 30)	19	15-25		24	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	11	8-15		12	11-14
Not Overweight Nor Obese	8	5-12		10	8-11
Limited Due Health Problems	41	33-48		46	43-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	5	4-7		7	7-8
Current Smoker	22	16-30		21	19-24
Former Smoker	13	10-17		18	17-20
Never Smoked	9	7-12		11	10-12
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		10	7-15
Drink But Not Chronic	6	4-9		8	7-10
Non-Drinker	17	14-20		22	20-24
No Health Care Coverage	12	6-21		17	13-20
Have Health Care Coverage	12	10-14		15	14-16
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-6	-	11	8-14
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	13	11-16		15	14-16
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	22	14-33		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	11	9-13		13	12-14
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	7	5-10		10	9-12
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	16	13-19		17	15-18
Urban	NSR	NSR		15	14-16
Rural	NSR	NSR		16	13-18

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults with no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults with no personal health care provider (11 percent, CI: 8-14).

Differences Within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (19 percent, CI: 15-23).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Lancaster County adults less than a high school education (22 percent, CI: 15-30).
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Lancaster County adults with a high school education (15 percent, CI: 12-19).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-18) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (25 percent, CI: 19-33).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (25 percent, CI: 19-33).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (22 percent, CI: 15-30).
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (18 percent, CI: 13-26).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (15 percent, CI: 13-18).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-12) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (40 percent, CI: 31-49).
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-13) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (25 percent, CI: 16-36).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (19 percent, CI: 15-25).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (41 percent, CI: 33-48).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (22 percent, CI: 16-30).

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Differences Within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (17 percent, CI: 14-20).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (13 percent, CI: 11-16).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-13) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (22 percent, CI: 14-33).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (16 percent, CI: 13-19).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	37	34-41		38	37-40
Male	32	28-38		35	33-37
Female	42	38-47		42	40-44
18-29	45	34-56		43	38-49
30-44	38	31-45		37	34-40
45-64	32	28-37		37	34-39
65+	38	33-43		40	38-42
< High School	42	32-52		47	42-53
High School	35	30-41		40	38-43
Some College	43	34-52		40	37-44
College Degree	36	30-42		33	30-35
<$25,000	47	38-56		49	46-52
$25,000 to $49,999	40	34-47		40	37-43
$50,000+	33	28-39		31	29-34
White, non-Hispanic	38	34-41		38	36-39
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		42	37-48
Emp. Status: Employed	33	28-38		35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	42	30-54		27	22-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		40	34-46
Emp. Status: Homemaker	50	39-60		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	37	32-42		39	36-41
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		77	70-83
Married	35	31-40		34	33-36
Divorced/Separated	37	29-47		45	41-49
Widowed	41	33-50		45	41-48
Never Married	42	33-53		45	40-49
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	38	32-45		36	34-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	37	33-41		40	38-42
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	77	69-84		78	75-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	32	28-36		32	30-33
Diagnosed Diabetic	59	49-68		56	52-60
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	36	32-39		37	35-38
Asthmatic (Current)	53	41-65		55	49-60
Not Asthmatic	36	32-39		37	35-38
Obese (BMI >= 30)	41	35-48		45	42-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	37	32-43		37	34-39
Not Overweight Nor Obese	36	30-42		36	33-39
Limited Due Health Problems	67	59-74		70	67-73
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	31	27-35		31	29-33
Current Smoker	46	37-55		45	42-49
Former Smoker	34	28-40		38	36-41
Never Smoked	36	32-41		36	34-38
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	29-43
Drink But Not Chronic	33	28-39		36	34-39
Non-Drinker	42	37-47		41	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	42	30-54		39	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage	37	33-40		38	37-40
No Personal Health Care Provider	27	17-41		36	30-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	39	35-42		39	37-40
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	58	46-70		58	52-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	35	32-38		36	35-38
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	37	31-43		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	37	33-42		38	37-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		39	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	35-42

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Physical Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-38) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (50 percent, CI: 39-60).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-36) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (77 percent, CI: 69-84).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-39) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (59 percent, CI: 49-68).
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-39) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (53 percent, CI: 41-65).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-35) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (67 percent, CI: 59-74).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 32-38) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (58 percent, CI: 46-70).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	35	32-38		35	34-37
Male	29	24-34		29	27-32
Female	40	36-45		41	39-42
18-29	52	41-63		50	45-56
30-44	36	30-43		39	36-42
45-64	33	29-38		32	30-34
65+	18	14-22		21	19-23
< High School	37	27-48		40	34-46
High School	36	31-42		35	32-37
Some College	40	32-49		40	36-43
College Degree	29	24-35		31	29-33
<$25,000	42	33-51		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	36	30-44		35	32-38
$50,000+	31	26-36		31	28-33
White, non-Hispanic	34	31-38		35	33-36
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		38	33-43
Emp. Status: Employed	36	32-42		35	32-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	29	20-42		28	23-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		49	43-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	40	30-50		35	31-39
Emp. Status: Retired	17	13-21		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		65	58-70
Married	30	26-34		30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	44	35-54		44	40-48
Widowed	21	14-30		28	25-31
Never Married	49	39-59		47	42-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	38	32-45		40	37-43
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	33	29-37		32	31-34
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	55	46-63		52	48-55
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	32	29-36		32	31-34
Diagnosed Diabetic	32	23-41		36	32-41
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	35	32-39		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	48	36-60		49	44-54
Not Asthmatic	33	30-37		34	32-35
Obese (BMI >= 30)	35	29-42		40	37-43
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	26-37		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	38	32-44		35	33-38
Limited Due Health Problems	51	44-59		53	50-57
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	31	27-35		31	29-33
Current Smoker	52	43-61		50	46-53
Former Smoker	30	25-37		30	28-33
Never Smoked	31	27-36		32	30-34
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	31-45
Drink But Not Chronic	36	31-42		35	33-38
Non-Drinker	34	29-38		35	33-37
No Health Care Coverage	33	23-45		43	37-48
Have Health Care Coverage	35	32-39		34	33-36
No Personal Health Care Provider	45	32-58		38	33-44
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	31-38		35	33-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	63	50-75		59	54-64
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	32	28-35		32	31-34
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	37	31-43		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	33	29-38		34	32-35
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-37
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults That Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County men had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 24-34) compared to Lancaster County women (40 percent, CI: 36-45).
- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-38) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (52 percent, CI: 41-63).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (52 percent, CI: 41-63).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (36 percent, CI: 30-43).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (33 percent, CI: 29-38).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (36 percent, CI: 32-42).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (40 percent, CI: 30-50).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (44 percent, CI: 35-54).
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (49 percent, CI: 39-59).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-30) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (44 percent, CI: 35-54).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-30) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (49 percent, CI: 39-59).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 29-36) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (55 percent, CI: 46-63).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-35) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (51 percent, CI: 44-59).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 25-37) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (52 percent, CI: 43-61).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-36) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (52 percent, CI: 43-61).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-35) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (63 percent, CI: 50-75).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	21	18-24		21	20-22
Male	18	14-22		18	16-20
Female	24	20-28		24	22-26
18-29	28	19-40		25	21-30
30-44	21	16-28		23	20-26
45-64	21	17-25		20	18-22
65+	13	10-16		16	15-18
< High School	27	18-38		26	21-31
High School	19	15-24		21	19-23
Some College	21	15-29		23	20-26
College Degree	21	16-26		19	17-21
<$25,000	32	24-41		29	26-32
$25,000 to $49,999	24	18-31		21	19-24
$50,000+	17	13-22		18	16-20
White, non-Hispanic	20	17-23		21	19-22
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Employed	17	13-21		18	17-20
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	23	14-35		13	9-18
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	24	17-34		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Retired	12	9-17		16	15-18
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		63	56-69
Married	18	15-22		18	17-20
Divorced/Separated	29	20-39		31	27-34
Widowed	21	14-31		19	17-22
Never Married	22	15-32		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	18-28		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	16-23		21	19-22
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	54	45-63		51	47-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	16	13-20		16	15-17
Diagnosed Diabetic	26	18-35		30	27-34
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	20	17-24		20	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	40	28-52		35	30-40
Not Asthmatic	18	16-22		20	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	24	18-30		25	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	18	14-24		20	18-23
Not Overweight Nor Obese	21	16-27		19	17-22
Limited Due Health Problems	52	44-60		50	47-53
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	14	11-17		14	13-16
Current Smoker	32	24-41		29	26-33
Former Smoker	17	13-23		21	19-23
Never Smoked	18	15-22		18	16-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	18	14-23		20	18-22
Non-Drinker	24	20-28		23	21-25
No Health Care Coverage	30	21-42		23	19-28
Have Health Care Coverage	19	16-22		21	19-22
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		19	15-24
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-24		21	20-23
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	48	36-61		41	36-47
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	17	15-20		19	17-20
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	21	16-27		22	19-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	21	17-25		21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		21	20-23
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

Differences Within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (28 percent, CI: 19-40).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (21 percent, CI: 17-25).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (32 percent, CI: 24-41).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (54 percent, CI: 45-63).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 16-22) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (40 percent, CI: 28-52).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (52 percent, CI: 44-60).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (32 percent, CI: 24-41).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (32 percent, CI: 24-41).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 15-20) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (48 percent, CI: 36-61).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	16	13-19		13	12-15
Male, Age 18-64	17	13-23		17	14-19
Female, Age 18-64	14	10-20		10	9-12
18-29	32	23-43		23	19-28
30-44	10	7-16		13	11-15
45-64	9	7-12		9	8-10
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		26	19-34
High School, Age 18-64	19	14-26		17	15-20
Some College, Age 18-64	9	4-19		16	13-19
College Degree, Age 18-64	7	4-12		5	4-7
<$25,000, Age 18-64	36	24-50		30	26-35
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	22	15-30		18	15-21
$50,000+, Age 18-64	5	3-8		4	3-6
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	15	12-19		12	11-14
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		19	15-24
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	10	7-14		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	25	16-38		27	21-35
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	30-43
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		12	9-17
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		5	3-9
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		8	5-13
Married, Age 18-64	13	10-17		9	7-10
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	11	5-20		16	13-20
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		14	10-21
Never Married, Age 18-64	25	16-35		22	18-26
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	14	10-20		13	11-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	17	13-22		14	12-15
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	17	9-30		18	14-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	15	12-19		13	11-14
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	9	4-20		9	6-13
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	16	13-20		14	12-15
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		13	9-18
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	16	13-20		13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	11	7-16		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	13	9-18		13	10-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	22	16-29		16	13-19
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	17	10-28		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	15	12-19		13	11-15
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	23	15-33		23	19-27
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	10	5-17		9	7-11
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	15	12-20		12	10-14
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		25	18-34
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	12	8-18		12	10-14
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	19	15-25		14	12-16
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		48	42-54
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	12	9-16		8	7-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	46	33-60		46	40-52
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	11	9-14		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	25	20-31		25	22-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	8	5-12		8	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	11-14
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (32 percent, CI: 23-43).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (32 percent, CI: 23-43).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-12) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with a high school education (19 percent, CI: 14-26).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than $25,000 (36 percent, CI: 24-50).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (22 percent, CI: 15-30).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Lancaster County self-employed adults age 18-64 (25 percent, CI: 16-38).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-64 who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-64 who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (46 percent, CI: 33-60).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-64 who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-64 who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (25 percent, CI: 20-31).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-11		11	10-12
Male	10	7-14		14	12-16
Female	8	5-11		7	6-9
18-29	15	9-25		23	19-28
30-44	11	7-16		13	11-16
45-64	6	4-9		6	5-7
65+	2	1-4		3	3-4
< High School	13	7-22		15	11-21
High School	8	6-12		11	9-13
Some College	8	4-15		12	10-15
College Degree	8	5-13		8	7-10
<$25,000	12	7-19		17	14-21
$25,000 to $49,999	12	8-18		10	8-12
$50,000+	6	4-9		8	6-9
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-11		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		18	14-23
Emp. Status: Employed	11	8-15		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	9	4-18		17	12-24
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Homemaker	7	3-14		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	3	2-6		3	3-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		6	4-10
Married	7	5-10		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	11	6-18		12	9-15
Widowed	6	3-13		4	3-6
Never Married	15	9-24		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	5-12		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	7-12		9	8-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	1	0-4	-	8	6-10
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	10	8-12		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	3	1-8		3	2-5
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	9	7-12		11	10-13
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		7	5-11
Not Asthmatic	9	7-12		11	10-12
Obese (BMI >= 30)	6	3-10		8	7-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	5	3-8		9	7-11
Not Overweight Nor Obese	14	10-19		14	12-16
Limited Due Health Problems	5	2-11		7	5-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	7-12		12	10-13
Current Smoker	13	8-21		16	13-19
Former Smoker	7	4-12		8	6-9
Never Smoked	8	6-11		10	9-12
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	9	6-14		12	10-14
Non-Drinker	8	5-11		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage	29	20-41		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	6	4-8		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	25	15-37		30	25-35
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	7	5-9		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	18	13-23		23	21-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	3	2-6		5	5-7
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-12

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported their general health as fair or poor had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as fair or poor (8 percent, CI: 6-10).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (15 percent, CI: 9-25).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (11 percent, CI: 7-16).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (11 percent, CI: 8-15).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (10 percent, CI: 8-12).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (14 percent, CI: 10-19).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having no health care coverage (29 percent, CI: 20-41).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (25 percent, CI: 15-37).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (18 percent, CI: 13-23).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	11	8-13		11	10-12
Male	8	5-12		10	8-12
Female	13	10-18		12	11-14
18-29	22	15-33		20	16-25
30-44	12	8-17		13	11-16
45-64	8	5-10		9	8-10
65+	2	1-5		3	3-4
< High School	11	5-21		14	10-19
High School	12	8-17		12	11-14
Some College	15	9-24		13	11-16
College Degree	6	3-10		7	6-9
<$25,000	25	17-35		23	20-26
$25,000 to $49,999	14	9-20		13	11-16
$50,000+	4	2-7		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-11		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
Emp. Status: Employed	10	7-14		9	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	5	2-11		14	10-21
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		28	23-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	7	3-15		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Retired	2	1-4		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		20	15-26
Married	6	4-9		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	11	6-19		16	13-19
Widowed	9	4-20		6	4-8
Never Married	24	16-34		18	15-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	10-19		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	6-12		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	19	12-28		21	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	10	7-13		9	8-11
Diagnosed Diabetic	8	4-16		12	9-16
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	11	8-14		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	17	9-28		21	17-26
Not Asthmatic	10	8-13		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	13	9-18		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	6	4-10		10	8-12
Not Overweight Nor Obese	13	8-18		12	10-14
Limited Due Health Problems	18	12-26		20	17-23
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	7-12		9	8-10
Current Smoker	25	17-35		21	18-24
Former Smoker	7	4-11		8	6-10
Never Smoked	8	5-11		9	7-10
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	11-23
Drink But Not Chronic	12	8-17		10	8-11
Non-Drinker	9	6-12		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	37	26-50		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	7	5-9		7	6-8
No Personal Health Care Provider	30	19-44		31	26-37
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	7-12		9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	14	10-19		20	17-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	9	6-12		7	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		11	9-14

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (22 percent, CI: 15-33).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (22 percent, CI: 15-33).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (12 percent, CI: 8-17).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (25 percent, CI: 17-35).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (14 percent, CI: 9-20).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (10 percent, CI: 7-14).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (24 percent, CI: 16-34).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (25 percent, CI: 17-35).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (25 percent, CI: 17-35).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having no health care coverage (37 percent, CI: 26-50).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having no personal health care provider (30 percent, CI: 19-44).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	77	73-80	-	84	83-85
Male	73	68-77	-	81	79-83
Female	80	76-84	-	87	85-88
18-29	70	59-79		80	76-84
30-44	67	60-73	-	77	74-79
45-64	80	76-84		86	84-87
65+	90	87-93		95	93-95
< High School	68	57-78	-	86	82-90
High School	78	73-82		84	82-86
Some College	81	73-88		84	82-87
College Degree	75	70-81	-	84	82-86
<$25,000	77	68-84		86	83-88
$25,000 to $49,999	78	71-83		83	80-85
$50,000+	75	70-79	-	84	82-86
White, non-Hispanic	76	73-79	-	83	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		88	84-91
Emp. Status: Employed	74	69-78	-	81	80-83
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	58	46-69		73	67-79
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		77	72-82
Emp. Status: Homemaker	80	70-87		85	81-88
Emp. Status: Retired	91	87-93		94	93-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		91	87-94
Married	75	71-79	-	84	83-85
Divorced/Separated	83	74-89		84	80-86
Widowed	88	81-93	-	95	94-96
Never Married	71	61-79		82	78-85
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	69	63-74	-	79	77-81
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	81	78-85	-	87	86-89
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	88	80-93		89	87-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	75	72-78	-	83	82-85
Diagnosed Diabetic	95	89-98		95	93-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	75	72-78	-	83	82-84
Asthmatic (Current)	88	79-93		84	80-88
Not Asthmatic	75	72-78	-	84	83-85
Obese (BMI >= 30)	78	72-83	-	88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	80	76-84		84	82-86
Not Overweight Nor Obese	72	66-78	-	82	80-84
Limited Due Health Problems	83	75-88		88	85-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	75	71-78	-	83	82-85
Current Smoker	70	61-78		78	74-81
Former Smoker	80	74-85		87	85-88
Never Smoked	77	73-81	-	86	84-87
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	69-81
Drink But Not Chronic	75	70-80	-	83	81-85
Non-Drinker	77	73-81	-	87	85-89
No Health Care Coverage	54	42-66		60	54-65
Have Health Care Coverage	80	77-83	-	87	86-88
No Personal Health Care Provider	49	36-62		57	51-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	79	76-82	-	87	86-88
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	72	59-82		68	63-73
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	77	74-80	-	86	85-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		84	83-86
Rural	NSR	NSR		84	81-87

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Year, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Lancaster County adults had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 73-80) compared to Pennsylvania adults (84 percent, CI: 83-85).
- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County men had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 68-77) compared to Pennsylvania men (81 percent, CI: 79-83).
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 76-84) compared to Pennsylvania women (87 percent, CI: 85-88).
- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 60-73) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (77 percent, CI: 74-79).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 57-78) compared to Pennsylvania adults with less than a high school education (86 percent, CI: 82-90).
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-81) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (84 percent, CI: 82-86).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-79) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (84 percent, CI: 82-86).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Lancaster County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 73-79) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (83 percent, CI: 82-85).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 69-78) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (81 percent, CI: 80-83).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 71-79) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (84 percent, CI: 83-85).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 81-93) compared to Pennsylvania widowed adults (95 percent, CI: 94-96).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 63-74) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (79 percent, CI: 77-81).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 78-85) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (87 percent, CI: 86-89).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 72-78) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported their general health as good, very good, or excellent (83 percent, CI: 82-85).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 72-78) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (83 percent, CI: 82-84).
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 72-78) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (84 percent, CI: 83-85).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Year, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania: (continued)

- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 72-83) compared to Pennsylvania obese adults (88 percent, CI: 86-90).
  - Lancaster County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 66-78) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (82 percent, CI: 80-84).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 71-78) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not limited due to health problems (83 percent, CI: 82-85).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 73-81) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (86 percent, CI: 84-87).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 70-80) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (83 percent, CI: 81-85).
  - Lancaster County adults who do not drink alcohol had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 73-81) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not drink alcohol (87 percent, CI: 85-89).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults with health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 77-83) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (87 percent, CI: 86-88).
  - Lancaster County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 76-82) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (87 percent, CI: 86-88).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 74-80) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (86 percent, CI: 85-87).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 59-79) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (90 percent, CI: 87-93).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 60-73) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (80 percent, CI: 76-84).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 60-73) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (90 percent, CI: 87-93).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 76-84) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (90 percent, CI: 87-93).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 69-78) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (91 percent, CI: 87-93).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 46-69) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (80 percent, CI: 70-87).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 46-69) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (91 percent, CI: 87-93).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Year, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 71-79) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (88 percent, CI: 81-93).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 61-79) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (88 percent, CI: 81-93).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 63-74) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (81 percent, CI: 78-85).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 72-78) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (88 percent, CI: 80-93).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 72-78) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (95 percent, CI: 89-98).
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 72-78) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (88 percent, CI: 79-93).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 42-66) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having health care coverage (80 percent, CI: 77-83).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 36-62) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (79 percent, CI: 76-82).

Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	36	32-39		41	39-42
Male	34	29-40		39	36-41
Female	37	33-42		43	41-44
18-29	46	35-57		50	45-55
30-44	39	32-46	-	54	51-57
45-64	36	32-40		38	36-40
65+	21	17-25		20	18-21
< High School	26	19-36		40	35-46
High School	35	29-40		41	38-43
Some College	46	37-55		42	39-46
College Degree	35	29-41		39	37-42
<$25,000	33	25-42		43	39-46
$25,000 to $49,999	39	32-46		42	39-45
$50,000+	38	32-43		40	38-43
White, non-Hispanic	36	32-39		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		47	42-52
Emp. Status: Employed	40	35-45		46	43-48
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	33	23-44		36	30-42
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		42	36-48
Emp. Status: Homemaker	38	29-49		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	19	15-24		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		61	55-67
Married	33	29-37		39	37-41
Divorced/Separated	42	33-52		47	43-51
Widowed	26	19-36		23	21-26
Never Married	41	31-51		47	43-52
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	41	35-47	-	52	49-55
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	33	29-37		34	32-36
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	55	46-63		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	33	30-37		38	37-40
Diagnosed Diabetic	35	27-45		39	35-43
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	36	32-39		41	39-43
Asthmatic (Current)	50	38-62		55	50-60
Not Asthmatic	34	31-38		39	38-41
Obese (BMI >= 30)	38	32-45		45	43-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	33	28-39		38	36-41
Not Overweight Nor Obese	36	30-43		40	37-42
Limited Due Health Problems	49	41-56		56	53-59
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	33	29-37		37	35-39
Current Smoker	55	46-64		54	50-57
Former Smoker	38	32-45		37	34-39
Never Smoked	29	25-33	-	38	36-40
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		39	33-47
Drink But Not Chronic	38	32-43		42	40-45
Non-Drinker	34	30-39		40	37-42
No Health Care Coverage	33	23-45		50	44-56
Have Health Care Coverage	36	33-40		40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	33	22-46		47	41-52
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	36	33-40		40	38-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	53	40-65		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	34	30-37		38	36-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	38	33-44	-	48	45-51
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	33	29-38		37	36-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-43
Rural	NSR	NSR		39	35-43

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 4: Sleep, Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-46) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (54 percent, CI: 51-57).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 35-47) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (52 percent, CI: 49-55).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-33) compared to Pennsylvania adults who never smoked (38 percent, CI: 36-40).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 33-44) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (48 percent, CI: 45-51).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (46 percent, CI: 35-57).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (39 percent, CI: 32-46).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (36 percent, CI: 32-40).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 19-36) compared to Lancaster County adults with some college education (46 percent, CI: 37-55).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (40 percent, CI: 35-45).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (38 percent, CI: 29-49).
- **General Heath Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 30-37) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (55 percent, CI: 46-63).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-37) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (49 percent, CI: 41-56).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 32-45) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (55 percent, CI: 46-64).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-33) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (55 percent, CI: 46-64).

Core 4: Sleep, Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 30-37) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (53 percent, CI: 40-65).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	23	20-26		26	24-27
Male	22	18-27		22	20-24
Female	23	20-27	-	29	28-31
18-29	20	13-30		17	14-21
30-44	17	12-23		24	22-27
45-64	24	20-28		27	25-28
65+	31	27-36		34	32-36
< High School	42	33-53		42	37-48
High School	26	22-31	-	34	32-36
Some College	17	11-25		23	20-26
College Degree	13	9-17		15	13-17
<$25,000	32	25-39		39	36-42
$25,000 to $49,999	26	20-32		31	28-34
$50,000+	14	11-18		16	14-18
White, non-Hispanic	22	20-25		25	23-26
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		31	27-36
Emp. Status: Employed	21	18-26		23	22-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	21	13-32		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Out of Work	15	7-27		23	19-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	24	16-33		27	23-30
Emp. Status: Retired	29	24-35		30	28-32
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		57	50-63
Married	22	19-26		24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	26	19-35		33	29-37
Widowed	31	24-39		39	36-42
Never Married	18	12-26		24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	17-27		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	20-27		28	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	44	35-53		50	46-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	20	17-23		21	20-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	40	31-49		42	38-46
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-24		24	23-25
Asthmatic (Current)	26	17-38		34	29-39
Not Asthmatic	22	20-25		25	24-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	36	30-42		34	32-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	18	15-23		23	21-26
Not Overweight Nor Obese	18	14-23		20	18-22
Limited Due Health Problems	35	29-43		43	40-46
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	17-23		22	20-23
Current Smoker	26	19-34		32	29-35
Former Smoker	29	24-36		25	23-27
Never Smoked	20	16-23		24	22-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Drink But Not Chronic	15	12-20		18	17-20
Non-Drinker	28	24-32		33	31-36
No Health Care Coverage	21	13-31		27	22-31
Have Health Care Coverage	23	20-26		26	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	30	19-43		26	22-31
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	22	19-25		26	24-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	25	16-37		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	22	20-25		25	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	18	14-23		23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	26	22-29		27	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		27	24-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-27) compared to Pennsylvania women (29 percent, CI: 28-31).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a high school education (34 percent, CI: 32-36).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-23) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (31 percent, CI: 27-36).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education (42 percent, CI: 33-53).
  - Lancaster County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-25) compared to Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education (42 percent, CI: 33-53).
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Lancaster County adults less than a high school education (42 percent, CI: 33-53).
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Lancaster County adults with a high school education (26 percent, CI: 22-31).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (32 percent, CI: 25-39).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (26 percent, CI: 20-32).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (44 percent, CI: 35-53).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (40 percent, CI: 31-49).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (36 percent, CI: 30-42).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-23) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (36 percent, CI: 30-42).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (35 percent, CI: 29-43).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (29 percent, CI: 24-36).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (28 percent, CI: 24-32).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	7	6-9		9	8-10
Male	8	6-11		9	8-10
Female	6	5-8		9	8-10
18-29	0	NCI		1	0-3
30-44	3	1-7		4	3-6
45-64	9	7-12		11	10-12
65+	18	14-22		20	18-21
< High School	9	5-14		17	13-21
High School	9	7-12		12	10-13
Some College	7	4-11		7	6-9
College Degree	4	3-7		5	5-6
<$25,000	15	11-21		16	14-18
$25,000 to $49,999	8	5-11		11	9-12
$50,000+	4	3-6		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	8	6-9		9	8-9
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		11	9-14
Emp. Status: Employed	4	3-6		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	1	0-4		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	8	4-17		7	5-11
Emp. Status: Homemaker	3	1-7		7	5-10
Emp. Status: Retired	21	16-26		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		24	19-29
Married	7	5-9		9	8-10
Divorced/Separated	13	8-20		13	11-15
Widowed	17	12-24		19	17-22
Never Married	2	1-4		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	1-5		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	8-12		12	11-13
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	24	18-31		28	25-31
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	5	4-6		6	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	5	3-10		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	7	6-9		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	16	12-21		18	16-20
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	7	5-10		8	7-9
Not Overweight Nor Obese	2	2-4		3	2-3
Limited Due Health Problems	14	10-18		17	15-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	6	5-8		7	6-8
Current Smoker	5	3-10		7	6-9
Former Smoker	12	9-16		12	11-14
Never Smoked	6	5-8		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		5	3-8
Drink But Not Chronic	3	2-4		5	4-6
Non-Drinker	12	9-14		14	13-15
No Health Care Coverage	3	2-7		5	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage	8	6-10		10	9-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-7		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	8	6-9		10	9-11
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	6	3-11		10	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	7	6-9		9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	2	1-4		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	10	8-13		11	11-12
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		8	7-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (18 percent, CI: 14-22).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (18 percent, CI: 14-22).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (15 percent, CI: 11-21).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (21 percent, CI: 16-26).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (21 percent, CI: 16-26).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (21 percent, CI: 16-26).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (17 percent, CI: 12-24).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County married adults (7 percent, CI: 5-9).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (13 percent, CI: 8-20).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (17 percent, CI: 12-24).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (10 percent, CI: 8-12).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-6) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (24 percent, CI: 18-31).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (16 percent, CI: 12-21).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Lancaster County overweight adults (7 percent, CI: 5-10).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (14 percent, CI: 10-18).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (12 percent, CI: 9-16).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (12 percent, CI: 9-14).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (10 percent, CI: 8-13).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	27	24-30		31	30-33
Male	30	26-35		32	30-35
Female	24	21-27	-	31	29-32
18-29	4	2-9		10	8-14
30-44	15	10-20		19	17-22
45-64	33	29-38		36	34-38
65+	58	53-63		59	57-61
< High School	24	17-32	-	42	37-48
High School	30	26-35		37	35-39
Some College	24	18-31		30	27-32
College Degree	26	21-31		24	22-26
<$25,000	36	29-44		42	39-45
$25,000 to $49,999	27	22-33		34	31-36
$50,000+	21	18-25		25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	28	25-31		32	31-33
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		28	24-32
Emp. Status: Employed	20	16-23		23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	20	13-30		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Out of Work	23	14-36		29	24-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	21	15-29		33	29-36
Emp. Status: Retired	57	51-62		58	55-60
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		48	42-55
Married	27	24-30	-	33	31-34
Divorced/Separated	35	27-44		38	35-42
Widowed	52	44-61		56	52-59
Never Married	15	10-21		19	16-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	10-17		18	16-20
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	36	33-40		40	38-41
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	56	47-65		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	20-26		27	26-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	67	57-76		67	63-71
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	24	21-27		28	27-29
Asthmatic (Current)	21	14-29		32	28-37
Not Asthmatic	28	25-31		31	30-33
Obese (BMI >= 30)	45	39-52		46	44-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	28	24-33		33	30-35
Not Overweight Nor Obese	15	12-18		18	16-20
Limited Due Health Problems	39	32-46		47	44-50
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	24	21-27		28	26-29
Current Smoker	24	17-32		29	25-32
Former Smoker	40	34-46		40	38-43
Never Smoked	23	20-27		28	26-30
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		28	23-34
Drink But Not Chronic	21	18-26		27	25-29
Non-Drinker	31	27-35		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	13	8-21		21	17-25
Have Health Care Coverage	29	26-32		33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	9	5-17		17	14-22
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	29	26-32		33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	20	13-30		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	28	25-31		32	31-33
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	16	12-20		15	13-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	34	31-38		38	36-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-32
Rural	NSR	NSR		34	30-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-27) compared to Pennsylvania women (31 percent, CI: 29-32).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 17-32) compared to Pennsylvania adults with less than a high school education (42 percent, CI: 37-48).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-30) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (33 percent, CI: 31-34).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (15 percent, CI: 10-20).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (33 percent, CI: 29-38).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-9) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (33 percent, CI: 29-38).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-38) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-25) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (36 percent, CI: 29-44).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (57 percent, CI: 51-62).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 13-30) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (57 percent, CI: 51-62).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 14-36) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (57 percent, CI: 51-62).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 15-29) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (57 percent, CI: 51-62).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-30) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (52 percent, CI: 44-61).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-21) compared to Lancaster County married adults (27 percent, CI: 24-30).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-21) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (35 percent, CI: 27-44).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-21) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (52 percent, CI: 44-61).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (36 percent, CI: 33-40).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (56 percent, CI: 47-65).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-27) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (67 percent, CI: 57-76).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (45 percent, CI: 39-52).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (45 percent, CI: 39-52).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Lancaster County overweight adults (28 percent, CI: 24-33).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-27) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (39 percent, CI: 32-46).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 17-32) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (40 percent, CI: 34-46).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (31 percent, CI: 27-35).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-21) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having health care coverage (29 percent, CI: 26-32).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (29 percent, CI: 26-32).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (34 percent, CI: 31-38).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	79	73-83		80	78-82
Male	74	66-81		74	70-78
Female	84	78-89		86	83-88
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		52	45-60
45-64	80	73-85		86	83-88
65+	92	88-95		95	93-96
< High School	NSR	NSR		87	79-92
High School	82	74-88		84	81-87
Some College	NSR	NSR		71	66-77
College Degree	77	66-85		78	73-83
<$25,000	84	72-91		81	77-85
$25,000 to $49,999	81	70-89		80	76-84
$50,000+	71	60-80		77	72-81
White, non-Hispanic	78	72-82		81	78-83
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		77	69-83
Emp. Status: Employed	69	59-77		72	68-76
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		72	59-81
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	49-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		88	82-92
Emp. Status: Retired	92	87-96		94	92-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		82	71-89
Married	79	72-84		82	79-85
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		81	75-86
Widowed	92	85-96		95	92-96
Never Married	NSR	NSR		60	51-68
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		60	54-66
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	83	77-87		86	83-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	80	69-88		86	82-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	78	72-83		78	75-81
Diagnosed Diabetic	96	89-98		95	92-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	75	68-80		76	74-79
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	78-89
Not Asthmatic	79	73-84		80	77-82
Obese (BMI >= 30)	85	76-91		81	77-84
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	76	66-84		81	78-85
Not Overweight Nor Obese	72	60-81		76	70-81
Limited Due Health Problems	80	70-87		81	76-85
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	78	71-83		80	77-82
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR		62	55-68
Former Smoker	83	74-89		86	83-89
Never Smoked	83	77-88		83	80-85
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		73	62-81
Drink But Not Chronic	66	55-75		75	70-78
Non-Drinker	85	79-90		85	82-87
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		48	39-58
Have Health Care Coverage	80	75-85		83	80-85
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		29	19-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	79	74-84		83	81-85
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		59	50-67
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	80	75-85		82	80-84
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	45	33-58		52	45-59
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	89	83-92		85	82-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		81	78-83
Rural	NSR	NSR		77	70-82

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 73-85) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (92 percent, CI: 88-95).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 59-77) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (92 percent, CI: 87-96).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 72-84) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (92 percent, CI: 85-96).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 68-80) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (96 percent, CI: 89-98).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 55-75) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (85 percent, CI: 79-90).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 33-58) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (89 percent, CI: 83-92).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	79	75-82		82	81-84
Male	77	71-82		81	79-83
Female	80	75-84		84	82-85
18-29	33	23-44		48	43-53
30-44	77	71-83		81	79-84
45-64	96	93-97		93	92-94
65+	97	95-99		97	96-98
< High School	66	53-76		77	72-82
High School	75	69-81		82	79-84
Some College	80	70-88		80	77-84
College Degree	87	81-91		86	84-88
<$25,000	81	70-88		80	76-83
$25,000 to $49,999	74	66-81		83	80-86
$50,000+	83	77-87		86	84-88
White, non-Hispanic	80	77-84		84	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		76	71-81
Emp. Status: Employed	76	70-81		82	80-84
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	78	65-87		80	74-85
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		73	66-79
Emp. Status: Homemaker	74	61-84		84	79-87
Emp. Status: Retired	98	96-99		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		87	80-91
Married	84	80-87	-	89	88-91
Divorced/Separated	87	75-94		87	84-90
Widowed	93	82-97		96	94-97
Never Married	50	40-60		57	53-62
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	69	62-75		75	73-78
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	84	80-88		87	85-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	88	79-93		89	85-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	77	73-81		81	80-83
Diagnosed Diabetic	100	NCI		97	94-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	77	73-80		81	79-83
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	79-88
Not Asthmatic	79	75-82		82	81-84
Obese (BMI >= 30)	83	76-89		88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	85	80-89		84	82-87
Not Overweight Nor Obese	69	62-75		76	72-78
Limited Due Health Problems	85	77-91		87	84-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	77	73-81		81	80-83
Current Smoker	72	62-81		72	68-76
Former Smoker	86	79-91		92	91-94
Never Smoked	78	73-82		82	79-84
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	67-82
Drink But Not Chronic	79	73-84		84	81-86
Non-Drinker	79	74-83		83	80-85
No Health Care Coverage	50	39-62		59	53-65
Have Health Care Coverage	83	79-86		85	84-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	50	37-63		58	52-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	81	77-85		85	84-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	62	48-74		69	63-74
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	81	77-84		84	83-86
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	66	59-72		68	64-71
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	86	82-90		89	87-90
Urban	NSR	NSR		83	81-85
Rural	NSR	NSR		80	76-83

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 80-87) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (89 percent, CI: 88-91).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 23-44) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (77 percent, CI: 71-83).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 23-44) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 23-44) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 95-99).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 71-83) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 71-83) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (97 percent, CI: 95-99).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 53-76) compared to Lancaster County adults with a college degree (87 percent, CI: 81-91).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 70-81) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 65-87) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 61-84) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 40-60) compared to Lancaster County married adults (84 percent, CI: 80-87).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 40-60) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (87 percent, CI: 75-94).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 40-60) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (93 percent, CI: 82-97).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 62-75) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (84 percent, CI: 80-88).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 62-75) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (83 percent, CI: 76-89).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 62-75) compared to Lancaster County overweight adults (85 percent, CI: 80-89).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 39-62) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having health care coverage (83 percent, CI: 79-86).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 37-63) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (81 percent, CI: 77-85).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 48-74) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (81 percent, CI: 77-84).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 59-72) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (86 percent, CI: 82-90).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	75	71-78		79	77-80
Male	73	68-78		78	75-80
Female	76	71-80		80	77-81
18-29	31	21-42		45	40-50
30-44	72	65-78		75	72-77
45-64	91	88-93		90	88-91
65+	96	93-97		96	95-96
< High School	64	52-75		74	69-79
High School	70	64-76		78	75-81
Some College	77	67-85		77	73-80
College Degree	83	77-88		81	79-84
<$25,000	79	68-86		77	74-81
$25,000 to $49,999	70	62-77		79	76-82
$50,000+	78	73-83		81	79-84
White, non-Hispanic	77	73-80		80	78-81
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		74	68-79
Emp. Status: Employed	72	67-77		78	76-80
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	71	58-81		74	68-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		68	61-74
Emp. Status: Homemaker	70	58-80		77	72-81
Emp. Status: Retired	96	93-98		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		86	79-91
Married	79	74-83	-	85	84-86
Divorced/Separated	85	74-92		84	80-86
Widowed	90	80-95		94	92-96
Never Married	48	38-58		54	49-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	64	57-70		70	67-72
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	81	77-85		84	82-86
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	86	77-92		86	83-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	73	69-77		77	76-79
Diagnosed Diabetic	100	NCI		96	93-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	73	69-76		77	75-78
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		81	75-85
Not Asthmatic	75	71-78		79	77-80
Obese (BMI >= 30)	82	75-87		85	83-87
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	81	76-86		81	78-83
Not Overweight Nor Obese	63	56-70		71	68-74
Limited Due Health Problems	80	72-87		85	81-88
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	73	69-77		77	75-79
Current Smoker	66	56-75		68	64-72
Former Smoker	82	75-88		89	87-91
Never Smoked	75	70-79		78	75-80
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		71	64-78
Drink But Not Chronic	75	69-80		79	77-82
Non-Drinker	76	71-80		79	77-81
No Health Care Coverage	45	34-57		51	46-57
Have Health Care Coverage	79	75-82		82	80-83
No Personal Health Care Provider	46	34-59		50	44-56
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	78	74-81		82	81-84
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	57	44-70		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	77	73-80		81	79-82
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	58	52-64		58	55-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	85	81-89		87	86-89
Urban	NSR	NSR		79	77-81
Rural	NSR	NSR		76	72-80

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 74-83) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (85 percent, CI: 84-86).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 21-42) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (72 percent, CI: 65-78).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 21-42) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (91 percent, CI: 88-93).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 21-42) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 65-78) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (91 percent, CI: 88-93).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 65-78) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 52-75) compared to Lancaster County adults with a college degree (83 percent, CI: 77-88).
  - Lancaster County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 64-76) compared to Lancaster County adults with a college degree (83 percent, CI: 77-88).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 67-77) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 58-81) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 58-80) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (96 percent, CI: 93-98).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 38-58) compared to Lancaster County married adults (79 percent, CI: 74-83).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 38-58) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (85 percent, CI: 74-92).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 38-58) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (90 percent, CI: 80-95).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 57-70) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (81 percent, CI: 77-85).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 56-70) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (82 percent, CI: 75-87).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 56-70) compared to Lancaster County overweight adults (81 percent, CI: 76-86).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 34-57) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having health care coverage (79 percent, CI: 75-82).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 34-59) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (78 percent, CI: 74-81).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 44-70) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (77 percent, CI: 73-80).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 52-64) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (85 percent, CI: 81-89).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	38	35-42		39	37-40
Male	40	34-45		40	38-42
Female	37	33-42		38	36-40
18-29	NSR	NSR		14	9-20
30-44	24	18-32		27	24-30
45-64	44	39-49		44	41-46
65+	55	49-60		55	53-57
< High School	40	30-50		48	42-55
High School	43	37-48		45	42-47
Some College	36	28-44		36	33-39
College Degree	34	29-40		33	31-36
<$25,000	43	35-52		45	42-48
$25,000 to $49,999	42	35-49		44	41-47
$50,000+	32	27-37		34	32-36
White, non-Hispanic	38	35-42		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		33	28-38
Emp. Status: Employed	32	28-37		33	31-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	29	19-41		35	29-41
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		31	25-37
Emp. Status: Homemaker	39	30-50		37	32-41
Emp. Status: Retired	54	48-59		55	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		54	48-61
Married	38	34-42		40	38-42
Divorced/Separated	48	38-57		41	37-45
Widowed	50	41-58		51	48-55
Never Married	25	16-36		27	23-31
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	16-27		28	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	47	43-51		45	43-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	50	40-59		57	53-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	36	33-40		35	34-37
Diagnosed Diabetic	64	55-73		64	60-68
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	36	32-39		36	34-37
Asthmatic (Current)	38	27-49		40	35-45
Not Asthmatic	38	35-42		39	37-40
Obese (BMI >= 30)	50	44-57		46	43-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	38	33-44		43	40-45
Not Overweight Nor Obese	29	24-35		28	26-31
Limited Due Health Problems	50	42-58		50	47-54
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	35	32-39		36	34-38
Current Smoker	38	29-48		37	33-40
Former Smoker	47	40-53		47	44-50
Never Smoked	35	31-39		35	33-37
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	32-46
Drink But Not Chronic	31	27-37		35	33-37
Non-Drinker	42	38-47		43	41-45
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		26	21-32
Have Health Care Coverage	39	36-42		40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	39	36-43		40	39-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	39	35-42		39	37-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	29	23-35		26	24-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	43	39-47		43	41-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		38	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		42	38-45

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 18-32) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (44 percent, CI: 39-49).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 18-32) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (55 percent, CI: 49-60).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-37) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (54 percent, CI: 48-59).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 19-41) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (54 percent, CI: 48-59).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 16-36) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (48 percent, CI: 38-57).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 16-36) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (50 percent, CI: 41-58).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-27) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (47 percent, CI: 43-51).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-39) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (64 percent, CI: 55-73).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 24-35) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (50 percent, CI: 44-57).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 32-39) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (50 percent, CI: 42-58).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-39) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (47 percent, CI: 40-53).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-37) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (42 percent, CI: 38-47).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 23-35) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (43 percent, CI: 39-47).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	5	4-6		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	7	5-9		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	3	2-5		4	4-5
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	1	0-5		0	0-1
55-64	3	1-5		5	4-6
65+	12	9-16		13	12-15
< High School, Age 35+	8	4-14		17	13-21
High School, Age 35+	6	4-8		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	5	2-9		5	4-7
College Degree, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	2-4
<$25,000, Age 35+	11	7-17		12	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	6	4-10		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	2	1-3		2	2-3
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	5	4-7		6	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	5-9
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	2	1-4		2	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	0	NCI		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	4	2-9		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	11	8-15		13	11-15
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
Married, Age 35+	4	3-5		6	5-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	8	4-14		7	5-9
Widowed, Age 35+	12	8-18		11	9-14
Never Married, Age 35+	0	NCI		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	1	0-2		1	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	7	5-9		8	8-9
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	17	11-24		19	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	14	8-21		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	4	3-5		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	7	3-15		6	4-8
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	5	3-6		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	5	3-8		8	7-9
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	4	2-6		6	5-7
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	6	4-9		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	10	7-16		13	11-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	4	3-5		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	5	2-11		7	5-8
Former Smoker, Age 35+	8	6-12		9	8-11
Never Smoked, Age 35+	3	2-5		4	3-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	3-9
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	4	2-6		4	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	6	4-8		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	5	4-6		6	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	3	1-11		3	1-5
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	5	4-7		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	4	1-13		9	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	5	4-6		6	5-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	3	2-6		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	6	4-8		7	7-8
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	5-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		8	6-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (12 percent, CI: 9-16).
  - Lancaster County adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (12 percent, CI: 9-16).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 (11 percent, CI: 7-17).
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (6 percent, CI: 4-10).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County retired adults age 35 and older (11 percent, CI: 8-15).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults age 35 and older (12 percent, CI: 8-18).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (7 percent, CI: 5-9).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (17 percent, CI: 11-24).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (14 percent, CI: 8-21).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (10 percent, CI: 7-16).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported being former smokers (8 percent, CI: 6-12).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	5	4-7		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	7	5-10		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	4	2-5		5	4-6
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	0	0-3		0	0-1
55-64	4	2-6		5	4-6
65+	13	10-16		14	12-16
< High School, Age 35+	6	3-13		13	10-17
High School, Age 35+	5	3-7		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	6	3-12		6	5-7
College Degree, Age 35+	5	3-8		4	3-5
<$25,000, Age 35+	11	7-17		11	9-13
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	8	5-12		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	2	1-4		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	5	4-7		7	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	0	NCI		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	3	1-8		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	11	8-15		14	12-16
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
Married, Age 35+	4	3-6		6	6-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	7	4-13		5	4-7
Widowed, Age 35+	13	8-19		13	11-16
Never Married, Age 35+	3	1-13		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	1	0-3		2	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	7	6-9		9	8-10
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	17	12-25		20	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	3	2-5		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	15	10-23		18	15-21
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	4	3-6		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	9	5-16		8	6-11
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	5	4-6		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	7	4-10		8	7-10
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	6	4-9		6	5-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	4	3-7		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	13	9-18		13	12-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	4	2-5		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	7	3-13		5	4-6
Former Smoker, Age 35+	9	7-13		10	9-12
Never Smoked, Age 35+	3	2-5		5	4-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	3	2-5		5	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	6	5-9		8	7-9
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	0	NCI		4	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	6	4-7		7	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	0	NCI		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	6	4-7		7	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	10	4-21		8	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	5	4-6		6	6-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	2	1-5		2	1-3
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	7	5-9		8	7-9
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	6-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		7	5-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (13 percent, CI: 10-16).
  - Lancaster County adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (13 percent, CI: 10-16).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 (11 percent, CI: 7-17).
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (8 percent, CI: 5-12).
  - Lancaster County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Lancaster County retired adults age 35 and older (11 percent, CI: 8-15).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults age 35 and older (13 percent, CI: 8-19).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (7 percent, CI: 6-9).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (17 percent, CI: 12-25).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (15 percent, CI: 10-23).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (13 percent, CI: 9-18).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported being former smokers (9 percent, CI: 7-13).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Male, Age 35+	4	2-6		3	2-4
Female, Age 35+	3	2-5		4	3-4
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	2	1-6		1	0-1
55-64	1	1-3		2	2-3
65+	8	6-12		7	6-9
< High School, Age 35+	5	2-11		6	4-9
High School, Age 35+	4	3-7		5	4-5
Some College, Age 35+	3	2-7		2	2-4
College Degree, Age 35+	2	1-5		2	1-2
<$25,000, Age 35+	10	6-16		7	5-8
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	2	1-5		3	2-4
$50,000+, Age 35+	1	0-3		1	1-2
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	NSR	NSR		4	3-7
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	2	1-4		1	1-1
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	2	0-12		1	0-3
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	1	0-6		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	7	5-11		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		9	6-13
Married, Age 35+	2	1-4		3	2-3
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	2	1-6		5	3-6
Widowed, Age 35+	9	5-14		7	5-9
Never Married, Age 35+	6	2-17		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	1	0-4		1	0-1
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	4	3-6		4	4-5
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	13	8-20		10	8-12
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	2	1-3		2	2-2
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	8	4-14		9	7-11
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	2-3
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	3	1-9		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	4	2-5		3	3-4
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	2	1-4		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	4	2-6		3	3-4
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	4	2-8		3	2-4
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	8	5-13		8	7-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	2	1-4		2	2-2
Current Smoker, Age 35+	5	2-11		3	2-5
Former Smoker, Age 35+	5	3-8		4	3-4
Never Smoked, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	3-4
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	1-7
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	2	1-4		2	2-3
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	5	3-7		4	4-5
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	0	NCI		2	1-4
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	0	NCI		1	0-1
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	9	3-20		4	2-6
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	3-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	2	1-6		1	1-2
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	4	3-6		4	3-5
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	3-4
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		4	3-5

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (8 percent, CI: 6-12).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 (10 percent, CI: 6-16).
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 (10 percent, CI: 6-16).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County retired adults age 35 and older (7 percent, CI: 5-11).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults age 35 and older (9 percent, CI: 5-14).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (13 percent, CI: 8-20).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (8 percent, CI: 5-13).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	11-16		13	12-15
Male	11	8-14		12	10-13
Female	16	13-20		15	14-17
18-29	18	11-29		20	16-25
30-44	13	9-19		14	12-17
45-64	13	10-16		11	10-13
65+	10	7-13		10	8-11
< High School	11	6-20		15	11-20
High School	10	7-14		13	11-15
Some College	14	9-22		17	14-20
College Degree	18	13-23		12	10-14
<$25,000	13	8-20		16	14-19
$25,000 to $49,999	14	10-19		13	11-15
$50,000+	15	11-19		12	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	13	11-16		13	12-14
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		16	13-20
Emp. Status: Employed	13	10-17		13	12-15
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	12	6-20		10	7-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		21	16-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	15	8-25		12	9-15
Emp. Status: Retired	10	7-14		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		21	16-28
Married	13	10-16		11	10-13
Divorced/Separated	10	6-17		16	13-20
Widowed	11	6-21		10	8-12
Never Married	15	9-23		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	11-20		14	12-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	10-16		13	12-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	23	15-32		22	19-25
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	12	10-15		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	15	9-24		15	12-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	11-16		13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30)	14	10-20		15	13-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	13	10-17		13	11-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese	12	9-18		13	11-15
Limited Due Health Problems	26	19-34		22	19-25
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	11	8-14		11	10-13
Current Smoker	9	5-15		18	15-21
Former Smoker	16	11-22		14	12-16
Never Smoked	14	11-17		12	10-13
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		14	9-20
Drink But Not Chronic	15	11-20		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	13	10-17		14	12-16
No Health Care Coverage	12	6-22		15	11-21
Have Health Care Coverage	14	11-17		13	12-14
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	13	11-16		14	12-15
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	21	12-33		23	19-28
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	13	10-15		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	12	8-17		14	12-16
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	11-18		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	12-15
Rural	NSR	NSR		14	11-17

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (26 percent, CI: 19-34).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	11	8-13		9	8-10
Male	7	5-11		7	5-8
Female	14	10-18		11	10-13
18-29	16	10-27		12	9-16
30-44	11	7-16		10	9-12
45-64	8	6-11		8	7-9
65+	8	5-11		7	6-8
< High School	8	4-16		13	10-18
High School	8	5-12		9	8-11
Some College	13	8-21		11	9-13
College Degree	13	9-19		7	6-8
<$25,000	9	5-15		13	11-15
$25,000 to $49,999	10	6-14		9	7-10
$50,000+	12	8-17		7	6-8
White, non-Hispanic	10	8-13		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		11	8-15
Emp. Status: Employed	11	8-15		8	7-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	4	2-9		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		14	10-19
Emp. Status: Homemaker	11	5-21		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Retired	7	5-11		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		19	13-25
Married	9	7-12		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	8	5-15		12	9-15
Widowed	11	5-21		8	6-10
Never Married	11	6-19		12	9-15
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	8-17		10	8-12
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	7-13		9	8-10
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	21	14-31		17	15-20
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	9	7-12		8	7-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	8	4-14		11	9-14
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	11	8-13		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	11	7-16		11	9-13
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	6-13		8	7-10
Not Overweight Nor Obese	10	7-16		8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	21	15-29		17	15-20
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	6-11		7	6-8
Current Smoker	5	2-9		13	10-15
Former Smoker	11	7-17		9	7-10
Never Smoked	11	9-15		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		7	4-13
Drink But Not Chronic	12	9-17		8	7-9
Non-Drinker	10	7-14		11	9-12
No Health Care Coverage	7	3-17		9	6-13
Have Health Care Coverage	11	9-14		9	8-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		6	4-10
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	11	8-14		9	9-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	16	9-28		18	14-22
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	10	8-13		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	8	5-13		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	12	9-16		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		10	8-13

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (21 percent, CI: 14-31).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (21 percent, CI: 15-29).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	17	14-20		20	19-22
Male	18	14-23		22	19-24
Female	16	13-20		19	18-21
18-29	25	17-36		30	25-35
30-44	19	14-26		22	20-25
45-64	16	12-19		20	18-22
65+	8	6-12		9	8-11
< High School	24	16-33		32	27-37
High School	21	17-26		24	22-27
Some College	24	17-34		22	19-26
College Degree	5	3-8	-	11	10-13
<$25,000	31	23-41		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	21	16-27		23	20-26
$50,000+	12	9-16		15	13-17
White, non-Hispanic	17	14-20		19	18-21
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Employed	19	15-23		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	12	6-23		17	12-22
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		38	32-45
Emp. Status: Homemaker	8	5-15		14	11-17
Emp. Status: Retired	7	5-11		11	9-12
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		42	35-49
Married	9	7-12	-	15	14-17
Divorced/Separated	37	28-47		30	26-34
Widowed	12	7-20		12	10-14
Never Married	32	23-42		30	26-35
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	14-24		23	21-26
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	13-20		18	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	31	23-41		29	26-32
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	15	12-18		19	17-20
Diagnosed Diabetic	12	7-21		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	17	15-21		21	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	8	4-14	-	28	23-33
Not Asthmatic	18	15-22		19	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	17	12-22		18	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	13	9-18		19	17-21
Neither Overweight nor Obese	22	17-28		24	21-27
Limited Due Health Problems	25	19-33		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	15	12-19		18	17-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
Drink But Not Chronic	18	14-23		20	18-22
Non-Drinker	14	11-18		18	16-20
No Health Care Coverage	27	18-39		38	33-43
Have Health Care Coverage	16	13-19		18	17-19
No Personal Health Care Provider	26	16-39		30	25-36
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	16	14-19		19	18-20
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	41	29-54		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	12-17		18	17-19
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	20	16-26		26	23-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	11-18		18	16-19
Urban	NSR	NSR		20	18-21
Rural	NSR	NSR		23	20-27

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Pennsylvania adults with a college degree (11 percent, CI: 10-13).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (15 percent, CI: 14-17).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Pennsylvania adults who currently have asthma (28 percent, CI: 23-33).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (25 percent, CI: 17-36).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (19 percent, CI: 14-26).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Lancaster County adults less than a high school education (24 percent, CI: 16-33).
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Lancaster County adults with a high school education (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Lancaster County adults with some college education (24 percent, CI: 17-34).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-16) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (31 percent, CI: 23-41).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (19 percent, CI: 15-23).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (37 percent, CI: 28-47).
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (32 percent, CI: 23-42).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 7-20) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (37 percent, CI: 28-47).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 7-20) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (32 percent, CI: 23-42).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (31 percent, CI: 23-41).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma (18 percent, CI: 15-22).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (41 percent, CI: 29-54).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	22	19-24	-	26	25-27
Male	28	24-32		30	28-32
Female	16	14-19	-	22	21-24
18-29	6	2-14		9	7-13
30-44	16	11-22		21	19-24
45-64	29	25-34		31	30-33
65+	34	29-39		39	37-42
< High School	15	10-22		23	19-28
High School	24	20-28		28	26-30
Some College	26	19-34		27	25-30
College Degree	19	15-24		24	22-26
<$25,000	19	14-25		25	23-28
$25,000 to $49,999	24	19-30		27	25-30
$50,000+	23	19-28		27	25-29
White, non-Hispanic	22	20-25	-	28	27-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		17	14-21
Emp. Status: Employed	21	17-25		24	23-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	22	14-33		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	18	10-31		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	12	7-20		21	18-25
Emp. Status: Retired	37	32-42		41	38-43
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		29	24-35
Married	23	20-26	-	30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	31	24-40		32	28-36
Widowed	30	23-38		34	31-37
Never Married	8	4-14		11	9-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	11-19		20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	26	23-30		29	28-31
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	23	17-31		32	29-35
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	21	19-24		25	24-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	36	28-46		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-23	-	25	24-26
Asthmatic (Current)	24	16-35		24	21-29
Not Asthmatic	21	19-24	-	26	25-28
Obese (BMI >= 30)	25	20-31		31	29-34
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	25	20-29		29	27-31
Not Overweight Nor Obese	17	13-22		19	18-21
Limited Due Health Problems	21	16-27	-	32	29-35
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	22	19-25		25	23-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		29	23-35
Drink But Not Chronic	25	21-30		28	26-30
Non-Drinker	17	14-20	-	24	22-25
No Health Care Coverage	12	7-21		16	12-19
Have Health Care Coverage	23	21-26		27	26-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	18	11-29		18	14-23
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	22	20-25	-	27	26-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	14	8-23		19	15-23
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	23	20-25	-	27	26-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	18	15-23		22	20-24
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	21-27		28	26-29
Urban	NSR	NSR		26	25-28
Rural	NSR	NSR		25	22-28

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Lancaster County adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 19-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults (26 percent, CI: 25-27).
- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Pennsylvania women (22 percent, CI: 21-24).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Lancaster County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 20-25) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (28 percent, CI: 27-29).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (30 percent, CI: 28-31).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-23) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (25 percent, CI: 24-26).
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 19-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not currently have asthma (26 percent, CI: 25-28).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being limited due to health problems (32 percent, CI: 29-35).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not drink alcohol had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not drink alcohol (24 percent, CI: 22-25).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 20-25) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (27 percent, CI: 26-28).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-25) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (27 percent, CI: 26-28).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Lancaster County men (28 percent, CI: 24-32).
- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-14) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (29 percent, CI: 25-34).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-14) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (34 percent, CI: 29-39).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (29 percent, CI: 25-34).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (34 percent, CI: 29-39).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (37 percent, CI: 32-42).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 10-31) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (37 percent, CI: 32-42).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 7-20) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (37 percent, CI: 32-42).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Lancaster County married adults (23 percent, CI: 20-26).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (31 percent, CI: 24-40).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (30 percent, CI: 23-38).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-19) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (26 percent, CI: 23-30).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-23) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (36 percent, CI: 28-46).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (25 percent, CI: 21-30).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	55	45-64		57	53-60
Male	54	40-67		56	50-61
Female	55	42-68		58	53-62
18-29	NSR	NSR		67	57-75
30-44	NSR	NSR		52	45-58
45-64	60	47-71		53	48-58
65+	NSR	NSR		58	50-65
< High School	NSR	NSR		53	42-63
High School	57	44-70		58	52-63
Some College	NSR	NSR		62	55-69
College Degree	NSR	NSR		50	41-58
<$25,000	NSR	NSR		57	51-63
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR		59	52-65
$50,000+	NSR	NSR		57	50-64
White, non-Hispanic	52	43-62		56	52-59
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Employed	52	40-65		57	52-62
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		60	48-71
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR		56	49-64
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		45	35-57
Married	47	34-61		56	51-61
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		52	44-59
Widowed	NSR	NSR		59	49-69
Never Married	NSR	NSR		59	51-67
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		59	53-65
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	61	49-71		55	50-59
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR		56	49-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	51	40-61		57	53-61
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR		68	57-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	54	45-64		56	52-60
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		65	54-75
Not Asthmatic	54	44-63		56	52-60
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR		58	52-64
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR		54	48-60
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR		55	48-62
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	51	40-62		58	53-62
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		47	35-59
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR		60	55-65
Non-Drinker	63	50-75		56	50-61
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		53	44-62
Have Health Care Coverage	57	47-67		58	54-62
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		45	35-55
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	57	47-66		59	55-63
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		56	47-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	53	43-64		57	53-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		54	47-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	64	52-75		59	54-63
Urban	NSR	NSR		57	53-61
Rural	NSR	NSR		57	47-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between Lancaster County and Pennsylvania.

Differences within Lancaster County:

- There were no significant differences within Lancaster County.

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-11		12	11-12
Male	18	14-21		23	21-24
Female	1	0-3		1	1-2
18-29	1	0-9		3	2-6
30-44	4	2-8		6	5-8
45-64	11	8-14		12	11-14
65+	22	18-26		25	23-27
< High School	7	4-12		10	8-14
High School	11	8-15		14	13-16
Some College	7	4-11		12	10-14
College Degree	8	6-12		9	8-10
<$25,000	10	6-15		11	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999	11	8-15		16	14-18
$50,000+	8	6-11		11	10-12
White, non-Hispanic	10	8-11		12	11-13
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-8		9	8-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	5	2-13		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		8	5-13
Emp. Status: Homemaker	0	0-3		1	0-2
Emp. Status: Retired	27	22-32		29	27-31
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		11	8-15
Married	11	8-13		14	13-15
Divorced/Separated	12	8-19		14	11-18
Widowed	10	6-16		13	11-15
Never Married	3	1-9		5	3-6
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	2-6		7	5-8
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	11-15		14	13-16
Fair/Poor General Health	11	7-17		14	12-16
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	9	7-11		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	23	16-33		19	16-22
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	6-10		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	5	2-10		7	5-9
Not Asthmatic	10	8-12		12	11-13
Obese (BMI >= 30)	11	8-16		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	14	11-18		16	14-17
Not Overweight Nor Obese	4	3-6	-	8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	12	8-17		15	13-17
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	7-10		11	10-12
Current Smoker	7	4-13		12	10-14
Former Smoker	19	14-24		20	19-23
Never Smoked	6	5-8		7	6-8
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	13-21
Drink But Not Chronic	10	8-13		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	8	6-10		10	9-11
No Health Care Coverage	4	2-9		7	5-9
Have Health Care Coverage	10	8-12		12	11-13
No Personal Health Care Provider	5	2-13		12	8-15
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	8-11		12	11-13
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	5	2-11		6	4-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	10	8-11		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	6	4-9		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	11	9-14		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		13	11-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (8 percent, CI: 7-10).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Lancaster County men (18 percent, CI: 14-21).
- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-9) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (22 percent, CI: 18-26).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (22 percent, CI: 18-26).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (22 percent, CI: 18-26).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 22-32).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 22-32).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (6 percent, CI: 4-8).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 22-32).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (13 percent, CI: 11-15).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (23 percent, CI: 16-33).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (11 percent, CI: 8-16).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Lancaster County overweight adults (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-13) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (19 percent, CI: 14-24).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (19 percent, CI: 14-24).

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	21	18-24		26	24-27
Male	19	15-24		22	20-25
Female	23	19-27		28	27-30
18-29	21	13-31		24	20-29
30-44	20	15-26		24	21-26
45-64	22	19-27	-	30	28-32
65+	21	17-26		22	20-23
< High School	16	10-26		23	18-29
High School	22	18-27		25	23-28
Some College	21	15-29		25	22-28
College Degree	21	17-26		26	24-29
<$25,000	20	14-28		26	23-29
$25,000 to $49,999	20	15-26		26	23-29
$50,000+	21	17-25		25	23-28
White, non-Hispanic	21	18-24		26	24-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Employed	19	16-23	-	26	24-28
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	27	18-38		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		25	20-31
Emp. Status: Homemaker	22	14-31		25	21-29
Emp. Status: Retired	22	18-27		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-29
Married	22	19-26		26	25-28
Divorced/Separated	25	16-35		29	25-33
Widowed	18	12-25		19	16-22
Never Married	18	12-28		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	18	13-23		26	23-28
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	20-27		26	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	22	16-30		25	22-28
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	21	18-24		26	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	22	15-31		23	19-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-24		26	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	20	13-30		29	25-34
Not Asthmatic	21	18-24		25	24-27
Obese (BMI >= 30)	25	20-31		26	23-28
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	22	18-28		25	23-27
Not Overweight Nor Obese	17	13-22	-	26	23-28
Limited Due Health Problems	26	20-33		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	17-23		25	23-26
Current Smoker	22	15-30		29	26-33
Former Smoker	21	16-27		24	22-27
Never Smoked	21	18-25		25	23-27
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		25	19-31
Drink But Not Chronic	19	16-24		26	24-28
Non-Drinker	23	20-28		26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	22	14-33		27	22-32
Have Health Care Coverage	21	18-24		25	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	22	13-35		23	19-29
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-24	-	26	25-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	25	16-36		36	30-41
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	21	18-24		24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	22	17-27		24	22-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	21	18-24	-	26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		26	23-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Defined as providing regular care or assistance to a friend or family member who has a health problem, long-term illness or disability.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 19-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (30 percent, CI: 28-32).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Pennsylvania employed adults (26 percent, CI: 24-28).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were not overweight nor obese (26 percent, CI: 23-28).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (26 percent, CI: 25-27).
  - Lancaster County adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (26 percent, CI: 25-28).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	18	16-21		19	18-20
Male	15	12-19		18	16-19
Female	21	17-25		20	19-22
18-29	13	7-23		12	9-16
30-44	14	10-20		13	11-16
45-64	20	17-24		23	21-25
65+	25	21-30		27	25-28
< High School	15	10-22	-	28	23-33
High School	19	15-24		22	20-24
Some College	19	14-27		20	17-22
College Degree	17	13-21		13	12-14
<$25,000	35	27-43		34	31-37
$25,000 to $49,999	18	13-23		20	18-22
$50,000+	13	10-17		11	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	18	16-21		19	18-20
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		19	15-23
Emp. Status: Employed	12	9-15		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	17	10-28		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	14	8-22		18	15-22
Emp. Status: Retired	27	22-32		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		81	76-86
Married	13	11-16		16	15-18
Divorced/Separated	28	20-37		31	27-35
Widowed	35	27-45		29	26-32
Never Married	19	12-27		18	15-21
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	8-17		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	19-25		23	21-24
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	62	53-70		60	56-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	12	10-15		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	33	25-43		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	17	14-20		17	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	36	26-49		36	31-41
Not Asthmatic	16	14-19		17	16-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	21	17-27		28	25-30
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	18	14-22		17	16-19
Not Overweight Nor Obese	16	12-21		14	12-16
Current Smoker	27	19-35		27	24-30
Former Smoker	17	13-22		23	21-26
Never Smoked	16	13-19		14	13-15
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	12-21
Drink But Not Chronic	14	11-18		14	13-16
Non-Drinker	22	19-27		25	23-27
No Health Care Coverage	18	11-29		20	16-25
Have Health Care Coverage	18	16-21		19	18-20
No Personal Health Care Provider	11	5-22		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	19	16-22		20	19-21
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	31	20-43		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	17	14-19		17	16-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	14	10-19		15	13-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	21	18-24		21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		19	18-20
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-22) compared to Pennsylvania adults with less than a high school education (28 percent, CI: 23-33).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-20) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (25 percent, CI: 21-30).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (35 percent, CI: 27-43).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (35 percent, CI: 27-43).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (27 percent, CI: 22-32).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 11-16) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (28 percent, CI: 20-37).
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 11-16) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (35 percent, CI: 27-45).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (22 percent, CI: 19-25).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (62 percent, CI: 53-70).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (33 percent, CI: 25-43).
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (36 percent, CI: 26-49).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (22 percent, CI: 19-27).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (31 percent, CI: 20-43).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	7	5-9		8	7-8
Male	5	3-7		7	6-8
Female	8	6-11		8	7-9
18-29	5	2-14		2	1-3
30-44	3	1-6		3	2-4
45-64	6	4-9		9	7-10
65+	14	11-18		17	16-19
< High School	9	6-15		14	11-18
High School	8	5-11		9	8-11
Some College	8	4-14		7	6-9
College Degree	4	2-6		4	4-5
<$25,000	15	10-22		15	13-18
$25,000 to $49,999	6	4-9		8	7-9
$50,000+	3	2-7		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic	7	5-8		7	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Employed	2	1-5		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	3	1-13		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Out of Work	6	2-14		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Homemaker	4	2-10		8	7-11
Emp. Status: Retired	14	10-18		17	15-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		38	32-44
Married	4	3-6		6	6-7
Divorced/Separated	11	6-17		12	10-15
Widowed	16	11-23		20	18-23
Never Married	7	4-14		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	1-7		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	7-12		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	35	26-44		30	27-33
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	3	2-4		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic	27	20-36		22	19-25
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	5	4-7		6	6-7
Asthmatic (Current)	15	8-26		13	10-16
Not Asthmatic	6	4-7		7	6-8
Obese (BMI >= 30)	13	9-17		12	11-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	5	3-7		7	6-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese	5	3-9		5	4-6
Current Smoker	9	5-15		9	7-11
Former Smoker	9	6-13		10	8-11
Never Smoked	5	4-8		6	5-7
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Drink But Not Chronic	3	2-5		4	3-5
Non-Drinker	10	8-14		12	11-13
No Health Care Coverage	5	2-13		4	2-5
Have Health Care Coverage	7	5-9		8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	1	0-6		2	1-3
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	7	6-9		8	8-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	11	5-21		8	6-10
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	6	5-8		8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	3	2-6		3	3-5
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	9	7-11		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		8	7-8
Rural	NSR	NSR		7	6-9

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (15 percent, CI: 10-22).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (15 percent, CI: 10-22).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-5) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (14 percent, CI: 10-18).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (16 percent, CI: 11-23).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (35 percent, CI: 26-44).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (27 percent, CI: 20-36).
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (15 percent, CI: 8-26).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (13 percent, CI: 9-17).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (29 percent, CI: 22-37).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (10 percent, CI: 8-14).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (9 percent, CI: 7-11).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	15	12-18		17	15-18
Male	21	17-26		23	20-25
Female	9	6-13		11	10-12
18-29	26	17-37		32	27-37
30-44	20	15-27		20	18-23
45-64	13	10-16		13	11-14
65+	1	0-3		4	3-5
< High School	10	5-19		12	9-17
High School	13	9-18		15	13-17
Some College	21	14-31		19	16-22
College Degree	16	12-22		18	16-20
<$25,000	10	5-19		14	12-17
$25,000 to $49,999	16	11-22		16	13-19
$50,000+	18	14-23		20	18-22
White, non-Hispanic	15	12-18		17	16-18
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Employed	20	16-25		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	18	11-29		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		23	18-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	2	0-10		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	2	1-4		5	4-7
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Married	13	10-16		13	12-15
Divorced/Separated	14	9-23		17	14-20
Widowed	2	1-6		4	3-6
Never Married	29	21-40		29	25-34
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	12-22		19	17-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	11-18		15	14-17
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	9	5-19		9	7-11
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	16	13-19		18	16-19
Diagnosed Diabetic	1	0-5		6	4-8
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	16	13-20		18	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		15	11-19
Not Asthmatic	15	12-18		17	15-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	14	9-20		15	12-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	17	13-23		18	16-21
Not Overweight Nor Obese	15	11-21		18	15-20
Limited Due Health Problems	15	9-23		12	10-14
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	15	12-19		18	16-19
Current Smoker	34	25-44		30	26-34
Former Smoker	19	14-25		16	14-18
Never Smoked	9	6-12		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	23	14-35		24	20-30
Have Health Care Coverage	14	11-17		16	14-17
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		27	22-32
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	14	11-17		15	14-17
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	24	14-38		21	17-27
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	11-17		16	15-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	15	11-21		24	21-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	11-18		14	12-15
Urban	NSR	NSR		17	15-18
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-21

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Binge drinking is defined as having five or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for men, or four or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-13) compared to Lancaster County men (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (26 percent, CI: 17-37).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (26 percent, CI: 17-37).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (20 percent, CI: 15-27).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (13 percent, CI: 10-16).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-10) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-10) compared to Lancaster County self-employed adults (18 percent, CI: 11-29).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County self-employed adults (18 percent, CI: 11-29).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (29 percent, CI: 21-40).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Lancaster County married adults (13 percent, CI: 10-16).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (14 percent, CI: 9-23).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (29 percent, CI: 21-40).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (16 percent, CI: 13-20).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (34 percent, CI: 25-44).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (19 percent, CI: 14-25).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	4	2-5		5	5-6
Male	4	2-7		6	5-7
Female	3	2-5		5	4-6
18-29	6	2-14		8	6-11
30-44	3	2-7		5	4-6
45-64	4	2-6		5	4-6
65+	1	0-2		3	2-4
< High School	4	1-11		4	2-7
High School	4	2-7		5	4-6
Some College	6	2-14		5	4-7
College Degree	2	1-5		6	4-7
<$25,000	4	1-14		5	4-7
$25,000 to $49,999	2	1-4		5	4-6
$50,000+	4	2-6		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	4	3-6		6	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Employed	5	3-8		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	4	2-10		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Emp. Status: Homemaker	0	NCI		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Retired	1	0-4		3	2-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		5	3-8
Married	2	1-4		4	4-5
Divorced/Separated	3	1-8		6	5-8
Widowed	1	0-5		3	2-4
Never Married	9	5-18		8	6-11
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	1-6		5	4-6
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	4	2-7		5	5-6
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	2	0-8		4	3-5
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	4	2-6		5	5-6
Diagnosed Diabetic	0	NCI		3	2-4
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	4	3-6		5	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	1	0-5		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic	4	3-6		5	4-6
Obese (BMI >= 30)	2	1-7		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	3	2-6		4	4-6
Not Overweight Nor Obese	5	3-9		7	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	2	1-7		5	3-6
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	4	2-6		5	5-6
Current Smoker	11	5-20		11	9-14
Former Smoker	4	2-7		5	4-6
Never Smoked	1	1-3		3	2-4
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		8	6-12
Have Health Care Coverage	3	2-5		5	4-6
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		9	6-13
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	3	2-5		5	4-6
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		7	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	3	2-5		5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	4	2-6		7	5-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	4	2-6		5	4-6
Urban	NSR	NSR		5	4-6
Rural	NSR	NSR		6	4-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Heavy drinking is defined as having more than two drinks per day for men or more than one drink per day for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported they were never married (9 percent, CI: 5-18).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Lancaster County adults age 35 and older who reported currently smoking some days or every day (11 percent, CI: 5-20).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	60	56-64		57	56-59
Male, Age 50+	56	50-62		54	52-57
Female, Age 50+	63	58-67		60	58-62
50-64	49	44-55		45	43-48
65+	73	68-77		73	71-75
< High School, Age 50+	54	43-65		57	51-63
High School, Age 50+	57	52-63		56	53-58
Some College, Age 50+	65	54-74		57	53-61
College Degree, Age 50+	64	57-70		59	56-62
<$25,000, Age 50+	62	54-69		60	56-63
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	56	49-63		56	53-59
$50,000+, Age 50+	62	56-68		55	52-58
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	60	56-64		58	56-59
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR		54	47-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	52	46-59		48	45-51
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		43	36-50
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		41	33-49
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	54	41-66		61	55-66
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	74	69-79		70	68-72
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		57	49-64
Married, Age 50+	61	56-65		57	54-59
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	48	38-58		52	47-56
Widowed, Age 50+	72	64-79		69	65-72
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		51	45-57
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	NSR	NSR		46	40-52
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	61	57-65		59	57-60
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	66	57-74		65	61-68
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	58	54-62		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	70	60-78		73	69-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	58	54-62		54	53-56
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	71	58-81		68	62-73
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	59	55-63		56	55-58
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	65	58-71		60	56-63
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	60	54-66		57	54-59
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	56	49-63		57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	69	61-75		62	59-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	57	53-61		56	54-58
Current Smoker, Age 50+	48	37-60		45	40-49
Former Smoker, Age 50+	62	55-68		61	58-64
Never Smoked, Age 50+	61	57-66		58	56-61
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		52	44-60
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	59	53-65		55	53-58
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	62	57-66		59	57-62
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		29	22-37
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	62	58-65		59	57-61
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		20	15-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	62	59-66		59	57-61
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		47	40-53
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	61	57-65		58	56-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	41	33-48		32	28-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	66	62-70		63	61-65
Urban, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		58	57-60
Rural, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		50	46-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 44-55) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65+ (73 percent, CI: 68-77).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 50 and older who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 41-66) compared to Lancaster County retired adults age 50 and older (74 percent, CI: 69-79).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County divorced or separated adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 38-58) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults age 50 and older (72 percent, CI: 64-79).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 33-48) compared to Lancaster County adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (66 percent, CI: 62-70).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 65+	73	68-77		70	68-72
Male, Age 65+	72	64-79		67	64-71
Female, Age 65+	73	67-79		72	69-74
65+	69	62-76		70	67-73
< High School, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		74	69-78
High School, Age 65+	74	64-81		70	65-75
Some College, Age 65+	80	71-86		69	65-73
College Degree, Age 65+	63	53-72		70	66-74
<$25,000, Age 65+	71	60-80		68	62-73
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 65+	73	68-77		71	68-73
$50,000+, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		65	56-73
White, non-Hispanic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	46-60
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	40-66
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		75	69-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	79	73-83		72	70-75
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		74	61-84
Emp. Status: Retired	69	61-75		70	67-73
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		63	56-69
Married, Age 65+	80	72-86		72	69-76
Divorced/Separated, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		66	56-76
Widowed, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Never Married, Age 65+	73	68-77		70	68-72
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Veteran, Age 65+	87	76-93		76	72-80
Non-Veteran, Age 65+	70	64-75		68	65-70
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 65+	88	77-94		77	72-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 65+	69	64-74		68	66-71
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		81	73-86
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	72	66-76		69	67-71
Asthmatic (Current), Age 65+	77	68-85		68	64-72
Not Asthmatic, Age 65+	70	62-76		70	67-73
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 65+	73	64-81		71	68-75
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 65+	84	74-90		77	73-80
Neither Overweight nor Obese, Age 65+	70	64-75		68	65-70
Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		62	54-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	77	69-84		72	69-75
Current Smoker, Age 65+	71	64-76		69	67-72
Former Smoker, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		59	48-69
Never Smoked, Age 65+	65	55-74		71	67-74
Chronic Drinker, Age 65+	76	70-81		70	68-73
Drink but Not Chronic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Drinker, Age 65+	73	68-77		70	68-72
No Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		44	32-57
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	74	69-78		71	69-73
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		76	65-85
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 65+	73	68-77		70	68-72
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 65+	54	41-67		50	43-57
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 65+	76	71-81		72	70-74
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	68-72
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	64-75

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Veteran Status**
  - Lancaster County non-veteran adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 64-75) compared to Lancaster County veteran adults age 65 and older (87 percent, CI: 76-93).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 64-74) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (88 percent, CI: 77-94).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 41-67) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (76 percent, CI: 71-81).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	28	25-31		31	30-33
Male	21	18-25		27	25-29
Female	34	30-38		35	33-36
18-29	6	3-13		8	6-11
30-44	12	9-17		18	16-21
45-64	37	33-42		39	37-41
65+	57	51-62		57	55-59
< High School	28	21-37		42	36-48
High School	30	26-35		37	35-39
Some College	28	21-36		28	26-31
College Degree	24	20-29		24	22-26
<$25,000	44	36-53		41	38-44
$25,000 to $49,999	30	24-35		33	30-35
$50,000+	21	18-25		26	24-28
White, non-Hispanic	29	26-32		33	31-34
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		24	21-28
Emp. Status: Employed	19	16-22		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	18	11-27		28	23-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	31	20-45		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	26	19-35		36	32-40
Emp. Status: Retired	56	50-61		54	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		58	52-65
Married	26	23-29	-	33	32-35
Divorced/Separated	39	31-48		36	33-40
Widowed	56	48-65		56	53-60
Never Married	17	12-25		16	14-19
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	8-15	-	20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	39	35-42		38	37-40
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	60	50-69		57	54-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	21-26		27	25-28
Diagnosed Diabetic	53	43-62		51	47-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	23-29		29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	28	20-37		42	37-47
Not Asthmatic	28	25-31		30	29-32
Obese (BMI >= 30)	38	32-44		41	39-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	26-36		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	19	15-23		23	21-25
Limited Due Health Problems	59	51-67		61	58-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	21	18-24		24	23-25
Current Smoker	33	25-41		32	29-35
Former Smoker	37	31-43		40	37-42
Never Smoked	23	20-27		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		32	26-39
Drink But Not Chronic	20	17-24	-	26	25-28
Non-Drinker	34	30-38		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	17	11-27		20	16-24
Have Health Care Coverage	29	27-32		33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	16	9-25		13	10-18
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	29	26-32		33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	33	23-44		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	27	25-30		31	30-32
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	19	15-23		22	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	34	30-37		35	33-36
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-33
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (33 percent, CI: 32-35).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having children under age 18 living in their household (20 percent, CI: 18-22).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (26 percent, CI: 25-28).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County men had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-25) compared to Lancaster County women (34 percent, CI: 30-38).
- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-13) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (37 percent, CI: 33-42).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-13) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (57 percent, CI: 51-62).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (37 percent, CI: 33-42).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (57 percent, CI: 51-62).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 33-42) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (57 percent, CI: 51-62).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 24-35) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (44 percent, CI: 36-53).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-25) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (44 percent, CI: 36-53).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 24-35) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (44 percent, CI: 36-53).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-25) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (44 percent, CI: 36-53).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (39 percent, CI: 31-48).
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (56 percent, CI: 48-65).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Arthritis, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-25) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (39 percent, CI: 31-48).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-25) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (56 percent, CI: 48-65).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (39 percent, CI: 35-42).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 21-26) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (60 percent, CI: 50-69).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (53 percent, CI: 43-62).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (38 percent, CI: 32-44).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Lancaster County overweight adults (31 percent, CI: 26-36).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (59 percent, CI: 51-67).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-27) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (37 percent, CI: 31-43).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (34 percent, CI: 30-38).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 9-25) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (29 percent, CI: 26-32).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (34 percent, CI: 30-37).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	41	36-47		42	39-44
Male	42	33-51		37	33-41
Female	41	35-47		45	42-47
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
45-64	38	31-46		43	39-46
65+	41	34-48		40	37-43
< High School	NSR	NSR		52	44-60
High School	42	35-50		39	36-43
Some College	40	28-53		46	41-51
College Degree	41	32-50		38	33-42
<$25,000	46	35-56		52	48-57
$25,000 to $49,999	48	38-57		41	37-45
$50,000+	33	25-42		32	28-36
White, non-Hispanic	41	36-47		40	38-43
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Emp. Status: Employed	32	25-41		32	29-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		30	22-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	37-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		46	39-52
Emp. Status: Retired	42	35-50		40	37-44
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		81	75-87
Married	41	34-48		38	35-41
Divorced/Separated	39	27-52		53	48-59
Widowed	46	36-57		43	39-48
Never Married	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		41	35-46
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	41	35-46		42	39-44
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	63	52-72		67	63-71
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	34	28-40		32	29-35
Diagnosed Diabetic	54	41-67		50	44-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	39	34-45		40	38-43
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		55	48-61
Not Asthmatic	39	34-45		40	37-42
Obese (BMI >= 30)	46	37-54		47	43-51
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	39	31-48		39	35-43
Neither Overweight nor Obese	41	31-52		36	32-40
Limited Due Health Problems	74	65-81		78	75-81
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	21	16-26		19	17-22
Current Smoker	52	39-65		52	46-57
Former Smoker	38	29-47		40	37-44
Never Smoked	40	33-46		38	35-41
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		44	34-55
Drink But Not Chronic	36	28-45		35	32-38
Non-Drinker	45	39-52		46	43-49
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-57
Have Health Care Coverage	41	36-46		41	39-44
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	41	36-46		41	39-43
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		62	53-70
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	39	34-44		39	37-41
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	37	27-49		39	34-45
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	43	37-48		42	40-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-44
Rural	NSR	NSR		44	38-50

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 28-40) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (63 percent, CI: 52-72).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-26) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (74 percent, CI: 65-81).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	25	21-30		28	26-30
Male	28	20-37		28	24-33
Female	24	18-30		27	25-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		34	27-41
45-64	28	21-35		30	27-33
65+	17	12-23		20	17-22
< High School	NSR	NSR		41	33-50
High School	28	21-36		29	26-32
Some College	NSR	NSR		30	25-36
College Degree	12	7-21		17	14-21
<$25,000	37	26-48		42	38-47
$25,000 to $49,999	31	23-41		28	24-32
$50,000+	13	8-21		18	14-21
White, non-Hispanic	24	20-30		25	23-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		49	40-58
Emp. Status: Employed	19	12-27		22	18-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		28	18-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		44	32-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Retired	16	11-22		20	17-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		68	61-75
Married	25	19-31		24	22-27
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		40	35-47
Widowed	17	10-27		22	18-26
Never Married	NSR	NSR		41	32-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		33	27-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	18-29		26	24-29
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	42	32-53		49	45-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	19	14-25		20	18-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	22	13-35		34	29-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	25	20-31		27	24-29
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		39	32-46
Not Asthmatic	24	19-29		26	24-29
Obese (BMI >= 30)	25	19-34		35	32-40
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	21	15-30		21	18-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	29	20-41		25	21-30
Limited Due Health Problems	44	36-54		53	49-56
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	13	9-19		13	11-15
Current Smoker	48	35-61		43	38-49
Former Smoker	19	12-28		25	22-29
Never Smoked	19	14-25		23	20-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		34	24-45
Drink But Not Chronic	22	15-31		21	18-25
Non-Drinker	27	21-33		32	28-35
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-58
Have Health Care Coverage	23	19-28		26	24-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	24	19-29		27	25-29
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		55	46-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	21	17-26		24	22-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	35	25-47		29	24-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	21	16-26		27	25-30
Urban	NSR	NSR		27	25-30
Rural	NSR	NSR		30	24-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-21) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (37 percent, CI: 26-48).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-21) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (31 percent, CI: 23-41).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 14-25) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (42 percent, CI: 32-53).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-19) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (44 percent, CI: 36-54).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 12-28) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (48 percent, CI: 35-61).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 14-25) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (48 percent, CI: 35-61).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*	**%**	**CI**
Total	35	30-40		37	34-39
Male	32	24-41		31	27-35
Female	36	30-42		41	38-43
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		39	32-47
45-64	30	24-38		37	34-40
65+	34	27-40		35	32-38
< High School	NSR	NSR		46	38-54
High School	40	33-48		38	35-41
Some College	27	17-41		41	36-46
College Degree	27	20-36		26	22-30
<$25,000	45	35-56		51	46-55
$25,000 to $49,999	41	32-51		36	32-41
$50,000+	22	15-30		25	21-28
White, non-Hispanic	34	29-39		35	33-37
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		51	42-59
Emp. Status: Employed	27	20-35		28	25-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		25	16-37
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	36-59
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		41	35-47
Emp. Status: Retired	33	27-41		34	31-37
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		77	69-83
Married	32	26-39		32	30-35
Divorced/Separated	40	27-53		50	44-56
Widowed	37	27-48		39	35-44
Never Married	NSR	NSR		45	36-54
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		38	32-44
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	33	28-39		36	34-39
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	59	48-68		65	61-69
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	26	21-32		26	24-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	49	36-62		46	41-52
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	32	27-38		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		54	47-61
Not Asthmatic	32	27-37		34	32-37
Obese (BMI >= 30)	39	31-48		46	42-50
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	24-39		31	28-34
Neither Overweight nor Obese	33	24-45		30	26-35
Limited Due Health Problems	62	53-70		65	62-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	17	13-22		19	17-22
Current Smoker	45	32-58		48	43-54
Former Smoker	28	21-37		35	31-38
Never Smoked	35	28-41		33	30-36
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	26-47
Drink But Not Chronic	24	18-33		28	25-32
Non-Drinker	40	34-47		43	40-46
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		45	34-56
Have Health Care Coverage	34	29-39		36	34-38
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	29-40		36	34-39
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		57	48-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	26-36		34	32-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	28	18-39		36	30-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	37	31-42		37	34-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-39
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	32-44

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Among adults who were ever told they have some form of arthritis, 16% (CI: 13-20) of Lancaster County adults and 14% (CI: 13-16) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a lot. Twenty-seven (27) percent, (CI: 22-32) of Lancaster County adults and 22% (CI: 20-24) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a little.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 15-30) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (45 percent, CI: 35-56).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 15-30) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (41 percent, CI: 32-51).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-32) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (59 percent, CI: 48-68).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (62 percent, CI: 53-70).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 18-33) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (40 percent, CI: 34-47).

Core 18: Fruits and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	29	26-33	+	24	23-25
Male	24	20-29		20	18-22
Female	34	30-39		28	26-30
18-29	32	22-43		25	21-30
30-44	27	21-34		21	19-24
45-64	26	22-31		24	22-26
65+	34	29-39	+	26	25-28
< High School	28	19-39		20	15-26
High School	23	19-29		19	17-21
Some College	30	22-39		24	21-27
College Degree	37	31-43		31	29-34
<$25,000	22	16-30		20	17-23
$25,000 to $49,999	26	21-32		22	19-24
$50,000+	33	28-38		27	25-30
White, non-Hispanic	30	27-33	+	24	22-25
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		26	22-31
Emp. Status: Employed	29	25-34		23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	28	18-40		28	22-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	10	5-19		18	14-24
Emp. Status: Homemaker	37	27-48		32	28-36
Emp. Status: Retired	32	27-38		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		23	17-30
Married	29	25-33		24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	21	15-30		22	19-26
Widowed	38	30-46	+	26	23-29
Never Married	26	18-37		24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	31	25-37		23	21-25
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	28	25-32		25	23-26
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	22	14-31		18	16-21
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	30	27-34		25	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	22	15-30		23	20-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	30	27-34	+	24	23-26
Asthmatic (Current)	42	30-55	+	20	16-25
Not Asthmatic	28	25-31		24	23-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	22	17-29		21	19-24
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	27	22-33		23	21-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	35	30-41		27	25-30
Limited Due Health Problems	31	24-39		23	20-26
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	29	25-33		24	23-26
Current Smoker	14	9-22		18	15-21
Former Smoker	30	24-37		23	21-25
Never Smoked	33	29-38		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		20	15-26
Drink But Not Chronic	29	24-34		24	22-26
Non-Drinker	31	27-36		26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	25	16-37		21	16-27
Have Health Care Coverage	30	27-33	+	24	23-26
No Personal Health Care Provider	21	12-33		22	18-28
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	30	27-34	+	24	23-26
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	17	10-28		23	19-29
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	31	27-34	+	24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	28	23-34		21	18-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	30	26-34		26	24-27
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		19	16-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 18: Fruits and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Lancaster County adults had a significantly higher percentage (29 percent, CI: 26-33) compared to Pennsylvania adults (24 percent, CI: 23-25).
- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly higher percentage (34 percent, CI: 29-39) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (26 percent, CI: 25-28).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Lancaster County white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (30 percent, CI: 27-33) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (24 percent, CI: 22-25).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly higher percentage (38 percent, CI: 30-46) compared to Pennsylvania widowed adults (26 percent, CI: 23-29).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly higher percentage (30 percent, CI: 27-34) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (24 percent, CI: 23-26).
  - Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma had a significantly higher percentage (42 percent, CI: 30-55) compared to Pennsylvania adults who currently have asthma (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults with health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (30 percent, CI: 27-33) compared to Pennsylvania adults with health care coverage (24 percent, CI: 23-26).
  - Lancaster County adults with one or more personal health care providers had a significantly higher percentage (30 percent, CI: 27-34) compared to Pennsylvania adults with one or more personal health care providers (24 percent, CI: 23-26).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly higher percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-34) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (24 percent, CI: 23-26).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County men had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-29) compared to Lancaster County women (34 percent, CI: 30-39).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-29) compared to Lancaster County adults with a college degree (37 percent, CI: 31-43).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 5-19) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (29 percent, CI: 25-34).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 5-19) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (37 percent, CI: 27-48).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 5-19) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (32 percent, CI: 27-38).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-29) compared to Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (35 percent, CI: 30-41).

Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 9-22) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (30 percent, CI: 24-37).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 9-22) compared to Lancaster County adults who have never smoked (33 percent, CI: 29-38).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	87	85-89		86	85-87
Male	87	83-90		87	85-88
Female	87	84-90		86	84-87
18-29	89	80-95		89	85-92
30-44	89	84-93		89	86-91
45-64	87	83-90		87	86-89
65+	82	78-86		79	77-80
< High School	82	73-88		78	72-82
High School	86	82-89		82	80-84
Some College	87	79-93		89	87-91
College Degree	90	86-94		91	89-92
<$25,000	79	72-85		79	76-82
$25,000 to $49,999	87	80-91		85	82-87
$50,000+	92	89-94		92	90-93
White, non-Hispanic	88	85-90		88	87-89
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		79	74-83
Emp. Status: Employed	89	85-92		89	88-91
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	87	78-92		87	83-91
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		87	81-91
Emp. Status: Homemaker	90	82-95		89	86-91
Emp. Status: Retired	83	79-87		81	79-83
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		65	58-71
Married	89	86-91		89	88-90
Divorced/Separated	82	73-88		82	79-85
Widowed	77	67-84		77	74-79
Never Married	87	78-93		84	81-87
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	87	82-91		90	88-91
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	87	84-89		84	83-85
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	72	63-79		70	66-73
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	89	86-91		89	88-90
Diagnosed Diabetic	77	67-84		78	75-81
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	88	85-90		87	86-88
Asthmatic (Current)	88	78-94		83	78-87
Not Asthmatic	87	84-89		87	85-88
Obese (BMI >= 30)	80	74-84		83	81-85
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	87	82-91		86	84-88
Neither Overweight nor Obese	92	88-94		89	87-91
Limited Due Health Problems	75	67-81		75	73-78
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	90	87-92		89	88-90
Current Smoker	85	76-91		85	82-87
Former Smoker	85	79-89		85	83-87
Never Smoked	89	86-91		87	86-89
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		87	82-91
Drink But Not Chronic	90	85-93		91	90-92
Non-Drinker	85	82-88		81	79-83
No Health Care Coverage	85	76-91		88	84-91
Have Health Care Coverage	87	85-90		86	85-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		86	81-89
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	87	84-89		86	85-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	81	68-89		86	82-89
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	85-90		86	85-87
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	88	84-91		88	86-90
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	87	84-90		86	84-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		86	85-87
Rural	NSR	NSR		88	85-91

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 72-85) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (92 percent, CI: 89-94).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 67-84) compared to Lancaster County married adults (89 percent, CI: 86-91).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 63-79) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (89 percent, CI: 86-91).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 67-84) compared to Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (88 percent, CI: 85-90).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 74-84) compared to Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (92 percent, CI: 88-94).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 67-81) compared to Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems (90 percent, CI: 87-92).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	48	45-52		50	49-52
Male	47	42-53		53	51-56
Female	49	45-54		48	46-50
18-29	58	46-68		62	57-67
30-44	52	45-60		52	49-55
45-64	43	38-48		49	47-51
65+	41	36-46		40	37-42
< High School	44	33-56		42	35-48
High School	46	40-52		46	43-49
Some College	44	34-53		54	50-57
College Degree	56	50-61		55	52-57
<$25,000	44	35-54		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	47	40-54		50	46-53
$50,000+	53	48-59		56	54-59
White, non-Hispanic	47	44-51		51	49-53
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		48	42-53
Emp. Status: Employed	47	42-53		51	49-54
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	55	43-66		59	53-65
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		54	47-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	54	43-64		53	48-58
Emp. Status: Retired	45	39-50		43	41-46
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		28	23-35
Married	50	45-54		50	48-51
Divorced/Separated	38	29-49		47	42-51
Widowed	39	31-48		36	33-40
Never Married	56	45-65		57	52-61
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	53	46-59		54	51-57
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	45	41-50		48	46-50
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	25	18-34		33	30-37
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	51	47-55		53	51-55
Diagnosed Diabetic	31	23-40		36	32-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	50	46-54		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	48	36-61		47	41-52
Not Asthmatic	48	44-52		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	36	29-43		41	38-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	48	42-55		51	48-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	57	50-63		58	55-60
Limited Due Health Problems	30	23-38		37	33-40
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	52	48-56		54	52-55
Current Smoker	47	37-57		51	47-55
Former Smoker	45	39-52		48	45-51
Never Smoked	50	45-54		52	49-54
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		59	51-66
Drink But Not Chronic	56	50-61		55	52-57
Non-Drinker	42	38-47		45	42-47
No Health Care Coverage	53	40-65		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	48	44-51		49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	48	35-62		56	50-61
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	48	44-52		50	48-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	51	38-64		52	46-58
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	48	44-52		50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	50	44-56		53	50-56
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	48	43-52		49	48-51
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	49-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		51	47-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-34) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (51 percent, CI: 47-55).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 23-40) compared to Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (50 percent, CI: 46-54).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 29-43) compared to Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (57 percent, CI: 50-63).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 23-38) compared to Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems (52 percent, CI: 48-56).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 38-47) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (56 percent, CI: 50-61).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	52	48-55		50	49-52
Male	60	55-65		59	56-61
Female	44	39-49		42	40-44
18-29	71	60-80		69	64-73
30-44	58	51-65		56	53-59
45-64	46	41-51		47	45-49
65+	31	27-36		31	29-33
< High School	43	32-54		38	32-45
High School	45	40-51		44	42-47
Some College	58	49-67		53	50-57
College Degree	60	54-66		57	54-60
<$25,000	38	29-47		39	35-42
$25,000 to $49,999	47	40-54		47	43-50
$50,000+	61	55-66		60	58-62
White, non-Hispanic	52	48-55		51	49-52
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		49	43-54
Emp. Status: Employed	59	53-64		56	54-59
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	51	39-62		60	54-66
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		50	43-57
Emp. Status: Homemaker	41	31-52		47	42-51
Emp. Status: Retired	34	29-40		33	31-35
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		18	13-23
Married	55	50-59		50	48-52
Divorced/Separated	38	30-48		42	38-46
Widowed	30	23-38		26	23-29
Never Married	62	52-71		59	55-63
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	61	55-67		58	55-61
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	46	41-50		46	44-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	19	13-27		23	20-26
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	56	52-60		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic	29	21-39		31	27-35
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	53	50-57		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	53	41-65		46	41-52
Not Asthmatic	52	48-55		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	39	32-46		42	39-45
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	56	50-61		52	49-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	58	52-64		57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems	31	24-39		31	28-34
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	56	52-60		55	53-57
Current Smoker	46	36-56		50	46-54
Former Smoker	50	44-57		46	44-49
Never Smoked	54	49-58		52	50-55
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-66
Drink But Not Chronic	62	57-67		57	55-59
Non-Drinker	42	37-47		42	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	53	41-64		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	52	48-55		49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	58	44-70		60	55-66
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	51	47-55		49	47-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	54	41-66		49	43-55
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	52	48-55		50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	56	50-62		57	54-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	49	45-53		47	46-49
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	48-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		52	48-56

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 39-49) compared to Lancaster County men (60 percent, CI: 55-65).
- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 41-51) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (71 percent, CI: 60-80).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-36) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (71 percent, CI: 60-80).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-36) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (58 percent, CI: 51-65).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-36) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (46 percent, CI: 41-51).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 40-51) compared to Lancaster County adults with a college degree (60 percent, CI: 54-66).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 29-47) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (61 percent, CI: 55-66).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 40-54) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (61 percent, CI: 55-66).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 31-52) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (59 percent, CI: 53-64).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 29-40) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (59 percent, CI: 53-64).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 30-48) compared to Lancaster County married adults (55 percent, CI: 50-59).
  - Lancaster County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 30-48) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (62 percent, CI: 52-71).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 23-38) compared to Lancaster County married adults (55 percent, CI: 50-59).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 23-38) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (62 percent, CI: 52-71).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 41-50) compared to Lancaster County adults with children living in their household (61 percent, CI: 55-67).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (56 percent, CI: 52-60).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 21-39) compared to Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (53 percent, CI: 50-57).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County: (continued)

- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-46) compared to Lancaster County overweight adults (56 percent, CI: 50-61).
  - Lancaster County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 32-46) compared to Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (58 percent, CI: 52-64).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 24-39) compared to Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems (56 percent, CI: 52-60).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 37-47) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (62 percent, CI: 57-67).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	28	24-31		28	26-29
Male	30	25-35		33	31-36
Female	26	21-30		23	21-25
18-29	42	31-54		43	38-49
30-44	30	24-37		30	27-33
45-64	23	19-27		25	23-27
65+	17	14-22		16	15-18
< High School	25	16-38		19	14-25
High School	24	19-29		23	20-25
Some College	27	19-36		31	28-35
College Degree	34	29-40		33	31-36
<$25,000	20	12-30		23	19-26
$25,000 to $49,999	24	18-31		24	21-27
$50,000+	35	30-41		34	31-36
White, non-Hispanic	27	24-30		27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Employed	30	25-35		30	28-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	27	18-39		37	31-44
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	19	12-28		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Retired	21	17-27		17	16-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		10	7-15
Married	28	24-33		26	24-28
Divorced/Separated	19	13-27		23	19-27
Widowed	15	10-22		12	10-15
Never Married	37	28-48		38	34-43
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	33	27-39		32	29-35
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	20-29		25	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	9	5-16		12	10-15
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	30	27-34		31	29-32
Diagnosed Diabetic	11	6-18		15	11-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	29	25-33		29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	29	18-42		23	19-28
Not Asthmatic	28	24-31		28	27-30
Obese (BMI >= 30)	18	13-25		19	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	29	24-35		30	28-33
Neither Overweight nor Obese	33	27-39		34	31-37
Limited Due Health Problems	12	8-19		16	14-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	31	27-35		31	29-32
Current Smoker	20	13-30		28	24-32
Former Smoker	28	22-35		24	22-26
Never Smoked	30	25-34		30	28-32
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		37	30-44
Drink But Not Chronic	37	32-43		32	29-34
Non-Drinker	19	15-23		22	20-25
No Health Care Coverage	26	16-40		36	30-42
Have Health Care Coverage	28	25-32		27	25-28
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		37	31-43
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	27	24-31		27	25-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		33	27-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	28	24-31		27	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	29	23-35		32	29-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	27	23-32		26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		28	24-32

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-27) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (42 percent, CI: 31-54).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (42 percent, CI: 31-54).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (30 percent, CI: 24-37).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (37 percent, CI: 28-48).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-22) compared to Lancaster County married adults (28 percent, CI: 24-33).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-22) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (37 percent, CI: 28-48).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (30 percent, CI: 27-34).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 6-18) compared to Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (29 percent, CI: 25-33).
- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-25) compared to Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese (33 percent, CI: 27-39).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems (31 percent, CI: 27-35).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (37 percent, CI: 32-43).

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	30	26-35		35	33-37
Male, Age 18-64	28	22-34		33	30-36
Female, Age 18-64	33	28-39		37	35-40
18-29	33	23-45		37	32-42
30-44	41	34-48		48	45-51
45-64	21	17-25		25	23-27
< High School, Age 18-64	22	13-35		34	26-43
High School, Age 18-64	25	19-32		33	29-36
Some College, Age 18-64	45	35-56		36	32-40
College Degree, Age 18-64	31	24-37		37	34-40
<$25,000, Age 18-64	39	27-52		47	42-52
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	31	23-39		33	29-37
$50,000+, Age 18-64	33	27-39		33	31-36
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	29	25-33		31	29-32
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		55	49-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	31	26-37		35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	28	18-41		31	25-38
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		44	38-51
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	24	15-36		37	32-43
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		19	14-24
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		51	44-58
Married, Age 18-64	25	21-30		31	29-33
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	34	24-45	-	50	46-55
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		34	26-43
Never Married, Age 18-64	37	27-49		37	33-42
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	39	33-46		42	39-45
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	22	18-28		29	26-31
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	38	26-51		42	37-47
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	29	25-34		34	32-36
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		32	26-38
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	31	27-35		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		45	39-52
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	28	24-33		34	32-36
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	26	20-34		34	31-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	33	26-41		37	34-40
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	31	25-39		35	32-38
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	42	33-53		45	40-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	28	24-33		33	31-35
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	50	39-60		48	44-52
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	30	22-39		36	32-39
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	25	20-30		29	27-32
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		38	30-46
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	33	27-39		37	34-40
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	27	22-33		32	30-35
No Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	24	14-36		38	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	32	27-36		35	33-37
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		43	37-49
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	30	26-35		34	32-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	53	39-66		50	44-56
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	27	23-32		33	31-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	28	23-35		33	30-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	31	26-37		36	34-38
Urban, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	34-38
Rural, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		28	24-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County divorced or separated adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 24-45) compared to Pennsylvania divorced or separated adults age 18-64 (50 percent, CI: 46-55).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (41 percent, CI: 34-48).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-32) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with some college education (45 percent, CI: 35-56).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-28) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-64 with children living in their household (39 percent, CI: 33-46).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-64 who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 20-30) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-64 who reported currently smoking some days or every day (50 percent, CI: 39-60).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-64 who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-32) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-64 who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (53 percent, CI: 39-66).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-12		9	8-10
Male	11	8-15		11	10-13
Female	7	5-11		7	6-8
18-29	12	6-23		8	5-11
30-44	8	5-14		8	6-10
45-64	8	5-11		9	7-10
65+	9	6-12		12	11-14
< High School	9	5-17		17	13-22
High School	13	9-18		12	11-14
Some College	8	5-14		7	6-10
College Degree	4	2-8		4	3-5
<$25,000	24	16-35		17	14-20
$25,000 to $49,999	9	5-13		9	8-11
$50,000+	6	3-9		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	7	6-10		8	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		16	12-20
Emp. Status: Employed	8	6-12		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		9	5-14
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		12	8-17
Emp. Status: Homemaker	6	2-15		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Retired	9	6-14		12	11-14
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-29
Married	6	4-9		7	6-8
Divorced/Separated	20	12-31		16	13-20
Widowed	12	7-18		13	11-15
Never Married	10	5-18		10	8-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	6-15		8	6-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	7-11		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	19	13-29		20	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	8	6-10		7	6-8
Diagnosed Diabetic	18	12-28		14	11-17
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	6-11		8	8-10
Asthmatic (Current)	7	3-14		10	7-13
Not Asthmatic	9	7-12		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	9	6-13		11	9-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	7	4-11		8	7-10
Neither Overweight nor Obese	12	8-17		8	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	11	7-18		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	6-12		7	6-8
Current Smoker	13	7-22		12	9-14
Former Smoker	11	7-16		9	8-11
Never Smoked	7	5-11		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		13	9-19
Drink But Not Chronic	8	5-12		6	5-7
Non-Drinker	10	7-14		12	10-13
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		16	12-21
Have Health Care Coverage	9	7-11		8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	7-12		8	7-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		20	15-25
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	6-10		8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	11	7-17		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	8	6-10		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Lancaster County adults with a high school education (13 percent, CI: 9-18).
- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-13) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (24 percent, CI: 16-35).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (24 percent, CI: 16-35).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (20 percent, CI: 12-31).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (19 percent, CI: 13-29).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (18 percent, CI: 12-28).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	95	93-96		94	93-95
Male	95	92-97		94	92-95
Female	95	93-97		94	93-95
18-29	93	84-97		93	89-95
30-44	97	93-98		93	92-95
45-64	95	93-97		94	93-95
65+	95	92-97		97	96-97
< High School	90	80-95		90	85-93
High School	95	91-97		93	92-95
Some College	95	91-98		93	90-95
College Degree	98	95-99		96	95-97
<$25,000	86	77-91		88	86-90
$25,000 to $49,999	95	92-97		94	92-95
$50,000+	98	96-99		97	96-98
White, non-Hispanic	96	94-97		95	94-95
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		91	86-94
Emp. Status: Employed	97	95-99		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	96	85-99		97	95-98
Emp. Status: Out of Work	93	83-98		85	79-89
Emp. Status: Homemaker	95	85-98		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Retired	95	92-97		97	96-97
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		74	68-80
Married	96	94-98		97	96-97
Divorced/Separated	89	77-95		86	83-89
Widowed	96	90-98		95	93-96
Never Married	94	88-97		90	87-92
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	95	90-97		94	93-96
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	95	93-97		94	93-95
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	79	70-85		80	77-84
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	97	95-98		96	95-97
Diagnosed Diabetic	91	83-96		90	87-93
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	95	93-97		94	93-95
Asthmatic (Current)	94	88-97		91	87-93
Not Asthmatic	95	93-97		94	94-95
Obese (BMI >= 30)	95	91-98		92	90-93
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	96	93-98		95	93-96
Neither Overweight nor Obese	94	90-97		95	94-96
Limited Due Health Problems	86	80-91		83	80-86
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	97	95-98		97	96-97
Current Smoker	89	81-94		87	83-89
Former Smoker	95	92-97		95	94-96
Never Smoked	97	94-98		96	95-97
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		93	88-96
Drink But Not Chronic	98	96-99		95	94-96
Non-Drinker	93	89-95		94	92-95
No Health Care Coverage	97	92-99	+	87	83-91
Have Health Care Coverage	95	93-96		95	94-96
No Personal Health Care Provider	98	87-100		92	88-94
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	95	93-96		94	93-95
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	87	76-93		83	78-87
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	96	94-97		95	95-96
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	96	92-98		93	91-95
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	95	92-96		94	93-95
Urban	NSR	NSR		94	93-95
Rural	NSR	NSR		94	91-96

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have no health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (97 percent, CI: 92-99) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have no health care coverage (87 percent, CI: 83-91).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 77-91) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 77-91) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 70-85) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 80-91) compared to Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (93 percent, CI: 89-95) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (98 percent, CI: 96-99).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (87 percent, CI: 76-93) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (96 percent, CI: 94-97).

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	11	9-13		10	9-11
Male	11	9-14		8	8-9
Female	11	9-14		12	11-13
18-29	3	1-9		1	0-2
30-44	6	3-9		3	2-4
45-64	11	9-15		10	9-12
65+	27	22-32		27	25-29
< High School	9	6-15		12	10-15
High School	11	9-14		11	10-12
Some College	9	6-15		8	7-9
College Degree	13	10-17		10	9-11
<$25,000	14	10-19		12	11-14
$25,000 to $49,999	15	11-19		10	9-12
$50,000+	9	6-11		8	7-9
White, non-Hispanic	12	10-14		11	10-12
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		4	3-6
Emp. Status: Employed	6	5-9		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	10	6-18		10	8-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		4	3-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker	7	4-13		16	13-19
Emp. Status: Retired	29	24-34		25	23-27
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		12	8-16
Married	11	9-13		11	11-13
Divorced/Separated	12	8-19		9	7-11
Widowed	22	15-29		22	19-25
Never Married	7	4-12		4	3-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	5	3-8		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	13-18		14	13-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	21	15-29		19	16-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	10	8-12		9	8-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	22	16-31		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	10	9-12		9	9-10
Asthmatic (Current)	14	9-22		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	11	9-13		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	12	9-16		10	8-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	13	10-17		11	9-12
Neither Overweight nor Obese	9	7-12		10	9-11
Limited Due Health Problems	17	13-23		16	14-18
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	10	8-12		9	8-9
Current Smoker	5	3-9		7	6-8
Former Smoker	18	13-23		14	13-16
Never Smoked	10	8-13		9	8-10
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		6	5-9
Drink But Not Chronic	10	7-13		9	8-10
Non-Drinker	13	10-16		11	10-12
No Health Care Coverage	7	3-15		4	3-6
Have Health Care Coverage	12	10-14		11	10-12
No Personal Health Care Provider	7	3-18		4	2-6
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	12	10-14		11	10-12
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	11	6-21		6	5-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	11	9-13		11	10-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	6	4-9		5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	12-17		12	11-13
Urban	NSR	NSR		10	9-11
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 22-32).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 22-32).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 22-32).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (29 percent, CI: 24-34).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-18) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (29 percent, CI: 24-34).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-13) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (29 percent, CI: 24-34).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-13) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (22 percent, CI: 15-29).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-12) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (22 percent, CI: 15-29).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (15 percent, CI: 13-18).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (21 percent, CI: 15-29).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 9-12) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (22 percent, CI: 16-31).
- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (17 percent, CI: 13-23).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (18 percent, CI: 13-23).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (14 percent, CI: 12-17).

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese**, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	59	56-63		64	62-66
Male	67	62-72		71	69-73
Female	52	47-57		57	55-59
18-29	37	27-48		47	42-52
30-44	58	51-65		66	63-69
45-64	70	66-74		72	70-73
65+	69	64-73		65	63-67
< High School	63	52-73		68	62-73
High School	61	55-67		67	65-70
Some College	58	48-67		65	61-68
College Degree	57	51-63		59	57-62
<$25,000	58	48-67		66	63-69
$25,000 to $49,999	61	53-68		68	64-70
$50,000+	61	56-67		63	61-66
White, non-Hispanic	60	56-64		64	62-65
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		67	62-72
Emp. Status: Employed	59	54-64		66	64-68
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	60	48-71		61	54-67
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		64	58-70
Emp. Status: Homemaker	42	32-52		53	49-58
Emp. Status: Retired	70	65-75		68	66-70
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		79	73-84
Married	63	59-67		68	66-70
Divorced/Separated	65	55-74		69	65-72
Widowed	66	56-74		61	58-65
Never Married	47	37-57		54	50-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	54	47-60		61	58-64
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	63	59-67		66	64-67
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	73	63-81		76	73-79
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	58	54-61		62	60-64
Diagnosed Diabetic	87	80-91		89	86-91
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	57	53-61		62	60-63
Asthmatic (Current)	58	45-71		66	61-72
Not Asthmatic	60	56-63		64	62-65
Limited Due Health Problems	64	56-72		73	70-76
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	58	54-62		62	60-64
Current Smoker	50	40-59		58	54-62
Former Smoker	68	61-74		74	71-76
Never Smoked	60	55-64		62	59-64
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Drink But Not Chronic	56	50-61		64	61-66
Non-Drinker	64	59-69		65	62-67
No Health Care Coverage	43	32-54		58	52-63
Have Health Care Coverage	62	58-66		65	63-67
No Personal Health Care Provider	36	25-48		53	47-58
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	62	58-65		65	64-67
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	52	38-64		61	55-66
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	60	57-64		65	63-66
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	55	49-61		59	56-62
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	62	58-66		66	64-68
Urban	NSR	NSR		64	62-66
Rural	NSR	NSR		64	60-68

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 25.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese (BMI GE 25), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 47-57) compared to Lancaster County men (67 percent, CI: 62-72).
- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 27-48) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (58 percent, CI: 51-65).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 27-48) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (70 percent, CI: 66-74).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 27-48) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (69 percent, CI: 64-73).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 51-65) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (70 percent, CI: 66-74).
- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 54-64) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (70 percent, CI: 65-75).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 32-52) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 32-52) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (70 percent, CI: 65-75).
- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 37-57) compared to Lancaster County married adults (63 percent, CI: 59-67).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 54-61) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (73 percent, CI: 63-81).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 53-61) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (87 percent, CI: 80-91).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 40-59) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (68 percent, CI: 61-74).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 32-54) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having health care coverage (62 percent, CI: 58-66).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 25-48) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (62 percent, CI: 58-65).

Percent of Adults Who Are Obese**, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	26	23-29		28	27-29
Male	27	22-31		29	27-32
Female	25	21-29		27	25-29
18-29	16	9-25		19	16-24
30-44	25	19-32		32	29-35
45-64	32	28-37		32	30-34
65+	27	22-31		26	24-28
< High School	32	23-42		33	28-38
High School	28	24-33		32	30-35
Some College	21	15-28		29	26-32
College Degree	22	18-28		22	20-24
<$25,000	31	24-38		33	30-36
$25,000 to $49,999	27	21-33		30	28-33
$50,000+	23	19-28		25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	25	22-28		27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
Emp. Status: Employed	25	22-30		29	27-31
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	18	11-28		25	20-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	15	10-24		23	20-27
Emp. Status: Retired	29	24-34		28	26-30
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		45	38-51
Married	26	22-30		29	27-30
Divorced/Separated	36	27-45		34	30-37
Widowed	24	17-31		26	23-29
Never Married	22	15-30		25	22-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	19-30		27	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	27	23-30		29	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	42	33-51		46	43-50
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	20-27		25	23-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	55	46-64		57	53-61
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	23	20-26		25	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	27	18-40		34	29-38
Not Asthmatic	25	22-29		27	26-29
Limited Due Health Problems	31	24-38		41	38-44
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	25	21-28		25	24-27
Current Smoker	25	18-33		25	22-28
Former Smoker	30	24-36		34	31-36
Never Smoked	25	21-29		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		21	16-26
Drink But Not Chronic	23	18-28		26	24-28
Non-Drinker	30	26-34		31	29-34
No Health Care Coverage	17	11-26		25	21-30
Have Health Care Coverage	27	24-30		28	27-30
No Personal Health Care Provider	16	9-27		22	18-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	26	24-30		29	27-30
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	31	21-43		29	25-34
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	25	22-28		28	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	23	18-28		23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	28	24-31		30	29-32
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		29	26-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 30.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Were Classified as Obese (BMI GE 30), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 9-25) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (32 percent, CI: 28-37).
- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-27) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (42 percent, CI: 33-51).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-26) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (55 percent, CI: 46-64).

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,201 Lancaster County adults completed interviews for the Lancaster County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Lancaster County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Lancaster County. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Lancaster County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Lancaster County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Lancaster County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned pre-diabetes, general preparedness, childhood asthma prevalence, and anxiety and depression.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Lancaster County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Lancaster County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Lancaster County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Lititz Borough census population of 1,884 for ages 45-64 by the Fair or Poor Health prevalence of 15% (0.15) for that age group in Lancaster County. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those in fair or poor health ages 45-64 in Lititz Borough is 283.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who indicated Fair or Poor health, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Lititz Borough Census Population		Fair or Poor Health From 2009 Lancaster County BRFSS		Estimate of Lititz Borough Adults Indicating Fair or Poor Health, 2009
18-29	1,194	X	8	=	96
30-44	1,959	X	8	=	157
45-64	1,884	X	15	=	283
65+	1,912	X	19	=	363
				Total	899

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Lititz Borough with Fair or Poor Health, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in Lititz Borough from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
With Fair or Poor Health in Lititz Borough = **899**

Total Population Age 18+ in Lititz Borough = **6,949**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults with fair or poor health by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage With Fair or Poor Health in Lititz Borough
=(Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults With Fair or Poor Health in Lititz Borough / Total Population Age 18+ in Lititz Borough) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage With Fair or Poor Health in Lititz Borough
= (899 / 6,949) X 100
= **13 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Local Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Lancaster County

Table of Contents

Page 1	Module 1: Pre-Diabetes, They Had a Test for High Blood Sugar or Diabetes Within the Past 3 Years, 2009
Page 4	Module 1: Pre-Diabetes, They Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor or Other Health Professional That They Have Pre-Diabetes or Borderline Diabetes, 2009
Page 6	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009
Page 8	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Somewhat Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009
Page 10	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Not At All Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009
Page 12	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Water for Everyone Who Lives There**, 2009
Page 14	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Nonperishable Food for Everyone Who Lives There, 2009
Page 16	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Prescription Medication for Each Person Who Takes Prescribed Medicines, 2009
Page 18	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Battery Operated Radio and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009
Page 20	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Flashlight and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009
Page 22	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Home Telephones, 2009
Page 24	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Cell Phones, 2009
Page 27	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Television, 2009
Page 29	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be the Radio, 2009
Page 31	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Written Disaster Evacuation Plan For How They Will Leave Their Home in the Case of a Large-Scale Emergency, 2009
Page 33	Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Would Evacuate if Authorities Announced a Mandatory Evacuation Due to a Large-Scale Emergency (Unsure Adults Included in the Denominator), 2009
Page 35	Module 19: General Preparedness, The Main Reason They Might Not Evacuate if Asked to Do So is Lack of Trust in Public Officials, 2009
Page 37	Module 19: General Preparedness, The Main Reason They Might Not Evacuate if Asked to Do So is Concern About Leaving Property Behind, 2009
Page 39	Module 26: Child Asthma Prevalence, Ever Told by Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That The Child Has Asthma, 2009
Page 41	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Having Little Interest or Pleasure in Doing Things 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009
Page 43	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Feeling Down, Depressed or Hopeless 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009
Page 45	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Having Trouble Falling Asleep, Staying Asleep or Sleeping Too Much 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009
Page 47	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Feeling Tired or Having Little Energy 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009
Page 49	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Having a Poor Appetite or Eating Too Much 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Table of Contents – *Continued*

Page 51	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Felt Bad About Themselves or That They Were a Failure 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009
Page 54	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Had Trouble Concentrating on Things 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009
Page 56	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Have Moved or Spoken So Slowly Others Could Have Noticed 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009
Page 58	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Have Ever Been Told They Have an Anxiety Disorder, 2009
Page 60	Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Have Ever Been Told They Have a Depressive Disorder, 2009
Page 62	Technical Notes

Detailed Local Questionnaire Tables

Module 1: Pre-Diabetes, They Had a Test for High Blood Sugar or Diabetes Within the Past 3 Years, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	53	49-57		57	55-59
Male	50	44-55		55	52-58
Female	56	51-61		59	57-61
18-29	25	17-36		34	29-39
30-44	48	40-55		52	49-55
45-64	65	60-69		67	64-69
65+	75	70-80		74	71-76
< High School	42	31-52		52	46-59
High School	53	47-59		57	54-60
Some College	56	46-65		58	54-62
College Degree	55	49-62		58	55-61
<$25,000	52	42-62		57	53-61
$25,000 to $49,999	53	46-60		58	55-62
$50,000+	54	49-60		59	56-61
White, non-Hispanic	53	49-57		57	56-59
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		57	51-63
Emp. Status: Employed	51	46-57		56	54-59
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	42	31-54		53	47-59
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		45	38-52
Emp. Status: Homemaker	48	37-59		56	52-61
Emp. Status: Retired	77	72-82		74	72-77
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		65	57-72
Married	55	50-59	-	62	60-64
Divorced/Separated	60	49-70		60	55-64
Widowed	71	62-79		71	67-74
Never Married	40	30-50		41	36-45
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	46	40-52		49	46-52
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	58	53-62		62	60-64
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	68	57-77		63	58-67
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	51	47-55		56	55-58
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	53	49-57		57	55-59
Asthmatic (Current)	57	44-70		61	55-66
Not Asthmatic	52	48-56		57	55-59
Obese (BMI >= 30)	62	54-69		68	65-72
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	57	51-63		58	55-61
Neither Overweight nor Obese	44	38-50		49	46-52
Limited Due Health Problems	65	56-73		64	60-68
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	50	46-54		56	54-58
Current Smoker	53	43-63		51	47-55
Former Smoker	64	56-70		66	64-69
Never Smoked	49	44-54		55	53-57
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		43	36-50
Drink But Not Chronic	50	44-56		58	56-61
Non-Drinker	55	50-60		57	55-60
No Health Care Coverage	25	17-37		29	25-35
Have Health Care Coverage	57	53-61		61	59-63
No Personal Health Care Provider	27	18-38		30	25-36
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	56	52-60		61	59-62
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	49	36-62		42	37-48
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	53	50-57		59	57-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	36	30-42		38	35-41
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	64	60-69		66	64-68
Urban	NSR	NSR		57	55-59
Rural	NSR	NSR		57	52-61

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 1: Pre-Diabetes, They Had a Test for High Blood Sugar or Diabetes Within the Past 3 Years, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 50-59) compared to Pennsylvania married adults (62 percent, CI: 60-64).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 17-36) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (48 percent, CI: 40-55).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 17-36) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (65 percent, CI: 60-69).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 17-36) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (75 percent, CI: 70-80).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 40-55) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (65 percent, CI: 60-69).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 40-55) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (75 percent, CI: 70-80).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 60-69) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (75 percent, CI: 70-80).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 46-57) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (77 percent, CI: 72-82).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 31-54) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (77 percent, CI: 72-82).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 37-59) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (77 percent, CI: 72-82).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 50-59) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (71 percent, CI: 62-79).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 30-50) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (71 percent, CI: 62-79).

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 40-52) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (58 percent, CI: 53-62).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 47-55) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (68 percent, CI: 57-77).

Module 1: Pre-Diabetes, They Had a Test for High Blood Sugar or Diabetes Within the Past 3 Years, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County (continued):

- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 38-50) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (62 percent, CI: 54-69).
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (44 percent, CI: 38-50) compared to Lancaster County overweight adults (57 percent, CI: 51-63).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 46-54) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (65 percent, CI: 56-73).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 44-54) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (64 percent, CI: 56-70).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 17-37) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having health care coverage (57 percent, CI: 53-61).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 18-38) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (56 percent, CI: 52-60).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 30-42) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (64 percent, CI: 60-69).

Module 1: Pre-Diabetes, They Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor or Other Health Professional That They Have Pre-Diabetes or Borderline Diabetes, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	3	2-4	-	6	5-6
Male	3	2-6		6	5-7
Female	3	2-4	-	6	5-7
18-29	0	NCI		2	1-4
30-44	3	1-7		3	2-5
45-64	5	3-8		8	7-9
65+	5	3-8		10	8-11
< High School	0	0-3	-	6	4-9
High School	4	2-6		7	6-8
Some College	3	2-8		6	5-8
College Degree	3	2-6		5	4-6
<$25,000	5	2-10		8	6-9
$25,000 to $49,999	4	2-7		6	5-8
$50,000+	2	1-4		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	3	2-5		6	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Employed	2	1-4		5	4-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	4	1-11		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		5	3-11
Emp. Status: Homemaker	2	1-7		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Retired	6	3-9	-	11	10-13
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		9	6-13
Married	3	2-5		6	5-7
Divorced/Separated	7	3-15		7	5-9
Widowed	6	3-12		9	7-11
Never Married	1	0-4		4	3-6
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	1-5		3	2-4
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	4	2-5	-	8	7-9
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	9	5-16		13	10-17
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	3	2-4		5	4-5
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	3	2-4	-	6	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	2	1-8		7	5-10
Not Asthmatic	3	2-5		6	5-6
Obese (BMI >= 30)	7	4-11		10	9-12
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	3	1-5		6	5-7
Neither Overweight nor Obese	2	1-3		2	2-3
Limited Due Health Problems	7	4-12		9	8-12
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	2	2-4		5	4-6
Current Smoker	1	0-7		4	3-5
Former Smoker	4	2-7		9	7-10
Never Smoked	3	2-5		5	4-6
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		5	3-7
Drink But Not Chronic	4	2-6		5	5-6
Non-Drinker	3	2-4	-	7	5-8
No Health Care Coverage	2	1-5		3	2-4
Have Health Care Coverage	3	2-5		6	5-7
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-7		3	2-5
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	3	2-5		6	5-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	4	1-12		7	4-10
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	3	2-4	-	6	5-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	1	0-3		3	2-5
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	5	3-7		7	6-8
Urban	NSR	NSR		6	5-7
Rural	NSR	NSR		5	4-7

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Module 1: Pre-Diabetes, They Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor or Other Health Professional That They Have Pre-Diabetes or Borderline Diabetes, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- **Adult Total**
  - Lancaster County adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults (6 percent, CI: 5-6).

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County women had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania women (6 percent, CI: 5-7).

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-3) compared to Pennsylvania adults with less than a high school education (6 percent, CI: 4-9).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Pennsylvania retired adults (11 percent, CI: 10-13).

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported having no children under age 18 living in their household (8 percent, CI: 7-9).

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (6 percent, CI: 5-6).

- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not drink alcohol had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults who do not drink alcohol (7 percent, CI: 5-8).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (6 percent, CI: 5-6).

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (9 percent, CI: 5-16).

- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (7 percent, CI: 4-11).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	26	23-29			
Male	30	25-35			
Female	22	18-26			
18-29	31	21-43			
30-44	20	14-26			
45-64	20	16-24			
65+	37	32-43			
< High School	32	22-44		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	25	20-30			
Some College	23	16-32			
College Degree	27	22-33			
<$25,000	30	22-39			
$25,000 to $49,999	24	19-31			
$50,000+	24	19-29			
White, non-Hispanic	25	22-28			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	24	20-30			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	25	16-36			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	21	14-31			
Emp. Status: Retired	37	32-43			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	24	20-28			
Divorced/Separated	17	11-25			
Widowed	41	33-50			
Never Married	33	23-44			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	14-26			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	30	26-34			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	20	15-28			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	27	23-30			
Diagnosed Diabetic	30	22-39			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	22-29			
Asthmatic (Current)	24	14-37			
Not Asthmatic	26	23-30			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	26	21-33			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	29	24-35			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	23	18-29			
Limited Due Health Problems	26	20-33			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	22-30			
Current Smoker	19	12-28			
Former Smoker	24	19-30			
Never Smoked	28	24-33			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	24	19-29			
Non-Drinker	27	23-31			
No Health Care Coverage	27	17-41			
Have Health Care Coverage	26	22-29			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	27	24-30			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	26	23-30			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	22	17-28			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	29	25-33			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Well Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-26) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (37 percent, CI: 32-43).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (37 percent, CI: 32-43).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-30) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (37 percent, CI: 32-43).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-31) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (37 percent, CI: 32-43).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-28) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (41 percent, CI: 33-50).
  - Lancaster County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-25) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (41 percent, CI: 33-50).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Somewhat Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	58	55-62			
Male	59	53-64			
Female	58	53-63			
18-29	52	41-63			
30-44	63	56-70			
45-64	62	57-66			
65+	55	49-60			
< High School	50	39-61		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	63	57-68			
Some College	50	41-60			
College Degree	60	53-65			
<$25,000	54	45-63			
$25,000 to $49,999	61	54-68			
$50,000+	60	54-65			
White, non-Hispanic	60	56-64			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	55	50-61			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	70	59-79			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	64	53-74			
Emp. Status: Retired	54	48-60			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	62	58-67			
Divorced/Separated	62	52-71			
Widowed	46	38-54			
Never Married	51	41-62			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	63	56-69			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	56	51-60			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	54	45-63			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	59	55-63			
Diagnosed Diabetic	52	42-62			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	59	55-63			
Asthmatic (Current)	47	35-59			
Not Asthmatic	60	56-63			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	54	47-61			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	54	48-60			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	65	59-71			
Limited Due Health Problems	55	48-63			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	59	55-63			
Current Smoker	58	48-68			
Former Smoker	60	53-66			
Never Smoked	58	53-63			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	59	54-65			
Non-Drinker	59	54-64			
No Health Care Coverage	58	45-70			
Have Health Care Coverage	58	55-62			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	57	53-61			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	45	33-59			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	60	56-63			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	62	56-68			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	56	52-61			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Somewhat Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 38-54) compared to Lancaster County married adults (62 percent, CI: 58-67).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Not At All Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	16	13-19			
Male	11	8-16			
Female	20	16-24			
18-29	17	10-27			
30-44	18	13-24			
45-64	19	15-22			
65+	8	5-12			
< High School	18	11-28		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	12	9-16			
Some College	26	18-37			
College Degree	13	10-18			
<$25,000	16	11-23			
$25,000 to $49,999	15	10-21			
$50,000+	17	13-21			
White, non-Hispanic	15	13-18			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	20	16-25			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	5	2-11			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	11	5-23			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	15	9-25			
Emp. Status: Retired	9	6-13			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	14	11-17			
Divorced/Separated	21	14-30			
Widowed	13	8-19			
Never Married	16	10-25			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	18	13-23			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	12-18			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	25	18-34			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	15	12-18			
Diagnosed Diabetic	19	12-28			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	16	13-19			
Asthmatic (Current)	29	19-41			
Not Asthmatic	14	12-17			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	20	15-25			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	17	13-22			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	12	9-17			
Limited Due Health Problems	18	13-25			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	15	12-18			
Current Smoker	23	16-32			
Former Smoker	16	11-23			
Never Smoked	14	11-17			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	17	13-22			
Non-Drinker	14	11-18			
No Health Care Coverage	15	9-24			
Have Health Care Coverage	16	13-19			
No Personal Health Care Provider	14	7-24			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	16	13-19			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	31	21-44			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	12-17			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	16	12-21			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	15	12-18			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Feel Their Household is Not At All Prepared to Handle a Large-Scale Disaster or Emergency, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (18 percent, CI: 13-24).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (19 percent, CI: 15-22).

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-16) compared to Lancaster County adults with some college education (26 percent, CI: 18-37).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-11) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-13) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (29 percent, CI: 19-41).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-17) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (31 percent, CI: 21-44).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Water for Everyone Who Lives There**, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	58	55-62			
Male	64	58-69			
Female	53	48-58			
18-29	52	41-63			
30-44	51	44-58			
45-64	61	57-66			
65+	69	63-73			
< High School	66	55-76		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	63	58-68			
Some College	50	41-60			
College Degree	54	47-59			
<$25,000	70	61-77			
$25,000 to $49,999	55	47-62			
$50,000+	54	48-59			
White, non-Hispanic	58	54-62			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	56	51-61			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	54	42-66			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	43	33-54			
Emp. Status: Retired	75	69-79			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	54	50-59			
Divorced/Separated	72	63-79			
Widowed	72	64-79			
Never Married	59	48-69			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	49	43-56			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	64	59-68			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	59	50-68			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	58	54-62			
Diagnosed Diabetic	67	57-76			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	58	54-61			
Asthmatic (Current)	49	37-61			
Not Asthmatic	59	56-63			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	59	52-66			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	61	55-67			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	55	48-61			
Limited Due Health Problems	57	49-64			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	58	54-62			
Current Smoker	61	51-70			
Former Smoker	62	55-68			
Never Smoked	56	52-61			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	52	47-58			
Non-Drinker	63	58-67			
No Health Care Coverage	64	51-74			
Have Health Care Coverage	57	54-61			
No Personal Health Care Provider	54	40-67			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	59	55-62			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	51	38-64			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	59	55-63			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	51	45-57			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	64	59-68			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** 3-day supply of water is 1 gallon of water per person per day.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Water for Everyone Who Lives There, 2009**

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 44-58) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (69 percent, CI: 63-73).

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 48-59) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (70 percent, CI: 61-77).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 51-61) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (75 percent, CI: 69-79).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 42-66) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (75 percent, CI: 69-79).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (43 percent, CI: 33-54) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (75 percent, CI: 69-79).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 50-59) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (72 percent, CI: 63-79).
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 50-59) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (72 percent, CI: 64-79).

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 43-56) compared to Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household (64 percent, CI: 59-68).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (51 percent, CI: 45-57) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (64 percent, CI: 59-68).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Nonperishable Food for Everyone Who Lives There, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	86	83-88			
Male	87	83-91			
Female	84	80-87			
18-29	79	68-87			
30-44	85	79-90			
45-64	86	83-89			
65+	91	87-94			
< High School	86	75-92		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	86	81-89			
Some College	82	73-89			
College Degree	87	83-91			
<$25,000	90	84-95			
$25,000 to $49,999	86	81-91			
$50,000+	84	80-88			
White, non-Hispanic	86	83-89			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	83	79-87			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	92	85-96			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	85	74-92			
Emp. Status: Retired	91	87-94			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	87	84-90			
Divorced/Separated	87	80-92			
Widowed	90	83-94			
Never Married	80	70-87			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	86	81-90			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	82-88			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	86	79-91			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	86	82-88			
Diagnosed Diabetic	89	81-93			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	85	82-88			
Asthmatic (Current)	72	58-83			
Not Asthmatic	87	84-89			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	87	81-91			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	89	85-92			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	82	76-87			
Limited Due Health Problems	83	75-89			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	86	83-89			
Current Smoker	85	77-90			
Former Smoker	86	79-90			
Never Smoked	86	82-89			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	84	79-88			
Non-Drinker	88	84-91			
No Health Care Coverage	83	71-91			
Have Health Care Coverage	86	83-88			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	86	83-89			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	78	65-87			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	86	84-89			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	86	81-90			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	85	81-88			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Nonperishable Food for Everyone Who Lives There, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 58-83) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma (87 percent, CI: 84-89).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Prescription Medication for Each Person Who Takes Prescribed Medicines, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	95	93-97			
Male	97	94-98			
Female	94	90-96			
18-29	90	80-95			
30-44	95	90-98			
45-64	97	94-98			
65+	98	95-99			
< High School	91	80-96		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	94	91-97			
Some College	93	83-97			
College Degree	100	98-100			
<$25,000	94	88-97			
$25,000 to $49,999	94	88-97			
$50,000+	98	94-99			
White, non-Hispanic	96	94-98			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	95	91-97			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	99	95-100			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	98	95-99			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	99	97-99			
Divorced/Separated	92	84-96			
Widowed	95	89-98			
Never Married	86	76-92			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	93	88-96			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	96	94-98			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	97	92-99			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	95	93-97			
Diagnosed Diabetic	100	NCI			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	95	92-97			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	95	93-97			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	93	86-96			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	98	95-99			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	95	90-97			
Limited Due Health Problems	94	88-98			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	95	93-97			
Current Smoker	93	87-97			
Former Smoker	96	91-99			
Never Smoked	95	92-97			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	96	93-98			
Non-Drinker	94	90-96			
No Health Care Coverage	92	82-97			
Have Health Care Coverage	96	93-97			
No Personal Health Care Provider	91	80-96			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	96	93-97			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	86	75-93			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	96	94-98			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	91	85-94			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	98	96-99			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a 3-Day Supply of Prescription Medication for Each Person Who Takes Prescribed Medicines, 2009

Differences Within Lancaster County:

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (94 percent, CI: 91-97) compared to Lancaster County adults with a college degree (100 percent, CI: 98-100).
  - Lancaster County adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (93 percent, CI: 83-97) compared to Lancaster County adults with a college degree (100 percent, CI: 98-100).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (92 percent, CI: 84-96) compared to Lancaster County married adults (99 percent, CI: 97-99).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 76-92) compared to Lancaster County married adults (99 percent, CI: 97-99).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 75-93) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (96 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (91 percent, CI: 85-94) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (98 percent, CI: 96-99).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Battery Operated Radio and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	71	68-75			
Male	73	67-78			
Female	70	66-74			
18-29	66	54-76			
30-44	74	67-80			
45-64	73	69-77			
65+	71	66-76			
< High School	64	52-74		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	73	68-78			
Some College	66	56-75			
College Degree	75	70-80			
<$25,000	68	59-75			
$25,000 to $49,999	69	61-75			
$50,000+	75	70-79			
White, non-Hispanic	72	68-75			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	71	66-76			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	75	63-84			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	81	67-89			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	71	60-80			
Emp. Status: Retired	72	67-77			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	75	71-79			
Divorced/Separated	63	52-72			
Widowed	68	59-75			
Never Married	69	58-78			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	73	66-78			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	71	67-75			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	62	53-70			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	73	69-76			
Diagnosed Diabetic	64	53-73			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	72	68-75			
Asthmatic (Current)	66	53-77			
Not Asthmatic	72	69-75			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	74	67-79			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	74	69-79			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	68	62-74			
Limited Due Health Problems	72	64-78			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	71	67-75			
Current Smoker	69	58-78			
Former Smoker	73	66-79			
Never Smoked	72	67-76			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	72	66-77			
Non-Drinker	70	66-75			
No Health Care Coverage	71	59-80			
Have Health Care Coverage	72	68-75			
No Personal Health Care Provider	67	54-78			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	72	68-75			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	68	55-79			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	72	68-75			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	70	64-75			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	73	69-77			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Battery Operated Radio and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- There were no significant differences within Lancaster County

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Flashlight and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	96	94-97			
Male	98	94-99			
Female	95	92-97			
18-29	94	85-98			
30-44	96	92-98			
45-64	97	95-98			
65+	97	95-98			
< High School	91	81-96		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	96	92-98			
Some College	96	91-98			
College Degree	98	96-99			
<$25,000	90	81-95			
$25,000 to $49,999	96	93-98			
$50,000+	98	96-99			
White, non-Hispanic	98	96-98			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	96	94-98			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	98	95-100			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	98	92-100			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	96	85-99			
Emp. Status: Retired	98	96-99			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	97	95-98			
Divorced/Separated	89	77-95			
Widowed	98	94-99			
Never Married	96	90-98			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	89-97			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	98	96-98			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	92	85-96			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	97	95-98			
Diagnosed Diabetic	94	87-97			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	96	94-98			
Asthmatic (Current)	98	92-100			
Not Asthmatic	96	94-97			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	95	91-98			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	97	95-98			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	96	92-98			
Limited Due Health Problems	96	93-98			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	96	94-98			
Current Smoker	92	83-96			
Former Smoker	99	98-100			
Never Smoked	96	94-98			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	97	95-98			
Non-Drinker	95	92-97			
No Health Care Coverage	99	96-100			
Have Health Care Coverage	96	94-97			
No Personal Health Care Provider	96	88-99			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	96	94-98			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	92	82-97			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	97	95-98			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	97	93-98			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	96	94-97			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Working Flashlight and Working Batteries For Use if Electricity is Out, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (90 percent, CI: 81-95) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (98 percent, CI: 96-99).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (92 percent, CI: 83-96) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers (99 percent, CI: 98-100).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Home Telephones, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	22	19-25			
Male	25	20-30			
Female	19	16-23			
18-29	18	10-28			
30-44	16	11-23			
45-64	21	18-26			
65+	35	30-40			
< High School	37	27-49		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	22	18-27			
Some College	23	17-32			
College Degree	15	12-20			
<$25,000	36	28-45			
$25,000 to $49,999	29	23-36			
$50,000+	12	9-16			
White, non-Hispanic	21	18-24			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	17	14-22			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	17	10-29			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	21	13-30			
Emp. Status: Retired	34	29-40			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	18	14-21			
Divorced/Separated	35	26-46			
Widowed	40	32-48			
Never Married	22	15-31			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	12-22			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	25	22-29			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	38	30-47			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	20	17-23			
Diagnosed Diabetic	38	29-48			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-24			
Asthmatic (Current)	12	7-20			
Not Asthmatic	23	20-26			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	25	20-31			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	21	17-27			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	21	16-26			
Limited Due Health Problems	30	23-37			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	17-23			
Current Smoker	29	20-39			
Former Smoker	27	21-34			
Never Smoked	18	15-22			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	16	12-20			
Non-Drinker	27	23-31			
No Health Care Coverage	19	11-31			
Have Health Care Coverage	22	19-25			
No Personal Health Care Provider	27	16-41			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	19-25			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	21	13-33			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	22	19-25			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	19	15-25			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	23	20-27			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Regular Home Telephones, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 10-28) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (35 percent, CI: 30-40).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 11-23) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (35 percent, CI: 30-40).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (35 percent, CI: 30-40).

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Lancaster County adults less than a high school education (37 percent, CI: 27-49).

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-16) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (36 percent, CI: 28-45).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-16) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (29 percent, CI: 23-36).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (34 percent, CI: 29-40).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (35 percent, CI: 26-46).
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (40 percent, CI: 32-48).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 15-31) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (40 percent, CI: 32-48).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (38 percent, CI: 30-47).

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 18-24) compared to Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes (38 percent, CI: 29-48).

- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Lancaster County adults who do not drink (27 percent, CI: 23-31).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Cell Phones, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	72	68-75			
Male	68	62-73			
Female	75	72-79			
18-29	77	66-85			
30-44	77	70-83			
45-64	74	69-78			
65+	55	50-60			
< High School	54	43-66		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	71	66-76			
Some College	68	58-76			
College Degree	81	76-85			
<$25,000	52	43-61			
$25,000 to $49,999	65	57-71			
$50,000+	83	79-87			
White, non-Hispanic	72	69-75			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	76	71-81			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	75	62-84			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	75	65-83			
Emp. Status: Retired	56	50-62			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	77	73-80			
Divorced/Separated	58	48-68			
Widowed	49	41-58			
Never Married	71	61-79			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	80	74-85			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	67	63-71			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	55	46-64			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	74	70-77			
Diagnosed Diabetic	54	44-64			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	73	69-76			
Asthmatic (Current)	82	73-89			
Not Asthmatic	71	67-74			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	70	63-75			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	70	64-75			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	74	68-79			
Limited Due Health Problems	62	54-69			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	74	70-77			
Current Smoker	61	51-71			
Former Smoker	64	58-71			
Never Smoked	77	73-81			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	81	76-85			
Non-Drinker	65	60-69			
No Health Care Coverage	67	54-78			
Have Health Care Coverage	72	69-76			
No Personal Health Care Provider	64	49-76			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	72	69-75			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	68	55-79			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	72	69-75			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	74	69-79			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	70	66-74			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Cell Phones, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 50-60) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (77 percent, CI: 66-85).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 50-60) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (77 percent, CI: 70-83).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 50-60) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (74 percent, CI: 69-78).
- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 43-66) compared to Lancaster County adults with a college degree (81 percent, CI: 76-85).

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 43-61) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (83 percent, CI: 79-87).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 57-71) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (83 percent, CI: 79-87).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 50-62) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (76 percent, CI: 71-81).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 50-62) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (75 percent, CI: 65-83).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (58 percent, CI: 48-68) compared to Lancaster County married adults (77 percent, CI: 73-80).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 41-58) compared to Lancaster County married adults (77 percent, CI: 73-80).

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Lancaster County adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 63-71) compared to Lancaster County adults with children living in their household (80 percent, CI: 74-85).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 46-64) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (74 percent, CI: 70-77).

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (54 percent, CI: 44-64) compared to Lancaster County adults not diagnosed with diabetes (73 percent, CI: 69-76).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Communication With Friends and Family During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Cell Phones, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County (continued):

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 54-69) compared to Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems (74 percent, CI: 70-77).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (61 percent, CI: 51-71) compared to Lancaster County adults who have never smoked (77 percent, CI: 73-81).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 58-71) compared to Lancaster County adults who have never smoked (77 percent, CI: 73-81).

- **Drinking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 60-69) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported non-chronic drinking (81 percent, CI: 76-85).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Television, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	30	26-33			
Male	27	22-32			
Female	33	28-37			
18-29	27	18-38			
30-44	26	20-34			
45-64	31	27-36			
65+	34	30-40			
< High School	18	12-27		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	28	23-33			
Some College	39	30-50			
College Degree	30	25-36			
<$25,000	27	20-35			
$25,000 to $49,999	34	28-42			
$50,000+	29	25-35			
White, non-Hispanic	30	26-33			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	28	23-33			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	25	16-37			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	24	16-34			
Emp. Status: Retired	34	28-40			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	27	24-31			
Divorced/Separated	38	28-48			
Widowed	34	27-43			
Never Married	30	21-41			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	26	21-32			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	32	28-36			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	34	26-43			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	29	26-33			
Diagnosed Diabetic	39	30-49			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	29	25-32			
Asthmatic (Current)	35	24-48			
Not Asthmatic	29	26-32			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	31	25-37			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	25-37			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	27	22-33			
Limited Due Health Problems	36	29-44			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	28	25-32			
Current Smoker	34	25-44			
Former Smoker	31	25-38			
Never Smoked	28	24-32			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	33	28-39			
Non-Drinker	27	23-31			
No Health Care Coverage	19	12-30			
Have Health Care Coverage	31	28-35			
No Personal Health Care Provider	28	18-41			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	30	27-33			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	33	22-46			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	29	26-33			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	24	19-29			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	33	29-37			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be Television, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-27) compared to Lancaster County adults with some college education (39 percent, CI: 30-50).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be the Radio, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	40	37-44			
Male	43	38-49			
Female	37	33-42			
18-29	21	13-32			
30-44	42	35-50			
45-64	52	47-57			
65+	38	32-43			
< High School	23	14-34		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	39	34-44			
Some College	37	29-46			
College Degree	49	43-56			
<$25,000	30	23-39			
$25,000 to $49,999	35	29-42			
$50,000+	49	44-55			
White, non-Hispanic	41	37-45			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	45	40-50			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	38	27-50			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	32	23-42			
Emp. Status: Retired	41	35-47			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	48	43-52			
Divorced/Separated	37	28-46			
Widowed	31	23-39			
Never Married	21	14-30			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	39	33-46			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	41	37-45			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	34	26-43			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	41	37-45			
Diagnosed Diabetic	39	30-50			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	40	37-44			
Asthmatic (Current)	46	34-58			
Not Asthmatic	40	36-43			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	44	38-51			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	45	39-51			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	34	28-39			
Limited Due Health Problems	39	32-46			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	40	37-44			
Current Smoker	30	22-39			
Former Smoker	44	37-51			
Never Smoked	42	37-46			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	45	39-51			
Non-Drinker	36	32-41			
No Health Care Coverage	22	15-33			
Have Health Care Coverage	43	39-46			
No Personal Health Care Provider	28	18-41			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	41	37-45			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	33	22-46			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	41	37-45			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	36	30-42			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	43	39-48			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Main Method of Getting Information From Authorities During a Large-Scale Emergency Would be the Radio, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 13-32) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (42 percent, CI: 35-50).
  - Lancaster County adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 13-32) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (52 percent, CI: 47-57).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 32-43) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (52 percent, CI: 47-57).

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with less than a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 14-34) compared to Lancaster County adults with a college degree (49 percent, CI: 43-56).

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 23-39) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (49 percent, CI: 44-55).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 29-42) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (49 percent, CI: 44-55).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 23-39) compared to Lancaster County married adults (48 percent, CI: 43-52).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-30) compared to Lancaster County married adults (48 percent, CI: 43-52).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 15-33) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having health care coverage (43 percent, CI: 39-46).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Written Disaster Evacuation Plan For How They Will Leave Their Home in the Case of a Large-Scale Emergency, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	15	13-18			
Male	13	10-17			
Female	17	14-20			
18-29	13	7-22			
30-44	12	8-18			
45-64	12	9-15			
65+	26	21-31			
< High School	19	12-29		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	17	14-22			
Some College	12	8-19			
College Degree	12	9-16			
<$25,000	27	20-36			
$25,000 to $49,999	18	14-25			
$50,000+	10	7-14			
White, non-Hispanic	15	13-18			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	11	8-14			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	8	3-16			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	16	9-25			
Emp. Status: Retired	24	20-30			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	12	10-15			
Divorced/Separated	14	9-22			
Widowed	35	28-43			
Never Married	16	9-25			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	9-18			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	13-19			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	16	11-23			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	15	12-18			
Diagnosed Diabetic	19	12-29			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	15	12-17			
Asthmatic (Current)	18	11-30			
Not Asthmatic	15	12-17			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	18	13-23			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	15	12-20			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	13	9-17			
Limited Due Health Problems	14	10-20			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	15	12-18			
Current Smoker	17	11-26			
Former Smoker	17	13-23			
Never Smoked	14	11-17			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	14	11-19			
Non-Drinker	16	13-20			
No Health Care Coverage	9	4-19			
Have Health Care Coverage	16	13-19			
No Personal Health Care Provider	8	3-19			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	16	13-18			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	27	17-41			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	11-16			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	8	5-12			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	20	16-23			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported Their Household Has a Written Disaster Evacuation Plan For How They Will Leave Their Home in the Case of a Large-Scale Emergency, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-18) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (26 percent, CI: 21-31).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-15) compared to Lancaster County adults age 65 and older (26 percent, CI: 21-31).

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (27 percent, CI: 20-36).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (24 percent, CI: 20-30).
  - Lancaster County self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 3-16) compared to Lancaster County retired adults (24 percent, CI: 20-30).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (35 percent, CI: 28-43).
  - Lancaster County divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 9-22) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (35 percent, CI: 28-43).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 9-25) compared to Lancaster County widowed adults (35 percent, CI: 28-43).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-16) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (27 percent, CI: 17-41).
  - Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (20 percent, CI: 16-23).

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Would Evacuate if Authorities Announced a Mandatory Evacuation Due to a Large-Scale Emergency (Unsure Adults Included in the Denominator), 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	88	86-90			
Male	86	82-89			
Female	91	88-93			
18-29	92	82-96			
30-44	89	84-93			
45-64	85	82-89			
65+	88	84-91			
< High School	84	75-90		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	88	84-91			
Some College	91	83-96			
College Degree	89	85-92			
<$25,000	87	79-92			
$25,000 to $49,999	90	84-93			
$50,000+	89	86-92			
White, non-Hispanic	88	85-90			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	89	85-92			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	82	71-89			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	91	81-96			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	89	80-94			
Emp. Status: Retired	87	83-91			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	88	85-90			
Divorced/Separated	93	86-96			
Widowed	94	88-97			
Never Married	87	78-92			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	91	87-94			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	87	83-89			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	84	77-89			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	89	86-91			
Diagnosed Diabetic	87	79-92			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	88	86-91			
Asthmatic (Current)	95	89-98			
Not Asthmatic	88	85-90			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	91	87-94			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	87	82-90			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	89	84-92			
Limited Due Health Problems	87	80-91			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	89	86-91			
Current Smoker	86	77-91			
Former Smoker	88	83-92			
Never Smoked	89	86-92			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	91	87-93			
Non-Drinker	88	85-91			
No Health Care Coverage	81	70-89			
Have Health Care Coverage	89	87-91			
No Personal Health Care Provider	85	72-92			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	89	86-91			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	88	86-90			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	85	81-89			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	90	87-93			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Would Evacuate if Authorities Announced a Mandatory Evacuation Due to a Large-Scale Emergency (Unsure Adults Included in the Denominator), 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- No significant differences were seen within Lancaster County.

Module 19: General Preparedness, The Main Reason They Might Not Evacuate if Asked to Do So is Lack of Trust in Public Officials, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	17	10-27			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	7	3-15			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	17	10-29			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	22	13-35			
White, non-Hispanic	17	10-27			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	16	10-25			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	19	11-31			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	16	9-27			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	18	10-29			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	12	6-24			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	20	11-33			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	13	7-22			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	11	5-21			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	13	8-21			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	17	10-29			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	9-22			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	15	8-26			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	NSR	NSR			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, The Main Reason They Might Not Evacuate if Asked to Do So is Lack of Trust in Public Officials, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- No significant differences were seen within Lancaster County.

Module 19: General Preparedness, The Main Reason They Might Not Evacuate if Asked to Do So is Concern About Leaving Property Behind, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	14	8-22			
Male	13	6-25			
Female	15	7-28			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	NSR	NSR			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	14	8-22			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	16	9-27			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	7-23			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	13	7-22			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	14	8-23			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	14	9-23			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	13	7-23			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	10	5-20			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	10	4-21			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	16	9-26			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	15	9-24			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	8-23			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	NSR	NSR			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 19: General Preparedness, The Main Reason They Might Not Evacuate if Asked to Do So is Concern About Leaving Property Behind, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- No significant differences were seen within Lancaster County.

Module 26: Child Asthma Prevalence, Ever Told by Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That The Child Has Asthma, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	9-17		15	13-17
Male	11	6-18		12	9-15
Female	14	10-21		16	14-20
18-29	NSR	NSR		11	6-18
30-44	16	10-23		14	12-18
45-64	9	5-17		17	14-22
65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
< High School	NSR	NSR		19	11-32
High School	13	8-22		14	11-19
Some College	NSR	NSR		14	11-19
College Degree	12	7-19		15	12-18
<$25,000	NSR	NSR		21	15-29
$25,000 to $49,999	10	4-20		16	12-20
$50,000+	11	7-17		12	10-15
White, non-Hispanic	12	9-17		14	12-16
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		19	13-27
Emp. Status: Employed	14	10-21		14	11-16
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		15	9-23
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		14	9-23
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		18	10-30
Married	11	7-16		11	9-13
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		25	18-34
Widowed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Never Married	NSR	NSR		26	18-35
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	9-17		15	13-17
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR		22	14-32
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	13	9-17		14	12-16
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR		22	13-35
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	9-17		14	12-17
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		35	26-45
Not Asthmatic	11	8-16		12	10-14
Obese (BMI >= 30)	12	6-21		18	13-23
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	12	6-21		16	12-21
Not Overweight Nor Obese	15	9-23		12	9-15
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	12	9-17		14	12-16
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR		14	10-19
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
Never Smoked	11	7-16		13	11-17
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Drink But Not Chronic	13	8-20		14	12-17
Non-Drinker	13	8-21		16	12-20
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		17	10-27
Have Health Care Coverage	12	9-17		15	12-17
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		16	10-26
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	12	8-16		15	13-17
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		20	14-28
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	12	8-16		14	12-16
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	16	10-24		14	10-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	10	6-16		16	13-19
Urban	NSR	NSR		16	13-18
Rural	NSR	NSR		10	7-15

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Module 26: Child Asthma Prevalence, Ever Told by Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That The Child Has Asthma, 2009

Geographic Differences: Lancaster County and Pennsylvania:

- No significant differences were seen between Lancaster County and Pennsylvania.

Differences within Lancaster County:

- No significant differences were seen within Lancaster County.

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Having Little Interest or Pleasure in Doing Things 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	36	33-40			
Male	37	32-43			
Female	36	31-40			
18-29	53	41-64			
30-44	35	29-42			
45-64	33	28-38			
65+	26	21-31			
< High School	51	40-62		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	40	34-45			
Some College	34	26-44			
College Degree	28	22-33			
<$25,000	48	39-57			
$25,000 to $49,999	39	32-46			
$50,000+	29	24-34			
White, non-Hispanic	35	31-38			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	37	32-42			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	30	20-43			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	41	30-52			
Emp. Status: Retired	25	20-30			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	32	28-36			
Divorced/Separated	40	30-50			
Widowed	24	17-32			
Never Married	54	43-64			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	39	32-45			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	31-39			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	55	46-63			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	34	30-38			
Diagnosed Diabetic	35	26-46			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	36	33-40			
Asthmatic (Current)	41	29-53			
Not Asthmatic	36	32-40			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	36	30-43			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	33	27-38			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	40	34-46			
Limited Due Health Problems	52	44-60			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	33	29-37			
Current Smoker	56	46-66			
Former Smoker	31	25-38			
Never Smoked	33	28-37			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	32	27-38			
Non-Drinker	39	34-44			
No Health Care Coverage	43	31-55			
Have Health Care Coverage	35	32-39			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	35	32-39			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	34	31-38			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	37	31-43			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	36	32-41			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Having Little Interest or Pleasure in Doing Things 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-38) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (53 percent, CI: 41-64).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-31) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (53 percent, CI: 41-64).

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 22-33) compared to Lancaster County adults less than a high school education (51 percent, CI: 40-62).
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 22-33) compared to Lancaster County adults with a high school education (40 percent, CI: 34-45).

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 24-34) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (48 percent, CI: 39-57).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 20-30) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (37 percent, CI: 32-42).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-36) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (54 percent, CI: 43-64).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 17-32) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (54 percent, CI: 43-64).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 30-38) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (55 percent, CI: 46-63).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-37) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (52 percent, CI: 44-60).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 25-38) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (56 percent, CI: 46-66).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 28-37) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (56 percent, CI: 46-66).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Feeling Down, Depressed or Hopeless 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	29	26-33			
Male	26	22-32			
Female	32	28-37			
18-29	47	35-58			
30-44	27	21-34			
45-64	29	25-34			
65+	16	12-20			
< High School	39	28-51		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	29	24-35			
Some College	35	26-45			
College Degree	23	18-28			
<$25,000	36	27-45			
$25,000 to $49,999	31	25-39			
$50,000+	23	19-28			
White, non-Hispanic	28	25-32			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	29	24-34			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	23	14-35			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	35	25-47			
Emp. Status: Retired	15	12-20			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	23	20-27			
Divorced/Separated	38	28-48			
Widowed	19	13-27			
Never Married	47	37-58			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	32	26-38			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	28	24-32			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	51	42-60			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	27	23-30			
Diagnosed Diabetic	35	26-45			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	29	25-33			
Asthmatic (Current)	42	30-54			
Not Asthmatic	28	24-32			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	29	23-36			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	27	22-33			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	31	25-38			
Limited Due Health Problems	47	39-55			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	25	22-29			
Current Smoker	45	36-56			
Former Smoker	25	19-31			
Never Smoked	26	22-31			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	30	25-36			
Non-Drinker	28	24-33			
No Health Care Coverage	28	19-41			
Have Health Care Coverage	29	26-33			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	29	26-33			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	55	41-68			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	26	23-30			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	29	24-36			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	28	24-33			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Feeling Down, Depressed or Hopeless 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 21-34) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (47 percent, CI: 35-58).
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-34) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (47 percent, CI: 35-58).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (47 percent, CI: 35-58).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (27 percent, CI: 21-34).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (29 percent, CI: 25-34).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (29 percent, CI: 24-34).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (35 percent, CI: 25-47).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-27) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (38 percent, CI: 28-48).
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-27) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (47 percent, CI: 37-58).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (38 percent, CI: 28-48).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-27) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (47 percent, CI: 37-58).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-30) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (51 percent, CI: 42-60).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (47 percent, CI: 39-55).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-31) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (45 percent, CI: 36-56).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (45 percent, CI: 36-56).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-30) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (55 percent, CI: 41-68).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Having Trouble Falling Asleep, Staying Asleep or Sleeping Too Much 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	49	46-53			
Male	45	40-51			
Female	53	48-58			
18-29	62	51-73			
30-44	41	34-48			
45-64	53	49-58			
65+	40	35-46			
< High School	50	39-61		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	47	42-53			
Some College	54	44-63			
College Degree	49	43-55			
<$25,000	55	46-64			
$25,000 to $49,999	49	42-56			
$50,000+	47	41-52			
White, non-Hispanic	48	44-52			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	50	45-55			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	51	39-63			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	54	43-64			
Emp. Status: Retired	40	35-46			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	45	40-49			
Divorced/Separated	56	46-66			
Widowed	40	32-48			
Never Married	60	50-70			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	49	43-56			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	49	45-53			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	68	60-76			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	47	43-51			
Diagnosed Diabetic	55	45-65			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	49	45-53			
Asthmatic (Current)	52	40-64			
Not Asthmatic	49	45-53			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	48	41-55			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	47	42-53			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	52	46-58			
Limited Due Health Problems	64	56-71			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	46	42-50			
Current Smoker	67	57-75			
Former Smoker	49	42-56			
Never Smoked	45	40-49			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	48	42-54			
Non-Drinker	49	44-54			
No Health Care Coverage	56	43-67			
Have Health Care Coverage	48	45-52			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	50	46-53			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	68	54-79			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	47	43-51			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	49	43-55			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	49	44-53			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Having Trouble Falling Asleep, Staying Asleep or Sleeping Too Much 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 34-48) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (62 percent, CI: 51-73).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 34-48) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (53 percent, CI: 49-58).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 35-46) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (62 percent, CI: 51-73).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 35-46) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (53 percent, CI: 49-58).
  - Lancaster County adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (41 percent, CI: 34-48) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (62 percent, CI: 51-73).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 40-49) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (60 percent, CI: 50-70).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 32-48) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (60 percent, CI: 50-70).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 43-51) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (68 percent, CI: 60-76).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 42-50) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (64 percent, CI: 56-71).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 42-56) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (67 percent, CI: 57-75).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 40-49) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (67 percent, CI: 57-75).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (47 percent, CI: 43-51) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (68 percent, CI: 54-79).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Feeling Tired or Having Little Energy 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	65	62-68			
Male	61	56-66			
Female	68	64-73			
18-29	73	62-82			
30-44	69	61-75			
45-64	64	59-69			
65+	53	48-58			
< High School	69	59-78		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	61	55-66			
Some College	67	58-75			
College Degree	67	61-72			
<$25,000	68	59-75			
$25,000 to $49,999	68	61-74			
$50,000+	64	58-69			
White, non-Hispanic	64	61-68			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	71	66-75			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	60	48-71			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	75	64-83			
Emp. Status: Retired	50	45-56			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	64	59-68			
Divorced/Separated	68	58-76			
Widowed	54	46-62			
Never Married	69	59-78			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	69	63-75			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	62	58-66			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	86	79-91			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	62	58-66			
Diagnosed Diabetic	69	60-78			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	65	61-68			
Asthmatic (Current)	68	55-79			
Not Asthmatic	65	61-68			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	66	60-72			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	63	57-68			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	65	59-71			
Limited Due Health Problems	77	69-83			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	62	58-66			
Current Smoker	73	64-81			
Former Smoker	60	54-67			
Never Smoked	64	60-68			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	66	61-71			
Non-Drinker	64	60-68			
No Health Care Coverage	66	54-76			
Have Health Care Coverage	65	61-68			
No Personal Health Care Provider	64	50-76			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	65	62-68			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	64	60-67			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	69	63-74			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	62	58-66			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Feeling Tired or Having Little Energy 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 48-58) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (73 percent, CI: 62-82).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 48-58) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (69 percent, CI: 61-75).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (53 percent, CI: 48-58) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (64 percent, CI: 59-69).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 45-56) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (71 percent, CI: 66-75).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 45-56) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (75 percent, CI: 64-83).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 58-66) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (86 percent, CI: 79-91).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 58-66) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (77 percent, CI: 69-83).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Having a Poor Appetite or Eating Too Much 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	34	31-38			
Male	31	26-36			
Female	38	33-42			
18-29	28	19-39			
30-44	36	29-43			
45-64	41	37-46			
65+	28	24-33			
< High School	41	31-52		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	36	31-41			
Some College	32	24-41			
College Degree	31	26-37			
<$25,000	38	29-47			
$25,000 to $49,999	37	30-43			
$50,000+	32	27-37			
White, non-Hispanic	34	30-37			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	35	31-40			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	28	19-39			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	38	28-49			
Emp. Status: Retired	26	21-31			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	32	28-36			
Divorced/Separated	50	41-60			
Widowed	25	18-33			
Never Married	37	28-47			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	33	27-39			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	31-39			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	63	55-71			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	31	27-34			
Diagnosed Diabetic	34	26-44			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	34	31-38			
Asthmatic (Current)	42	30-54			
Not Asthmatic	34	30-37			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	44	38-51			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	36	30-41			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	26	21-32			
Limited Due Health Problems	52	44-60			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	31	27-34			
Current Smoker	53	43-63			
Former Smoker	34	28-41			
Never Smoked	29	26-34			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	32	28-38			
Non-Drinker	37	32-41			
No Health Care Coverage	27	18-39			
Have Health Care Coverage	35	32-39			
No Personal Health Care Provider	34	22-47			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	35	31-38			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	51	38-65			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	33	29-36			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	34	29-40			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	35	31-39			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Having a Poor Appetite or Eating Too Much 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (41 percent, CI: 37-46).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-36) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (50 percent, CI: 41-60).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-33) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (50 percent, CI: 41-60).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-34) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (63 percent, CI: 55-71).

- **Weight Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 21-32) compared to Lancaster County obese adults (44 percent, CI: 38-51).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-34) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (52 percent, CI: 44-60).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 28-41) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (53 percent, CI: 43-63).
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (53 percent, CI: 43-63).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-36) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (51 percent, CI: 38-65).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Felt Bad About Themselves or That They Were a Failure 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	22	19-26			
Male	21	16-26			
Female	24	20-28			
18-29	36	26-48			
30-44	22	17-28			
45-64	21	17-25			
65+	11	8-15			
< High School	29	19-41		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	22	18-28			
Some College	25	17-35			
College Degree	18	14-23			
<$25,000	38	29-48			
$25,000 to $49,999	20	15-26			
$50,000+	17	14-22			
White, non-Hispanic	21	18-24			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	21	17-26			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	17	10-28			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	28	19-39			
Emp. Status: Retired	11	8-15			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	19	16-23			
Divorced/Separated	26	17-37			
Widowed	10	6-16			
Never Married	35	25-46			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	19-30			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	18-25			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	34	26-44			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	21	17-24			
Diagnosed Diabetic	18	12-27			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	22	19-26			
Asthmatic (Current)	39	28-52			
Not Asthmatic	20	17-24			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	24	18-30			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	18	14-23			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	25	20-31			
Limited Due Health Problems	38	31-46			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	19	15-22			
Current Smoker	36	26-46			
Former Smoker	21	16-27			
Never Smoked	19	16-23			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	20	16-26			
Non-Drinker	22	18-27			
No Health Care Coverage	35	24-48			
Have Health Care Coverage	20	17-24			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-24			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	45	32-59			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	20	17-23			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	27	21-33			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	19	16-23			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Felt Bad About Themselves or That They Were a Failure 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-25) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (36 percent, CI: 26-48).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (36 percent, CI: 26-48).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (22 percent, CI: 17-28).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (21 percent, CI: 17-25).

- **Household Income**
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-26) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (38 percent, CI: 29-48).
  - Lancaster County adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-22) compared to Lancaster County adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (38 percent, CI: 29-48).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (28 percent, CI: 19-39).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (35 percent, CI: 25-46).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-16) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (26 percent, CI: 17-37).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-16) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (35 percent, CI: 25-46).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (34 percent, CI: 26-44).

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-24) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (39 percent, CI: 28-52).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-22) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (38 percent, CI: 31-46).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Felt Bad About Themselves or That They Were a Failure 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County (continued):

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (36 percent, CI: 26-46).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (45 percent, CI: 32-59).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Had Trouble Concentrating on Things 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	19	16-23			
Male	17	13-22			
Female	22	18-27			
18-29	34	24-46			
30-44	19	14-25			
45-64	16	13-20			
65+	11	8-15			
< High School	25	16-37		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	16	12-21			
Some College	26	18-37			
College Degree	18	13-23			
<$25,000	27	19-38			
$25,000 to $49,999	22	16-30			
$50,000+	15	12-20			
White, non-Hispanic	18	15-22			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	20	15-25			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	16	8-28			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	14	7-26			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	23	15-34			
Emp. Status: Retired	10	7-14			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	16	13-20			
Divorced/Separated	23	14-34			
Widowed	11	6-17			
Never Married	30	21-41			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	15-26			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	16-23			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	36	27-45			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	17	14-21			
Diagnosed Diabetic	14	8-22			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	20	17-23			
Asthmatic (Current)	37	26-50			
Not Asthmatic	18	15-21			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	16	12-22			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	19	14-25			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	21	16-27			
Limited Due Health Problems	37	29-45			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	15	12-19			
Current Smoker	28	19-39			
Former Smoker	17	12-22			
Never Smoked	18	14-22			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	19	15-25			
Non-Drinker	19	15-24			
No Health Care Coverage	28	18-41			
Have Health Care Coverage	18	15-22			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	20	16-23			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	39	27-53			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	17	14-21			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	20	15-26			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	18	15-22			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Had Trouble Concentrating on Things 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (34 percent, CI: 24-46).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (34 percent, CI: 24-46).

- **Employment Status**
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Lancaster County employed adults (20 percent, CI: 15-25).
  - Lancaster County retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being homemakers (23 percent, CI: 15-34).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-20) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (30 percent, CI: 21-41).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 6-17) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported they were never married (30 percent, CI: 21-41).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (36 percent, CI: 27-45).

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (37 percent, CI: 26-50).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (37 percent, CI: 29-45).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (39 percent, CI: 27-53).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Have Moved or Spoken So Slowly Others Could Have Noticed 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	11	8-14			
Male	11	8-16			
Female	10	8-14			
18-29	19	11-31			
30-44	12	8-18			
45-64	7	5-10			
65+	5	3-8			
< High School	20	12-32		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	12	8-17			
Some College	11	6-20			
College Degree	6	3-9			
<$25,000	18	11-27			
$25,000 to $49,999	12	8-19			
$50,000+	7	5-12			
White, non-Hispanic	10	7-12			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	11	7-15			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	9	4-16			
Emp. Status: Retired	5	3-9			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	8	6-11			
Divorced/Separated	19	11-30			
Widowed	7	3-13			
Never Married	14	8-22			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	7-15			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	11	8-15			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	27	19-36			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	9	6-12			
Diagnosed Diabetic	9	5-17			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	11	8-14			
Asthmatic (Current)	21	12-34			
Not Asthmatic	10	7-13			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	9	5-14			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	6-14			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	13	9-19			
Limited Due Health Problems	21	15-29			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	8	6-12			
Current Smoker	26	18-36			
Former Smoker	11	6-18			
Never Smoked	6	4-10			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	9	6-14			
Non-Drinker	12	9-16			
No Health Care Coverage	10	5-21			
Have Health Care Coverage	11	8-14			
No Personal Health Care Provider	8	3-17			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	11	9-14			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	28	18-42			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	7-12			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	10	7-15			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	11	8-15			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported They Have Moved or Spoken So Slowly Others Could Have Noticed 1+ Days Past 14 Days, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (19 percent, CI: 11-31).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Lancaster County adults age 18-29 (19 percent, CI: 11-31).

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Lancaster County adults less than a high school education (20 percent, CI: 12-32).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (27 percent, CI: 19-36).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (21 percent, CI: 15-29).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (26 percent, CI: 18-36).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (28 percent, CI: 18-42).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Have Ever Been Told They Have an Anxiety Disorder, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	11-16			
Male	10	7-13			
Female	16	13-20			
18-29	13	7-21			
30-44	18	13-24			
45-64	14	11-17			
65+	7	5-10			
< High School	10	5-17		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	12	9-17			
Some College	17	12-25			
College Degree	13	9-18			
<$25,000	17	12-25			
$25,000 to $49,999	12	8-18			
$50,000+	14	10-18			
White, non-Hispanic	13	11-16			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	13	10-17			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	9	4-17			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	10	6-18			
Emp. Status: Retired	7	5-11			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	10	8-13			
Divorced/Separated	25	17-35			
Widowed	11	7-17			
Never Married	17	11-25			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	9-17			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	11-17			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	32	24-42			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	11	9-13			
Diagnosed Diabetic	15	9-24			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	11-16			
Asthmatic (Current)	25	16-37			
Not Asthmatic	12	10-14			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	14	10-19			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	14	10-19			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	12	8-16			
Limited Due Health Problems	30	23-38			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	9	7-12			
Current Smoker	27	19-36			
Former Smoker	13	9-19			
Never Smoked	9	7-12			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	13	9-17			
Non-Drinker	13	10-17			
No Health Care Coverage	12	6-23			
Have Health Care Coverage	13	11-16			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	13	11-16			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	30	20-44			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	11	9-14			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	12	8-16			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	12-17			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Have Ever Been Told They Have an Anxiety Disorder, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Age**
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Lancaster County adults age 30-44 (18 percent, CI: 13-24).
  - Lancaster County adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Lancaster County adults age 45-64 (14 percent, CI: 11-17).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-13) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (25 percent, CI: 17-35).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-13) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (32 percent, CI: 24-42).

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-14) compared to Lancaster County adults who currently have asthma (25 percent, CI: 16-37).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (30 percent, CI: 23-38).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (27 percent, CI: 19-36).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (30 percent, CI: 20-44).

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Have Ever Been Told They Have a Depressive Disorder, 2009

	Lancaster County			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	19	16-22			
Male	14	11-18			
Female	24	20-28			
18-29	21	13-31			
30-44	22	17-29			
45-64	18	15-22			
65+	14	10-18			
< High School	16	9-27		**Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania**	
High School	17	13-22			
Some College	30	22-40			
College Degree	16	12-21			
<$25,000	27	19-36			
$25,000 to $49,999	20	15-26			
$50,000+	15	12-20			
White, non-Hispanic	19	16-22			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	18	14-22			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	16	9-27			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	15	9-24			
Emp. Status: Retired	14	10-18			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	15	13-19			
Divorced/Separated	33	24-42			
Widowed	13	8-20			
Never Married	25	17-35			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	13-23			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	17-24			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	43	34-53			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	16	13-19			
Diagnosed Diabetic	27	19-37			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	18	15-21			
Asthmatic (Current)	30	20-42			
Not Asthmatic	18	15-21			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	26	20-32			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	16	13-21			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	16	11-21			
Limited Due Health Problems	39	31-47			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	14	12-18			
Current Smoker	34	25-44			
Former Smoker	19	14-25			
Never Smoked	15	12-18			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	18	14-23			
Non-Drinker	19	16-23			
No Health Care Coverage	18	10-31			
Have Health Care Coverage	19	16-22			
No Personal Health Care Provider	10	5-20			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	20	17-23			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	43	30-57			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	16	14-19			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	16	12-21			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	21	18-25			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Anxiety and Depression, Percent of Adults Who Reported Have Ever Been Told They Have a Depressive Disorder, 2009

Differences within Lancaster County:

- **Gender**
  - Lancaster County men had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Lancaster County women (24 percent, CI: 20-28).

- **Education**
  - Lancaster County adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-21) compared to Lancaster County adults with some college education (30 percent, CI: 22-40).

- **Marital Status**
  - Lancaster County married adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 13-19) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (33 percent, CI: 24-42).
  - Lancaster County widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-20) compared to Lancaster County divorced or separated adults (33 percent, CI: 24-42).

- **General Health Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 13-19) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported having fair or poor general health (43 percent, CI: 34-53).

- **Disability Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being limited due to health problems (39 percent, CI: 31-47).

- **Smoking Status**
  - Lancaster County adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-18) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (34 percent, CI: 25-44).

- **Health Care Access**
  - Lancaster County adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Lancaster County adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (43 percent, CI: 30-57).

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,201 Lancaster County adults completed interviews for the Lancaster County BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed Lancaster County residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to Lancaster County. Cell phone numbers were not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of Lancaster County telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for Lancaster County consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to Lancaster County were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned pre-diabetes, general preparedness, childhood asthma prevalence, and anxiety and depression.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the

relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for Lancaster County in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of Lancaster County. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within Lancaster County's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Bart Township census population of 491 for ages 18-29 by those who felt their household was well prepared to handle a large scale disaster or emergency prevalence of 31% (0.31) for that age group in Lancaster County. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those who felt their household was well prepared to handle a large scale disaster or emergency, ages 18-29 in Bart Township is 152.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who felt their household was well prepared to handle a large scale disaster or emergency, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Bart Township Census Population		Felt Their Household Was Well Equipped to Handle a Disaster or Emergency From 2009 Lancaster County BRFSS		Estimate of Bart Township Adults Who Felt Their Household Was Well Equipped to Handle a Disaster or Emergency, 2009
18-29	491	X	31 %	=	152
30-44	544	X	20 %	=	109
45-64	561	X	20 %	=	112
65+	284	X	37 %	=	105
				Total	478

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Bart Township who felt their household was well prepared to handle a large scale disaster or emergency, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in Bart Township from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
Felt Their Household Was Well Equipped to Handle a Disaster or Emergency = **478**

Total Population Age 18+ in Bart Township = **1,880**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who felt their household was well prepared to handle a large scale disaster or emergency by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those Who Felt Their Household Was Well Equipped to Handle a Disaster or Emergency in Bart Township = (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults Who Felt Their Household Was Well Equipped to Handle a Disaster or Emergency in Bart Township / Total Population Age 18+ in Bart Township) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of Who Felt Their Household Was Well Equipped to Handle a Disaster or Emergency in Bart Township
= (478 / 1,880) X 100
= **25 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

2009 Behavioral Health Risks

of Northeast Health District Adults

pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Health began the Pennsylvania Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) in 1989. The BRFSS survey consists of telephone interviews using randomly generated telephone numbers to determine the households contacted. The survey contains a core set of questions provided by CDC to gather comprehensive, standard information nationwide. The questions asked concern health status, access to health care, health awareness, use of preventive health services, and knowledge and attitude assessment.

In an effort to provide local BRFSS data, the Pennsylvania Department of Health instituted the Pennsylvania BRFSS Local Sampling Program in 2002. Participation in the program was open to Pennsylvania's State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP)-affiliated partnerships located statewide. Six partnership organizations chose to participate in the 2009 program: Blair County Healthy Community Partnership, Chester County Healthy Communities Partnership, Indiana County Community Health Advisory, Lancaster Health Improvement Partnership, Lycoming County Health Improvement Coalition and the Pennsylvania Department of Health Northeast Health District (NEHD). These partnerships were given the opportunity to select 35-40 questions of their choice in addition to the core questions asked of all who participated in BRFSS.

The survey of adults living in the NEHD asked questions about health and health-related behaviors including General Health, Health Care Access, Exercise, Tobacco Use, Asthma, Diabetes, and Immunization. This allows for some comparison of the county survey results to state results and it also allows the county to get data specific to its individual needs.

Report Organization

A brief *Survey Highlights* section includes prevalence estimates for risk factors for adults in the NEHD compared to Pennsylvania. It was determined that there were no significant differences between adults in the NEHD compared to Pennsylvania in 2009.

Select prevalence estimates are included in *Table 1: Core Questions, Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009*. They are estimates from questions asked of all 2009 Pennsylvania BRFSS questionnaire respondents. NEHD data are presented alongside Pennsylvania data to assist with comparison (see Table 1 footnote). The topics in Table 1 include Health Status, Health Care Access, Sleep, Exercise, Diabetes, Hypertension Awareness, Cholesterol Awareness, Cardiovascular Disease, Asthma, Disability, Tobacco Use, Caregiver Status, Alcohol Consumption, Immunization, Arthritis Burden, Nutrition, HIV/AIDS, Cancer Survivors and Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction.

Table 2: Locally-Added Questions, Northeast Health District Adults, 2009 includes estimates that were specifically requested for the NEHD, and were not asked of adults in the Pennsylvania sample. Topics included in this table are Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, Smoking Cessation, Smoking Cessation Assistance and Smokeless Tobacco Use.

Table 3: Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation: Northeast Health District & Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009 includes estimates for objective goals available for the NEHD. Objective goals include: Health Care Access, Disability, Weight Control, Diabetes, Physical Activity, Alcohol Consumption, and Immunization.

Survey Highlights - Significant Differences

None

TABLE 1

Core Questions

Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	NEHD		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Health Status					
Fair or Poor Health	13	11-16		15	14-16
Physical Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	38	35-42		38	36-39
Mental Health Not Good 1+ Days in Past Month	36	32-40		35	33-36
Overweight or Obese**	65	61-69		64	62-66
Obese**	30	27-33		28	27-29
Health Care Access					
No Health Care Insurance, Age 18-64	15	11-19		13	12-15
Do Not Have a Personal Health Care Provider	10	8-13		11	10-12
Unable to Get Med. Care Due to Cost in Past Year	12	10-15		11	10-12
Visited a Doctor For a Routine Checkup Within Past 2 Years	81	78-84		84	83-85
Sleep					
Unable to Get Enough Sleep 7+ Days Past Year	41	37-45		40	38-41
Exercise					
No Leisure Time Physical Activity in Past Month	30	26-33		26	24-27
10+ Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in Usual Week	87	85-89		86	85-87
Moderate Physical Activity 5+ Days a Week for 30+ Minutes a Session	53	49-57		50	49-52
10+ Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in Usual Week	50	46-54		50	49-52
Vigorous Physical Activity 3+ Days a Week for 20+ Minutes a Session	31	27-35		28	26-29
Diabetes					
Ever Told They Have Diabetes	9	8-11		9	8-10
Hypertension Awareness					
Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure	29	26-32		31	30-33
Taking Medication For High Blood Pressure**	82	76-87		80	78-82
Cholesterol Awareness					
Ever Had Blood Cholesterol Checked	82	78-85		82	81-84
Had Blood Cholesterol Checked in Past 5 Years	78	73-81		79	77-80
Told They Had High Blood Cholesterol**	35	32-38		39	37-40
Cardiovascular Disease					
Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack	6	5-7		6	6-7
Asthma					
Ever Told They Had Asthma	13	10-16		13	12-15
Currently Have Asthma	9	7-12		9	8-10
Tobacco Use					
Current Smokers**	25	22-29		20	19-22
Stopped Smoking For 1+ Days in Past Year	60	51-68		57	53-60
Caregiver Status					
Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member in Past Month	25	22-28		26	24-27
Disability					
Limited in Activities Due to hysical, Mental or Emotional Problems	19	16-21		19	18-20
Health Problem Requires Use of Special Equipment	9	8-11		8	7-8

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Core Questions

Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	NEHD		*	Pennsylvania	
	%	CI		%	CI
Alcohol Consumption					
Binge Drinkers**	17	14-21		17	15-18
Chronic Drinkers**	7	5-9		5	5-6
Immunization					
Had a Flu Shot in Past Year, Age 50+	52	49-56		57	56-59
Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccination, Age 65+	70	65-75		70	68-72
Arthritis Burden					
Ever Told Have Some Form of Arthritis	33	30-37		31	30-33
Limited in Activities Due to Arthritis or Joint Symptoms**	42	38-47		42	39-44
Nutrition					
Eat 5+ Fruits/Vegetables per Day	25	21-28		24	23-25
HIV/AIDS					
Ever Tested for HIV, Age 18-64	34	30-39		35	33-37
Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction					
Rarely or Never Get the Social or Emotional Support They Need	9	7-10		9	8-10
Satisfied or Very Satisfied With Their Life	93	92-95		94	93-95
Cancer Survivors					
Ever Told Had Cancer	9	7-10		10	9-11

Note: Excludes missing, don't know, and refused.

* If a "+" is indicated, then the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the county has a significantly lower percentage compared to Pennsylvania.

** See Technical Notes section of report for more information.

TABLE 2

Locally-Added Questions

Northeast Health District Adults, 2009 (with 95% confidence interval)

	NEHD	
	%	CI
Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits		
Cigarettes, Cigars or Pipes Were Smoked Inside Their Home in the Past 30 Days	21	18-24
Have 1+ Adults (Not Including Respondent) Who Live in Household Smoke Cigarettes, Cigars or Pipes	23	20-27
Have Seen a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional to Get Any Kind of Care in the Past Year	73	69-76
Were Advised by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional to Quit Smoking in the Past Year	63	53-72
Asked by Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional if They Smoked Around Children (22 of 59 respondents answ ered "yes")	NSR	NSR
Told by a Doctor That They Have a Problem as a Result of Smoking Cigarettes	8	6-11
Smoking Cessation		
Smoke 1 to 10 Cigarettes a Day	54	42-66
Smoke 11 to 20 Cigarettes a Day	33	26-42
Smoke More Than 20 Cigarettes a Day	9	5-14
Serious About Quitting Smoking	71	62-79
Plan to Quit Smoking in the Next 30 Days	27	18-38
Plan to Quit Smoking in the Next 6 Months	27	18-38
Plan to Quit Smoking at Some Time In the Next 6 Months	46	36-57
Have Not Set Up a Goal to Stop Smoking Within a Specified Time Period	8	5-13
Smoking Cessation Assistance		
Aw are of Aids Available in Their Community, Free of Charge or Low Cost to Help Someone Stop Smokin	49	40-58
Would Participate in Individual Counseling if Offered At No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking	53	44-62
Would Participate in Group Counseling if Offered At No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking	45	36-54
Would Use Nicotine Patches, Gum or Lozenges if Offered At No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking	67	59-75
Would Use Prescription Medication Such as Nicotine Inhalers, Zyban or Chantix if Offered At No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking	55	46-64
Would Use the Pennsylvania Telephone Counseling Free Quitline to Help Them Quit Smoking	52	43-61
Would Participate in an Internet Program if Offered At No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking	34	26-43
Ever Stopped for One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking	82	73-88
Used Services Such as Counseling, PA Quit Line or Internet Program the Last Time They Tried Quitting Smoking	7	3-16
Used Individual Counseling to Help Them Quit Smoking the Last Time They Tried to Quit	2	1-5
Used Group Counseling to Help Them Quit Smoking the Last Time They Tried to Quit	1	0-3
Used the Pennsylvania Quit Line to Help Them Quit Smoking the Last Time They Tried to Quit	2	1-5
Used an Internet Program to Help Them Quit Smoking the Last Time They Tried to Quit	0	0-2
Used Quit Aids Such as Nicotine Patches, Gum or Lozenges, or Prescription Medications to Help Them Quit Smoking the Last Time They Tried to Quit	34	26-43
Used Nicotine Replacement Such as Patches, Gum or Lozenges to Help Them Quit Smoking the Last Time They Tried to Quit (31 of 71 respondents answ ered "yes")	NSR	NSR
Used Prescription Medications Such as Nicotine Inhalers, Zyban or Chantix to Help Them Quit Smoking the Last Time They Tried to Quit (45 of 71 respondents answ ered "yes")	NSR	NSR
Smokeless Tobacco Use		
Ever Used or Tried Smokeless Tobacco Products Such as Chew ing Tobacco or Snuff	14	11-18
Currently Use Chew ing Tobacco or Snuff Every Day	14	7-27
Do Not Use Chew ing Tobacco or Snuff	77	64-86

Note: Excludes missing, don't know , and refused.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

TABLE 5

Year 2010 Health Objectives for the Nation
Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania BRFSS Data, 2009

Healthy People 2010 Objective[1]	Year 2010 Objective	NEHD 2009	Objective Met[2] NEHD	Sig. Diff. to PA[3]	Pennsylvania 2009	Objective Met[2] Pennsylvania
01-01: Percent of adults aged 18-64 with health insurance (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	100%	85± 4	No		92± 4	No
01-04c: Percent of adults with a specific source of ongoing care (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	96%	89± 3	No		88± 1	No
05-03: Adults diagnosed with diabetes (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 age 18+)	25	83±15	No		81± 7	No
06-06: Percent of adults with disabilities* who are satisfied with their life (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	97%	77± 8	No		82± 4	No
12-09: Percent of adults aged 20+ who were ever told their blood pressure was high (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	16%	31± 3	No		35± 2	No
14-29a: Percent of adults aged 65+ with a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	90%	68± 5	No		68± 5	No
14-29b: Percent of adults aged 65+ who were ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted rate per 1,000 18+)	90%	70± 5	No		70± 5	No
14-29c: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in the past year (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	30± 4	No		30± 4	No
14-29d: Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who ever had vaccination agains pneumococcal disease (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	18± 4	No		18± 4	No
19-01: Percent of adults aged 20+ with healthy weights (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	60%	33± 4	No		34± 2	No
19-02: Percent of adults aged 20+ who are obese (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	15%	30± 4	No		29± 2	No
22-01: Percent of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	20%	29± 4	No		25± 1	No
26-11c: Percent of adults who engaged in binge drinking in past month** (age-adjusted to 2000 std population)	6%	17± 4	No		17± 1	No

* Limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental or emotional problems

** 5+ alcoholic beverages at the same time or within couple hours

[1] Public Health Services. Healthy People 2010: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

[2] The "Yes" designation refers the 2009 percentage being significantly better compared to the Healthy People 2010 goal percentage.

[3] If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania, If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly low

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,237 NEHD adults completed interviews for the NEHD BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed NEHD residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to the NEHD. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of NEHD telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for the NEHD consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to the NEHD were added as the county supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added questions concerned Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, Smoking Cessation, Smoking Cessation Assistance and Smokeless Tobacco Use.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to

determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for the NEHD in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of the NEHD. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within the NEHD's population. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Report Page 3 Notes

- Adults are classified as overweight or obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 25 or above.
- Adults are classified as obese if they had a calculated body mass index (BMI) of 30 or above.
- The analysis of adults who are taking medication for blood pressure was out of adults who have high blood pressure.
- The analysis of adults who were told they had high blood cholesterol was out of adults who ever had their blood cholesterol checked.
- Current smokers are adults who reported currently smoking every day or some days.

Report Page 4 Notes

- Binge drinkers are men who reported having five or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month or women who reported having four or more alcoholic drinks during one occasion in the past month.
- Chronic drinkers are adults who reported having an average of two or more alcoholic drinks per day the past month.
- The analysis of adults who have arthritis or joint symptoms that limit activity was out of arthritic adults who reported having joint symptoms in the past thirty days.

Tobacco Free Northeast
c/o Alice J. Dalla Palu
PO Box 7017
Allentown, PA 18105

In cooperation with the
Pennsylvania Department of Health
Bureau of Health Statistics and Research
555 Walnut Street 6th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1914

www.health.state.pa.us/stats/

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Core Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania Comparisons

Table of Contents

Page 1	Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009
Page 4	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 6	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 8	Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009
Page 10	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults 18-64), 2009
Page 12	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009
Page 14	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009
Page 16	Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009
Page 18	Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 20	Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009
Page 23	Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes, 2009
Page 26	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009
Page 29	Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009
Page 31	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009
Page 33	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009
Page 36	Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009
Page 39	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Heart Attack, (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 41	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 43	Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009
Page 45	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Asthma, 2009
Page 47	Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults Who Currently Have Asthma, 2009
Page 49	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009
Page 52	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009
Page 54	Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009
Page 56	Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009
Page 59	Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009
Page 61	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009
Page 64	Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009
Page 67	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009
Page 69	Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Table of Contents – *Continued*

Page 71	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009
Page 74	Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009
Page 76	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009
Page 79	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009
Page 82	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009
Page 84	Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 86	Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009
Page 88	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 91	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 93	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009
Page 95	Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009
Page 97	Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009
Page 99	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009
Page 101	Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009
Page 104	Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009
Page 107	Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight, 2009
Page 109	Percent of Adults Who Are Obese, 2009
Page 111	Technical Notes

Detailed Core Questionnaire Tables

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	11-16		15	14-16
Male	13	10-17		13	12-15
Female	13	11-16		16	15-18
18-29	3	1-10		8	6-11
30-44	8	5-13		9	8-11
45-64	18	15-22		17	16-19
65+	21	17-26		25	23-26
< High School	34	24-45		32	27-37
High School	17	13-21		21	19-23
Some College	10	7-15		12	10-15
College Degree	6	4-9		6	5-7
<$25,000	28	22-34		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	9	6-12	-	16	14-18
$50,000+	6	4-9		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	13	11-16		14	13-15
Other (Including Hispanic)	13	7-22		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-8		8	7-9
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	11	5-22		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	11	5-20		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	7	3-15		15	12-18
Emp. Status: Retired	20	17-25		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		61	55-68
Married	11	9-14		12	11-13
Divorced/Separated	26	20-33		26	23-30
Widowed	27	21-34		27	24-30
Never Married	6	3-10	-	14	11-17
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	5-12		8	7-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	14-19		19	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Diagnosed Diabetic	41	33-50		45	41-49
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	10	9-13		12	11-13
Asthmatic (Current)	23	15-33		28	24-32
Not Asthmatic	12	10-15		13	12-14
Obese (BMI >= 30)	20	16-25		24	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	10	8-14		12	11-14
Not Overweight Nor Obese	11	8-15		10	8-11
Limited Due Health Problems	47	40-54		46	43-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	6	4-8		7	7-8
Current Smoker	18	13-24		21	19-24
Former Smoker	17	14-22		18	17-20
Never Smoked	9	7-11		11	10-12
Chronic Drinker	10	4-22		10	7-15
Drink But Not Chronic	6	4-8		8	7-10
Non-Drinker	22	19-27		22	20-24
No Health Care Coverage	12	7-19		17	13-20
Have Health Care Coverage	14	12-16		15	14-16
No Personal Health Care Provider	10	5-18		11	8-14
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	14	12-16		15	14-16
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	27	19-37		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	11	10-14		13	12-14
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	10	7-14		10	9-12
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	15	12-17		17	15-18
Urban	NSR	NSR		15	14-16
Rural	NSR	NSR		16	13-18

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (16 percent, CI: 14-18).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were never married had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Pennsylvania adults who were never married (14 percent, CI: 11-17).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (18 percent, CI: 15-22).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-13) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (18 percent, CI: 15-22).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-13) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (20 percent, CI: 17-24).
- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Northeast Health District adults with less than a high school education (34 percent, CI: 24-45).
  - Northeast Health District adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-15) compared to Northeast Health District adults with less than a high school education (34 percent, CI: 24-45).
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults less than a high school education (34 percent, CI: 24-45).
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults with a high school education (17 percent, CI: 13-21).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (28 percent, CI: 22-34).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (28 percent, CI: 22-34).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (20 percent, CI: 17-25).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 3-15) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (20 percent, CI: 17-25).

Core 1: Health Status, Percent of Adults Who Have Fair or Poor Health, 2009

Differences Within Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (26 percent, CI: 20-33).
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (27 percent, CI: 21-34).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (26 percent, CI: 20-33).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-10) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (27 percent, CI: 21-34).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (16 percent, CI: 14-19).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 9-13) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (41 percent, CI: 33-50).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-15) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (20 percent, CI: 16-25).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (47 percent, CI: 40-54).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (18 percent, CI: 13-24).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (17 percent, CI: 14-22).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who do not drink (22 percent, CI: 19-27).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 10-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (27 percent, CI: 19-37).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	38	35-42		38	37-40
Male	36	31-42		35	33-37
Female	40	35-45		42	40-44
18-29	NSR	NSR		43	38-49
30-44	36	30-43		37	34-40
45-64	40	36-45		37	34-39
65+	41	36-46		40	38-42
< High School	50	38-62		47	42-53
High School	39	33-45		40	38-43
Some College	37	30-45		40	37-44
College Degree	35	29-41		33	30-35
<$25,000	49	41-57		49	46-52
$25,000 to $49,999	37	30-44		40	37-43
$50,000+	32	27-38		31	29-34
White, non-Hispanic	37	34-41		38	36-39
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		42	37-48
Emp. Status: Employed	33	28-39		35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	40	29-53		27	22-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		40	34-46
Emp. Status: Homemaker	37	26-49		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	38	33-43		39	36-41
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		77	70-83
Married	35	31-39		34	33-36
Divorced/Separated	52	44-59		45	41-49
Widowed	47	40-55		45	41-48
Never Married	30	21-41		45	40-49
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	34	28-40		36	34-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	41	36-45		40	38-42
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	82	75-87		78	75-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	32	28-36		32	30-33
Diagnosed Diabetic	56	48-64		56	52-60
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	36	33-40		37	35-38
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		55	49-60
Not Asthmatic	36	32-40		37	35-38
Obese (BMI >= 30)	43	37-50		45	42-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	36	31-42		37	34-39
Not Overweight Nor Obese	36	30-44		36	33-39
Limited Due Health Problems	77	71-82		70	67-73
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	29	26-33		31	29-33
Current Smoker	43	35-52		45	42-49
Former Smoker	39	34-45		38	36-41
Never Smoked	35	30-40		36	34-38
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	29-43
Drink But Not Chronic	33	28-39		36	34-39
Non-Drinker	43	37-48		41	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	41	30-54		39	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage	37	34-41		38	37-40
No Personal Health Care Provider	38	26-51		36	30-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	38	35-42		39	37-40
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	56	43-67		58	52-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	36	32-39		36	35-38
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	36	30-43		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	39	35-43		38	37-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		39	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	35-42

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Physical Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 27-38) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (49 percent, CI: 41-57).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-39) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (52 percent, CI: 44-59).
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-39) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (47 percent, CI: 40-55).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 21-41) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (52 percent, CI: 44-59).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-36) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (82 percent, CI: 75-87).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 33-40) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (56 percent, CI: 48-64).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 26-33) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (77 percent, CI: 71-82).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-39) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (56 percent, CI: 43-67).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	36	32-40		35	34-37
Male	32	27-38		29	27-32
Female	39	34-44		41	39-42
18-29	NSR	NSR		50	45-56
30-44	44	37-50		39	36-42
45-64	36	32-40		32	30-34
65+	20	16-24		21	19-23
< High School	42	31-55		40	34-46
High School	38	32-44		35	32-37
Some College	31	24-38		40	36-43
College Degree	36	30-43		31	29-33
<$25,000	43	35-50		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	36	29-44		35	32-38
$50,000+	35	29-41		31	28-33
White, non-Hispanic	36	32-40		35	33-36
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		38	33-43
Emp. Status: Employed	36	30-41		35	32-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	34	24-46		28	23-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		49	43-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	36	25-48		35	31-39
Emp. Status: Retired	20	16-25		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	72	60-81		65	58-70
Married	35	31-39		30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	47	39-54		44	40-48
Widowed	21	16-28		28	25-31
Never Married	39	28-51		47	42-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	42	35-49		40	37-43
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	32	29-37		32	31-34
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	56	49-64		52	48-55
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	33	29-37		32	31-34
Diagnosed Diabetic	35	28-43		36	32-41
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	36	32-40		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		49	44-54
Not Asthmatic	35	31-39		34	32-35
Obese (BMI >= 30)	37	31-43		40	37-43
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	26-38		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	39	32-46		35	33-38
Limited Due Health Problems	57	50-64		53	50-57
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	31	27-35		31	29-33
Current Smoker	43	35-52		50	46-53
Former Smoker	32	27-39		30	28-33
Never Smoked	34	29-40		32	30-34
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	31-45
Drink But Not Chronic	35	29-40		35	33-38
Non-Drinker	37	31-42		35	33-37
No Health Care Coverage	31	22-43		43	37-48
Have Health Care Coverage	36	33-40		34	33-36
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		38	33-44
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	35	31-39		35	33-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	64	52-74		59	54-64
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	32	28-36		32	31-34
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	43	36-50		39	36-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	32	28-37		34	32-35
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-37
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Their Mental Health Was Not Good One or More Days in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (44 percent, CI: 37-50).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-24) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (36 percent, CI: 32-40).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 30-41) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (72 percent, CI: 60-81).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 24-46) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (72 percent, CI: 60-81).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 25-48) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (72 percent, CI: 60-81).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (36 percent, CI: 30-41).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-25) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (72 percent, CI: 60-81).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-28) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (47 percent, CI: 39-54).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-28) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (35 percent, CI: 31-39).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 29-37) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (56 percent, CI: 49-64).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-35) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (57 percent, CI: 50-64).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 28-36) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (64 percent, CI: 52-74).

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	21	18-24		21	20-22
Male	20	16-24		18	16-20
Female	22	19-26		24	22-26
18-29	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
30-44	22	17-28		23	20-26
45-64	25	21-29		20	18-22
65+	19	15-23		16	15-18
< High School	21	13-31		26	21-31
High School	22	18-28		21	19-23
Some College	20	16-26		23	20-26
College Degree	19	15-24		19	17-21
<$25,000	26	21-33		29	26-32
$25,000 to $49,999	21	15-27		21	19-24
$50,000+	18	14-23		18	16-20
White, non-Hispanic	22	19-26		21	19-22
Other (Including Hispanic)	10	5-19		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Employed	18	14-23		18	17-20
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	16	9-27		13	9-18
Emp. Status: Out of Work	20	12-31		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	21	13-33		20	17-24
Emp. Status: Retired	19	15-23		16	15-18
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		63	56-69
Married	21	18-25		18	17-20
Divorced/Separated	28	22-35		31	27-34
Widowed	20	15-27		19	17-22
Never Married	18	11-28		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	15-26		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	18-25		21	19-22
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	53	45-61		51	47-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	16	14-19		16	15-17
Diagnosed Diabetic	33	25-41		30	27-34
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	20	17-23		20	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	35	25-48		35	30-40
Not Asthmatic	20	17-23		20	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	23	19-28		25	22-27
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	17	14-22		20	18-23
Not Overweight Nor Obese	23	17-30		19	17-22
Limited Due Health Problems	55	48-62		50	47-53
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	14	11-17		14	13-16
Current Smoker	26	20-34		29	26-33
Former Smoker	23	19-28		21	19-23
Never Smoked	17	14-22		18	16-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	20	16-24		20	18-22
Non-Drinker	23	19-27		23	21-25
No Health Care Coverage	20	13-30		23	19-28
Have Health Care Coverage	21	18-24		21	19-22
No Personal Health Care Provider	18	10-29		19	15-24
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-24		21	20-23
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	40	30-51		41	36-47
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	18	16-21		19	17-20
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	22	17-28		22	19-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	20	17-24		21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		21	20-23
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 2: Healthy Days, Percent of Adults Who Reported That Poor Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days (Out of Adults Who Reported Their Mental and/or Physical Health as Not Good 1+ Days in the Past 30 Days), 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (53 percent, CI: 45-61).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (33 percent, CI: 25-41).
  - Northeast Health District adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 17-23) compared to Northeast Health District adults who currently have asthma (35 percent, CI: 25-48).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-17) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (55 percent, CI: 48-62).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 16-21) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (40 percent, CI: 30-51).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	15	11-19		13	12-15
Male, Age 18-64	16	11-22		17	14-19
Female, Age 18-64	13	9-19		10	9-12
18-29	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
30-44	17	12-23		13	11-15
45-64	10	7-13		9	8-10
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		26	19-34
High School, Age 18-64	16	11-23		17	15-20
Some College, Age 18-64	22	14-34		16	13-19
College Degree, Age 18-64	6	4-11		5	4-7
<$25,000, Age 18-64	30	21-40		30	26-35
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	22	14-33		18	15-21
$50,000+, Age 18-64	5	3-8		4	3-6
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	14	11-18		12	11-14
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		19	15-24
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	11	8-16		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	34	23-48		27	21-35
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	30-43
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	6	2-16		12	9-17
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	5	1-13		5	3-9
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	5	2-12		8	5-13
Married, Age 18-64	9	6-13		9	7-10
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	13	8-20		16	13-20
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		14	10-21
Never Married, Age 18-64	26	17-39		22	18-26
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	18	12-25		13	11-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	12	9-17		14	12-15
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	14	9-23		18	14-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	15	11-19		13	11-14
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	6	2-15		9	6-13
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	15	12-20		14	12-15
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	11	5-22		13	9-18
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	15	11-19		13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	19	12-29		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	11	7-16		13	10-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	14	9-21		16	13-19
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	14	8-22		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	15	11-19		13	11-15
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	22	16-29		23	19-27
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	7	4-12		9	7-11
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	14	9-21		12	10-14
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		25	18-34
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	13	9-19		12	10-14
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	15	10-22		14	12-16
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		48	42-54
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	10	7-14		8	7-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	43	32-56		46	40-52
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	10	7-14		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	25	18-33		25	22-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	8	6-12		8	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	11-14
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-22

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Health Care Insurance (Out of Adults Age 18-64), 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 with some college education (22 percent, CI: 14-34).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 with household incomes of less than $25,000 (30 percent, CI: 21-40).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (22 percent, CI: 14-33).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults age 18-64 (34 percent, CI: 23-48).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 2-16) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults age 18-64 (34 percent, CI: 23-48).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 1-13) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults age 18-64 (34 percent, CI: 23-48).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-12) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults age 18-64 (34 percent, CI: 23-48).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-13) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 who reported they were never married (26 percent, CI: 17-39).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 who reported currently smoking some days or every day (22 percent, CI: 16-29).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (43 percent, CI: 32-56).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (25 percent, CI: 18-33).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	10	8-13		11	10-12
Male	12	8-16		14	12-16
Female	9	6-14		7	6-9
18-29	NSR	NSR		23	19-28
30-44	10	7-16		13	11-16
45-64	8	6-11		6	5-7
65+	4	2-7		3	3-4
< High School	11	5-21		15	11-21
High School	11	7-16		11	9-13
Some College	13	7-22		12	10-15
College Degree	7	4-10		8	7-10
<$25,000	12	9-17		17	14-21
$25,000 to $49,999	13	7-23		10	8-12
$50,000+	7	4-11		8	6-9
White, non-Hispanic	9	7-12		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		18	14-23
Emp. Status: Employed	9	6-14		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	24	14-38		17	12-24
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Homemaker	9	4-19		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	4	2-6		3	3-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	3	1-9		6	4-10
Married	7	5-10		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	9	6-14		12	9-15
Widowed	6	4-11		4	3-6
Never Married	19	11-30		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	8-20		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	8	6-11		9	8-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	8	4-14		8	6-10
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	10	8-14		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	3	1-7		3	2-5
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	11	8-14		11	10-13
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		7	5-11
Not Asthmatic	10	7-13		11	10-12
Obese (BMI >= 30)	9	5-16		8	7-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	5-15		9	7-11
Not Overweight Nor Obese	11	8-17		14	12-16
Limited Due Health Problems	9	5-14		7	5-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	10	7-14		12	10-13
Current Smoker	16	10-25		16	13-19
Former Smoker	5	3-8		8	6-9
Never Smoked	10	7-15		10	9-12
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		19	14-26
Drink But Not Chronic	11	7-16		12	10-14
Non-Drinker	10	6-14		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage	39	27-53		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	6	4-9		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		30	25-35
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	6-12		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	19-33		23	21-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	2	2-4	-	5	5-7
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-12

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults with No Personal Health Care Provider, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (5 percent, CI: 5-7).

Differences within Northeast Health District:

- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults (24 percent, CI: 14-38).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults (24 percent, CI: 14-38).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (19 percent, CI: 11-30).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes (11 percent, CI: 8-14).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (16 percent, CI: 10-25).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage (39 percent, CI: 27-53).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (25 percent, CI: 19-33).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	12	10-15		11	10-12
Male	10	7-14		10	8-12
Female	14	11-19		12	11-14
18-29	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
30-44	20	15-26		13	11-16
45-64	12	9-15		9	8-10
65+	4	2-7		3	3-4
< High School	21	13-32		14	10-19
High School	12	9-16		12	11-14
Some College	14	9-22		13	11-16
College Degree	10	6-17		7	6-9
<$25,000	21	15-27		23	20-26
$25,000 to $49,999	16	11-24		13	11-16
$50,000+	7	4-12		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	11	9-14		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
Emp. Status: Employed	11	8-14		9	8-11
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	19	11-30		14	10-21
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		28	23-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	14	7-25		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Retired	3	2-6		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	23	14-35		20	15-26
Married	11	9-15		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	20	14-27		16	13-19
Widowed	5	3-9		6	4-8
Never Married	14	7-26		18	15-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	14-25		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	6-12		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	25	18-33		21	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	10	8-14		9	8-11
Diagnosed Diabetic	9	6-16		12	9-16
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	10-16		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	14	8-22		21	17-26
Not Asthmatic	12	10-15		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	14	9-21		12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	11	8-15		10	8-12
Not Overweight Nor Obese	12	8-18		12	10-14
Limited Due Health Problems	23	17-30		20	17-23
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	10	7-13		9	8-10
Current Smoker	23	17-30		21	18-24
Former Smoker	9	5-16		8	6-10
Never Smoked	9	6-13		9	7-10
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	11-23
Drink But Not Chronic	9	6-13		10	8-11
Non-Drinker	15	11-20		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	42	30-55		44	38-49
Have Health Care Coverage	8	6-11		7	6-8
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		31	26-37
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	11	9-14		9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	19	14-25		20	17-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	9	7-12		7	6-9
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		11	9-14

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Due to Medical Cost in the Past 12 Months, 2009

Differences within Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (20 percent, CI: 15-26).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (12 percent, CI: 9-15).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (21 percent, CI: 15-27).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (11 percent, CI: 8-14).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults (19 percent, CI: 11-30).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers (14 percent, CI: 7-25).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (23 percent, CI: 14-35).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (20 percent, CI: 14-27).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household (19 percent, CI: 14-25).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (25 percent, CI: 18-33).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (23 percent, CI: 17-30).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (23 percent, CI: 17-30).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-13) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (23 percent, CI: 17-30).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage (42 percent, CI: 30-55).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (19 percent, CI: 14-25).

Core 3: Health Care Access, Percent of Adults Who Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Two Years, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	81	78-84		84	83-85
Male	75	69-80		81	79-83
Female	87	84-90		87	85-88
18-29	NSR	NSR		80	76-84
30-44	72	66-78		77	74-79
45-64	83	80-86		86	84-87
65+	93	90-95		95	93-95
< High School	83	72-90		86	82-90
High School	81	75-85		84	82-86
Some College	82	76-87		84	82-87
College Degree	82	76-86		84	82-86
<$25,000	80	74-86		86	83-88
$25,000 to $49,999	83	76-87		83	80-85
$50,000+	83	79-87		84	82-86
White, non-Hispanic	81	77-84		83	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	94	85-98		88	84-91
Emp. Status: Employed	79	74-83		81	80-83
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	71	58-81		73	67-79
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		77	72-82
Emp. Status: Homemaker	80	69-87		85	81-88
Emp. Status: Retired	95	92-97		94	93-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	90	81-95		91	87-94
Married	82	79-86		84	83-85
Divorced/Separated	78	71-84		84	80-86
Widowed	89	84-93	-	95	94-96
Never Married	79	69-87		82	78-85
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	79	73-84		79	77-81
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	83	79-86		87	86-89
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	84	76-90		89	87-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	81	77-84		83	82-85
Diagnosed Diabetic	94	89-97		95	93-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	80	77-83		83	82-84
Asthmatic (Current)	91	82-95		84	80-88
Not Asthmatic	81	77-84		84	83-85
Obese (BMI >= 30)	85	79-89		88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	81	76-86		84	82-86
Not Overweight Nor Obese	79	72-84		82	80-84
Limited Due Health Problems	86	80-91		88	85-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	81	77-84		83	82-85
Current Smoker	74	66-81		78	74-81
Former Smoker	87	83-91		87	85-88
Never Smoked	82	77-86		86	84-87
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	69-81
Drink But Not Chronic	82	77-86		83	81-85
Non-Drinker	82	77-86		87	85-89
No Health Care Coverage	65	52-76		60	54-65
Have Health Care Coverage	84	81-87		87	86-88
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		57	51-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	86	83-88		87	86-88
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	73	63-81		68	63-73
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	83	79-86		86	85-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		84	83-86
Rural	NSR	NSR		84	81-87

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 3: Health Care Access, Visited a Doctor for a Routine Checkup within the Past Year, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania

- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 84-93) compared to Pennsylvania widowed adults (95 percent, CI: 94-96).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District men had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 69-80) compared to Northeast Health District women (87 percent, CI: 84-90).
- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 66-78) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (83 percent, CI: 80-86).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 66-78) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 80-86) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Northeast Health District white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 77-84) compared to Northeast Health District adults of race other than white, non-Hispanic (94 percent, CI: 85-98).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (79 percent, CI: 74-83) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 58-81) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 69-87) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 77-83) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (94 percent, CI: 89-97).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 66-81) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (87 percent, CI: 83-91).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 52-76) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (84 percent, CI: 81-87).

Core 4: Sleep, Percent of Adults Who Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	41	37-45		41	39-42
Male	40	34-46		39	36-41
Female	41	36-46		43	41-44
18-29	NSR	NSR		50	45-55
30-44	50	43-57		54	51-57
45-64	39	35-44		38	36-40
65+	22	18-27		20	18-21
< High School	43	32-55		40	35-46
High School	42	36-49		41	38-43
Some College	38	30-47		42	39-46
College Degree	40	34-46		39	37-42
<$25,000	43	35-51		43	39-46
$25,000 to $49,999	35	28-43		42	39-45
$50,000+	45	39-51		40	38-43
White, non-Hispanic	40	36-44		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		47	42-52
Emp. Status: Employed	46	40-52		46	43-48
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	44	32-56		36	30-42
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		42	36-48
Emp. Status: Homemaker	40	29-51		39	35-44
Emp. Status: Retired	22	18-27		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		61	55-67
Married	39	35-43		39	37-41
Divorced/Separated	49	42-57		47	43-51
Widowed	28	22-35		23	21-26
Never Married	46	34-59		47	43-52
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	50	43-57		52	49-55
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	35	31-40		34	32-36
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	56	49-64		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	38	34-43		38	37-40
Diagnosed Diabetic	36	28-44		39	35-43
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	41	37-45		41	39-43
Asthmatic (Current)	55	42-68		55	50-60
Not Asthmatic	39	35-43		39	38-41
Obese (BMI >= 30)	41	34-47		45	43-48
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	40	34-46		38	36-41
Not Overweight Nor Obese	42	35-50		40	37-42
Limited Due Health Problems	53	46-60		56	53-59
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	38	34-42		37	35-39
Current Smoker	47	39-56		54	50-57
Former Smoker	35	30-41		37	34-39
Never Smoked	40	35-46		38	36-40
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		39	33-47
Drink But Not Chronic	43	37-49		42	40-45
Non-Drinker	36	31-41		40	37-42
No Health Care Coverage	41	29-54		50	44-56
Have Health Care Coverage	40	36-44		40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		47	41-52
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	40	36-44		40	38-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	53	41-64		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	39	35-43		38	36-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	47	39-54		48	45-51
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	37	33-42		37	36-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-43
Rural	NSR	NSR		39	35-43

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 4: Sleep, Felt They Did Not Get Enough Sleep Seven or More Days During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (50 percent, CI: 43-57).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (39 percent, CI: 35-44).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (46 percent, CI: 40-52).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults (44 percent, CI: 32-56).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers (40 percent, CI: 29-51).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 22-35) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (49 percent, CI: 42-57).
- **Children in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (35 percent, CI: 31-40) compared to Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household (50 percent, CI: 43-57).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 34-43) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (56 percent, CI: 49-64).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 34-42) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (53 percent, CI: 46-60).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time for Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	30	26-33		26	24-27
Male	29	24-34		22	20-24
Female	31	27-36		29	28-31
18-29	NSR	NSR		17	14-21
30-44	24	18-30		24	22-27
45-64	29	25-33		27	25-28
65+	37	32-42		34	32-36
< High School	40	29-52		42	37-48
High School	38	33-44		34	32-36
Some College	28	20-36		23	20-26
College Degree	17	13-22		15	13-17
<$25,000	40	33-48		39	36-42
$25,000 to $49,999	36	28-44		31	28-34
$50,000+	17	13-21		16	14-18
White, non-Hispanic	28	25-32		25	23-26
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		31	27-36
Emp. Status: Employed	24	20-30		23	22-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	31	21-44		22	18-27
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		23	19-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	26	18-37		27	23-30
Emp. Status: Retired	33	28-38		30	28-32
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		57	50-63
Married	27	24-31		24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	33	26-40		33	29-37
Widowed	41	34-49		39	36-42
Never Married	29	19-41		24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	29	23-37		22	19-24
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	30	27-34		28	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	55	48-63		50	46-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	26	22-30		21	20-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	46	38-55		42	38-46
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	28	25-32		24	23-25
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		34	29-39
Not Asthmatic	29	26-33		25	24-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	38	32-45		34	32-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	25	20-31		23	21-26
Not Overweight Nor Obese	28	22-34		20	18-22
Limited Due Health Problems	52	45-58		43	40-46
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	24	21-28		22	20-23
Current Smoker	39	31-48		32	29-35
Former Smoker	28	24-33		25	23-27
Never Smoked	26	21-32		24	22-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Drink But Not Chronic	24	20-29		18	17-20
Non-Drinker	37	32-43		33	31-36
No Health Care Coverage	50	37-63	+	27	22-31
Have Health Care Coverage	27	24-30		26	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		26	22-31
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	28	25-32		26	24-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	47	35-58		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	27	24-31		25	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	34	27-42	+	23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	27	24-31		27	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		27	24-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who have no health care coverage had a significantly higher percentage (50 percent, CI: 37-63) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have no health care coverage (27 percent, CI: 22-31).
  - Northeast Health District adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly higher percentage (34 percent, CI: 27-42) compared to Pennsylvania adults who last visited a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago (23 percent, CI: 20-25).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 18-30) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (37 percent, CI: 32-42).
- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Northeast Health District adults less than a high school education (40 percent, CI: 29-52).
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Northeast Health District adults with a high school education (38 percent, CI: 33-44).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (40 percent, CI: 33-48).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (36 percent, CI: 28-44).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (41 percent, CI: 34-49).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-30) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (55 percent, CI: 48-63).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 25-32) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (46 percent, CI: 38-55).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 20-31) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (38 percent, CI: 32-45).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 21-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (52 percent, CI: 45-58).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults who do not drink (37 percent, CI: 32-43).

Core 5: Exercise, Percent of Adults with No Leisure Time Physical Activity in the Past Month, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-30) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage (50 percent, CI: 37-63).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (47 percent, CI: 35-58).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have Diabetes, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	8-11		9	8-10
Male	9	7-12		9	8-10
Female	10	8-12		9	8-10
18-29	1	0-4		1	0-3
30-44	4	2-8		4	3-6
45-64	11	8-14		11	10-12
65+	21	18-26		20	18-21
< High School	14	9-23		17	13-21
High School	9	7-12		12	10-13
Some College	13	9-17		7	6-9
College Degree	6	4-9		5	5-6
<$25,000	12	9-16		16	14-18
$25,000 to $49,999	10	7-14		11	9-12
$50,000+	7	5-10		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	9	8-11		9	8-9
Other (Including Hispanic)	13	7-22		11	9-14
Emp. Status: Employed	5	3-7		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	7	3-16		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	3	1-8		7	5-11
Emp. Status: Homemaker	6	3-12		7	5-10
Emp. Status: Retired	21	17-26		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	28	19-39		24	19-29
Married	9	7-11		9	8-10
Divorced/Separated	14	9-20		13	11-15
Widowed	21	16-28		19	17-22
Never Married	4	2-7		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	4	3-7		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	10-15		12	11-13
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	29	23-36		28	25-31
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	6	5-8		6	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	13	7-22		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	9	7-11		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	17	13-21		18	16-20
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	6-12		8	7-9
Not Overweight Nor Obese	4	3-6		3	2-3
Limited Due Health Problems	20	15-25		17	15-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	6-9		7	6-8
Current Smoker	5	3-8		7	6-9
Former Smoker	14	11-18		12	11-14
Never Smoked	9	7-12		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	6	3-14		5	3-8
Drink But Not Chronic	6	4-8		5	4-6
Non-Drinker	14	11-17		14	13-15
No Health Care Coverage	3	1-7		5	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage	10	9-12		10	9-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	2	1-6		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	9-12		10	9-11
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	7	4-12		10	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	10	8-12		9	8-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	4	2-6		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	12	10-15		11	11-12
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		8	7-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (11 percent, CI: 8-14).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (21 percent, CI: 18-26).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (21 percent, CI: 18-26).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (21 percent, CI: 18-26).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (28 percent, CI: 19-39).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 3-16) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 3-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (28 percent, CI: 19-39).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (28 percent, CI: 19-39).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-12) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (21 percent, CI: 17-26).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (28 percent, CI: 19-39).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-11) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (21 percent, CI: 16-28).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (14 percent, CI: 9-20).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (21 percent, CI: 16-28).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (12 percent, CI: 10-15).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 5-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (29 percent, CI: 23-36).

Core 6: Diabetes, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor That They Have Diabetes**, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (17 percent, CI: 13-21).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (17 percent, CI: 13-21).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (20 percent, CI: 15-25).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who do not drink (14 percent, CI: 11-17).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (10 percent, CI: 9-12).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (10 percent, CI: 9-12).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (12 percent, CI: 10-15).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	29	26-32		31	30-33
Male	31	26-36		32	30-35
Female	28	25-32		31	29-32
18-29	NSR	NSR		10	8-14
30-44	12	9-18		19	17-22
45-64	36	32-41		36	34-38
65+	58	54-63		59	57-61
< High School	35	25-46		42	37-48
High School	32	27-37		37	35-39
Some College	29	23-36		30	27-32
College Degree	25	20-30		24	22-26
<$25,000	38	31-45		42	39-45
$25,000 to $49,999	28	23-34		34	31-36
$50,000+	24	20-29		25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	30	27-34		32	31-33
Other (Including Hispanic)	23	14-35		28	24-32
Emp. Status: Employed	21	17-26		23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	16	10-25		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		29	24-35
Emp. Status: Homemaker	17	11-27	-	33	29-36
Emp. Status: Retired	59	54-64		58	55-60
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	45	32-58		48	42-55
Married	30	26-34		33	31-34
Divorced/Separated	34	27-41		38	35-42
Widowed	50	43-58		56	52-59
Never Married	19	12-29		19	16-22
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	11-20		18	16-20
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	37	34-41		40	38-41
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	61	53-68		54	51-58
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	25	22-28		27	26-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	69	60-76		67	63-71
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	25	22-28		28	27-29
Asthmatic (Current)	32	22-43		32	28-37
Not Asthmatic	29	26-33		31	30-33
Obese (BMI >= 30)	42	36-48		46	44-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	30	25-35		33	30-35
Not Overweight Nor Obese	18	13-23		18	16-20
Limited Due Health Problems	49	42-56		47	44-50
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	25	22-28		28	26-29
Current Smoker	24	18-31		29	25-32
Former Smoker	36	31-41		40	38-43
Never Smoked	28	24-33		28	26-30
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		28	23-34
Drink But Not Chronic	23	20-27		27	25-29
Non-Drinker	36	31-41		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	21	12-32		21	17-25
Have Health Care Coverage	31	28-34		33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	10	6-16		17	14-22
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	32	28-35		33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	26	18-36		27	23-32
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	30	27-33		32	31-33
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	12	8-17		15	13-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	38	34-42		38	36-40
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-32
Rural	NSR	NSR		34	30-37

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-27) compared to Pennsylvania adults who reported being homemakers (33 percent, CI: 29-36).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (36 percent, CI: 32-41).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 54-63).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-41) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (58 percent, CI: 54-63).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (38 percent, CI: 31-45).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-26) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 17-26) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (45 percent, CI: 32-58).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 10-25) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 10-25) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (45 percent, CI: 32-58).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-27) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (59 percent, CI: 54-64).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (45 percent, CI: 32-58).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 26-34) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (50 percent, CI: 43-58).
  - Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 27-41) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (50 percent, CI: 43-58).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 12-29) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (50 percent, CI: 43-58).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (37 percent, CI: 34-41).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (61 percent, CI: 53-68).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional That They Have High Blood Pressure, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (69 percent, CI: 60-76).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 25-35) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (42 percent, CI: 36-48).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (42 percent, CI: 36-48).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 13-23) compared to Northeast Health District overweight adults (30 percent, CI: 25-35).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (49 percent, CI: 42-56).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 20-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults who do not drink (36 percent, CI: 31-41).
- **Health Care Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (32 percent, CI: 28-35).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-17) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (38 percent, CI: 34-42).

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	82	76-87		80	78-82
Male	76	65-85		74	70-78
Female	89	83-92		86	83-88
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		52	45-60
45-64	86	79-90		86	83-88
65+	96	92-98		95	93-96
< High School	NSR	NSR		87	79-92
High School	80	71-87		84	81-87
Some College	NSR	NSR		71	66-77
College Degree	85	72-92		78	73-83
<$25,000	77	64-86		81	77-85
$25,000 to $49,999	85	75-91		80	76-84
$50,000+	NSR	NSR		77	72-81
White, non-Hispanic	83	76-88		81	78-83
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		77	69-83
Emp. Status: Employed	73	60-83		72	68-76
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		72	59-81
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	49-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		88	82-92
Emp. Status: Retired	95	91-97		94	92-95
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		82	71-89
Married	87	81-92		82	79-85
Divorced/Separated	82	69-90		81	75-86
Widowed	96	91-99		95	92-96
Never Married	NSR	NSR		60	51-68
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR		60	54-66
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	86	79-91		86	83-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	86	76-92		86	82-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	81	73-87		78	75-81
Diagnosed Diabetic	93	84-97		95	92-96
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	79	71-86		76	74-79
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	78-89
Not Asthmatic	83	76-89		80	77-82
Obese (BMI >= 30)	86	79-91		81	77-84
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	83	74-89		81	78-85
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR		76	70-81
Limited Due Health Problems	87	77-93		81	76-85
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	80	71-87		80	77-82
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR		62	55-68
Former Smoker	91	85-95		86	83-89
Never Smoked	81	68-89		83	80-85
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		73	62-81
Drink But Not Chronic	86	80-91	+	75	70-78
Non-Drinker	80	69-88		85	82-87
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		48	39-58
Have Health Care Coverage	86	80-91		83	80-85
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		29	19-41
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	83	77-89		83	81-85
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		59	50-67
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	85	78-90		82	80-84
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR		52	45-59
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	87	80-91		85	82-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		81	78-83
Rural	NSR	NSR		77	70-82

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 7: Hypertension Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Currently Take Medication for High Blood Pressure (Out of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Pressure), 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers had a significantly higher percentage (86 percent, CI: 80-91) compared to Pennsylvania adult drinkers who were non-chronic drinkers (75 percent, CI: 70-78).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 79-90) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 92-98).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 60-83) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (95 percent, CI: 91-97).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 69-90) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (96 percent, CI: 91-99).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	82	78-85		82	81-84
Male	80	74-85		81	79-83
Female	83	77-88		84	82-85
18-29	NSR	NSR		48	43-53
30-44	78	71-83		81	79-84
45-64	93	90-95		93	92-94
65+	96	93-97		97	96-98
< High School	73	59-84		77	72-82
High School	79	72-85		82	79-84
Some College	84	75-90		80	77-84
College Degree	86	78-91		86	84-88
<$25,000	79	70-85		80	76-83
$25,000 to $49,999	82	72-88		83	80-86
$50,000+	87	81-92		86	84-88
White, non-Hispanic	84	80-87		84	82-85
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		76	71-81
Emp. Status: Employed	82	77-87		82	80-84
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	84	71-92		80	74-85
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		73	66-79
Emp. Status: Homemaker	81	69-89		84	79-87
Emp. Status: Retired	97	94-98		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		87	80-91
Married	88	84-91		89	88-91
Divorced/Separated	88	82-92		87	84-90
Widowed	93	89-96		96	94-97
Never Married	62	48-73		57	53-62
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	73	65-80		75	73-78
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	87	82-90		87	85-88
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	88	80-93		89	85-91
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	81	76-85		81	80-83
Diagnosed Diabetic	97	92-99		97	94-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	80	76-84		81	79-83
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		84	79-88
Not Asthmatic	82	77-85		82	81-84
Obese (BMI >= 30)	86	78-92		88	86-90
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	83	76-88		84	82-87
Not Overweight Nor Obese	75	67-82		76	72-78
Limited Due Health Problems	90	81-95		87	84-90
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	80	75-84		81	80-83
Current Smoker	70	60-78		72	68-76
Former Smoker	92	85-96		92	91-94
Never Smoked	82	75-87		82	79-84
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		75	67-82
Drink But Not Chronic	84	78-89		84	81-86
Non-Drinker	80	73-85		83	80-85
No Health Care Coverage	63	50-75		59	53-65
Have Health Care Coverage	84	80-88		85	84-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	40	28-54		58	52-63
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	86	82-90		85	84-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		69	63-74
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	84	80-87		84	83-86
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	67	59-74		68	64-71
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	89	84-93		89	87-90
Urban	NSR	NSR		83	81-85
Rural	NSR	NSR		80	76-83

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Ever Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 71-83) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 71-83) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 77-87) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 71-92) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (81 percent, CI: 69-89) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (97 percent, CI: 94-98).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 48-73) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (88 percent, CI: 84-91).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 48-73) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (88 percent, CI: 82-92).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 48-73) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (93 percent, CI: 89-96).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (73 percent, CI: 65-80) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (87 percent, CI: 82-90).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 76-84) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (97 percent, CI: 92-99).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (70 percent, CI: 60-78) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (92 percent, CI: 85-96).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 50-75) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (84 percent, CI: 80-88).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 28-54) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (86 percent, CI: 82-90).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (67 percent, CI: 59-74) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (89 percent, CI: 84-93).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	78	73-81		79	77-80
Male	75	69-81		78	75-80
Female	80	74-84		80	77-81
18-29	NSR	NSR		45	40-50
30-44	71	64-77		75	72-77
45-64	88	85-91		90	88-91
65+	94	91-96		96	95-96
< High School	72	58-83		74	69-79
High School	74	67-80		78	75-81
Some College	82	73-88		77	73-80
College Degree	80	73-86		81	79-84
<$25,000	73	65-80		77	74-81
$25,000 to $49,999	78	69-85		79	76-82
$50,000+	82	76-88		81	79-84
White, non-Hispanic	79	75-83		80	78-81
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		74	68-79
Emp. Status: Employed	78	72-83		78	76-80
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	74	61-84		74	68-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		68	61-74
Emp. Status: Homemaker	76	64-85		77	72-81
Emp. Status: Retired	96	93-97		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		86	79-91
Married	84	80-87		85	84-86
Divorced/Separated	81	74-86		84	80-86
Widowed	89	83-94		94	92-96
Never Married	59	46-71		54	49-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	66	59-73		70	67-72
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	84	79-88		84	82-86
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	84	75-90		86	83-89
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	77	72-81		77	76-79
Diagnosed Diabetic	97	91-99		96	93-98
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	76	71-79		77	75-78
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		81	75-85
Not Asthmatic	77	73-81		79	77-80
Obese (BMI >= 30)	82	74-88		85	83-87
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	80	73-85		81	78-83
Not Overweight Nor Obese	71	63-78		71	68-74
Limited Due Health Problems	85	77-91		85	81-88
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	76	71-80		77	75-79
Current Smoker	64	55-73		68	64-72
Former Smoker	88	81-92		89	87-91
Never Smoked	78	72-84		78	75-80
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		71	64-78
Drink But Not Chronic	80	74-85		79	77-82
Non-Drinker	76	69-81		79	77-81
No Health Care Coverage	55	42-67		51	46-57
Have Health Care Coverage	81	76-85		82	80-83
No Personal Health Care Provider	26	17-37	-	50	44-56
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	83	79-87		82	81-84
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	57	44-69		63	57-68
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	81	76-84		81	79-82
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	57	49-64		58	55-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	88	83-92		87	86-89
Urban	NSR	NSR		79	77-81
Rural	NSR	NSR		76	72-80

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults with no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 17-37) compared to Pennsylvania adults with no personal health care provider (50 percent, CI: 44-56).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 64-77) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (88 percent, CI: 85-91).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 64-77) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (94 percent, CI: 91-96).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (78 percent, CI: 72-83) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 61-84) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 64-85) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 46-71) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (84 percent, CI: 80-87).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 46-71) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (81 percent, CI: 74-86).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (59 percent, CI: 46-71) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (89 percent, CI: 83-94).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (66 percent, CI: 59-73) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (84 percent, CI: 79-88).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (76 percent, CI: 71-79) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (97 percent, CI: 91-99).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 55-73) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (88 percent, CI: 81-92).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Had Their Blood Cholesterol Checked in the Past 5 Years, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (55 percent, CI: 42-67) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (81 percent, CI: 76-85).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 17-37) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (83 percent, CI: 79-87).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 44-69) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (81 percent, CI: 76-84).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 49-64) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (88 percent, CI: 83-92).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	35	32-38		39	37-40
Male	36	31-41		40	38-42
Female	34	30-38		38	36-40
18-29	NSR	NSR		14	9-20
30-44	22	16-29		27	24-30
45-64	42	37-46		44	41-46
65+	52	47-57		55	53-57
< High School	40	29-52		48	42-55
High School	37	32-42		45	42-47
Some College	30	24-38		36	33-39
College Degree	35	30-41		33	31-36
<$25,000	43	36-51		45	42-48
$25,000 to $49,999	33	27-40	-	44	41-47
$50,000+	32	27-37		34	32-36
White, non-Hispanic	36	33-40		40	38-41
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		33	28-38
Emp. Status: Employed	26	22-31		33	31-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	24	16-35		35	29-41
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		31	25-37
Emp. Status: Homemaker	29	19-41		37	32-41
Emp. Status: Retired	55	50-60		55	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	55	43-66		54	48-61
Married	36	32-40		40	38-42
Divorced/Separated	40	32-48		41	37-45
Widowed	48	41-56		51	48-55
Never Married	19	12-29		27	23-31
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	18-29		28	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	40	37-45		45	43-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	52	44-59		57	53-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	32	29-36		35	34-37
Diagnosed Diabetic	56	47-64		64	60-68
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	32	29-36		36	34-37
Asthmatic (Current)	33	23-45		40	35-45
Not Asthmatic	35	32-39		39	37-40
Obese (BMI >= 30)	42	36-48		46	43-49
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	40	35-46		43	40-45
Not Overweight Nor Obese	22	18-28		28	26-31
Limited Due Health Problems	50	43-57		50	47-54
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	31	28-35		36	34-38
Current Smoker	35	27-43		37	33-40
Former Smoker	44	39-50		47	44-50
Never Smoked	29	25-34		35	33-37
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		38	32-46
Drink But Not Chronic	34	29-39		35	33-37
Non-Drinker	36	32-41		43	41-45
No Health Care Coverage	16	9-27		26	21-32
Have Health Care Coverage	37	34-41		40	38-41
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	35	32-39		40	39-42
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	37	28-48		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	35	31-38		39	37-40
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	19-32		26	24-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	39	35-43		43	41-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		38	37-40
Rural	NSR	NSR		42	38-45

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 27-40) compared to Pennsylvania adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (44 percent, CI: 41-47).

Differences within the Northeast Health District County:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 16-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (42 percent, CI: 37-46).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 16-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (52 percent, CI: 47-57).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 37-46) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (52 percent, CI: 47-57).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (55 percent, CI: 50-60).
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (55 percent, CI: 43-66).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 16-35) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (55 percent, CI: 50-60).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 16-35) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (55 percent, CI: 43-66).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 19-41) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (55 percent, CI: 50-60).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 19-41) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (55 percent, CI: 43-66).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 32-40) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (48 percent, CI: 41-56).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 12-29) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (36 percent, CI: 32-40).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 12-29) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (40 percent, CI: 32-48).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 12-29) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (48 percent, CI: 41-56).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (40 percent, CI: 37-45).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 29-36) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (52 percent, CI: 44-59).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 29-36) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (56 percent, CI: 47-64).

Core 8: Cholesterol Awareness, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-28) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (42 percent, CI: 36-48).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-28) compared to Northeast Health District overweight adults (40 percent, CI: 35-46).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 28-35) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (50 percent, CI: 43-57).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-34) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (44 percent, CI: 39-50).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 9-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (37 percent, CI: 34-41).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-32) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (39 percent, CI: 35-43).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	6	5-7		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	8	6-11		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	4	2-5		4	4-5
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	1	0-4		0	0-1
55-64	4	3-6		5	4-6
65+	12	9-16		13	12-15
< High School, Age 35+	12	7-20		17	13-21
High School, Age 35+	6	4-8		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	4	2-7		5	4-7
College Degree, Age 35+	5	3-9		3	2-4
<$25,000, Age 35+	8	5-11		12	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	7	4-10		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	3	2-5		2	2-3
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	6	5-8		6	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	1	0-7		6	5-9
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	3	2-5		2	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	4	2-11		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	4	1-12		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	3	1-10		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	11	8-15		13	11-15
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	11	6-20		15	11-20
Married, Age 35+	6	4-8		6	5-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	6	3-10		7	5-9
Widowed, Age 35+	10	6-15		11	9-14
Never Married, Age 35+	1	0-7		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	1	1-4		1	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	7	6-9		8	8-9
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	17	12-23		19	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	16	11-23		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	4	3-6		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	10	5-18		6	4-8
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	5	4-7		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	7	5-11		8	7-9
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	6	4-9		6	5-7
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	3	2-6		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	13	10-18		13	11-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	4	2-5		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	6	4-11		7	5-8
Former Smoker, Age 35+	8	5-10		9	8-11
Never Smoked, Age 35+	4	3-6		4	3-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	5	1-14		5	3-9
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	3	2-5		4	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	9	7-12		8	7-10
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	4	1-11		6	4-9
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	6	5-7		6	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	6	2-15		3	1-5
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	6	4-7		6	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	6	3-12		9	7-13
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	6	4-7		6	5-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	4	3-8		3	2-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	6	5-8		7	7-8
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	5-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		8	6-10

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Heart Attack (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District women age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Northeast Health District men age 35 and older (8 percent, CI: 6-11).
- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-54 had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-4) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (12 percent, CI: 9-16).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (12 percent, CI: 9-16).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults age 35 and older (11 percent, CI: 8-15).
  - Northeast Health District employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (11 percent, CI: 6-20).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (7 percent, CI: 6-9).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (17 percent, CI: 12-23).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (16 percent, CI: 11-23).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (13 percent, CI: 10-18).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-5) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who do not drink (9 percent, CI: 7-12).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	7	6-9		6	6-7
Male, Age 35+	10	7-13		8	7-9
Female, Age 35+	5	3-6		5	4-6
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	0	NCI		0	0-1
55-64	7	5-10		5	4-6
65+	13	10-17		14	12-16
< High School, Age 35+	8	4-16		13	10-17
High School, Age 35+	8	6-11		8	7-9
Some College, Age 35+	7	4-11		6	5-7
College Degree, Age 35+	6	3-9		4	3-5
<$25,000, Age 35+	9	6-13		11	9-13
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	9	6-13		8	7-10
$50,000+, Age 35+	5	3-7		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	8	6-9		7	6-7
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	1	0-7		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	4	2-6		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	2	0-7		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	1	0-9		5	3-7
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	1	0-8		5	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	14	11-18		14	12-16
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	16	9-25		12	9-16
Married, Age 35+	6	5-8		6	6-7
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	8	5-13		5	4-7
Widowed, Age 35+	12	8-17		13	11-16
Never Married, Age 35+	5	2-12		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	1	1-4		2	1-2
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	9	7-11		9	8-10
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	18	13-24		20	17-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	5	4-7		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	17	11-24		18	15-21
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	6	4-7		5	4-6
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	12	7-21		8	6-11
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	7	5-8		6	6-7
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	8	6-12		8	7-10
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	8	5-11		6	5-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	5	3-8		5	4-6
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	17	12-22		13	12-15
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	4	3-6		5	4-5
Current Smoker, Age 35+	8	5-12		5	4-6
Former Smoker, Age 35+	8	5-11		10	9-12
Never Smoked, Age 35+	6	5-9		5	4-5
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	6	2-17		8	5-12
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	5	4-8		5	4-5
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	10	7-12		8	7-9
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	4	2-10		4	3-7
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	7	6-9		7	6-7
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	5	2-14		2	1-4
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	7	6-9		7	6-7
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	5	2-11		8	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	7	6-9		6	6-7
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	4	2-7		2	1-3
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	8	6-10		8	7-9
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		6	6-7
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		7	5-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District women age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Northeast Health District men age 35 and older (10 percent, CI: 7-13).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults age 35 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
  - Northeast Health District employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (16 percent, CI: 9-25).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-7) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults age 35 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (16 percent, CI: 9-25).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-9) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults age 35 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-8) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults age 35 and older (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (16 percent, CI: 9-25).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (9 percent, CI: 7-11).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (18 percent, CI: 13-24).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (17 percent, CI: 11-24).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (17 percent, CI: 12-22).

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Male, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	2-4
Female, Age 35+	4	3-6		4	3-4
35-44	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
45-54	0	NCI		1	0-1
55-64	2	1-4		2	2-3
65+	9	6-12		7	6-9
< High School, Age 35+	6	3-14		6	4-9
High School, Age 35+	5	3-7		5	4-5
Some College, Age 35+	3	1-5		2	2-4
College Degree, Age 35+	2	1-5		2	1-2
<$25,000, Age 35+	7	4-10		7	5-8
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 35+	4	3-7		3	2-4
$50,000+, Age 35+	2	1-3		1	1-2
White, non-Hispanic, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 35+	2	1-9		4	3-7
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 35+	0	0-2		1	1-1
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 35+	1	0-7		1	0-3
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 35+	2	1-10		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 35+	4	1-13		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 35+	8	6-12		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 35+	8	4-16		9	6-13
Married, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	2-3
Divorced/Separated, Age 35+	4	2-8		5	3-6
Widowed, Age 35+	7	4-12		7	5-9
Never Married, Age 35+	2	1-7		3	2-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	0	0-2		1	0-1
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 35+	5	4-7		4	4-5
Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 35+	14	10-20		10	8-12
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 35+	1	1-2		2	2-2
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	10	6-16		9	7-11
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	2-3
Asthmatic (Current), Age 35+	8	4-15		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic, Age 35+	3	2-4		3	3-4
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 35+	4	2-7		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 35+	4	3-6		3	3-4
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 35+	3	1-5		3	2-4
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 35+	11	8-16		8	7-10
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 35+	1	1-2		2	2-2
Current Smoker, Age 35+	5	3-9		3	2-5
Former Smoker, Age 35+	3	2-6		4	3-4
Never Smoked, Age 35+	3	2-5		3	3-4
Chronic Drinker, Age 35+	2	0-11		3	1-7
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 35+	2	1-3		2	2-3
Non-Drinker, Age 35+	6	4-8		4	4-5
No Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	2	0-7		2	1-4
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 35+	2	0-13		1	0-1
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 35+	2	1-7		4	2-6
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 35+	4	3-5		3	3-4
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 35+	2	1-4		1	1-2
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 35+	4	3-6		4	3-5
Urban, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		3	3-4
Rural, Age 35+	NSR	NSR		4	3-5

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Core 9: Cardiovascular Disease, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Had a Stroke (Out of Adults Age 35 and Older), 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 55-64 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (9 percent, CI: 6-12).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older with household incomes of less than $25,000 (7 percent, CI: 4-10).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults age 35 and older (8 percent, CI: 6-12).
  - Northeast Health District employed adults age 35 and older had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being unable to work (8 percent, CI: 4-16).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (0 percent, CI: 0-2) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older with no children living in their household (5 percent, CI: 4-7).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-2) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported having fair or poor general health (14 percent, CI: 10-20).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-4) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older diagnosed with diabetes (10 percent, CI: 6-16).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-2) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (11 percent, CI: 8-16).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 35 and older who do not drink (6 percent, CI: 4-8).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	10-16		13	12-15
Male	10	7-15		12	10-13
Female	15	12-20		15	14-17
18-29	NSR	NSR		20	16-25
30-44	16	11-22		14	12-17
45-64	11	9-14		11	10-13
65+	7	5-10		10	8-11
< High School	21	12-35		15	11-20
High School	14	9-20		13	11-15
Some College	13	8-20		17	14-20
College Degree	9	6-13		12	10-14
<$25,000	19	13-26		16	14-19
$25,000 to $49,999	10	5-17		13	11-15
$50,000+	12	9-18		12	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	12	9-15		13	12-14
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		16	13-20
Emp. Status: Employed	15	10-21		13	12-15
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	11	5-22		10	7-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	9	5-18		21	16-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	13	7-24		12	9-15
Emp. Status: Retired	7	5-10		10	8-11
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	24	15-37		21	16-28
Married	11	8-14		11	10-13
Divorced/Separated	16	10-22		16	13-20
Widowed	9	6-14		10	8-12
Never Married	19	11-32		19	16-23
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	14	10-20		14	12-16
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	9-16		13	12-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	19	13-26		22	19-25
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	12	9-16		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	14	8-22		15	12-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	13	10-16		13	12-15
Obese (BMI >= 30)	13	9-17		15	13-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	11	7-17		13	11-15
Not Overweight Nor Obese	15	9-22		13	11-15
Limited Due Health Problems	19	15-25		22	19-25
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	11	8-15		11	10-13
Current Smoker	16	10-24		18	15-21
Former Smoker	12	9-16		14	12-16
Never Smoked	12	8-17		12	10-13
Chronic Drinker	5	2-13		14	9-20
Drink But Not Chronic	14	10-19		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	13	9-18		14	12-16
No Health Care Coverage	9	5-17		15	11-21
Have Health Care Coverage	13	10-16		13	12-14
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	13	10-16		14	12-15
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	13	8-22		23	19-28
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	13	10-16		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	10	6-17		14	12-16
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	14	11-18		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		13	12-15
Rural	NSR	NSR		14	11-17

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (16 percent, CI: 11-22).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (24 percent, CI: 15-37).

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-12		9	8-10
Male	5	4-8		7	5-8
Female	12	9-17		11	10-13
18-29	NSR	NSR		12	9-16
30-44	11	7-16		10	9-12
45-64	9	7-12		8	7-9
65+	6	4-9		7	6-8
< High School	14	8-24		13	10-18
High School	10	6-16		9	8-11
Some College	9	6-14		11	9-13
College Degree	7	4-10		7	6-8
<$25,000	16	11-24		13	11-15
$25,000 to $49,999	9	4-16		9	7-10
$50,000+	7	4-10		7	6-8
White, non-Hispanic	8	6-10		9	8-10
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		11	8-15
Emp. Status: Employed	9	6-14		8	7-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	9	4-21		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Out of Work	9	4-17		14	10-19
Emp. Status: Homemaker	10	5-21		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Retired	5	3-8		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	20	12-30		19	13-25
Married	7	5-10		8	7-9
Divorced/Separated	14	9-20		12	9-15
Widowed	8	5-12		8	6-10
Never Married	12	5-23		12	9-15
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	5-14		10	8-12
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	9	7-12		9	8-10
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	15	11-22		17	15-20
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	8	6-11		8	7-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	12	7-20		11	9-14
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	9	6-12		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	11	8-14		11	9-13
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	8	4-14		8	7-10
Not Overweight Nor Obese	8	4-14		8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	16	12-21		17	15-20
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	5-11		7	6-8
Current Smoker	11	6-18		13	10-15
Former Smoker	9	6-12		9	7-10
Never Smoked	8	6-13		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	4	1-12		7	4-13
Drink But Not Chronic	10	6-15		8	7-9
Non-Drinker	9	6-12		11	9-12
No Health Care Coverage	7	4-15		9	6-13
Have Health Care Coverage	9	7-12		9	8-10
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		6	4-10
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	7-11		9	9-10
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	10	6-16		18	14-22
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	7-12		8	7-9
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	7	4-13		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	10	8-13		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		10	8-13

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 10: Asthma, Percent of Adults That Currently Have Asthma, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District men had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Northeast Health District women (12 percent, CI: 9-17).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (16 percent, CI: 11-24).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (20 percent, CI: 12-30).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (16 percent, CI: 12-21).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	25	22-29		20	19-22
Male	26	21-31		22	19-24
Female	24	20-29		19	18-21
18-29	NSR	NSR		30	25-35
30-44	32	26-39	+	22	20-25
45-64	24	20-28		20	18-22
65+	8	6-11		9	8-11
< High School	41	30-54		32	27-37
High School	33	27-40		24	22-27
Some College	21	14-29		22	19-26
College Degree	13	9-17		11	10-13
<$25,000	33	26-42		30	27-33
$25,000 to $49,999	34	26-42		23	20-26
$50,000+	15	11-20		15	13-17
White, non-Hispanic	25	22-29	+	19	18-21
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Employed	26	21-32		20	18-22
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	25	16-37		17	12-22
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		38	32-45
Emp. Status: Homemaker	22	14-34		14	11-17
Emp. Status: Retired	8	6-12		11	9-12
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	33	22-46		42	35-49
Married	21	17-25		15	14-17
Divorced/Separated	30	23-37		30	26-34
Widowed	15	11-22		12	10-14
Never Married	36	25-49		30	26-35
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	31	24-38		23	21-26
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	18-26		18	17-20
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	33	25-41		29	26-32
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	24	20-28		19	17-20
Diagnosed Diabetic	13	8-20		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	26	23-30	+	21	19-22
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		28	23-33
Not Asthmatic	24	21-28		19	18-21
Obese (BMI >= 30)	17	13-22		18	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	26	20-33		19	17-21
Neither Overweight nor Obese	31	24-39		24	21-27
Limited Due Health Problems	33	26-40		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	23	20-28		18	17-20
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
Drink But Not Chronic	25	20-30		20	18-22
Non-Drinker	24	20-30		18	16-20
No Health Care Coverage	43	31-56		38	33-43
Have Health Care Coverage	22	19-26		18	17-19
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		30	25-36
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	23	20-27		19	18-20
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	46	35-57		38	33-44
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	22	18-26		18	17-19
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	31	25-39		26	23-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	22	18-26		18	16-19
Urban	NSR	NSR		20	18-21
Rural	NSR	NSR		23	20-27

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly higher percentage (32 percent, CI: 26-39) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 30-44 (22 percent, CI: 20-25).
- **Race/Ethnicity**
  - Northeast Health District white, non-Hispanic adults had a significantly higher percentage (25 percent, CI: 22-29) compared to Pennsylvania white, non-Hispanic adults (19 percent, CI: 18-21).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly higher percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-30) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have not been diagnosed with diabetes (21 percent, CI: 19-22).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (32 percent, CI: 26-39).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (24 percent, CI: 20-28).
- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with some college education had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 14-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults with less than a high school education (41 percent, CI: 30-54).
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Northeast Health District adults less than a high school education (41 percent, CI: 30-54).
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Northeast Health District adults with a high school education (33 percent, CI: 27-40).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (33 percent, CI: 26-42).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-20) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (34 percent, CI: 26-42).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (26 percent, CI: 21-32).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults (25 percent, CI: 16-37).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers (22 percent, CI: 14-34).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (33 percent, CI: 22-46).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (30 percent, CI: 23-37).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-22) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (36 percent, CI: 25-49).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Currently Smoke, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-20) compared to Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes (26 percent, CI: 23-30).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese (31 percent, CI: 24-39).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 19-26) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage (43 percent, CI: 31-56).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (46 percent, CI: 35-57).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	28	25-31		26	25-27
Male	31	26-36		30	28-32
Female	25	22-29		22	21-24
18-29	NSR	NSR		9	7-13
30-44	22	17-28		21	19-24
45-64	31	27-35		31	30-33
65+	47	42-52		39	37-42
< High School	26	18-36		23	19-28
High School	23	20-28		28	26-30
Some College	33	26-40		27	25-30
College Degree	31	26-38		24	22-26
<$25,000	25	20-31		25	23-28
$25,000 to $49,999	26	21-32		27	25-30
$50,000+	32	27-37		27	25-29
White, non-Hispanic	29	26-33		28	27-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	16	9-27		17	14-21
Emp. Status: Employed	24	20-28		24	23-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	21	13-32		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	14	8-23		22	17-27
Emp. Status: Homemaker	25	17-36		21	18-25
Emp. Status: Retired	47	41-52		41	38-43
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	33	23-46		29	24-35
Married	32	28-35		30	28-31
Divorced/Separated	33	26-40		32	28-36
Widowed	37	30-44		34	31-37
Never Married	14	8-23		11	9-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	16-26		20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	32	28-36		29	28-31
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	36	29-44		32	29-35
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	27	24-30		25	24-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	41	33-49		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	27	24-30		25	24-26
Asthmatic (Current)	27	18-38		24	21-29
Not Asthmatic	28	25-32		26	25-28
Obese (BMI >= 30)	33	27-39		31	29-34
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	30	26-35		29	27-31
Not Overweight Nor Obese	21	17-27		19	18-21
Limited Due Health Problems	36	30-42		32	29-35
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	23-30		25	23-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		29	23-35
Drink But Not Chronic	28	23-33		28	26-30
Non-Drinker	26	22-30		24	22-25
No Health Care Coverage	12	7-19		16	12-19
Have Health Care Coverage	30	27-34		27	26-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	13	7-21		18	14-23
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	30	27-33		27	26-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	21	12-34		19	15-23
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	29	26-32		27	26-28
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	19	15-24		22	20-24
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	32	28-36		28	26-29
Urban	NSR	NSR		26	25-28
Rural	NSR	NSR		25	22-28

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Are Former Smokers, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (47 percent, CI: 42-52).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-35) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (47 percent, CI: 42-52).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-28) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (47 percent, CI: 41-52).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 13-32) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (47 percent, CI: 41-52).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 8-23) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (47 percent, CI: 41-52).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 17-36) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (47 percent, CI: 41-52).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 8-23) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (32 percent, CI: 28-35).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 8-23) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (33 percent, CI: 26-40).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 8-23) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (37 percent, CI: 30-44).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-26) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (32 percent, CI: 28-36).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-30) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (41 percent, CI: 33-49).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 7-19) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (30 percent, CI: 27-34).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 7-21) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (30 percent, CI: 27-33).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-24) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (32 percent, CI: 28-36).

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	60	51-68		57	53-60
Male	53	41-66		56	50-61
Female	66	54-76		58	53-62
18-29	NSR	NSR		67	57-75
30-44	61	48-72		52	45-58
45-64	61	52-70		53	48-58
65+	NSR	NSR		58	50-65
< High School	NSR	NSR		53	42-63
High School	52	40-64		58	52-63
Some College	NSR	NSR		62	55-69
College Degree	NSR	NSR		50	41-58
<$25,000	NSR	NSR		57	51-63
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR		59	52-65
$50,000+	NSR	NSR		57	50-64
White, non-Hispanic	60	51-68		56	52-59
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Employed	55	42-67		57	52-62
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		61	51-71
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		60	48-71
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR		56	49-64
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		45	35-57
Married	62	51-71		56	51-61
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR		52	44-59
Widowed	NSR	NSR		59	49-69
Never Married	NSR	NSR		59	51-67
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	65	51-77		59	53-65
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	55	45-66		55	50-59
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	73	60-84		56	49-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	57	47-66		57	53-61
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR		68	57-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	60	51-68		56	52-60
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		65	54-75
Not Asthmatic	60	51-68		56	52-60
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR		58	52-64
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR		54	48-60
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR		55	48-62
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	56	45-66		58	53-62
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		47	35-59
Drink But Not Chronic	56	42-69		60	55-65
Non-Drinker	66	55-76		56	50-61
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		53	44-62
Have Health Care Coverage	58	48-68		58	54-62
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		45	35-55
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	62	54-70		59	55-63
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		56	47-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	53	43-63		57	53-61
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	55	41-68		54	47-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	62	52-72		59	54-63
Urban	NSR	NSR		57	53-61
Rural	NSR	NSR		57	47-65

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 11: Tobacco Use, Percent of Adults Who Stopped Smoking One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking (Out of Adults Who Smoke Every Day), 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- There were no significant differences between the Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania.

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	13	11-15		12	11-12
Male	25	21-29		23	21-24
Female	2	1-3		1	1-2
18-29	2	1-9		3	2-6
30-44	8	5-14		6	5-8
45-64	14	11-18		12	11-14
65+	27	22-31		25	23-27
< High School	13	7-21		10	8-14
High School	12	9-15		14	13-16
Some College	16	12-22		12	10-14
College Degree	13	9-17		9	8-10
<$25,000	14	11-19		11	10-14
$25,000 to $49,999	16	12-21		16	14-18
$50,000+	13	10-17		11	10-12
White, non-Hispanic	14	12-16		12	11-13
Other (Including Hispanic)	9	4-20		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Employed	9	7-13		9	8-10
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	9	5-17		9	7-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	7	3-15		8	5-13
Emp. Status: Homemaker	1	0-7		1	0-2
Emp. Status: Retired	31	26-36		29	27-31
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	12	6-21		11	8-15
Married	16	13-19		14	13-15
Divorced/Separated	19	13-26		14	11-18
Widowed	13	9-19		13	11-15
Never Married	5	3-9		5	3-6
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	4-10		7	5-8
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	14-19		14	13-16
Fair/Poor General Health	15	11-21		14	12-16
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	13	11-15		11	10-12
Diagnosed Diabetic	28	21-36		19	16-22
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	12	10-14		11	10-12
Asthmatic (Current)	6	3-12		7	5-9
Not Asthmatic	14	12-16		12	11-13
Obese (BMI >= 30)	20	15-25	+	12	10-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	16	13-20		16	14-17
Not Overweight Nor Obese	5	3-7		8	7-10
Limited Due Health Problems	20	15-26		15	13-17
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	12	10-14		11	10-12
Current Smoker	8	5-12		12	10-14
Former Smoker	23	19-28		20	19-23
Never Smoked	9	7-12		7	6-8
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	13-21
Drink But Not Chronic	11	9-14		12	11-14
Non-Drinker	12	10-16		10	9-11
No Health Care Coverage	7	4-14		7	5-9
Have Health Care Coverage	14	12-16		12	11-13
No Personal Health Care Provider	8	4-15		12	8-15
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	13	11-16		12	11-13
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	8	4-15		6	4-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	14	12-16		12	11-13
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	7	5-10		8	7-10
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	16	14-19		13	12-14
Urban	NSR	NSR		11	10-12
Rural	NSR	NSR		13	11-16

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District obese adults had a significantly higher percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-25) compared to Pennsylvania obese adults (12 percent, CI: 10-14).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District women had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Northeast Health District men (25 percent, CI: 21-29).
- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (14 percent, CI: 11-18).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 22-31).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 22-31).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 11-18) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 22-31).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (31 percent, CI: 26-36).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (31 percent, CI: 26-36).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 3-15) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (31 percent, CI: 26-36).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-7) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (31 percent, CI: 26-36).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 6-21) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (31 percent, CI: 26-36).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (16 percent, CI: 13-19).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-9) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (19 percent, CI: 13-26).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (17 percent, CI: 14-19).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (28 percent, CI: 21-36).

Core 12: Demographics, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Served on Active Duty in the United States Armed Forces, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (20 percent, CI: 15-25).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Northeast Health District overweight adults (16 percent, CI: 13-20).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (20 percent, CI: 15-26).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (23 percent, CI: 19-28).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (23 percent, CI: 19-28).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (16 percent, CI: 14-19).

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	25	22-28		26	24-27
Male	24	20-30		22	20-25
Female	25	21-29		28	27-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		24	20-29
30-44	25	20-32		24	21-26
45-64	31	27-35		30	28-32
65+	17	13-21		22	20-23
< High School	24	14-37		23	18-29
High School	26	20-32		25	23-28
Some College	24	18-30		25	22-28
College Degree	24	19-29		26	24-29
<$25,000	31	23-39		26	23-29
$25,000 to $49,999	18	14-23		26	23-29
$50,000+	27	22-32		25	23-28
White, non-Hispanic	24	21-28		26	24-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
Emp. Status: Employed	26	21-31		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	22	14-33		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		25	20-31
Emp. Status: Homemaker	24	16-36		25	21-29
Emp. Status: Retired	19	16-24		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	23	15-34		22	17-29
Married	27	24-31		26	25-28
Divorced/Separated	30	23-37		29	25-33
Widowed	19	14-25		19	16-22
Never Married	19	11-31		25	21-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	25	20-31		26	23-28
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	24	21-28		26	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	28	22-36		25	22-28
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	24	21-28		26	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	22	16-30		23	19-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	25	21-28		26	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		29	25-34
Not Asthmatic	24	20-27		25	24-27
Obese (BMI >= 30)	23	18-28		26	23-28
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	26	21-32		25	23-27
Not Overweight Nor Obese	24	18-31		26	23-28
Limited Due Health Problems	27	21-34		29	26-32
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	24	21-28		25	23-26
Current Smoker	24	18-32		29	26-33
Former Smoker	23	19-28		24	22-27
Never Smoked	26	21-31		25	23-27
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		25	19-31
Drink But Not Chronic	25	20-30		26	24-28
Non-Drinker	25	20-30		26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	21	13-32		27	22-32
Have Health Care Coverage	25	22-29		25	24-27
No Personal Health Care Provider	16	9-26		23	19-29
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	26	22-29		26	25-27
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	30	21-40		36	30-41
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	24	21-27		24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	20-32		24	22-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	24	21-28		26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		26	23-30

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Defined as providing regular care or assistance to a friend or family member who has a health problem, long-term illness or disability.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 13: Caregiver Status, Percent of Adults Provided Care or Assistance to Friend or Family Member During Past Month*, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-21) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (31 percent, CI: 27-35).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	19	16-21		19	18-20
Male	19	16-24		18	16-19
Female	18	15-21		20	19-22
18-29	8	3-18		12	9-16
30-44	11	7-16		13	11-16
45-64	26	22-30		23	21-25
65+	27	23-32		27	25-28
< High School	33	23-45		28	23-33
High School	21	17-25		22	20-24
Some College	18	13-23		20	17-22
College Degree	13	10-17		13	12-14
<$25,000	33	27-41		34	31-37
$25,000 to $49,999	17	13-22		20	18-22
$50,000+	12	8-16		11	10-13
White, non-Hispanic	19	17-22		19	18-20
Other (Including Hispanic)	14	7-25		19	15-23
Emp. Status: Employed	10	8-14		11	10-13
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	13	7-24		12	9-16
Emp. Status: Out of Work	17	10-29		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	7	3-16		18	15-22
Emp. Status: Retired	27	23-32		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		81	76-86
Married	17	15-21		16	15-18
Divorced/Separated	32	25-39		31	27-35
Widowed	29	23-36		29	26-32
Never Married	10	5-17		18	15-21
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	8-16		13	11-15
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	19-26		23	21-24
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	65	57-72		60	56-63
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	11	9-14		12	11-13
Diagnosed Diabetic	38	31-47		35	31-39
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	16	14-19		17	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	33	23-44		36	31-41
Not Asthmatic	17	14-20		17	16-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	28	23-34		28	25-30
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	13	10-17		17	16-19
Not Overweight Nor Obese	15	11-21		14	12-16
Current Smoker	24	18-31		27	24-30
Former Smoker	24	20-28		23	21-26
Never Smoked	12	10-15		14	13-15
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		16	12-21
Drink But Not Chronic	11	9-14		14	13-16
Non-Drinker	26	22-31		25	23-27
No Health Care Coverage	17	10-27		20	16-25
Have Health Care Coverage	19	16-22		19	18-20
No Personal Health Care Provider	16	10-27		13	9-17
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	19	16-21		20	19-21
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	34	25-45		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	16	14-19		17	16-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	14	10-18		15	13-18
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	21	18-24		21	19-22
Urban	NSR	NSR		19	18-20
Rural	NSR	NSR		20	17-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 3-18) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (26 percent, CI: 22-30).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 3-18) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (26 percent, CI: 22-30).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Northeast Health District adults less than a high school education (33 percent, CI: 23-45).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (33 percent, CI: 27-41).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (33 percent, CI: 27-41).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 3-16) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (27 percent, CI: 23-32).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (32 percent, CI: 25-39).
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 15-21) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (29 percent, CI: 23-36).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (32 percent, CI: 25-39).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 5-17) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (29 percent, CI: 23-36).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (22 percent, CI: 19-26).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (65 percent, CI: 57-72).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (38 percent, CI: 31-47).
  - Northeast Health District adults who do not currently have asthma had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-20) compared to Northeast Health District adults who currently have asthma (33 percent, CI: 23-44).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Are Limited in Any Activities Because of Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 10-17) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (28 percent, CI: 23-34).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 11-21) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (28 percent, CI: 23-34).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (24 percent, CI: 18-31).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-15) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (24 percent, CI: 20-28).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults who do not drink (26 percent, CI: 22-31).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 14-19) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (34 percent, CI: 25-45).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	8-11		8	7-8
Male	9	7-12		7	6-8
Female	9	7-11		8	7-9
18-29	2	0-7		2	1-3
30-44	3	1-8		3	2-4
45-64	11	9-14		9	7-10
65+	20	16-24		17	16-19
< High School	17	10-26		14	11-18
High School	10	8-13		9	8-11
Some College	9	6-13		7	6-9
College Degree	6	4-9		4	4-5
<$25,000	18	13-23		15	13-18
$25,000 to $49,999	7	5-11		8	7-9
$50,000+	4	3-7		3	3-4
White, non-Hispanic	9	8-11		7	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	10	5-20		9	7-12
Emp. Status: Employed	2	1-4		3	2-3
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	3	1-10		3	2-6
Emp. Status: Out of Work	9	4-20		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Homemaker	6	3-12		8	7-11
Emp. Status: Retired	19	15-23		17	15-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	46	33-59		38	32-44
Married	8	6-10		6	6-7
Divorced/Separated	16	11-23		12	10-15
Widowed	21	16-27		20	18-23
Never Married	2	1-6		5	4-7
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	2-6		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	10-15		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	36	29-43		30	27-33
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	5	4-6		4	3-4
Diagnosed Diabetic	28	21-36		22	19-25
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	7	6-9		6	6-7
Asthmatic (Current)	16	10-25		13	10-16
Not Asthmatic	8	7-10		7	6-8
Obese (BMI >= 30)	15	12-19		12	11-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	6	4-9		7	6-8
Not Overweight Nor Obese	7	5-10		5	4-6
Current Smoker	10	6-15		9	7-11
Former Smoker	12	9-16		10	8-11
Never Smoked	7	5-9		6	5-7
Chronic Drinker	3	1-10		6	4-9
Drink But Not Chronic	5	4-8		4	3-5
Non-Drinker	14	12-18		12	11-13
No Health Care Coverage	4	2-9		4	2-5
Have Health Care Coverage	10	8-12		8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	4	2-10		2	1-3
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	10	8-11		8	8-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	14	9-22		8	6-10
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	7-10		8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	5	3-8		3	3-5
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	11	9-14		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		8	7-8
Rural	NSR	NSR		7	6-9

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (11 percent, CI: 9-14).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-29 had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 0-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (20 percent, CI: 16-24).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (11 percent, CI: 9-14).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (20 percent, CI: 16-24).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 9-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (20 percent, CI: 16-24).
- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults less than a high school education (17 percent, CI: 10-26).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (18 percent, CI: 13-23).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (18 percent, CI: 13-23).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (19 percent, CI: 15-23).
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (19 percent, CI: 15-23).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 4-20) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-12) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (19 percent, CI: 15-23).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-23) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (46 percent, CI: 33-59).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (16 percent, CI: 11-23).
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (21 percent, CI: 16-27).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (16 percent, CI: 11-23).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-6) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (21 percent, CI: 16-27).

Core 14: Disability, Percent of Adults Who Have a Health Problem That Requires the Use of Special Equipment, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (12 percent, CI: 10-15).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (36 percent, CI: 29-43).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (28 percent, CI: 21-36).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (15 percent, CI: 12-19).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-10) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (15 percent, CI: 12-19).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults who do not drink (14 percent, CI: 12-18).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who do not drink (14 percent, CI: 12-18).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (11 percent, CI: 9-14).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	17	14-21		17	15-18
Male	26	20-32		23	20-25
Female	9	6-13		11	10-12
18-29	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
30-44	22	16-28		20	18-23
45-64	12	10-16		13	11-14
65+	4	3-7		4	3-5
< High School	13	6-25		12	9-17
High School	17	12-23		15	13-17
Some College	19	13-28		19	16-22
College Degree	17	11-24		18	16-20
<$25,000	11	7-18		14	12-17
$25,000 to $49,999	13	9-19		16	13-19
$50,000+	21	15-27		20	18-22
White, non-Hispanic	18	14-22		17	16-18
Other (Including Hispanic)	11	5-23		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Employed	22	17-27		21	19-23
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		15	11-20
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		23	18-29
Emp. Status: Homemaker	10	5-20		6	4-8
Emp. Status: Retired	6	4-10		5	4-7
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	5	2-13		8	5-12
Married	12	10-16		13	12-15
Divorced/Separated	15	10-22		17	14-20
Widowed	8	4-15		4	3-6
Never Married	31	21-44		29	25-34
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	19	14-25		19	17-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	16	12-21		15	14-17
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	8	4-14		9	7-11
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	18	15-23		18	16-19
Diagnosed Diabetic	8	4-15		6	4-8
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	18	15-22		18	16-19
Asthmatic (Current)	10	5-19		15	11-19
Not Asthmatic	18	14-22		17	15-18
Obese (BMI >= 30)	15	10-21		15	12-17
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	17	13-23		18	16-21
Not Overweight Nor Obese	20	14-28		18	15-20
Limited Due Health Problems	11	6-19		12	10-14
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	19	15-23		18	16-19
Current Smoker	28	20-37		30	26-34
Former Smoker	17	12-25		16	14-18
Never Smoked	11	8-16		12	10-14
No Health Care Coverage	21	13-34		24	20-30
Have Health Care Coverage	16	13-20		16	14-17
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		27	22-32
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	16	13-20		15	14-17
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	25	15-38		21	17-27
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	16	13-20		16	15-17
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	25	18-32		24	21-27
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	13	10-18		14	12-15
Urban	NSR	NSR		17	15-18
Rural	NSR	NSR		17	14-21

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Binge drinking is defined as having five or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for men, or four or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Participated in Binge Drinking on One or More Occasions During the Past Month**, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District women had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 6-13) compared to Northeast Health District men (26 percent, CI: 20-32).
- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (22 percent, CI: 16-28).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (12 percent, CI: 10-16).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (22 percent, CI: 17-27).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (22 percent, CI: 17-27).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 10-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (31 percent, CI: 21-44).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-15) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (31 percent, CI: 21-44).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (18 percent, CI: 15-23).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (28 percent, CI: 20-37).

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	7	5-9		5	5-6
Male	9	6-14		6	5-7
Female	4	3-6		5	4-6
18-29	NSR	NSR		8	6-11
30-44	6	3-11		5	4-6
45-64	7	5-9		5	4-6
65+	3	2-6		3	2-4
< High School	NSR	NSR		4	2-7
High School	6	4-10		5	4-6
Some College	7	4-14		5	4-7
College Degree	6	3-12		6	4-7
<$25,000	5	2-9		5	4-7
$25,000 to $49,999	7	4-11		5	4-6
$50,000+	5	3-9		6	5-7
White, non-Hispanic	7	5-9		6	5-6
Other (Including Hispanic)	5	2-15		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Employed	8	5-12		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		6	4-9
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		8	5-12
Emp. Status: Homemaker	4	2-10		3	2-5
Emp. Status: Retired	3	2-6		3	2-4
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	3	1-10		5	3-8
Married	4	3-6		4	4-5
Divorced/Separated	11	7-17		6	5-8
Widowed	1	0-5		3	2-4
Never Married	11	6-21		8	6-11
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	7	4-11		5	4-6
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	6	4-10		5	5-6
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	4	2-10		4	3-5
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	7	5-10		5	5-6
Diagnosed Diabetic	3	1-7		3	2-4
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	7	5-10		5	5-6
Asthmatic (Current)	3	1-9		6	4-9
Not Asthmatic	7	5-9		5	4-6
Obese (BMI >= 30)	6	3-12		4	3-5
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	6	3-11		4	4-6
Not Overweight Nor Obese	8	5-12		7	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	9	5-17		5	3-6
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	6	4-9		5	5-6
Current Smoker	11	6-18		11	9-14
Former Smoker	8	5-13		5	4-6
Never Smoked	3	1-6		3	2-4
No Health Care Coverage	9	4-19		8	6-12
Have Health Care Coverage	6	4-9		5	4-6
No Personal Health Care Provider	3	1-12		9	6-13
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	7	5-10		5	4-6
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	10	5-19		7	5-11
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	6	4-9		5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	7	4-12		7	5-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	6	4-9		5	4-6
Urban	NSR	NSR		5	4-6
Rural	NSR	NSR		6	4-8

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

** Heavy drinking is defined as having more than two drinks per day for men or more than one drink per day for women.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 15: Alcohol Consumption, Percent of Adults Who Are Heavy Drinkers**, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 3-6) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (11 percent, CI: 7-17).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (11 percent, CI: 7-17).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (11 percent, CI: 6-21).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 50+	52	49-56		57	56-59
Male, Age 50+	52	47-58		54	52-57
Female, Age 50+	52	47-56	-	60	58-62
50-64	39	35-44		45	43-48
65+	68	63-73		73	71-75
< High School, Age 50+	54	41-66		57	51-63
High School, Age 50+	52	47-57		56	53-58
Some College, Age 50+	53	45-60		57	53-61
College Degree, Age 50+	52	44-59		59	56-62
<$25,000, Age 50+	51	45-58		60	56-63
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 50+	56	49-63		56	53-59
$50,000+, Age 50+	46	40-53		55	52-58
White, non-Hispanic, Age 50+	54	50-57		58	56-59
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 50+	NSR	NSR		54	47-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 50+	42	36-48		48	45-51
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		43	36-50
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		41	33-49
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		61	55-66
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 50+	68	63-73		70	68-72
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 50+	52	40-63		57	49-64
Married, Age 50+	51	46-56		57	54-59
Divorced/Separated, Age 50+	48	39-57		52	47-56
Widowed, Age 50+	61	54-68		69	65-72
Never Married, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		51	45-57
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	33	23-45		46	40-52
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 50+	54	50-58		59	57-60
Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 50+	61	53-68		65	61-68
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 50+	50	46-54		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	66	57-74		73	69-77
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 50+	49	45-53		54	53-56
Asthmatic (Current), Age 50+	59	45-71		68	62-73
Not Asthmatic, Age 50+	52	48-55		56	55-58
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 50+	58	52-64		60	56-63
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 50+	52	46-58		57	54-59
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 50+	46	39-53	-	57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 50+	62	55-68		62	59-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 50+	48	44-53	-	56	54-58
Current Smoker, Age 50+	36	28-46		45	40-49
Former Smoker, Age 50+	61	56-67		61	58-64
Never Smoked, Age 50+	50	44-55	-	58	56-61
Chronic Drinker, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		52	44-60
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 50+	50	44-55		55	53-58
Non-Drinker, Age 50+	56	51-61		59	57-62
No Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		29	22-37
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 50+	54	50-57		59	57-61
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		20	15-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 50+	54	50-57		59	57-61
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 50+	32	22-45		47	40-53
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 50+	54	50-58		58	56-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 50+	31	24-39		32	28-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 50+	57	53-61		63	61-65
Urban, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		58	57-60
Rural, Age 50+	NSR	NSR		50	46-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District women age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (52 percent, CI: 47-56) compared to Pennsylvania women age 50 and older (60 percent, CI: 58-62).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who were not overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (46 percent, CI: 39-53) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older who were not overweight nor obese (57 percent, CI: 54-60).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 44-53) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older who were not limited due to health problems (56 percent, CI: 54-58).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 44-55) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 50 and older who never smoked (58 percent, CI: 56-61).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50-64 had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 35-44) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65+ (68 percent, CI: 63-73).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults age 50 and older had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 36-48) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults age 50 and older (68 percent, CI: 63-73).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 23-45) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older with no children living in their household (54 percent, CI: 50-58).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 45-53) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older diagnosed with diabetes (66 percent, CI: 57-74).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 44-53) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who reported being limited due to health problems (62 percent, CI: 55-68).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 28-46) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who reported being former smokers (61 percent, CI: 56-67).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 44-55) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who reported being former smokers (61 percent, CI: 56-67).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year (Out of Adults Age 50 and Older), 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 22-45) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (54 percent, CI: 50-58).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 24-39) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 50 and older who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (57 percent, CI: 53-61).

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 65+	70	65-75		70	68-72
Male, Age 65+	69	61-77		67	64-71
Female, Age 65+	71	64-76		72	69-74
65+	68	61-74		70	67-73
< High School, Age 65+	76	64-85		74	69-78
High School, Age 65+	76	65-85		70	65-75
Some College, Age 65+	69	61-77		69	65-73
College Degree, Age 65+	72	63-80		70	66-74
<$25,000, Age 65+	78	65-87		68	62-73
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 65+	72	67-76		71	68-73
$50,000+, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		65	56-73
White, non-Hispanic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	46-60
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		53	40-66
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		75	69-80
Emp. Status: Out of Work	75	70-80		72	70-75
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		74	61-84
Emp. Status: Retired	74	67-80		70	67-73
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		63	56-69
Married, Age 65+	67	59-74		72	69-76
Divorced/Separated, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		66	56-76
Widowed, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Never Married, Age 65+	71	66-75		70	68-72
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Veteran, Age 65+	72	62-81		76	72-80
Non-Veteran, Age 65+	69	64-75		68	65-70
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 65+	81	70-89		77	72-81
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 65+	67	61-72		68	66-71
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		81	73-86
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 65+	69	64-74		69	67-71
Asthmatic (Current), Age 65+	75	66-82		68	64-72
Not Asthmatic, Age 65+	70	62-77		70	67-73
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 65+	67	57-76		71	68-75
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 65+	80	71-87		77	73-80
Neither Overweight nor Obese, Age 65+	66	60-72		68	65-70
Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		62	54-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 65+	77	69-83		72	69-75
Current Smoker, Age 65+	66	58-73		69	67-72
Former Smoker, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		59	48-69
Never Smoked, Age 65+	73	65-79		71	67-74
Chronic Drinker, Age 65+	69	62-75		70	68-73
Drink but Not Chronic, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Drinker, Age 65+	71	66-75		70	68-72
No Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		44	32-57
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 65+	71	66-76		71	69-73
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		76	65-85
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 65+	71	66-75		70	68-72
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 65+	48	35-62		50	43-57
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 65+	74	68-78		72	70-74
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	68-72
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 65+	NSR	NSR		70	64-75

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 16: Immunizations, Percent of Adults That Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot (Out of Adults Age 65 and Older), 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (48 percent, CI: 35-62) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (74 percent, CI: 68-78).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	33	30-37		31	30-33
Male	30	25-35		27	25-29
Female	37	33-41		35	33-36
18-29	NSR	NSR		8	6-11
30-44	17	12-22		18	16-21
45-64	45	41-50		39	37-41
65+	55	50-60		57	55-59
< High School	44	33-56		42	36-48
High School	39	33-44		37	35-39
Some College	29	23-36		28	26-31
College Degree	27	22-32		24	22-26
<$25,000	44	37-51		41	38-44
$25,000 to $49,999	34	28-41		33	30-35
$50,000+	24	20-28		26	24-28
White, non-Hispanic	34	31-37		33	31-34
Other (Including Hispanic)	24	15-37		24	21-28
Emp. Status: Employed	25	21-29		24	22-26
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	23	15-34		28	23-33
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		24	19-30
Emp. Status: Homemaker	23	15-33		36	32-40
Emp. Status: Retired	58	53-63		54	52-57
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		58	52-65
Married	34	31-38		33	32-35
Divorced/Separated	45	37-52		36	33-40
Widowed	54	46-61		56	53-60
Never Married	17	11-26		16	14-19
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	13-22		20	18-22
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	43	38-47		38	37-40
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	65	57-72		57	54-61
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	28	25-32		27	25-28
Diagnosed Diabetic	59	50-67		51	47-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	31	27-34		29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	38	27-51		42	37-47
Not Asthmatic	33	29-36		30	29-32
Obese (BMI >= 30)	46	40-53		41	39-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	26-36		32	29-34
Not Overweight Nor Obese	24	19-30		23	21-25
Limited Due Health Problems	66	58-73		61	58-65
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	23-29		24	23-25
Current Smoker	32	25-39		32	29-35
Former Smoker	42	37-48		40	37-42
Never Smoked	29	25-34		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		32	26-39
Drink But Not Chronic	30	25-34		26	25-28
Non-Drinker	38	33-43		36	34-38
No Health Care Coverage	19	13-29		20	16-24
Have Health Care Coverage	35	31-38		33	31-34
No Personal Health Care Provider	26	16-39		13	10-18
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	31-37		33	32-35
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	37	28-47		34	29-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	33	30-36		31	30-32
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	26	20-32		22	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	37	33-41		35	33-36
Urban	NSR	NSR		31	30-33
Rural	NSR	NSR		33	29-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Some Form of Arthritis, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-22) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (45 percent, CI: 41-50).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-22) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (55 percent, CI: 50-60).
- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-32) compared to Northeast Health District adults less than a high school education (44 percent, CI: 33-56).
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-32) compared to Northeast Health District adults with a high school education (39 percent, CI: 33-44).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 20-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (44 percent, CI: 37-51).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 21-29) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 15-34) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 15-33) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (58 percent, CI: 53-63).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 31-38) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 46-61).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-26) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (34 percent, CI: 31-38).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-26) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (45 percent, CI: 37-52).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 11-26) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (54 percent, CI: 46-61).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 13-22) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (43 percent, CI: 38-47).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 25-32) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (65 percent, CI: 57-72).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 27-34) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (59 percent, CI: 50-67).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Ever Told That They Have Arthritis, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 26-36) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (46 percent, CI: 40-53).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-30) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (46 percent, CI: 40-53).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (66 percent, CI: 58-73).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 25-34) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (42 percent, CI: 37-48).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (35 percent, CI: 31-38).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 20-32) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (37 percent, CI: 33-41).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	42	38-47		42	39-44
Male	38	30-47		37	33-41
Female	46	40-51		45	42-47
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		42	35-50
45-64	53	47-59	+	43	39-46
65+	39	33-46		40	37-43
< High School	NSR	NSR		52	44-60
High School	38	31-45		39	36-43
Some College	50	40-60		46	41-51
College Degree	38	29-48		38	33-42
<$25,000	62	54-69		52	48-57
$25,000 to $49,999	47	38-56		41	37-45
$50,000+	29	21-37		32	28-36
White, non-Hispanic	44	39-49		40	38-43
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Emp. Status: Employed	34	27-43		32	29-36
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		30	22-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	37-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		46	39-52
Emp. Status: Retired	39	33-46		40	37-44
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	89	78-95		81	75-87
Married	39	33-45		38	35-41
Divorced/Separated	61	50-70		53	48-59
Widowed	48	38-58		43	39-48
Never Married	NSR	NSR		50	41-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	39	27-52		41	35-46
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	43	38-49		42	39-44
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	74	66-81		67	63-71
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	31	26-37		32	29-35
Diagnosed Diabetic	51	41-61		50	44-55
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	41	35-46		40	38-43
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		55	48-61
Not Asthmatic	40	35-45		40	37-42
Obese (BMI >= 30)	53	46-60		47	43-51
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	37	29-45		39	35-43
Neither Overweight nor Obese	33	24-44		36	32-40
Limited Due Health Problems	78	71-83		78	75-81
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	22	17-27		19	17-22
Current Smoker	54	41-67		52	46-57
Former Smoker	48	41-55		40	37-44
Never Smoked	31	25-38		38	35-41
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		44	34-55
Drink But Not Chronic	30	24-37		35	32-38
Non-Drinker	51	44-58		46	43-49
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-57
Have Health Care Coverage	42	37-47		41	39-44
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	44	39-49		41	39-43
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	67	54-79		62	53-70
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	38	33-43		39	37-41
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	35	25-48		39	34-45
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	45	40-50		42	40-45
Urban	NSR	NSR		41	39-44
Rural	NSR	NSR		44	38-50

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly higher percentage (53 percent, CI: 47-59) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (43 percent, CI: 39-46).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 33-46) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (53 percent, CI: 47-59).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 21-37) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (62 percent, CI: 54-69).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 21-37) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (47 percent, CI: 38-56).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (34 percent, CI: 27-43) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (89 percent, CI: 78-95).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 33-46) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (89 percent, CI: 78-95).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 33-45) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (61 percent, CI: 50-70).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 26-37) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (74 percent, CI: 66-81).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District overweight adults had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 29-45) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (53 percent, CI: 46-60).
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (33 percent, CI: 24-44) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (53 percent, CI: 46-60).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (78 percent, CI: 71-83).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 25-38) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (54 percent, CI: 41-67).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (31 percent, CI: 25-38) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (48 percent, CI: 41-55).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 24-37) compared to Northeast Health District adults who do not drink (51 percent, CI: 44-58).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Who Were Limited in Any Way in Any of Their Usual Activities Because of Arthritis or Joint Symptoms, 2009

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (38 percent, CI: 33-43) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (67 percent, CI: 54-79).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	29	25-34		28	26-30
Male	30	22-40		28	24-33
Female	28	24-34		27	25-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		34	27-41
45-64	35	29-41		30	27-33
65+	19	15-25		20	17-22
< High School	NSR	NSR		41	33-50
High School	27	21-36		29	26-32
Some College	36	27-47		30	25-36
College Degree	21	14-30		17	14-21
<$25,000	51	42-59		42	38-47
$25,000 to $49,999	27	19-36		28	24-32
$50,000+	13	9-20		18	14-21
White, non-Hispanic	28	23-32		25	23-27
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		49	40-58
Emp. Status: Employed	19	13-26		22	18-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		28	18-39
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		44	32-56
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		22	17-28
Emp. Status: Retired	22	16-28		20	17-23
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	73	61-83		68	61-75
Married	23	18-29		24	22-27
Divorced/Separated	50	40-61		40	35-47
Widowed	25	18-35		22	18-26
Never Married	NSR	NSR		41	32-51
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	30	19-44		33	27-39
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	29	24-34		26	24-29
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	53	43-62		49	45-54
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	21	16-27		20	18-23
Diagnosed Diabetic	29	20-39		34	29-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	29	24-35		27	24-29
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		39	32-46
Not Asthmatic	26	22-32		26	24-29
Obese (BMI >= 30)	33	27-41		35	32-40
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	27	19-38		21	18-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	26	18-36		25	21-30
Limited Due Health Problems	54	47-62		53	49-56
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	14	10-21		13	11-15
Current Smoker	48	36-60		43	38-49
Former Smoker	26	20-33		25	22-29
Never Smoked	20	14-29		23	20-26
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		34	24-45
Drink But Not Chronic	21	15-27		21	18-25
Non-Drinker	34	27-42		32	28-35
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		46	35-58
Have Health Care Coverage	28	23-33		26	24-29
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	30	25-35		27	25-29
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	65	51-77		55	46-63
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	23	19-28		24	22-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	35	23-49		29	24-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	27	23-32		27	25-30
Urban	NSR	NSR		27	25-30
Rural	NSR	NSR		30	24-36

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Affect Whether They Work, The Type of Work or the Amount of Work They Do, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 15-25) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (35 percent, CI: 29-41).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 19-36) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (51 percent, CI: 42-59).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-20) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (51 percent, CI: 42-59).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 13-26) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (73 percent, CI: 61-83).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 16-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (73 percent, CI: 61-83).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 18-29) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (50 percent, CI: 40-61).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-35) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (50 percent, CI: 40-61).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 16-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (53 percent, CI: 43-62).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 10-21) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (54 percent, CI: 47-62).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 20-33) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (48 percent, CI: 36-60).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (48 percent, CI: 36-60).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 19-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (65 percent, CI: 51-77).

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	39	34-44		37	34-39
Male	36	27-45		31	27-35
Female	42	37-47		41	38-43
18-29	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
30-44	NSR	NSR		39	32-47
45-64	40	34-46		37	34-40
65+	40	33-47		35	32-38
< High School	NSR	NSR		46	38-54
High School	42	34-50		38	35-41
Some College	42	33-53		41	36-46
College Degree	26	19-35		26	22-30
<$25,000	62	54-69		51	46-55
$25,000 to $49,999	37	29-46		36	32-41
$50,000+	20	14-28		25	21-28
White, non-Hispanic	39	35-44		35	33-37
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		51	42-59
Emp. Status: Employed	25	19-33		28	25-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR		25	16-37
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		48	36-59
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR		41	35-47
Emp. Status: Retired	37	31-44		34	31-37
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	81	69-89		77	69-83
Married	33	27-39		32	30-35
Divorced/Separated	49	38-59		50	44-56
Widowed	47	37-57		39	35-44
Never Married	NSR	NSR		45	36-54
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	37	25-50		38	32-44
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	40	35-45		36	34-39
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	73	65-80		65	61-69
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	27	22-33		26	24-29
Diagnosed Diabetic	54	44-65		46	41-52
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	36	31-42		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		54	47-61
Not Asthmatic	37	32-43		34	32-37
Obese (BMI >= 30)	47	40-55		46	42-50
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	38	30-48		31	28-34
Neither Overweight nor Obese	29	20-39		30	26-35
Limited Due Health Problems	68	60-74		65	62-69
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	23	17-29		19	17-22
Current Smoker	49	37-61		48	43-54
Former Smoker	38	32-45		35	31-38
Never Smoked	34	27-43		33	30-36
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		36	26-47
Drink But Not Chronic	27	22-34		28	25-32
Non-Drinker	51	44-58		43	40-46
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		45	34-56
Have Health Care Coverage	39	34-44		36	34-38
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	40	35-45		36	34-39
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR		57	48-65
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	35	30-40		34	32-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	38	26-52		36	30-42
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	40	35-45		37	34-39
Urban	NSR	NSR		36	34-39
Rural	NSR	NSR		38	32-44

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

* Among adults who were ever told they have some form of arthritis, 16% (CI: 13-20) of Northeast Health District adults and 14% (CI: 13-16) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a lot. Twenty-four (24) percent, (CI: 19-28) of Northeast Health District adults and 22% (CI: 20-24) of Pennsylvania adults indicated that their arthritis or joint symptoms interefered a little.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 17: Arthritis Burden, Percent of Adults Whose Arthritis or Joint Symptoms Interfered* With Their Normal Social Activities Such As Shopping, Going to Movies or Social Gatherings During the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 29-46) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (62 percent, CI: 54-69).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (62 percent, CI: 54-69).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 14-28) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (37 percent, CI: 29-46).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 19-33) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (81 percent, CI: 69-89).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 31-44) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (81 percent, CI: 69-89).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-33) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (73 percent, CI: 65-80).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 31-42) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (54 percent, CI: 44-65).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese had a significantly lower percentage (29 percent, CI: 20-39) compared to Northeast Health District obese adults (47 percent, CI: 40-55).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (23 percent, CI: 17-29) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (68 percent, CI: 60-74).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-34) compared to Northeast Health District adults who do not drink (51 percent, CI: 44-58).

Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	25	21-28		24	23-25
Male	22	17-27		20	18-22
Female	27	23-31		28	26-30
18-29	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
30-44	21	16-27		21	19-24
45-64	25	21-29		24	22-26
65+	28	24-33		26	25-28
< High School	28	17-41		20	15-26
High School	22	17-28		19	17-21
Some College	22	16-28		24	21-27
College Degree	30	24-36		31	29-34
<$25,000	21	15-28		20	17-23
$25,000 to $49,999	20	15-26		22	19-24
$50,000+	28	23-34		27	25-30
White, non-Hispanic	25	22-29		24	22-25
Other (Including Hispanic)	16	9-26		26	22-31
Emp. Status: Employed	23	19-28		23	21-25
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	19	11-30		28	22-34
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		18	14-24
Emp. Status: Homemaker	38	27-50		32	28-36
Emp. Status: Retired	28	23-33		26	24-28
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		23	17-30
Married	24	21-28		24	22-25
Divorced/Separated	26	20-34		22	19-26
Widowed	25	19-32		26	23-29
Never Married	24	15-36		24	21-28
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	27	21-34		23	21-25
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	23	20-27		25	23-26
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	20	15-26		18	16-21
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	25	22-29		25	24-27
Diagnosed Diabetic	23	17-30		23	20-27
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	25	21-29		24	23-26
Asthmatic (Current)	22	14-32		20	16-25
Not Asthmatic	25	22-29		24	23-26
Obese (BMI >= 30)	24	19-30		21	19-24
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	24	19-29		23	21-25
Neither Overweight nor Obese	25	20-32		27	25-30
Limited Due Health Problems	18	14-23		23	20-26
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	22-30		24	23-26
Current Smoker	14	9-20		18	15-21
Former Smoker	24	19-29		23	21-25
Never Smoked	31	26-37		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		20	15-26
Drink But Not Chronic	25	21-30		24	22-26
Non-Drinker	23	19-29		26	24-28
No Health Care Coverage	8	5-15	-	21	16-27
Have Health Care Coverage	26	23-30		24	23-26
No Personal Health Care Provider	17	10-28		22	18-28
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	25	22-29		24	23-26
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	17	11-25		23	19-29
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	26	22-29		24	23-26
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	23	18-30		21	18-23
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	25	22-29		26	24-27
Urban	NSR	NSR		25	24-27
Rural	NSR	NSR		19	16-23

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 18: Fruit and Vegetables, Percent of Adults Who Eat 5 or More Fruits or Vegetables Daily, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who have no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-15) compared to Pennsylvania adults who have no health care coverage (21 percent, CI: 16-27).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (14 percent, CI: 9-20) compared to Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked (31 percent, CI: 26-37).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 5-15) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (26 percent, CI: 23-30).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	87	85-89		86	85-87
Male	88	83-91		87	85-88
Female	87	84-89		86	84-87
18-29	NSR	NSR		89	85-92
30-44	94	90-96		89	86-91
45-64	86	83-89		87	86-89
65+	77	73-81		79	77-80
< High School	88	79-93		78	72-82
High School	82	77-86		82	80-84
Some College	88	84-91		89	87-91
College Degree	94	91-96		91	89-92
<$25,000	83	78-87		79	76-82
$25,000 to $49,999	88	84-92		85	82-87
$50,000+	93	90-95		92	90-93
White, non-Hispanic	87	85-89		88	87-89
Other (Including Hispanic)	89	80-94		79	74-83
Emp. Status: Employed	92	90-95		89	88-91
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	86	77-93		87	83-91
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		87	81-91
Emp. Status: Homemaker	90	82-94		89	86-91
Emp. Status: Retired	80	75-84		81	79-83
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	68	55-78		65	58-71
Married	89	87-91		89	88-90
Divorced/Separated	87	81-91		82	79-85
Widowed	71	64-78		77	74-79
Never Married	92	85-96		84	81-87
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	94	91-96		90	88-91
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	84	80-86		84	83-85
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	68	60-74		70	66-73
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	90	88-92		89	88-90
Diagnosed Diabetic	69	61-76		78	75-81
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	89	87-91		87	86-88
Asthmatic (Current)	92	86-96		83	78-87
Not Asthmatic	87	84-89		87	85-88
Obese (BMI >= 30)	84	79-87		83	81-85
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	88	85-91		86	84-88
Neither Overweight nor Obese	89	84-93		89	87-91
Limited Due Health Problems	74	68-79		75	73-78
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	90	88-93		89	88-90
Current Smoker	86	79-90		85	82-87
Former Smoker	86	82-89		85	83-87
Never Smoked	89	85-92		87	86-89
Chronic Drinker	95	88-98		87	82-91
Drink But Not Chronic	92	89-94		91	90-92
Non-Drinker	80	76-84		81	79-83
No Health Care Coverage	90	82-94		88	84-91
Have Health Care Coverage	87	84-89		86	85-87
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		86	81-89
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	87	85-89		86	85-87
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	88	82-93		86	82-89
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	87	84-89		86	85-87
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	89	84-92		88	86-90
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	87	84-89		86	84-87
Urban	NSR	NSR		86	85-87
Rural	NSR	NSR		88	85-91

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 83-89) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (94 percent, CI: 90-96).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 73-81) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (94 percent, CI: 90-96).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (77 percent, CI: 73-81) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (86 percent, CI: 83-89).
- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 77-86) compared to Northeast Health District adults with a college degree (94 percent, CI: 91-96).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (83 percent, CI: 78-87) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (93 percent, CI: 90-95).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 75-84) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (92 percent, CI: 90-95).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 55-78) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (92 percent, CI: 90-95).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 55-78) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers (90 percent, CI: 82-94).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 64-78) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (89 percent, CI: 87-91).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 64-78) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (87 percent, CI: 81-91).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 64-78) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (92 percent, CI: 85-96).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (84 percent, CI: 80-86) compared to Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household (94 percent, CI: 91-96).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (68 percent, CI: 60-74) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (90 percent, CI: 88-92).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 61-76) compared to Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes (89 percent, CI: 87-91).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (74 percent, CI: 68-79) compared to Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems (90 percent, CI: 88-93).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Moderate Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 76-84) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported chronic drinking (95 percent, CI: 88-98).
  - Northeast Health District adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 76-84) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking (92 percent, CI: 89-94).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	53	49-57		50	49-52
Male	58	52-63		53	51-56
Female	49	43-54		48	46-50
18-29	NSR	NSR		62	57-67
30-44	61	54-67		52	49-55
45-64	50	45-54		49	47-51
65+	39	34-45		40	37-42
< High School	55	43-67		42	35-48
High School	52	46-59		46	43-49
Some College	50	41-59		54	50-57
College Degree	56	49-62		55	52-57
<$25,000	49	40-57		43	40-47
$25,000 to $49,999	49	41-57		50	46-53
$50,000+	58	52-64		56	54-59
White, non-Hispanic	54	50-58		51	49-53
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		48	42-53
Emp. Status: Employed	58	52-64		51	49-54
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	54	41-66		59	53-65
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		54	47-60
Emp. Status: Homemaker	57	45-68		53	48-58
Emp. Status: Retired	42	37-47		43	41-46
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		28	23-35
Married	52	48-56		50	48-51
Divorced/Separated	54	46-62		47	42-51
Widowed	36	29-44		36	33-40
Never Married	62	49-74		57	52-61
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	60	52-67		54	51-57
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	49	44-54		48	46-50
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	27	21-35		33	30-37
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	57	52-61		53	51-55
Diagnosed Diabetic	32	24-40		36	32-40
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	55	51-59		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	40	29-53		47	41-52
Not Asthmatic	54	50-58		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	45	38-51		41	38-44
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	55	48-61		51	48-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	58	50-65		58	55-60
Limited Due Health Problems	37	31-45		37	33-40
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	57	52-61		54	52-55
Current Smoker	58	49-66		51	47-55
Former Smoker	45	39-51		48	45-51
Never Smoked	55	49-61		52	49-54
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		59	51-66
Drink But Not Chronic	56	50-61		55	52-57
Non-Drinker	47	41-53		45	42-47
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	53	49-57		49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		56	50-61
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	53	49-57		50	48-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	45	34-57		52	46-58
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	54	50-58		50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	57	49-64		53	50-56
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	51	47-56		49	48-51
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	49-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		51	47-55

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Moderate Physical Activity 5 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 34-45) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (61 percent, CI: 54-67).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 37-47) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (58 percent, CI: 52-64).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 29-44) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (52 percent, CI: 48-56).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 29-44) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (54 percent, CI: 46-62).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 29-44) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (62 percent, CI: 49-74).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 21-35) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (57 percent, CI: 52-61).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 24-40) compared to Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes (55 percent, CI: 51-59).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (37 percent, CI: 31-45) compared to Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems (57 percent, CI: 52-61).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	50	46-54		50	49-52
Male	61	56-67		59	56-61
Female	39	34-45		42	40-44
18-29	NSR	NSR		69	64-73
30-44	57	50-64		56	53-59
45-64	46	42-51		47	45-49
65+	27	22-31		31	29-33
< High School	45	33-58		38	32-45
High School	47	40-53		44	42-47
Some College	50	42-59		53	50-57
College Degree	55	49-62		57	54-60
<$25,000	39	31-47		39	35-42
$25,000 to $49,999	49	41-57		47	43-50
$50,000+	60	54-65		60	58-62
White, non-Hispanic	52	48-56		51	49-52
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		49	43-54
Emp. Status: Employed	59	53-64		56	54-59
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	59	47-70		60	54-66
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		50	43-57
Emp. Status: Homemaker	48	36-60		47	42-51
Emp. Status: Retired	28	24-33		33	31-35
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		18	13-23
Married	49	45-54		50	48-52
Divorced/Separated	45	38-53		42	38-46
Widowed	25	18-32		26	23-29
Never Married	64	51-75		59	55-63
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	57	50-64		58	55-61
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	46	41-51		46	44-47
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	22	16-30		23	20-26
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	54	50-58		55	53-57
Diagnosed Diabetic	24	18-32		31	27-35
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	53	48-57		52	50-54
Asthmatic (Current)	36	25-48		46	41-52
Not Asthmatic	51	47-55		51	49-52
Obese (BMI >= 30)	42	36-49		42	39-45
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	49	43-55		52	49-54
Neither Overweight nor Obese	58	50-65		57	54-60
Limited Due Health Problems	30	23-37		31	28-34
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	55	50-59		55	53-57
Current Smoker	53	45-62		50	46-54
Former Smoker	44	38-50		46	44-49
Never Smoked	52	46-57		52	50-55
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-66
Drink But Not Chronic	57	51-62		57	55-59
Non-Drinker	40	34-46		42	39-44
No Health Care Coverage	58	45-71		59	53-64
Have Health Care Coverage	49	44-53		49	48-51
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		60	55-66
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	50	46-54		49	47-51
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	49	38-61		49	43-55
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	50	46-54		50	49-52
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	56	49-63		57	54-60
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	47	43-52		47	46-49
Urban	NSR	NSR		50	48-52
Rural	NSR	NSR		52	48-56

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in 10 or More Minutes of Vigorous Physical Activity in a Usual Week, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District women had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 34-45) compared to Northeast Health District men (61 percent, CI: 56-67).
- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (57 percent, CI: 50-64).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 22-31) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (46 percent, CI: 42-51).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (39 percent, CI: 31-47) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (60 percent, CI: 54-65).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (59 percent, CI: 53-64).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults (59 percent, CI: 47-70).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-33) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers (48 percent, CI: 36-60).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-32) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (49 percent, CI: 45-54).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-32) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (45 percent, CI: 38-53).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (25 percent, CI: 18-32) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (64 percent, CI: 51-75).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 16-30) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (54 percent, CI: 50-58).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 18-32) compared to Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes (53 percent, CI: 48-57).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (42 percent, CI: 36-49) compared to Northeast Health District adults who were neither overweight nor obese (58 percent, CI: 50-65).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (30 percent, CI: 23-37) compared to Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems (55 percent, CI: 50-59).
- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (40 percent, CI: 34-46) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking (57 percent, CI: 51-62).

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	31	27-35		28	26-29
Male	41	35-47		33	31-36
Female	22	18-26		23	21-25
18-29	NSR	NSR		43	38-49
30-44	35	29-42		30	27-33
45-64	27	23-31		25	23-27
65+	15	12-19		16	15-18
< High School	NSR	NSR		19	14-25
High School	31	25-38		23	20-25
Some College	25	18-33		31	28-35
College Degree	35	29-42		33	31-36
<$25,000	25	18-34		23	19-26
$25,000 to $49,999	24	19-31		24	21-27
$50,000+	38	32-44		34	31-36
White, non-Hispanic	32	28-36		27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	16	8-29		30	25-36
Emp. Status: Employed	35	30-41		30	28-32
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	39	27-51		37	31-44
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	25	16-37		24	20-29
Emp. Status: Retired	16	12-20		17	16-19
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR		10	7-15
Married	30	26-34		26	24-28
Divorced/Separated	27	20-35		23	19-27
Widowed	13	8-19		12	10-15
Never Married	43	31-56		38	34-43
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	37	30-44		32	29-35
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	28	23-32		25	24-27
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	10	6-17		12	10-15
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	34	30-39		31	29-32
Diagnosed Diabetic	15	10-22		15	11-18
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	33	29-37		29	28-31
Asthmatic (Current)	22	14-33		23	19-28
Not Asthmatic	32	28-36		28	27-30
Obese (BMI >= 30)	25	19-31		19	16-21
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	31	25-37		30	28-33
Neither Overweight nor Obese	37	30-45		34	31-37
Limited Due Health Problems	18	12-26		16	14-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	34	30-39		31	29-32
Current Smoker	33	25-42		28	24-32
Former Smoker	25	20-31		24	22-26
Never Smoked	33	27-39		30	28-32
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		37	30-44
Drink But Not Chronic	33	28-38		32	29-34
Non-Drinker	26	21-33		22	20-25
No Health Care Coverage	33	21-47		36	30-42
Have Health Care Coverage	30	26-34		27	25-28
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		37	31-43
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	31	27-35		27	25-28
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	24	16-34		33	27-39
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	32	28-36		27	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	34	27-42		32	29-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	29	25-34		26	25-28
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		28	24-32

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 19: Physical Activity, Percent of Adults Engaged in Vigorous Physical Activity 3 or More Days a Week for 20 Minutes or More a Session, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District women had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-26) compared to Northeast Health District men (41 percent, CI: 35-47).
- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (35 percent, CI: 29-42).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 12-19) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (27 percent, CI: 23-31).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 19-31) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (38 percent, CI: 32-44).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (35 percent, CI: 30-41).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (16 percent, CI: 12-20) compared to Northeast Health District self-employed adults (39 percent, CI: 27-51).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (30 percent, CI: 26-34).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (27 percent, CI: 20-35).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 8-19) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (43 percent, CI: 31-56).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 6-17) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (34 percent, CI: 30-39).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-22) compared to Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes (33 percent, CI: 29-37).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (18 percent, CI: 12-26) compared to Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems (34 percent, CI: 30-39).

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total, Age 18-64	34	30-39		35	33-37
Male, Age 18-64	29	24-36		33	30-36
Female, Age 18-64	39	33-46		37	35-40
18-29	NSR	NSR		37	32-42
30-44	44	37-51		48	45-51
45-64	27	23-31		25	23-27
< High School, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		34	26-43
High School, Age 18-64	32	24-40		33	29-36
Some College, Age 18-64	36	27-47		36	32-40
College Degree, Age 18-64	38	31-45		37	34-40
<$25,000, Age 18-64	37	26-49		47	42-52
$25,000 to $49,999, Age 18-64	31	22-41		33	29-37
$50,000+, Age 18-64	39	33-46		33	31-36
White, non-Hispanic, Age 18-64	33	29-38		31	29-32
Other (Including Hispanic), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		55	49-61
Emp. Status: Employed, Age 18-64	34	28-40		35	33-37
Emp. Status: Self-Employed, Age 18-64	39	28-53		31	25-38
Emp. Status: Out of Work, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		44	38-51
Emp. Status: Homemaker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		37	32-43
Emp. Status: Retired, Age 18-64	17	9-30		19	14-24
Emp. Status: Unable to Work, Age 18-64	35	24-49		51	44-58
Married, Age 18-64	34	29-39		31	29-33
Divorced/Separated, Age 18-64	36	27-45	-	50	46-55
Widowed, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		34	26-43
Never Married, Age 18-64	36	25-50		37	33-42
Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	43	36-50		42	39-45
No Children Living in Household (Age <18), Age 18-64	28	22-34		29	26-31
Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health, Age 18-64	39	29-49		42	37-47
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health, Age 18-64	34	29-39		34	32-36
Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	35	24-48		32	26-38
Not Diagnosed Diabetic, Age 18-64	34	30-39		35	33-37
Asthmatic (Current), Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		45	39-52
Not Asthmatic, Age 18-64	33	28-38		34	32-36
Obese (BMI >= 30), Age 18-64	30	24-38		34	31-37
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30), Age 18-64	34	26-42		37	34-40
Not Overweight Nor Obese, Age 18-64	36	28-45		35	32-38
Limited Due Health Problems, Age 18-64	40	31-50		45	40-49
Not Limited Due to Health Problems, Age 18-64	33	28-39		33	31-35
Current Smoker, Age 18-64	43	34-53		48	44-52
Former Smoker, Age 18-64	36	29-44		36	32-39
Never Smoked, Age 18-64	28	22-35		29	27-32
Chronic Drinker, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		38	30-46
Drink But Not Chronic, Age 18-64	39	32-45		37	34-40
Non-Drinker, Age 18-64	28	21-35		32	30-35
No Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	31	21-43		38	33-44
Have Health Care Coverage, Age 18-64	36	31-41		35	33-37
No Personal Health Care Provider, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		43	37-49
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s), Age 18-64	34	30-39		34	32-36
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost, Age 18-64	40	30-52		50	44-56
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed, Age 18-64	33	28-38		33	31-35
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago, Age 18-64	32	25-39		33	30-36
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year, Age 18-64	36	30-42		36	34-38
Urban, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		36	34-38
Rural, Age 18-64	NSR	NSR		28	24-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 20: HIV/AIDS, Percent of Adults Age 18-64 Ever Tested for HIV (Except Blood Donation), 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District divorced adults age 18-64 had a significantly lower percentage (36 percent, CI: 27-45) compared to Pennsylvania divorced adults age 18-64 (50 percent, CI: 46-55).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 23-31) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (44 percent, CI: 37-51).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 with no children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 22-34) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 18-64 with children living in their household (43 percent, CI: 36-50).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-10		9	8-10
Male	11	8-14		11	10-13
Female	6	5-8		7	6-8
18-29	NSR	NSR		8	5-11
30-44	6	3-11		8	6-10
45-64	11	9-15		9	7-10
65+	11	8-15		12	11-14
< High School	15	9-24		17	13-22
High School	8	6-11		12	11-14
Some College	8	5-12		7	6-10
College Degree	8	5-12		4	3-5
<$25,000	13	10-18		17	14-20
$25,000 to $49,999	10	6-15		9	8-11
$50,000+	6	4-9		5	4-6
White, non-Hispanic	8	6-10		8	7-8
Other (Including Hispanic)	10	5-19		16	12-20
Emp. Status: Employed	7	5-10		7	6-8
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	10	5-21		9	5-14
Emp. Status: Out of Work	4	1-11		12	8-17
Emp. Status: Homemaker	4	1-12		5	3-8
Emp. Status: Retired	12	9-16		12	11-14
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	16	9-25		22	17-29
Married	6	4-8		7	6-8
Divorced/Separated	17	11-24		16	13-20
Widowed	16	11-22		13	11-15
Never Married	7	4-13		10	8-13
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	6	4-9		8	6-10
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	8-12		10	9-11
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	17	12-23		20	17-24
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	7	6-9		7	6-8
Diagnosed Diabetic	17	11-24		14	11-17
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	6-10		8	8-10
Asthmatic (Current)	10	5-18		10	7-13
Not Asthmatic	8	7-10		9	8-10
Obese (BMI >= 30)	10	7-14		11	9-14
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	9	6-12		8	7-10
Neither Overweight nor Obese	7	5-10		8	6-9
Limited Due Health Problems	13	10-18		16	13-19
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	6-9		7	6-8
Current Smoker	13	9-18		12	9-14
Former Smoker	8	6-11		9	8-11
Never Smoked	6	5-9		8	7-9
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		13	9-19
Drink But Not Chronic	5	4-8		6	5-7
Non-Drinker	11	8-14		12	10-13
No Health Care Coverage	11	6-19		16	12-21
Have Health Care Coverage	8	6-10		8	7-9
No Personal Health Care Provider	11	6-19		15	11-20
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	8	7-10		8	7-9
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	15	10-23		20	15-25
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	7	6-9		8	7-8
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	11	7-15		9	7-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	7	6-10		9	8-10
Urban	NSR	NSR		9	8-10
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Rarely or Never Get the Social and Emotional Support They Need, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (13 percent, CI: 10-18).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (17 percent, CI: 11-24).
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-8) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (16 percent, CI: 11-22).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (17 percent, CI: 12-23).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (17 percent, CI: 11-24).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (13 percent, CI: 10-18).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (15 percent, CI: 10-23).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	93	92-95		94	93-95
Male	92	89-95		94	92-95
Female	94	92-96		94	93-95
18-29	NSR	NSR		93	89-95
30-44	93	89-96		93	92-95
45-64	89	86-92	-	94	93-95
65+	95	93-97		97	96-97
< High School	90	82-94		90	85-93
High School	93	90-95		93	92-95
Some College	92	88-95		93	90-95
College Degree	95	92-97		96	95-97
<$25,000	85	80-89		88	86-90
$25,000 to $49,999	94	89-97		94	92-95
$50,000+	97	95-98		97	96-98
White, non-Hispanic	93	91-95		95	94-95
Other (Including Hispanic)	95	84-98		91	86-94
Emp. Status: Employed	96	93-97		95	94-96
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	88	77-94	-	97	95-98
Emp. Status: Out of Work	94	88-97		85	79-89
Emp. Status: Homemaker	98	94-100		97	96-98
Emp. Status: Retired	95	92-97		97	96-97
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	69	57-79		74	68-80
Married	97	95-98		97	96-97
Divorced/Separated	82	75-87		86	83-89
Widowed	91	86-94		95	93-96
Never Married	94	88-97		90	87-92
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	95	91-97		94	93-96
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	93	91-94		94	93-95
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	75	68-81		80	77-84
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	96	94-97		96	95-97
Diagnosed Diabetic	88	81-92		90	87-93
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	94	92-95		94	93-95
Asthmatic (Current)	90	82-94		91	87-93
Not Asthmatic	94	92-95		94	94-95
Obese (BMI >= 30)	89	85-92		92	90-93
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	96	93-97		95	93-96
Neither Overweight nor Obese	95	92-97		95	94-96
Limited Due Health Problems	80	73-85		83	80-86
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	97	95-98		97	96-97
Current Smoker	90	85-93		87	83-89
Former Smoker	93	90-96		95	94-96
Never Smoked	95	93-97		96	95-97
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		93	88-96
Drink But Not Chronic	97	95-98		95	94-96
Non-Drinker	91	88-93		94	92-95
No Health Care Coverage	86	77-92		87	83-91
Have Health Care Coverage	94	93-96		95	94-96
No Personal Health Care Provider	91	83-95		92	88-94
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	94	92-95		94	93-95
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	80	71-87		83	78-87
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	95	94-96		95	95-96
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	92	88-95		93	91-95
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	94	92-96		94	93-95
Urban	NSR	NSR		94	93-95
Rural	NSR	NSR		94	91-96

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 86-92) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 45-64 (94 percent, CI: 93-95).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (88 percent, CI: 77-94) compared to Pennsylvania self-employed adults (97 percent, CI: 95-98).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 86-92) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (95 percent, CI: 93-97).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 had a significantly lower percentage (85 percent, CI: 80-89) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 57-79) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 57-79) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being out of work (94 percent, CI: 88-97).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 57-79) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers (98 percent, CI: 94-100).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 57-79) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (95 percent, CI: 92-97).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 75-87) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
  - Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults had a significantly lower percentage (82 percent, CI: 75-87) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (94 percent, CI: 88-97).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (91 percent, CI: 86-94) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health had a significantly lower percentage (75 percent, CI: 68-81) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good, or excellent general health (96 percent, CI: 94-97).
- **Weight Status**
  - Northeast Health District obese adults had a significantly lower percentage (89 percent, CI: 85-92) compared to Northeast Health District overweight adults (96 percent, CI: 93-97).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 73-85) compared to Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems (97 percent, CI: 95-98).

Core 21: Emotional Support and Life Satisfaction, Percent of Adults That Are Satisfied or Very Satisfied with Their Life, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Drinking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who do not drink had a significantly lower percentage (91 percent, CI: 88-93) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported non-chronic drinking (97 percent, CI: 95-98).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (86 percent, CI: 77-92) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (94 percent, CI: 93-96).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (80 percent, CI: 71-87) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (95 percent, CI: 94-96).

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	9	7-10		10	9-11
Male	6	5-9		8	8-9
Female	11	9-13		12	11-13
18-29	NSR	NSR		1	0-2
30-44	3	2-6		3	2-4
45-64	9	7-12		10	9-12
65+	23	19-28		27	25-29
< High School	11	6-20		12	10-15
High School	9	7-12		11	10-12
Some College	8	5-11		8	7-9
College Degree	8	6-11		10	9-11
<$25,000	12	9-16		12	11-14
$25,000 to $49,999	9	6-13		10	9-12
$50,000+	7	5-9		8	7-9
White, non-Hispanic	10	8-11		11	10-12
Other (Including Hispanic)	3	1-10		4	3-6
Emp. Status: Employed	5	4-7		5	5-6
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	6	3-13		10	8-13
Emp. Status: Out of Work	1	0-5		4	3-6
Emp. Status: Homemaker	10	5-18		16	13-19
Emp. Status: Retired	23	19-28		25	23-27
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	8	4-15		12	8-16
Married	10	8-12		11	11-13
Divorced/Separated	9	6-14		9	7-11
Widowed	19	14-26		22	19-25
Never Married	2	1-4		4	3-5
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	4	2-6		4	3-5
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	12	10-14		14	13-15
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	17	12-22		19	16-22
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	8	6-9		9	8-9
Diagnosed Diabetic	20	14-27		16	13-19
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	6-9		9	9-10
Asthmatic (Current)	9	5-16		11	9-14
Not Asthmatic	9	7-10		10	9-11
Obese (BMI >= 30)	8	6-11		10	8-11
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	10	7-13		11	9-12
Neither Overweight nor Obese	8	6-11		10	9-11
Limited Due Health Problems	15	11-19		16	14-18
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	7	6-9		9	8-9
Current Smoker	6	4-9		7	6-8
Former Smoker	14	11-17		14	13-16
Never Smoked	7	6-10		9	8-10
Chronic Drinker	8	4-16		6	5-9
Drink But Not Chronic	7	5-9		9	8-10
Non-Drinker	11	9-14		11	10-12
No Health Care Coverage	4	2-9		4	3-6
Have Health Care Coverage	10	8-11		11	10-12
No Personal Health Care Provider	3	1-7		4	2-6
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	8-11		11	10-12
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	6	3-10		6	5-9
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	8-11		11	10-11
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	3	2-6		5	4-6
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	12	10-14		12	11-13
Urban	NSR	NSR		10	9-11
Rural	NSR	NSR		9	7-11

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (9 percent, CI: 7-12).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (23 percent, CI: 19-28).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (23 percent, CI: 19-28).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 4-7) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (23 percent, CI: 19-28).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 3-13) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (23 percent, CI: 19-28).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being out of work had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 0-5) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (23 percent, CI: 19-28).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 5-18) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (23 percent, CI: 19-28).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 4-15) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (23 percent, CI: 19-28).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-12) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (19 percent, CI: 14-26).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (10 percent, CI: 8-12).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (9 percent, CI: 6-14).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (2 percent, CI: 1-4) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (19 percent, CI: 14-26).
- **Children Living in Household**
  - Northeast Health District adults with children living in their household had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults with no children living in their household (12 percent, CI: 10-14).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (17 percent, CI: 12-22).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (20 percent, CI: 14-27).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (15 percent, CI: 11-19).

Core 22: Cancer Survivors, Percent of Adults Who Have Ever Been Told By a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional That They Had Cancer, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District: (continued)

- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (14 percent, CI: 11-17).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 6-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (14 percent, CI: 11-17).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 1-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (9 percent, CI: 8-11).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (3 percent, CI: 2-6) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (12 percent, CI: 10-14).

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese**, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	65	61-69		64	62-66
Male	72	66-78		71	69-73
Female	57	52-62		57	55-59
18-29	NSR	NSR		47	42-52
30-44	66	59-72		66	63-69
45-64	70	65-74		72	70-73
65+	74	69-78	+	65	63-67
< High School	71	57-81		68	62-73
High School	62	55-68		67	65-70
Some College	71	61-79		65	61-68
College Degree	62	55-68		59	57-62
<$25,000	67	59-75		66	63-69
$25,000 to $49,999	68	60-75		68	64-70
$50,000+	63	56-69		63	61-66
White, non-Hispanic	64	60-68		64	62-65
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		67	62-72
Emp. Status: Employed	62	55-68		66	64-68
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	65	53-75		61	54-67
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		64	58-70
Emp. Status: Homemaker	60	48-71		53	49-58
Emp. Status: Retired	73	68-77		68	66-70
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	77	65-87		79	73-84
Married	69	65-73		68	66-70
Divorced/Separated	66	59-73		69	65-72
Widowed	70	63-76		61	58-65
Never Married	50	37-62		54	50-59
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	65	57-71		61	58-64
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	65	60-69		66	64-67
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	72	64-79		76	73-79
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	64	59-68		62	60-64
Diagnosed Diabetic	85	78-90		89	86-91
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	63	58-67		62	60-63
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR		66	61-72
Not Asthmatic	64	60-68		64	62-65
Limited Due Health Problems	70	63-77		73	70-76
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	63	58-68		62	60-64
Current Smoker	56	47-65		58	54-62
Former Smoker	73	67-78		74	71-76
Never Smoked	64	58-70		62	59-64
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		60	53-67
Drink But Not Chronic	63	57-69		64	61-66
Non-Drinker	66	59-72		65	62-67
No Health Care Coverage	63	49-75		58	52-63
Have Health Care Coverage	65	61-69		65	63-67
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		53	47-58
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	65	61-69		65	64-67
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	65	52-76		61	55-66
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	65	60-69		65	63-66
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	60	53-67		59	56-62
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	67	62-72		66	64-68
Urban	NSR	NSR		64	62-66
Rural	NSR	NSR		64	60-68

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 25.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese (BMI GE 25), 2009

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly higher percentage (74 percent, CI: 69-78) compared to Pennsylvania adults age 65 and older (65 percent, CI: 63-67).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District women had a significantly lower percentage (57 percent, CI: 52-62) compared to Northeast Health District men (72 percent, CI: 66-78).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 37-62) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (69 percent, CI: 65-73).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married had a significantly lower percentage (50 percent, CI: 37-62) compared to Northeast Health District widowed adults (70 percent, CI: 63-76).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (63 percent, CI: 58-67) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (85 percent, CI: 78-90).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (56 percent, CI: 47-65) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (73 percent, CI: 67-78).

Percent of Adults Who Are Obese**, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	30	27-33		28	27-29
Male	33	28-39		29	27-32
Female	27	23-31		27	25-29
18-29	NSR	NSR		19	16-24
30-44	30	24-37		32	29-35
45-64	34	30-38		32	30-34
65+	32	28-37		26	24-28
< High School	37	27-49		33	28-38
High School	27	23-33		32	30-35
Some College	42	33-51	+	29	26-32
College Degree	22	17-27		22	20-24
<$25,000	37	30-45		33	30-36
$25,000 to $49,999	36	29-44		30	28-33
$50,000+	26	21-31		25	23-27
White, non-Hispanic	29	26-33		27	26-29
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR		32	27-37
Emp. Status: Employed	28	23-33		29	27-31
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	24	16-35		25	20-30
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR		30	24-36
Emp. Status: Homemaker	19	11-30		23	20-27
Emp. Status: Retired	32	27-37		28	26-30
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	57	44-70		45	38-51
Married	32	28-36		29	27-30
Divorced/Separated	27	21-34		34	30-37
Widowed	33	26-40		26	23-29
Never Married	24	15-36		25	22-29
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	33	26-40		27	25-30
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	28	25-32		29	27-30
Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR		NSR	NSR
Fair/Poor General Health	45	37-52		46	43-50
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	28	24-32		25	23-26
Diagnosed Diabetic	53	45-62		57	53-61
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	27	24-31		25	24-27
Asthmatic (Current)	36	25-49		34	29-38
Not Asthmatic	29	26-33		27	26-29
Limited Due Health Problems	46	39-53		41	38-44
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	23-30		25	24-27
Current Smoker	20	15-27		25	22-28
Former Smoker	35	30-41		34	31-36
Never Smoked	32	27-38		27	25-29
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR		21	16-26
Drink But Not Chronic	26	22-31		26	24-28
Non-Drinker	35	30-40		31	29-34
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR		25	21-30
Have Health Care Coverage	29	26-32		28	27-30
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR		22	18-27
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	30	27-34		29	27-30
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	35	24-47		29	25-34
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	29	26-33		28	26-29
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	27	21-34		23	20-25
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	32	28-36		30	29-32
Urban	NSR	NSR		28	26-29
Rural	NSR	NSR		29	26-33

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower per

** Calculated Body Mass Index (BMI) greater or equal to 30.0.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Percent of Adults Who Were Classified as Obese (BMI GE 30), 2008

Geographic Differences: Northeast Health District and Pennsylvania:

- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with some college education had a significantly higher percentage (42 percent, CI: 33-51) compared to Pennsylvania adults with some college education (29 percent, CI: 26-32).

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 17-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults with some college education (42 percent, CI: 33-51).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 23-33) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (57 percent, CI: 44-70).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (24 percent, CI: 16-35) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (57 percent, CI: 44-70).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 11-30) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (57 percent, CI: 44-70).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (32 percent, CI: 27-37) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (57 percent, CI: 44-70).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 24-32) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (45 percent, CI: 37-52).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (27 percent, CI: 24-31) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (53 percent, CI: 45-62).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (26 percent, CI: 23-30) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (46 percent, CI: 39-53).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 15-27) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (35 percent, CI: 30-41).

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,237 Northeast Health District (NEHD) adults completed interviews for the NEHD BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed NEHD residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to the NEHD. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of NEHD telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for the NEHD consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to the NEHD were added as a supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned tobacco use/doctor visits, smoking cessation, smoking cessation assistance and smokeless tobacco use.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for the NEHD in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of the NEHD. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within the population within the NEHD. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Benton Township census population of 300 for ages 18-29 by those who indicated they had fair or poor general health prevalence of 3% (0.03) for that age group in the NEHD. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those who indicated they had fair or poor general health, ages 18-29 in Benton Township is 9.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who indicated Fair or Poor health, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Benton Township Census Population		Fair or Poor Health From 2009 NEHD BRFSS		Estimate of Benton Township Adults Indicating Fair or Poor Health, 2009
18-29	300	X	3 %	=	9
30-44	461	X	8 %	=	37
45-64	529	X	18 %	=	95
65+	223	X	21 %	=	47
				Total	188

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Benton Township who indicated they had fair or poor general health, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in Benton Township from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
Had Fair or Poor General Health = **188**

Total Population Age 18+ in Benton Township = **1,513**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who indicated they had fair or poor general health by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those Who Had Fair or Poor General Health in Benton Township = (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults Who Had Fair or Poor General Health in Benton Township / Total Population Age 18+ in Benton Township) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those Who Had Fair or Poor General Health in Benton Township = (188 / 1,513) X 100
= **12 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.

2009 Pennsylvania
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
Local Sampling Program

Local Question Response Tables By Specific Demographic Characteristics:

Northeast Health District

Table of Contents

Page 1	Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, Smoked Cigarettes, Cigars or Pipes Inside Their Home in the Past 30 Days, 2009
Page 3	Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, Have 1+ Adults Who Live in Household Smoke Cigarettes, Cigars or Pipes (Not Including Yourself), 2009
Page 5	Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, They Have Seen a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional to Get Care For Themselves in Past 12 Months, 2009
Page 7	Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional Advised Them to Quit Smoking in Past 12 Months, 2009
Page 9	Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, They Were Ever Told by a Doctor That They Have a Health Problem as a Result of Smoking Cigarettes, 2009
Page 11	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation, They Are Seriously Thinking About Quitting Smoking, 2009
Page 13	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation, They Plan to Quit Smoking Within the Next 30 Days, 2009
Page 15	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation, They Plan to Quit Smoking Within the Next 6 Months, 2009
Page 17	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Are Aware of Aids Available in Their Community to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 19	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Participate in Individual Counseling to Help Them Quit Smoking if It Was Offered, 2009
Page 21	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Participate in Group Counseling to Help Them Quit Smoking if It Was Offered, 2009
Page 23	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Use Nicotine Patches, Gum or Lozenges if Offered at No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 25	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Use Prescription Medications Such as Nicotine Inhalers, Zyban or Chantix if Offered at No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 27	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Use the Pennsylvania Provided Telephone Counseling Free Quitline to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 29	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Participate in an Internet Program if Offered at No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 31	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Ever Stopped Smoking for One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 33	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Services Such as Counseling, the PA Quit Line or Internet Programs to Help Them Quit the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 35	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Individual Counseling the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 37	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Group Counseling the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 39	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used the Pennsylvania Quit Line the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 41	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used an Internet Program the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 43	Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Quit Aids Such as Nicotine Patches, Gum or Lozenges, or Prescription Medications the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009
Page 45	Locally Added: Smokeless Tobacco Use, They Ever Used or Tried Smokeless Tobacco Products Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff, 2009
Page 47	Locally Added: Smokeless Tobacco Use, They Used Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Everyday, 2009
Page 49	Technical Notes

Detailed Local Questionnaire Tables

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, (Including Yourself) Had Anyone Smoked Cigarettes, Cigars or Pipes Inside Their Home in the Past 30 Days, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	21	18-24			
Male	21	17-27			
Female	21	17-25			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	26	20-33			
45-64	23	20-27			
65+	10	8-14			
< High School	36	25-49		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	25	20-31			
Some College	23	16-31			
College Degree	11	7-16			
<$25,000	29	22-37			
$25,000 to $49,999	26	19-33			
$50,000+	13	9-18			
White, non-Hispanic	22	18-25			
Other (Including Hispanic)	17	9-30			
Emp. Status: Employed	22	17-27			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	16	9-26			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	13	7-24			
Emp. Status: Retired	11	8-14			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	35	24-48			
Married	17	14-21			
Divorced/Separated	32	25-39			
Widowed	17	12-23			
Never Married	25	15-37			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	22	16-29			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	21	17-24			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	31	24-39			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	20	16-23			
Diagnosed Diabetic	18	12-25			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	21	18-25			
Asthmatic (Current)	23	14-33			
Not Asthmatic	21	17-24			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	18	14-23			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	25	20-32			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	20	15-27			
Limited Due Health Problems	29	23-36			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	19	16-23			
Current Smoker	59	50-68			
Former Smoker	10	7-14			
Never Smoked	7	4-12			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	17	13-23			
Non-Drinker	23	18-28			
No Health Care Coverage	31	21-43			
Have Health Care Coverage	20	17-24			
No Personal Health Care Provider	23	14-35			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	21	18-25			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	34	25-45			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	19	16-23			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	23	17-29			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	20	16-24			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, (Including Yourself) Had Anyone Smoked Cigarettes, Cigars or Pipes Inside Their Home in the Past 30 Days, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (26 percent, CI: 20-33).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (23 percent, CI: 20-27).
- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults less than a high school education (36 percent, CI: 25-49).
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-16) compared to Northeast Health District adults with a high school education (25 percent, CI: 20-31).
- **Household Income**
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of less than $25,000 (29 percent, CI: 22-37).
  - Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $50,000 or more had a significantly lower percentage (13 percent, CI: 9-18) compared to Northeast Health District adults with household incomes of $25,000 to $49,999 (26 percent, CI: 19-33).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (22 percent, CI: 17-27).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 8-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (35 percent, CI: 24-48).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District married adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 14-21) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (32 percent, CI: 25-39).
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (17 percent, CI: 12-23) compared to Northeast Health District divorced or separated adults (32 percent, CI: 25-39).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (20 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (31 percent, CI: 24-39).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-14) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (59 percent, CI: 50-68).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 4-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (59 percent, CI: 50-68).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to had a significantly lower percentage (19 percent, CI: 16-23) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost (34 percent, CI: 25-45).

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, Have 1+ Adults Who Live in Household Smoke Cigarettes, Cigars or Pipes (Not Including Yourself), 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	23	20-27			
Male	21	17-27			
Female	25	20-30			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	32	26-39			
45-64	22	18-25			
65+	8	6-12			
< High School	34	23-48		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	28	23-35			
Some College	23	16-33			
College Degree	12	9-17			
<$25,000	22	16-29			
$25,000 to $49,999	30	22-39			
$50,000+	19	15-24			
White, non-Hispanic	23	20-27			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	27	22-33			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	18	10-30			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	24	15-37			
Emp. Status: Retired	9	7-13			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	25	15-38			
Married	24	21-28			
Divorced/Separated	17	11-23			
Widowed	11	7-17			
Never Married	27	16-40			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	29	23-37			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	20	16-24			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	26	19-34			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	23	19-27			
Diagnosed Diabetic	18	12-26			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	24	20-28			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	23	19-27			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	20	16-26			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	24	18-31			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	25	19-33			
Limited Due Health Problems	26	20-33			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	23	19-27			
Current Smoker	50	42-59			
Former Smoker	12	9-17			
Never Smoked	15	10-21			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	20	16-25			
Non-Drinker	26	21-33			
No Health Care Coverage	34	23-49			
Have Health Care Coverage	22	18-26			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	23	19-27			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	33	23-44			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	22	18-26			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	29	22-36			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	21	17-25			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, Have 1+ Adults Who Live in Household Smoke Cigarettes, Cigars or Pipes (Not Including Yourself), 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (22 percent, CI: 18-25) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (32 percent, CI: 26-39).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older had a significantly lower percentage (8 percent, CI: 6-12) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (32 percent, CI: 26-39).
- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Northeast Health District adults less than a high school education (34 percent, CI: 23-48).
  - Northeast Health District adults with a college degree had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Northeast Health District adults with a high school education (28 percent, CI: 23-35).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Northeast Health District employed adults (27 percent, CI: 22-33).
  - Northeast Health District retired adults had a significantly lower percentage (9 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being homemakers (24 percent, CI: 15-37).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (11 percent, CI: 7-17) compared to Northeast Health District married adults (24 percent, CI: 21-28).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers had a significantly lower percentage (12 percent, CI: 9-17) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (50 percent, CI: 42-59).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (15 percent, CI: 10-21) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day (50 percent, CI: 42-59).

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, They Have Seen a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional to Get Care For Themselves in Past 12 Months, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	73	69-76			
Male	68	62-73			
Female	77	72-82			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	65	58-72			
45-64	77	73-80			
65+	83	79-87			
< High School	76	64-85		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	69	63-75			
Some College	74	65-81			
College Degree	76	70-82			
<$25,000	74	67-80			
$25,000 to $49,999	70	61-78			
$50,000+	78	73-82			
White, non-Hispanic	74	71-78			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	69	64-75			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	62	49-74			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	75	64-84			
Emp. Status: Retired	85	81-88			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	90	81-95			
Married	74	70-78			
Divorced/Separated	76	68-82			
Widowed	80	73-86			
Never Married	68	56-79			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	66	58-73			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	77	72-80			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	81	73-88			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	71	67-75			
Diagnosed Diabetic	90	83-94			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	71	67-75			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	72	68-76			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	76	69-82			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	70	63-76			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	74	67-80			
Limited Due Health Problems	87	81-91			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	69	65-73			
Current Smoker	64	55-72			
Former Smoker	82	78-86			
Never Smoked	72	66-77			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	74	68-79			
Non-Drinker	73	67-78			
No Health Care Coverage	45	32-58			
Have Health Care Coverage	77	73-80			
No Personal Health Care Provider	28	17-42			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	78	74-81			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	61	48-72			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	75	71-78			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	49	42-56			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	85	81-88			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, They Have Seen a Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional to Get Care For Themselves in Past 12 Months, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 58-72) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 (77 percent, CI: 73-80).
  - Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 had a significantly lower percentage (65 percent, CI: 58-72) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 65 and older (83 percent, CI: 79-87).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 64-75) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (85 percent, CI: 81-88).
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 64-75) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (90 percent, CI: 81-95).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 49-74) compared to Northeast Health District retired adults (85 percent, CI: 81-88).
  - Northeast Health District self-employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (62 percent, CI: 49-74) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (90 percent, CI: 81-95).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (71 percent, CI: 67-75) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (90 percent, CI: 83-94).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (69 percent, CI: 65-73) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (87 percent, CI: 81-91).
- **Smoking Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported currently smoking some days or every day had a significantly lower percentage (64 percent, CI: 55-72) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (82 percent, CI: 78-86).
  - Northeast Health District adults who have never smoked had a significantly lower percentage (72 percent, CI: 66-77) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being former smokers (82 percent, CI: 78-86).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no health care coverage had a significantly lower percentage (45 percent, CI: 32-58) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having health care coverage (77 percent, CI: 73-80).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having no personal health care provider had a significantly lower percentage (28 percent, CI: 17-42) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having one or more personal health care providers (78 percent, CI: 74-81).
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (49 percent, CI: 42-56) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (85 percent, CI: 81-88).

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional Advised Them to Quit Smoking in Past 12 Months, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	63	53-72			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	61	48-72			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	69	60-78			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	63	53-72			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	60	49-70			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	62	49-73			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	64	52-74			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	63	53-72			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	60	50-70			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	70	56-81			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	62	50-73			
Current Smoker	71	59-80			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	59	45-71			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	61	50-70			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	62	52-71			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	62	51-72			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	66	54-76			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, Doctor, Nurse or Other Health Professional Advised Them to Quit Smoking in Past 12 Months, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, They Were Ever Told by a Doctor That They Have a Health Problem as a Result of Smoking Cigarettes, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	8	6-11			
Male	9	6-13			
Female	8	6-12			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	7	4-15			
45-64	9	6-13			
65+	12	8-17			
< High School	19	11-32		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	6	4-10			
Some College	9	5-16			
College Degree	7	4-13			
<$25,000	13	8-19			
$25,000 to $49,999	5	3-10			
$50,000+	8	5-13			
White, non-Hispanic	9	6-11			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	6	4-11			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	10	7-15			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	21	12-33			
Married	6	4-9			
Divorced/Separated	13	8-22			
Widowed	14	8-23			
Never Married	7	3-17			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	5	2-8			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	10	7-14			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	19	13-28			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	6	4-9			
Diagnosed Diabetic	22	13-35			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	7	5-9			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	6	4-8			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	13	8-19			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	8	5-14			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	5	2-8			
Limited Due Health Problems	17	12-24			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	5	3-8			
Current Smoker	8	5-13			
Former Smoker	8	6-12			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	5	3-8			
Non-Drinker	11	8-16			
No Health Care Coverage	4	1-12			
Have Health Care Coverage	9	7-12			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	9	6-12			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	8	4-15			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	8	6-11			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	4	2-7			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	10	8-14			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Tobacco Use/Doctor Visits, They Were Ever Told by a Doctor That They Have a Health Problem as a Result of Smoking Cigarettes, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Education**
  - Northeast Health District adults with a high school education had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-10) compared to Northeast Health District adults with less than a high school education (19 percent, CI: 11-32).
- **Employment Status**
  - Northeast Health District employed adults had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-11) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to work (21 percent, CI: 12-33).
- **General Health Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported having good, very good or excellent general health had a significantly lower percentage (6 percent, CI: 4-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported having fair or poor general health (19 percent, CI: 13-28).
- **Chronic Disease Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults not diagnosed with diabetes had a significantly lower percentage (7 percent, CI: 5-9) compared to Northeast Health District adults diagnosed with diabetes (22 percent, CI: 13-35).
- **Disability Status**
  - Northeast Health District adults who were not limited due to health problems had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 3-8) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported being limited due to health problems (17 percent, CI: 12-24).
- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup one or more years ago had a significantly lower percentage (4 percent, CI: 2-7) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported last seeing a doctor for a routine checkup within the past year (10 percent, CI: 8-14).

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation, They Are Seriously Thinking About Quitting Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	71	62-79			
Male	67	53-78			
Female	76	63-85			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	75	62-85			
45-64	75	66-82			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	66	52-78			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	81	69-89			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	73	64-80			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	74	63-82			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	69	57-79			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	77	64-87			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	70	59-79			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	71	62-79			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	72	63-79			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	69	58-78			
Current Smoker	71	62-79			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	73	63-82			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	72	62-80			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	76	67-82			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	66	55-76			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	75	65-83			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation, They Are Seriously Thinking About Quitting Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation, They Plan to Quit Smoking Within the Next 30 Days, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	27	18-38			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	NSR	NSR			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	16	10-25			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	26	17-38			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	21	12-34			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	29	19-43			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	17	10-28			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	27	17-39			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	28	19-39			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	27	17-38			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	26	15-39			
Current Smoker	27	18-38			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	17	9-29			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	27	17-40			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	28	18-39			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	NSR	NSR			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation, They Plan to Quit Smoking Within the Next 30 Days, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation, They Plan to Quit Smoking Within the Next 6 Months, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	46	36-57			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	48	35-61			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	52	41-62			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	47	36-58			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	48	36-61			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	51	38-64			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	48	36-60			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	45	35-56			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	47	36-58			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	49	37-61			
Current Smoker	46	36-57			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	44	33-56			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	46	36-57			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	48	35-60			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	48	35-62			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	NSR	NSR			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation, They Plan to Quit Smoking Within the Next 6 Months, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Are Aware of Aids Available in Their Community to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	49	40-58			
Male	47	35-60			
Female	50	39-62			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	37	25-51			
45-64	52	43-61			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	51	38-63			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	48	40-58			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	49	36-62			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	39	30-50			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	50	39-61			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	53	43-63			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	49	40-58			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	48	39-57			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	53	43-64			
Current Smoker	49	40-58			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	52	39-65			
Non-Drinker	42	31-54			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	53	43-63			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	50	41-59			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	21	12-34			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	57	46-67			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	42	29-56			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	54	43-65			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Are Aware of Aids Available in Their Community to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Health Care Access**
  - Northeast Health District adults who reported being unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost had a significantly lower percentage (21 percent, CI: 12-34) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported that cost did not keep them from seeing a doctor in the past year if they needed to (57 percent, CI: 46-67).

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Participate in Individual Counseling to Help Them Quit Smoking if It Was Offered, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	53	44-62			
Male	42	30-56			
Female	63	51-74			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	53	39-66			
45-64	55	46-65			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	49	36-62			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	35	24-49			
White, non-Hispanic	54	45-63			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	53	40-66			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	55	44-66			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	49	38-61			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	50	40-61			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	53	44-62			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	54	45-63			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	52	41-62			
Current Smoker	53	44-62			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	63	51-74			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	53	43-63			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	56	47-65			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	48	37-59			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	45	32-59			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	59	47-69			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Participate in Individual Counseling to Help Them Quit Smoking if It Was Offered, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Participate in Group Counseling to Help Them Quit Smoking if It Was Offered, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	45	36-54			
Male	35	24-49			
Female	54	42-66			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	44	31-58			
45-64	44	35-54			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	40	28-53			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	33	22-46			
White, non-Hispanic	46	36-55			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	41	29-54			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	47	36-58			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	42	31-54			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	44	33-54			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	45	35-54			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	47	37-56			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	42	32-53			
Current Smoker	45	36-54			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	59	47-70			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	44	34-55			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	48	39-58			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	40	30-52			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	36	25-49			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	53	41-64			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Participate in Group Counseling to Help Them Quit Smoking if It Was Offered, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Use Nicotine Patches, Gum or Lozenges if Offered at No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	67	59-75			
Male	61	48-73			
Female	73	63-81			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	71	58-82			
45-64	62	53-71			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	70	57-80			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	76	64-85			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	66	57-74			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	71	58-80			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	70	59-79			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	74	62-83			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	62	50-72			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	66	56-75			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	67	58-75			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	65	56-74			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	73	59-83			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	67	56-76			
Current Smoker	67	59-75			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	64	50-76			
Non-Drinker	71	61-80			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	69	60-77			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	65	56-73			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	65	54-74			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	70	57-81			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	64	53-74			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Use Nicotine Patches, Gum or Lozenges if Offered at No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Use Prescription Medications Such as Nicotine Inhalers, Zyban or Chantix if Offered at No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	55	46-64			
Male	53	40-66			
Female	57	45-68			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	56	43-69			
45-64	53	43-62			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	58	45-70			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	54	45-63			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	61	47-73			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	56	45-67			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	63	50-75			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	49	38-61			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	54	43-64			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	55	45-64			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	51	42-60			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	68	54-79			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	53	42-63			
Current Smoker	55	46-64			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	57	43-70			
Non-Drinker	52	40-65			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	58	48-68			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	55	45-64			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	52	41-62			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	58	46-69			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Use Prescription Medications Such as Nicotine Inhalers, Zyban or Chantix if Offered at No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Use the Pennsylvania Provided Telephone Counseling Free Quitline to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	52	43-61			
Male	42	30-55			
Female	62	49-73			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	44	31-58			
45-64	59	49-68			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	49	36-62			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	52	43-61			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	54	40-67			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	54	43-65			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	52	41-63			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	50	40-60			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	52	43-62			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	52	42-61			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Not Overweight Nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	49	38-60			
Current Smoker	52	43-61			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	58	45-69			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	51	40-61			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	53	44-62			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	46	36-57			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	45	32-59			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	56	44-67			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Use the Pennsylvania Provided Telephone Counseling Free Quitline to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Participate in an Internet Program if Offered at No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	34	26-43			
Male	25	17-37			
Female	42	30-55			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	32	21-45			
45-64	38	29-47			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	30	22-39			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	37	25-50			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	39	29-49			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	26	19-35			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	34	24-44			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	33	24-42			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	30	22-39			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	22	14-33			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	31	22-43			
Current Smoker	34	26-43			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	33	23-45			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	35	26-46			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	33	25-43			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	32	22-43			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	39	29-51			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Would Participate in an Internet Program if Offered at No Cost to Help Them Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Ever Stopped Smoking for One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	82	73-88			
Male	82	69-90			
Female	NSR	NSR			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	88	76-94			
45-64	82	74-88			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	92	83-96			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	84	77-90			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	88	79-93			
Divorced/Separated	88	76-95			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	85	75-92			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	86	73-93			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	81	71-89			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	81	72-88			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	83	76-89			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	89	77-95			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	80	69-88			
Current Smoker	82	73-88			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	87	79-92			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	80	70-88			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	87	81-92			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	93	83-97			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	79	68-87			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	87	79-92			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Ever Stopped Smoking for One Day or Longer Because They Were Trying to Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Services Such as Counseling, the PA Quit Line or Internet Programs to Help Them Quit the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	7	3-16			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	5	2-11			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	4	1-9			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	8	4-17			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	7	2-16			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	5	2-11			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	9	4-19			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	8	3-16			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	8	3-17			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	10	4-20			
Current Smoker	7	3-16			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	10	4-21			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	9	4-19			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	8	4-17			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	9	4-20			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	2	0-12			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	NSR	NSR			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicate then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Services Such as Counseling, the PA Quit Line or Internet Programs to Help Them Quit the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Individual Counseling the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	2	1-5			
Male	2	0-7			
Female	2	1-7			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	2	0-10			
45-64	3	1-10			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	1	0-5			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	3	1-11			
$25,000 to $49,999	1	0-6			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	1	0-4			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	0	0-3			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	1	0-4			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	0	NCI			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	3	1-8			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	2	1-5			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	2	1-5			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	1	0-4			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	3	1-11			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	0	NCI			
Limited Due Health Problems	1	0-10			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	2	1-6			
Current Smoker	2	1-5			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	1	0-6			
Non-Drinker	3	1-10			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	2	1-5			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	2	1-5			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	2	1-6			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	0	NCI			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	3	1-8			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Individual Counseling the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Group Counseling the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	1	0-3			
Male	1	0-7			
Female	0	0-3			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	0	NCI			
45-64	2	1-9			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	1	0-7			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	0	NCI			
$25,000 to $49,999	1	0-10			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	1	0-3			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	0	0-3			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	2	0-7			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	0	NCI			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	1	0-5			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	1	0-4			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	1	0-3			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	1	0-4			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	0	NCI			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	0	NCI			
Limited Due Health Problems	0	NCI			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	1	0-4			
Current Smoker	1	0-3			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	0	NCI			
Non-Drinker	2	0-7			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	0	0-2			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	1	0-4			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	1	0-4			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	1	0-9			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	0	0-3			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Group Counseling the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used the Pennsylvania Quit Line the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	2	1-5			
Male	0	NCI			
Female	4	1-10			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	3	1-13			
45-64	2	0-7			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	0	NCI			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	2	1-10			
$25,000 to $49,999	0	NCI			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	2	1-5			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	2	0-9			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	2	1-10			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	2	0-11			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	2	1-6			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	2	1-6			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	2	1-6			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	1	0-5			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	0	NCI			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	2	1-10			
Limited Due Health Problems	1	0-7			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	2	1-7			
Current Smoker	2	1-5			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	1	0-4			
Non-Drinker	4	1-12			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	2	0-6			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	2	1-6			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	1	0-5			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	2	0-12			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	2	1-6			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used the Pennsylvania Quit Line the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used an Internet Program the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	0	0-2			
Male	0	NCI			
Female	1	0-4			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	0	NCI			
45-64	1	0-6			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	1	0-4			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	0	NCI			
$25,000 to $49,999	1	0-7			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	0	0-2			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	0	NCI			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	1	0-5			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	0	NCI			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	1	0-4			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	0	0-3			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	0	NCI			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	0	0-2			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	1	0-6			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	0	NCI			
Limited Due Health Problems	0	NCI			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	0	0-3			
Current Smoker	0	0-2			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	1	0-5			
Non-Drinker	0	NCI			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	0	0-3			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	0	0-2			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	0	0-3			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	0	NCI			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	1	0-4			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

If "NCI" is displayed then the percentage was 0.0% or 100.0% and no confidence interval was calculated.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used an Internet Program the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Quit Aids Such as Nicotine Patches, Gum or Lozenges, or Prescription Medications the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	34	26-43			
Male	NSR	NSR			
Female	31	22-41			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	39	30-49			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	36	24-50			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	34	26-44			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	35	23-49			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	35	25-46			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	29	18-43			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	38	27-50			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	35	25-45			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	33	25-43			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	31	23-41			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	29	18-43			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	35	25-45			
Current Smoker	34	26-43			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	29	20-41			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	35	26-46			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	34	26-44			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	38	28-50			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	24	15-37			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	39	28-52			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smoking Cessation Assistance, They Used Quit Aids Such as Nicotine Patches, Gum or Lozenges, or Prescription Medications the Last Time They Tried to Quit Smoking, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Locally Added: Smokeless Tobacco Use, They Ever Used or Tried Smokeless Tobacco Products Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	14	11-18			
Male	29	23-35			
Female	1	1-3			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	20	14-27			
45-64	10	7-13			
65+	6	4-9			
< High School	7	3-15		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	15	11-21			
Some College	13	7-23			
College Degree	16	11-23			
<$25,000	10	6-16			
$25,000 to $49,999	9	6-14			
$50,000+	19	14-26			
White, non-Hispanic	15	12-19			
Other (Including Hispanic)	6	2-14			
Emp. Status: Employed	17	12-23			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	5	2-16			
Emp. Status: Retired	8	5-12			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	13	10-17			
Divorced/Separated	13	8-20			
Widowed	5	2-13			
Never Married	25	15-38			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	13	9-19			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	15	11-20			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	13	8-21			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	15	11-19			
Diagnosed Diabetic	9	5-15			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	15	12-19			
Asthmatic (Current)	5	2-12			
Not Asthmatic	15	12-19			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	15	11-21			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	14	10-20			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	15	9-24			
Limited Due Health Problems	18	12-26			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	14	10-18			
Current Smoker	20	13-29			
Former Smoker	15	11-21			
Never Smoked	11	7-17			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	14	10-19			
Non-Drinker	11	7-16			
No Health Care Coverage	12	6-22			
Have Health Care Coverage	14	11-18			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	14	11-18			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	14	8-24			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	15	11-18			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	19	13-27			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	12	9-16			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smokeless Tobacco Use, They Ever Used or Tried Smokeless Tobacco Products Such as Chewing Tobacco or Snuff, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- **Gender**
  - Northeast Health District women had a significantly lower percentage (1 percent, CI: 1-3) compared to Northeast Health District men (29 percent, CI: 23-35).
- **Age**
  - Northeast Health District adults age 45-64 had a significantly lower percentage (10 percent, CI: 7-13) compared to Northeast Health District adults age 30-44 (20 percent, CI: 14-27).
- **Marital Status**
  - Northeast Health District widowed adults had a significantly lower percentage (5 percent, CI: 2-13) compared to Northeast Health District adults who reported they were never married (25 percent, CI: 15-38).

Locally Added: Smokeless Tobacco Use, They Used Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Everyday, 2009

	Northeast Health District			Pennsylvania	
Demographics	**%**	**CI**	*****	**%**	**CI**
Total	14	7-27			
Male	15	7-28			
Female	NSR	NSR			
18-29	NSR	NSR			
30-44	NSR	NSR			
45-64	NSR	NSR			
65+	NSR	NSR			
< High School	NSR	NSR		Question Not Asked for Pennsylvania	
High School	NSR	NSR			
Some College	NSR	NSR			
College Degree	NSR	NSR			
<$25,000	NSR	NSR			
$25,000 to $49,999	NSR	NSR			
$50,000+	NSR	NSR			
White, non-Hispanic	15	7-27			
Other (Including Hispanic)	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Self-Employed	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Out of Work	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Homemaker	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Retired	NSR	NSR			
Emp. Status: Unable to Work	NSR	NSR			
Married	16	8-29			
Divorced/Separated	NSR	NSR			
Widowed	NSR	NSR			
Never Married	NSR	NSR			
Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
No Children Living in Household (Age <18)	NSR	NSR			
Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Non-Veteran	NSR	NSR			
Fair/Poor General Health	NSR	NSR			
Good/Very Good/Excellent General Health	NSR	NSR			
Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Not Diagnosed Diabetic	NSR	NSR			
Asthmatic (Current)	NSR	NSR			
Not Asthmatic	NSR	NSR			
Obese (BMI >= 30)	NSR	NSR			
Overweight (25 <= BMI < 30)	NSR	NSR			
Neither Overweight nor Obese	NSR	NSR			
Limited Due Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Not Limited Due to Health Problems	NSR	NSR			
Current Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Former Smoker	NSR	NSR			
Never Smoked	NSR	NSR			
Chronic Drinker	NSR	NSR			
Drink But Not Chronic	NSR	NSR			
Non-Drinker	NSR	NSR			
No Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
Have Health Care Coverage	NSR	NSR			
No Personal Health Care Provider	NSR	NSR			
Have Personal Health Care Provider(s)	NSR	NSR			
Couldn't See Doctor Past Year Because of Cost	NSR	NSR			
Cost Did Not Keep From Seeing Doctor if Needed	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup 1+ Years Ago	NSR	NSR			
Last Visited Doctor For Routine Checkup Past Year	9	4-19			
Urban	NSR	NSR			
Rural	NSR	NSR			

* If a "+" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of the county has a significantly higher percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania. If a "-" is indicated, then the particular subpopulation of county has a significantly lower percentage compared to that subpopulation in Pennsylvania.

If "NSR" is displayed then the total response is less than 50 and/or the percentage prevalence is considered "not statistically reliable".

Locally Added: Smokeless Tobacco Use, They Used Chewing Tobacco or Snuff Everyday, 2009

Differences within the Northeast Health District:

- There were no significant differences within the Northeast Health District.

Technical Notes

Sample Selection

A total of 1,237 Northeast Health District (NEHD) adults completed interviews for the NEHD BRFSS survey in 2009. Respondents were selected using a two-stage random digit dialing sample design. In the first selection stage, a disproportionate stratified sample of telephone numbers was selected from two telephone number strata. One stratum consists of listed NEHD residential telephone numbers. The other stratum consists of blocks of telephone numbers, known to include one or more residential telephone numbers. Both strata's telephone numbers begin with area codes and exchange prefixes specific to the NEHD. Cell phone numbers are not included in the BRFSS sample selection.

Under the disproportionate stratified sample design, the sample of NEHD telephone numbers that is divided into the two strata described in the previous paragraph are selected based on the estimated probability that the phone number is attached to a housing unit. A larger proportion of the sample is selected from the strata of listed telephone numbers known to be connected to residential households.

In the first stage of sampling, the selected telephone numbers were called to determine if they were residential telephone numbers. Nonresidential telephone numbers were discarded from the sample. Residential numbers were subjected to the second stage of sampling wherein an adult was randomly selected as the respondent from a list of adults residing in the household. The person who answers the telephone generates this list.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire for the NEHD consists of a standardized core, locally-selected modules, and locally-added questions. Questions of interest to the NEHD were added as a supplement to the core questionnaire. Locally-added modules and questions concerned tobacco use/doctor visits, smoking cessation, smoking cessation assistance and smokeless tobacco use.

Determining Accuracy of the Estimates and Significance Using Confidence Intervals

Tables included in this report show the 95% confidence intervals associated with all reported percentages. They appear in the table columns labeled (CI).

Confidence intervals are a way to measure sampling error and define the range of values where percentages estimated by multiple samples of the same population would be found (95% of the time). The size of the confidence interval is directly related to the sample taken or the probability of selection and characteristics of the people surveyed within the universe being sampled. In addition, percentages for two different subgroups of the population can be determined to be significantly different if their confidence intervals or ranges do not overlap.

Confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN, a software package developed by the Research Triangle Institute, which properly estimates sample variances for complex sample designs.

Percentages were not calculated and shown for subgroups of the population when their sample size was less than 50 or when the portion of the sample being represented was of size greater than or equal to 50 but the calculated percentage was deemed to be statistically unreliable. The method utilized to determine the

reliability of percentages calculated from sample sizes of 50 or more consisted of a comparison of the relative standard error of the calculated percentage compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for a simple random sample of 50. If the relative standard error was smaller for the percentage being tested compared to the relative standard error of the same percentage outcome for the simple random sample of 50, then the calculated percentage was considered reliable.

Race/Ethnicity Designation

As part of the BRFSS, respondents are asked their race/ethnicity, and picking multiple races is allowed. For those respondents who pick multiple races, they are asked which race best represents their race. Pennsylvania BRFSS data is reported with the race/ethnicity designations of: White, non-Hispanic; Black, non-Hispanic; and Hispanic. Persons who report multiple races are allocated to their preferred race for the purpose of this report.

Data Adjustment

The data were adjusted, or weighted, to correspond to estimated age and sex distribution of the population for the NEHD in 2009. Because people living in households with more than one telephone or more than one adult had differing probabilities of being selected, the responses were also adjusted to reflect the number of different telephone numbers per household and the number of adults residing in the household. All of the percentages reported here were calculated with weighted data and should be representative of the adult population of the NEHD. It should be noted that the percentages might not add to 100 due to rounding. When calculating the percentages of prevalence for each health topic in this report, responses of "Don't know/Not sure" and "Refused" were removed from the denominators. This is to reflect a more accurately estimated presentation of prevalence for the topics within the population of the NEHD. Those responses, which were removed from the denominator, tend to dilute the prevalence percentages and don't contribute to their further understanding.

Synthetic Estimation Process for Local Data

In cases where local data on behavioral risk are not available, synthetic estimates can be computed based on national data, statewide data, or local data from the BRFSS. Synthetic estimates are calculated using population estimates for subgroups of interest and the local, state, or national risk factor prevalence rates for those groups. Below is an example of how one can compute synthetic estimates for a local area:

Step 1
Obtain the population estimates for the local geographic area of interest. Sum the population estimates into a table having the same breakdown as a table listing the national, state, or local estimates (see the table below).

Step 2
To estimate the number of persons who have the behavioral risk in each subgroup, multiply the subgroup-specific rates by the population estimates for each group. For example, multiply the 2000 Benton Township census population of 300 for ages 18-29 by those who indicated they had fair or poor general health prevalence of 3% (0.03) for that age group in the NEHD. The 2009 synthetic estimate for those who indicated they had fair or poor general health, ages 18-29 in Benton Township is 9.

Step 3
To obtain the total number of persons who indicated they had fair or poor general health, repeat Step 2 for all subgroups and then sum the subgroup estimates to get a total estimate.

Age Group	2000 Benton Township Census Population		Had Fair or Poor General Health From 2009 NEHD BRFSS		Estimate of Benton Township Adults Indicating They Had Fair or Poor General Health, 2009
18-29	300	X	3 %	=	9
30-44	461	X	8 %	=	37
45-64	529	X	18 %	=	95
65+	223	X	21 %	=	47
				Total	188

Step 4
To calculate the synthetic estimated percentage of adults in Benton Township who indicated they had fair or poor general health, pull the "Total Estimated Number of Adults" and the "Total Population Age 18+" in Benton Township from "Step 3".

Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults
Had Fair or Poor General Health = **188**

Total Population Age 18+ in Benton Township = **1,513**

Divide the synthetically estimated number of adults who indicated they had fair or poor general health by the adult population. Then multiply by 100 so that the result will be expressed as a percent.

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those Who Had Fair or Poor General Health in Benton Township = (Total Synthetically Estimated Number of Adults Who Had Fair or Poor General Health in Benton Township / Total Population Age 18+ in Benton Township) X 100

Synthetically Estimated Percentage of those Who Had Fair or Poor General Health in Benton Township = (188 / 1,513) X 100
= **12 Percent**

This step gives you a synthetically estimated percentage of adults.

Caution: Synthetic estimates can be useful for planning purposes. However, these estimates should not be used if there is reason to believe that local rates for subgroups of interest would diverge widely from the state or national rates. The prevalence of most health-related conditions varies considerably with age, and often with other factors such as sex, race, and income. A more precise estimate may be obtained using age, sex and race-specific prevalence rates. The BRFSS is not a reliable source of prevalence rates specific to age-sex-race categories; national data would be a more reliable basis for synthetic estimates.

It is important to qualify estimates whenever they are used. A clear citation of the sources of the data used to compute the local-area synthetic estimates should be included in every report of the estimates.